1

2

# Glacier shrinkage in the Alps continues unabated as revealed by a new glacier inventory from Sentinel-2

Frank Paul<sup>1</sup>, Philipp Rastner<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Sergio Azzoni<sup>2</sup>, Guglielmina Diolaiuti<sup>2</sup>, Davide Fu-4 5 gazza<sup>2</sup>, Raymond Le Bris<sup>1</sup>, Johanna Nemec<sup>3</sup>, Antoine Rabatel<sup>4</sup>, Mélanie Ramusovic<sup>4</sup>, Gabriele 6 Schwaizer<sup>3</sup>, Claudio Smiraglia<sup>2</sup> 7 8 1 Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland 9 2 Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of Milan, Milan, Italy 10 3 ENVEO IT GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria 11 4 Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble-INP, Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement 12 (IGE, UMR5001), Grenoble, France 13 *Correspondence: Frank Paul (frank.paul@geo.uzh.ch)* 14

# 15 Abstract

16 The on-going glacier shrinkage in the Alps requires frequent updates of glacier outlines to provide an 17 accurate database for monitoring, modeling purposes (e.g. determination of run-off, mass balance, or 18 future glacier extent) and other applications. With the launch of the first Sentinel-2 (S2) satellite in 19 2015, it became possible to create a consistent, Alpine-wide glacier inventory with an unprecedented 20 spatial resolution of 10 m. Already the first S2 images from August 2015 provided excellent mapping 21 conditions for most glacierised regions in the Alps and were used as a base for the compilation of a 22 new Alpine-wide glacier inventory in a collaborative team effort. In all countries, glacier outlines from 23 the latest national inventories have been used as a guide to compile an update consistent with the re-24 spective previous interpretation. The automated mapping of clean glacier ice was straightforward us-25 ing the band ratio method, but the numerous debris-covered glaciers required intense manual editing. 26 Cloud cover over many glaciers in Italy required including also S2 scenes from 2016. The outline un-27 certainty was determined with multiple digitising of 14 glaciers by all participants. Topographic in-28 formation for all glaciers was obtained from the ALOS AW3D30 DEM. Overall, we derived a total 29 glacier area of 1806  $\pm$ 60 km<sup>2</sup> when considering 4395 glaciers >0.01 km<sup>2</sup>. This is 14% (-1.2%/a) less 30 than the 2100 km<sup>2</sup> derived from Landsat in 2003 and indicating an unabated continuation of glacier 31 shrinkage in the Alps since the mid-1980s. It is a lower bound estimate, as due to the higher spatial 32 resolution of S2 many small glaciers were additionally mapped or they increased in size compared to 33 2003. Median elevations peak around 3000 m a.s.l. with a high variability that depends on location and 34 aspect. The uncertainty assessment revealed locally strong differences in interpretation of debris-35 covered glaciers, resulting in limitations for change assessment when using glacier extents digitised by 36 different analysts. The inventory is available at: doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.909133 (Paul et 37 al., 2019).

# 39 **1. Introduction**

40 Information on glacier extents is required for numerous glaciological and hydrological calculations, 41 ranging from the determination of glacier volume, surface mass balance and future glacier evolution to 42 run-off, hydro-power production, and sea-level rise (e.g., Marzeion et al., 2017). For these and several 43 other applications glacier outlines spatially constrain all calculations thus providing an important base-44 line dataset. In response to the on-going atmospheric warming, glaciers retreat, shrink and lose mass in 45 most regions of the world (e.g., Gardner et al. 2013, Wouters et al. 2019, Zemp et al. 2019). Accord-46 ingly, a frequent update of glacier inventories is required to reduce uncertainties in subsequent calcula-47 tions. With relative area loss rates of about 1% per year in many regions globally (Vaughan et al. 48 2013), glaciers lose about 10% of their area within a decade and a decadal update frequency seems 49 sensible. In regions with stronger glacier shrinkage such as the tropical Andes (e.g. Rabatel et al. 2013, 50 2018) or the European Alps (e.g. Gardent et al. 2014) an even higher update frequency is likely re-51 quired. However, apart from the high workload required to digitise or manually correct glacier outlines 52 (e.g. Racoviteanu et al. 2009), it is often not possible to obtain satellite images in a desired period of 53 the year with appropriate mapping conditions, i.e. without seasonal snow and clouds hiding glaciers. 54 Hence, glacier inventories are often compiled from images acquired over several years resulting in a 55 temporarily inhomogeneous dataset. Fortunately, a 3-year period of acquisition is still acceptable in 56 error terms, as area changes of about  $\pm 3\%$  are within the typical area uncertainty of about 3 to 5% (e.g. 57 Paul et al. 2013).

