Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-245-RC2, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "A17-year dataset of surface water fugacity of CO<sub>2</sub>, along with calculated pH, Aragonite saturation state, and air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the Northern Caribbean Sea" by Rik Wanninkhof et al.

## Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 1 March 2020

The manuscript presents a dataset of surface ocean fCO2, and auxiliary variables, measured in the Caribbean from 2002-2018. In addition, a data product consisting of gridded and gap-filled maps of fCO2, pH, aragonite saturation state, and air-sea CO2 fluxes is produced and presented. Both the observational dataset and the data products are of undoubtedly high quality and will very likely be very useful to the global ocean carbonate chemistry community. The manuscript is nicely presented and illustrated, and overall well-written though at times highly repetitive. This work is highly relevant for publication in ESSD and can be published after minor revisions (detailed



Discussion paper



below).

Major comments:

Why use annual multilinear regressions? I understand from the appendix that using delta\_fCO2 did not improve the results, but I'd like to also see what difference it would make to use one multilinear regression where atmospheric xCO2 (or fCO2) is included as a predictor variable. Have you analyzed whether the use of annual multilinear regressions create discontinuities between December and January? Please add a figure showing that this is negligible.

I find the entire manuscript to poorly structured which results in a lot of repetition. I suggest to restructure in order to create a nicer flow of information and thus increase readability. Some suggestions, in no particular order: - The information on lines 94-104 would be better suited in section 1.3 (instrumentation) - Information in section 1.3 (instrumentation) and sections 2.1 and 2.2 should be combined and the text screened for repetitive information (e.g., the frequency of calibration is mentioned on line 114 and again on line 122) - I'm not sure of the value of section 1.3.3 unless these data are used in the presented dataset or data products (which is unclear) - Section 2 could be a subsection under section 1 - Much of the information on lines 65-74 would be more appropriate in the methods (much of it is also repeated in the different methods sections) - The information on lines 270-294 would be better suited in section 3.5 - In section 4.1 you give much information which is suitable, and partly repeated, in section 5

Minor comments:

Line 64: I'd prefer the term "raw data processing" over "data reduction". While the former is commonly used in the community, it is not intuitive to those outside what it actually involves In the introduction it is stated that the Explorer of the Seas changed her home port to Bayonne, NJ in 2008 while in section 1 it is stated that the new home port is Cape Liberty Cruise Port. I realize these may be in the same place but it is neverthe-

## ESSDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



less confusing. Please revise Line 171: Explain what flag questionable is (presumably WOCE 3) Line 242: I do not understand the method. Please explain. Line 340-341: While this is correct I find it helpful to instead state that when omega\_Ar<1 dissolution is thermodynamically favored, and vice versa when omega\_Ar>1. In living organisms both dissolution and precipitation of calcium carbonate is biologically mediated, and shells have been shown to survive well in water with omega\_Ar ~0.9. In section 4 you should define the difference between a dataset and data products. My experience is that surprisingly many do not know the difference. It is unclear whether you consider the gridded data part of the dataset or a data product.

## **ESSDD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-245, 2020.