Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-65-RC3, 2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



ESSDD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "A 30-meter resolution national urban land-cover dataset of China, 2000–2015" by Wenhui Kuang et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 10 October 2019

- 1 Page 4 line 4-10: Please explain how the method used by CLUD to extract urban areasïij§Machine learning or manual interpretation? How does the errors on the boundary affect the analysis results of the proposed data set?
- 2 Page 4 line 4-10: "With prior knowledge of image classification and humancomputer visual interpretation, we extracted urban land in Suzhou by detecting the city's boundaries", the authors used CLUD to extract the city boundarty, but the prior knowledge and visual interpretation were used to extract the boundary of Suzhou. Do all cities in the dataset used the boundaries with visual interpretationiij§
- 3 Page 4 line 13: What's ISA fraction? The fraction should be appeared in the manuscript.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



4 Page 4 line 24-25: "In addition, the input parameters required by logistic regressionâĂŤISA classification data and NDVI maximum dataâĂŤcan be obtained through existing methods and datasets", It would be better to to explain what parameters they are.

5 Page 5 line23-25: "The spectral unmixing method was employed to unmix the Landsat multispectral bands into the four endmembers. A decision tree was built to classify the high-albedo surfaces, low-albedo surfaces, water, vegetation and bare soil based on the fractions after unmixing and the calculation of indexes", how did the authors use the MNF to process different remote sensing images which have different atmospheric conditions?

6 Page6 line 11-22: It is would be better to to use high resolution images to interpret the green space and then verify the accuracy of UGS.

7 Page6 line 29-30: "Because of its relatively high accuracy...", how high is the accuracy?

8 Page 8 line 11-19: "In our dataset, the urban and rural areas are well distinguished because of a good definition of urban area", the authors should provide more convincing evidence to prove the good definition of urban area.

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-65, 2019.

ESSDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