58

59 The last glacier inventory covering the entire Alps with a common and homogeneous date was com-60 piled from Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) images acquired within six weeks in the summer of 2003 61 (Paul et al. 2011). Although this dataset has its caveats (e.g. missing small glaciers in Italy and some 62 debris-covered ice), it is methodologically and temporarily consistent and represents glacier outlines 63 of the Alps in the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI). A few years later, high quality glacier invento-64 ries were compiled from better resolved datasets (aerial photography, airborne laser scanning) on a 65 national level in all four countries of the Alps with substantial glacier coverage (Austria, France, Italy, 66 Switzerland). These more recent inventories refer to the periods 2008-2011 for Switzerland (Fischer et 67 al. 2014), 2004-2011 for Austria (Fischer et al. 2015), 2006-2009 for France (Gardent et al. 2014), and 68 2005-2011 for Italy (Smiraglia et al. 2015). As an 8-year period is rather long, consistent and compa-69 rable change assessment is challenging. However, for the first version of the World Glacier Inventory 70 (WGI) the temporal spread was even larger, ranging from 1959 to about 1983 (Zemp et al. 2008). An-71 other problem for change assessment is the inhomogenous interpretation of glacier extents that occurs 72 in part to be compliant with the interpretation in earlier national inventories. Hence, calculations over 73 the entire Alps that require a consistent time stamp are difficult to perform and rates of glacier change 74 are difficult to compare across regions (e.g. Gardent et al. 2014).

- 76 Considering the on-going strong glacier shrinkage in the Alps over the past decades and the above 77 shortcomings of existing datasets, there is a high demand to compile a (1) new, (2) precise and (3) 78 consistent glacier inventory for the entire Alps, with data acquired under (4) good mapping conditions 79 in (5) a single year. Although it might be difficult to satisfy all five criteria at the same time, at least 80 some of them seem achievable by means of recently available satellite data. With the 10 m resolution 81 data from Sentinel-2 (S2) and its 290 km swath width it is possible (a) to improve the quality of the 82 derived glacier outlines (compared to Landsat TM) substantially (Paul et al. 2016) and (b) to cover a 83 region such as the Alps with a few scenes acquired within a few weeks or even days, satisfying criteria 84 (2) and (5). Good mapping conditions, however, only occur by chance after a comparably warm sum-85 mer when all seasonal snow off glaciers has melted and largely cloud free conditions persist over an 86 extended time span in August or September.
- 87

88 We here present a new glacier inventory for the European Alps that has been compiled from S2 data 89 that were mostly acquired within two weeks of August 2015 (during the commissioning phase). How-90 ever, due to glaciers (mostly in Italy) being partly cloud-covered, also scenes from 2016 (and very few 91 from 2017) were used. Hence, criterion (5) could not be fully satisfied. In order to satisfy point (3), we 92 decided to perform the mapping of clean ice with an identical method (band ratio), and distribute the 93 raw outlines to the national experts for editing of wrongly classified regions (e.g. adding missing ice in 94 shadow and under local clouds or debris cover, removing lakes and other water surfaces). As a guide 95 for the interpretation the analysts used the latest high-resolution inventory in each country. All cor-96 rected datasets were merged into one dataset and topographic information for each glacier was derived 97 from the ALOS AW3D30 DEM. For uncertainty assessment all five participants corrected the extents 98 of 14 glaciers independently four times.

99

# 100 2. Study region

101 The Alps are a largely west-east (south-north in the West) oriented mountain range in the centre of Europe (roughly from 2° to 18° E and 43° to 49° N) with peaks reaching 4808 m a.s.l. in the West at 102 103 Mt. Blanc/Monte Bianco and elevations above 3000 m a.s.l. in most regions. In Fig. 1 we show the 104 region covered by glaciers along with footprints of the tiles used for data processing. The Alps act thus 105 as a topographic barrier for air masses coming from the North and South (Auer et al. 2007) as well as 106 from the West in the western part. This results in enhanced orographic precipitation and a high region-107 al variability of precipitation amounts in specific years as well as in the long-term mean (e.g. Frei et al. 108 2003). On the other hand, temperatures are horizontally rather uniform (e.g. Böhm et al. 2001) but 109 vary strongly with height according to the atmospheric lapse rate (e.g. Frei 2014). Snow accumulation 110 is mostly due to winter precipitation, but some snowfall can also occur in summer at higher elevations, 111 reducing ablation for a few days.

