

Interactive comment on “Isoscape of precipitation amount-weighted annual mean tritium (^3H) activity from 1976 to 2017 for the Adriatic-Pannonian region” by Zoltán Kern et al.

Zoltán Kern et al.

hatvaniig@gmail.com

Received and published: 14 May 2020

Dear Reviewer,

Please find your answers to your questions below.

Yours sincerely,

The authors

<https://www.earth-syst-sci-data-discuss.net/essd-2019-244/#discussion>

Anonymous Referee #2 The authors have found a good way to fill in gaps in the existing

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



information by creating a statistical model which uses the 3H data collected from Austria and the northern Balkans. The manuscript presents a time-based 3H precipitation isoscape of North-Balkan. The text is well-structured and easy to read. However, I do have some comments. We would like to thank Reviewer#2 for her/his positive opinion on our work and for the constructive comments.

————— Comment-1: Key words: why do the authors only mention Slovenia and Hungary and not the northern Balkans as the title suggests?

Response-1: Our intention was to not repeat the words in the title among the key words, but mentioning the countries covered entirely by the developed database.

————— C-2: The purpose of this work is not only to create a database, but also to analyse and draw conclusions. I would recommend to slightly expand the purpose in the introduction.

R-2: The introduction has been slightly and the discussion thoroughly extended. In addition, the paper was partially restructured during the revision.

————— C-3: The authors need to explain why they have used the 1×1 km grids. This seems an unreasonable accuracy compared to the size of the study area.

R-3: The 1×1 km grid resolution was chosen based on practical considerations, it does not aim to imply that there are such fine km-scale differences, yet help the users to delineate smaller outcrops (e.g. watersheds) more accurately. This explanation will be added to the revised manuscript.

————— C-4: "...the largest shallow freshwater lake in Central Europe". Wouldn't the 'largest lake in Central Europe' already be enough?

R-4: We accept that "freshwater" can be omitted however we would like to keep "shallow". We think this information is useful because the 2 to 6 yrs residence time for the water mentioned in the next sentence is understandable if the lake is shallow however might be weird if someone imagine a deep lake based on the shortened term "largest

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

lake in Central Europe”.

————— C-5: It is hard to follow the isoscape in Figure 3: I would recommend to use red-blue instead of the current green-blue combination to improve the contrast.

R-5: Accepted. This figure has been revised substantially. We hope the applied more complex color scale (white-blue-red-yellow) does improve the contrast in the map series sufficiently.

————— C-6: The used data base (<https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.896938>) is presented in a less used format (my computer required additional software to read it). Wouldn't it be possible to present it in the HTML format to make it more usable? An example: <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.911474?format=html#download>

R-6: The database can be considered as temporal sequence of 3D data quite similar to meteorological data. The chosen netCDF format is a common and popular file format in meteorology. The suggested example (<https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.911474>) is a time series from a single station so, we think, it is not an applicable analogue to the presented dataset. To facilitate the usage of the data set an R-script was already provided in the supplement. In addition, we will expand the “Data format and availability” section with a sentence in which we will provide a link to the freeware tool of NASA (Panoply: <https://www.giss.nasa.gov/tools/panoply/>) with which interested readers can visualize and inspect of the netCDF files of annual grids.

————— C-7: There seems to be some confusion with the parentheses. I've highlighted these in the attached file.

R-7: We have carefully formatted the citations in the main text to correct the superfluous parentheses. The missing spaces between values and dimensions are also corrected at each highlighted place.

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-244>, 2020.

ESSDD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