113 There is no significant long-term trend in precipitation over the last 100+ years (Casty et al. 2005), but 114 summer temperatures in the Alps have increased sharply (by about 1 °C) in the mid-1980s (e.g. Benis-115 ton 1997, Reid et al. 2016). In consequence, winter snow cover barely survives the summer even at 116 high elevations and / or when strong positive deviations in temperature occurred. Glacier mass balanc-117 es in the Alps were thus pre-dominantly negative over the past three decades (e.g. Zemp et al. 2015) 118 and the related mass loss resulted in widespread glacier shrinkage and disintegration over the past dec-119 ades (e.g. Gardent et al. 2014, Paul et al. 2004). An order of magnitude estimate with a rounded total 120 area of about 2000 km<sup>2</sup> in 2003 and a mean annual specific mass loss of 1 m w.e. per year (e.g. Zemp 121 et al. 2015), gives a loss of about 2 Gt of ice per year in the Alps. 122 123 Most glaciers in the Alps are of cirque, mountain and valley type and the two largest ones (Aletsch

124 and Gorner glaciers) have an area of about 80 km<sup>2</sup> and 60 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Some glaciers reach down 125 to 1300 m a.s.l., and the overall mean elevation is around 3000 m a.s.l., a unique value compared to 126 other regions of the RGI (e.g. Pfeffer et al. 2014). Due to the surrounding often ice-free rock walls of 127 considerable height, many glaciers in the Alps are heavily debris-covered. Whereas this allowed the 128 tongues of several large valley glaciers to survive at comparably low elevations (Mölg et al. 2019), 129 many glaciers - large and small - become hidden under increasing amounts of debris. Combined with 130 the on-going down-wasting and disintegration, precisely mapping their extents is increasingly chal-131 lenging.

- 132
- 133 134

## Figure 1

### 135 **3. Datasets**

#### 136 **3.1 Satellite data**

137 We processed 17 different S2 tiles from a total of eight different dates to cover the study region with 138 cloud free images (Figure 1 and Table 1). These are split among the 4 countries resulting in 29 inde-139 pendently processed image footprints. Of these, 15 were acquired in 2015, 11 in 2016 and 3 in 2017. 140 Convective clouds in Italy (mostly along the Alpine main divide) required extending the main acquisi-141 tion period over two years. All glaciers in France were mapped from four tiles acquired on 29.8.2015. 142 This date covers also most glaciers mapped in Switzerland (five tiles) apart from the south-east tile 143 32TNS (ID: 11) that was acquired three days earlier (26.8.2015). Two tiles from that date 144 (32TNT/TPT) are used to map glaciers in western-Austria and three tiles (32TQT/TQS and 33TUN) 145 from 27.8.2016 for the eastern part of Austria. Twelve tiles cover the glaciers in Italy, seven from 146 2016 and in total five from 2015 and 2017 (Fig. 1). However, those from 2017 only cover very few 147 and small glaciers so that collectively the northern (Switzerland / Austria) and western (France) parts 148 of the inventory are from 2015 whereas the southern (Italy) and eastern (Austria) parts are from 2016. 149 All tiles were downloaded from remotepixel.ca (only the required bands, this is no longer possible),

151

### 152

### earthexplorer.usgs.gov or the Copernicus Hub.

153 154 From all tiles, bands 2, 3, 4, 8, and 11 (blue, green, red, Near Infra-Red / NIR, Short Wave Infra-Red / 155 SWIR) of the sensor Multi Spectral Imager (MSI) were downloaded and colour composites were cre-156 ated from the 10 m visible and NIR (VNIR) bands. The 20 m SWIR band 11 was bilinearly resampled 157 to 10 m resolution to obtain glacier outlines at this resolution. The 10 m resolution VNIR bands al-158 lowed for a much better identification of glacier extents (e.g. correcting debris-covered parts) than 159 possible with Landsat (Paul et al. 2016), resulting in a higher quality of the outlines. Apart from the 160 resampling, all image bands are used as they are except for Austria, where further pre-processing has 161 been applied (see Section 4.2.1). The August 2015 scenes from the S2 commissioning phase had re-162 flectance values stretched from 1 to 1000 (12 bit) instead of the later 16 bit (allowing values up to 163 65536), but this linear rescaling had no impact on the threshold value for the band ratio (see Section 164 4.1).

Table 1

165

### 166 **3.2 Digital elevation models (DEMs)**

167 We originally intended using the new TanDEM-X (TDX) DEM to derive topographic information for 168 all glaciers, as it covers the entire Alps and was acquired closest (around 2013) to the satellite images 169 used to create the inventory. However, closer inspection revealed that it had data voids and suffered 170 from artefacts (Fig. 2). Although these are mostly located in the steep terrain outside of glaciers, many 171 smaller glaciers are severely impacted, resulting in wrong topographic information. As an alternative 172 we investigated the ALOS AW3D30 DEM that was compiled from ALOS tri-stereo scenes (Takaku et 173 al. 2014) and acquired about five years before the TDX DEM (around 2008). The AW3D30 DEM has 174 a less good temporal match but no data voids and comparably few artefacts (Fig. 2). The individual 175 tiles were merged into one 30 m dataset in UTM 32N projection with WGS84 datum. For the pre-176 processing of satellite bands in Austria, a national DEM with 10 m resolution derived from laser scan-177 ning was used (Open Data Österreich: data.gv.at).

- 178
- 179 180

### 181 **3.3 Previous glacier inventories**

Outlines from previous national glacier inventories were used to guide the delineation. They have been mostly compiled from aerial photography with a spatial resolution better than 1 m and should thus provide the highest possible quality. This allowed considering very small and otherwise unnoticed glaciers and helped to identify glacier zones that are debris covered. The substantial glacier retreat that took place between the two inventories was well visible in most cases and did not hamper the interpretation. However, a larger number of mostly very small glaciers were either not mapped in 2003 and have now been added or they were smaller in 2003 and have now larger extents. A large issue with

Figure 2

respect to additional work load is the compilation of ice divides. They can be derived semiautomatically from watershed analysis of a DEM using a range of methods (e.g. Kienholz et al. 2013), but in general many manual corrections have still to be applied. To have consistency with previous national inventories, we decided to use the drainage divides from these inventories to separate glacier complexes into entities. However, due to the locally poor geolocation of S2 scenes in steep terrain (Kääb et al. 2016, Stumpf et al. 2018) some ice divides of the former inventories overlapped with glacier extents (by up to 50 m) and were manually adjusted.

196

# 197 **4. Methods**

### 198 **4.1 Mapping of clean ice in all regions**

199 Automated mapping of clean to slightly dirty glacier ice is straightforward using a red or NIR to 200 SWIR band ratio and a (manually selected) threshold (e.g. Paul et al. 2002). Also other methods such 201 as the normalised difference snow index (NDSI) work well (e.g. Racoviteanu et al. 2009) as both uti-202 lise the strong difference in reflectance from the VNIR to the SWIR for snow and ice (e.g. Dozier 203 1989). As the latter are bright in the VNIR bands (high reflectance) but very dark (low reflectance) in 204 the SWIR, dividing a VNIR band by a SWIR band gives high values over glacier ice and snow and 205 very low ones over all other terrain as this is often much brighter in the SWIR than the VNIR. The 206 manual selection of a threshold for each scene (or S2 tile) has the advantage to include a regional ad-207 justment of the threshold to local atmospheric conditions. We followed the recommendation to select 208 the threshold in a way that good mapping results in regions with shadow are achieved. By lowering the 209 threshold, more and more rock in shadow is included, creating a noisy result. It has been shown by 210 Paul et al. (2016) that glacier mapping with S2 (using a red / SWIR ratio) requires an additional 211 threshold in the blue band to remove misclassified rock in shadow (that can have the same ratio value 212 as ice in shadow but is darker in the blue band). Hence, for this inventory glaciers have been first au-213 tomatically identified following the equation:

- 214
- 215

$$(red / SWIR) > th_1$$
 and blue  $> th_2$ 

216

217 with the empirically derived thresholds  $th_1$  and  $th_2$ . As mentioned above, the SWIR band was bilinear-218 ly resampled from 20 to 10 m spatial resolution before computing the ratio. No filter for image 219 smoothing was applied to retain fine spatial details, such as rock outcrops. Figure 3 shows for a test 220 site in the Mt. Blanc region (Leschaux Glacier) the impact of the threshold selection. Figure 3a depicts 221 the (contrast stretched) red / SWIR ratio image, Fig. 3b the impact of  $th_1$  on the mapped area, Fig. 3c 222 the impact of  $th_2$ , and Fig. 3d the resulting outlines after raster-vector conversion. As can be seen in 223 Fig. 3b, there is very little impact on the mapped glacier area when increasing  $th_1$  in steps of 0.2. For 224 this region we used 3.0 as  $th_1$  resulting in the blue and yellow areas as the mapped glacier. Wrongly 225 mapped rock in shadow is then reduced back with  $th_2$  (Fig. 3c) that is selected by visual analysis and expert judgment. In this case a value of 860 was selected for  $th_2$  i.e. only the blue area in Fig. 3c is considered. This removed rock in shadow from the glacier mask for the region to the right of the white arrow but, on the other hand, correctly mapped ice in shadow is removed at the same time in the region above the green arrow (Figs. 3c and d). Hence, threshold selection is always a compromise as it is in general not possible to map everything correctly with one set of thresholds. In the resulting binary glacier maps the 'non-glacier' class is set to '*no data*' before they were converted to a shape file using

- raster-vector conversion. In the resulting shape file internal rocks are thus data voids.
- 233

241

242 243

All pre-processed scenes were provided in their original geometry for correction by the national experts. As shown in Fig. 3c, it was sometimes not possible to include dark bare ice and at the same time exclude bare rock in shadow. Such wrongly classified regions were corrected by the analysts together with data gaps for debris cover and clouds (omission errors), wrongly mapped water bodies (e.g. turbid lakes and rivers) and shadow regions (commission errors). By setting the minimum glaciers size to 0.01 km<sup>2</sup>, most of the often very small snow patches (i.e. <0.01 km<sup>2</sup>) were removed (cf. Leigh et al. 2019).

#### Figure 3

### **4.2 Corrections in the different countries**

245 **4.2.1** Austria

246 The satellite scenes for Austria were further pre-processed by G. Schwaizer (cf. Paul et al. 2016) to 247 remove water surfaces and improve classification of glacier ice in cast shadow, before manual correc-248 tions were applied. The latter work was mainly performed by one person (J. Nemec). Two previous 249 Austrian glacier inventories (Lambrecht and Kuhn 2007, Fischer et al. 2015) were used to support the 250 interpretation of small glaciers, debris covered glacier parts, and the boundary across common accu-251 mulation areas. Further, an internal independent quality control of the generated glacier outlines was 252 made by a second person (G. Schwaizer), using orthophotos (30 cm resolution) acquired in late Au-253 gust 2015 for most Austrian glaciers for overall accuracy checks and to assure the correct delineation 254 of debris covered glacier areas. In Fig. 4a we illustrate the strong glacier shrinkage from 1998 (yellow 255 lines) to 2016 (red) as well as the manual corrections applied, extending the bright filled areas of the 256 raw classification to the red extents.

257

#### 258 **4.2.2 France**

The raw glacier outlines from S2 were corrected by one person (A. Rabatel). The glacier outlines from the previous inventory by Gardent et al. (2014) were used for the interpretation, in particular in shadow regions and for glaciers under debris cover. It is noteworthy that the previous inventory was made on the basis of aerial photographs (2006-2009) with field campaigns for the debris-covered glacier tongues to clarify the outline delineation. As a consequence, this previous inventory constitutes a highly valuable reference. In addition, because even on debris-covered glaciers the changes between 2006265 09 and 2015 are visible (Fig. 4b), Pléiades images from 2015-2016 acquired within the KALIDEOS-

Alpes / CNES program were use as a guideline, mostly for the heavily debris-covered glacier tongues.

267

#### 268 **4.2.3** Italy

269 As mentioned above, clouds covered the southern Alpine sector on the S2 scenes from August 2015. 270 Hence, most of the inventory was compiled based on images from 2016 and three scenes from 2017 271 (see Table 1) were used to map glaciers under clouds or with adverse mapping conditions, i.e. exces-272 sive snow cover or shadows in the other scenes. Images acquired in August 2016 had little residual 273 seasonal snow and a high solar elevation at the time of acquisition, which minimised shadow areas 274 creating very good mapping conditions. In September 2016 and October 2017, more snow was present 275 on high mountain cirques and glacier tongues, but comparatively few snow patches were found out-276 side glaciers. However, the lower solar elevation compared to August caused a few north-facing glaci-277 ers and glacier accumulation areas to be under shadows. The raw glacier outlines from S2 were cor-278 rected by two analysts (D. Fugazza, R.S. Azzoni). The outlines were separated into regions based on 279 the administrative division of Italy, following the previous Italian glacier inventory (Smiraglia et al. 280 2015).

281

282 Seasonal snow and rocks in shadow that were wrongly identified as clean ice were manually deleted 283 by the analysts, as well as lakes and large rivers. In shadow regions, and for glaciers with large debris 284 cover, the outlines from the previous Italian inventory by Smiraglia et al. (2015) were particularly val-285 uable as a guide. Where some small glaciers were entirely under shadows, the outlines from the previ-286 ous inventory were copied without changes, while in case of partial shadow coverage they were edited 287 in their visible portions. Due to the comparably small area changes of such glaciers over time, the 288 former outlines are likely more precise than a new digitization under such conditions (cf. Fischer et al. 289 2014).

290

291 Glaciers in the Orobie Alps (ID 12 in Fig. 1), Dolomites and Julian Alps (ID 18) posed significant 292 challenges for glacier mapping. The three regions host very small niche glaciers and glacierets: in the 293 Orobie and Julian Alps, their survival is granted by abundant snow-falls, northerly aspect and accumu-294 lation from avalanches, with debris cover also playing an important role. In the Dolomites, debris cov-295 er is often complete (Smiraglia and Diolaiuti 2015), while the steep rock walls provide shadow and 296 further complicate mapping. For glaciers in the Orobie Alps, an aerial orthophoto acquired by Regione 297 Lombardia (geoportale.regione.lombardia.it) in 2015 was used to aid the interpretation in view of its 298 finer spatial resolution (e.g. Fischer et al. 2014, Leigh et al. 2019), although the image also shows evi-299 dence of seasonal snow. Here, manual delineation of the glacier outlines was required as the band ratio 300 approach could only detect small snow patches (see Fig. 4c). In the other two regions, outlines from 301 the previous inventory, derived from aerial orthophotos acquired in 2011, were copied and only cor-302 rected where evidence of glacier retreat was found. Whereas the uncertainty in the outlines of the latter 303 glaciers can be large (some of them are marked as 'extinct' in the first Italian inventory from 1959 to 304 1962), the combined glacier area from the three regions is just above 1% (1.35 km<sup>2</sup>) of the total area of 305 Italian glaciers. For several of these very small, partly hidden entities one can certainly discuss if they 306 should be kept at all. In this inventory, they have been included for consistency with the last national 307 inventory.

308

#### 309 4.2.4 Switzerland

310 The raw glacier outlines from S2 were corrected by three persons (R. LeBris, F. Paul, P. Rastner) each 311 of them being responsible for a different main region (south of Rhone, north of Rhone/Rhine, south of 312 Rhine). The glacier outlines from the previous inventory by Fischer et al. (2014) were highly valuable 313 for the interpretation, in particular in shadow regions and for glaciers under debris cover. In the hot 314 summer of 2015 most seasonal snow had disappeared by the end of August so that mapping conditions 315 with a comparably high solar elevation (limited regions in shadow) were very good. Some glaciers that 316 could not be identified in the (contrast-stretched) S2 images were either copied from the previous in-317 ventory (if located in shadow) or assumed to have disappeared (if sun-lit). Wrongly mapped (turbid) 318 lakes and rivers (Rhone, Aare) were manually removed.

319

320 In a few cases (mostly debris-covered glaciers) we had to deviate from the interpretation of the previ-321 ous inventories. As shown in Fig. 4d, very high-resolution satellite imagery or aerial photography (as 322 available in Google Earth or from map servers) do not always help for a 'correct' interpretation of 323 glacier extents, as the rules applied for identification of ice under debris cover might differ (see Figs. 324 S1, S2 and S3 in the Supplement). In this case it seems that the debris-covered region was not correct-325 ed in the 2003 and 2008 inventories, but is now included (one can still discuss the boundaries). The 326 interpreted glacier area has thus strongly grown since 2003 due to the better visibility of debris cover 327 with S2.

328 329

330

### Figure 4

#### **4.3. Drainage divides and topographic information**

332 Drainage divides between glaciers were copied from previous national inventories but were locally 333 adjusted along national boundaries. In part this was required because different DEMs had been used in 334 each country to determine the location of the divide. Additionally, some glaciers are divided by na-335 tional boundaries rather than flow divides. This can result in an arbitrary part of the glacier (e.g. its 336 accumulation zone) being located in one country and the other part (e.g. its ablation zone) in another 337 country. As this makes no sense from a glaciological (and hydrological) point of view, such glaciers 338 (e.g. Hochjochferner in the Ötztal Alps) have been corrected in a way that they belong to the country 339 where the terminus is located. There are thus a few inconsistencies in this inventory compared to the 340 national ones.

342 After digital intersection of glacier outlines with drainage divides, topographic information for each 343 glacier entity is calculated from both DEMs (ALOS and TDX) following Paul et al. (2009). The calcu-344 lation is fully automated and applies the concept of zone statistics introduced by Paul et al. (2002). 345 Each region with a common ID (this includes regenerated glaciers consisting of two polygons) is in-346 terpreted as a zone over which statistical information (e.g. minimum / maximum / mean elevation) is 347 derived from an underlying value grid (e.g. a DEM or a DEM-derived slope and aspect grid). Apart 348 from glacier area (in km<sup>2</sup>) all glaciers have information about mean, median, maximum and minimum 349 elevations, mean slope and aspect (both in degrees) and aspect sector (eight cardinal directions) using 350 letters and numbers (N=1, NE=2, etc.). Further information appended to each glacier in the attribute 351 table of the shape file is the satellite tile used, the acquisition date, the analyst and the funding source. 352 This information is applied automatically by digital intersection ('spatial join') to all glaciers from a 353 manually corrected scene footprint shape file (see Fig. 1). The various attributes have then been used 354 for displaying key characteristics of the datasets in bar graphs, scatter plots and maps (see Section 355 5.1).

356

#### 357 **4.4 Change assessment**

358 Glacier area changes have only been calculated with respect to the inventory from 2003, as the dates 359 for the previous national inventories were too diverse for a meaningful assessment (see Introduction). 360 To obtain consistent changes, only glaciers that are also mapped in the 2003 inventory are used for a 361 direct comparison (automatically selected via a 'point in polygon' check). However, after realising that 362 a glacier-specific comparison is not possible due to differences in interpretation (caused by the higher 363 resolution of S2 and the different national rules) and changes in topology (e.g. inclusion of tributaries 364 that were separated in 2003), we decided to only compare the total glacier area of the previous and 365 new inventory.

366

### 367 **4.5 Uncertainty assessment**

368 As several analysts have digitised the new inventory, we decided performing multiple digitising of a 369 pre-selected set of glaciers to determine internal variability in interpretation per participant and across 370 participants as a measure of the uncertainty of the generated dataset. For this purpose, all participants 371 used the same raw outlines from S2 tile 32TLR to manually correct 14 glaciers (sizes from 0.1 to 10 372 km<sup>2</sup>) to the south of Lac des Dix around Mt. Blanc de Cheilon (3870 m a.s.l.) for debris cover. All 373 glaciers were digitised 4 times by 5 participants giving a nominal total of 280 outlines for comparison. 374 Results were analysed using an overlay of outlines to identify the general deviations in interpretation 375 and through a glacier-by-glacier comparison of glacier sizes. For the latter all datasets were intersected 376 with the same drainage divides and glacier-specific areas were calculated. For each glacier and the 377 entire region, mean area values and standard deviations are calculated per glacier, per participant and 378 for the total sample. The participants were asked to only use the S2 image and the 2003 outlines as a 379 guide for interpretation in the first two digitisation rounds and consider interpretation of very high380 resolution imagery as provided by Google Earth for the second two rounds. At a minimum, one day 381 should have passed between each digitisation round and it was not allowed to show any of the former

- 382 outlines. On average, each digitisation round took about 2 hours.
- 383

384 Additionally, we applied the buffer method (e.g. Paul et al. 2017) to obtain a statistical uncertainty 385 value for the entire sample. This method gives a minimum and maximum area and was used to deter-386 mine a relative area difference. This value multiplied by 0.68 gives the standard deviation (assuming 387 normally distributed deviations from the correct outline) that is used as a further measure of area un-388 certainty (Paul et al. 2017). The selected buffer is based on an earlier multiple digitising experiment 389 for a couple of glaciers (Paul et al. 2013) showing that the variability in the positioning is within one 390 pixel (or about  $\pm 10$  m in the current case) to both sides of the 'true' vector line. Strictly, a larger buffer 391 should be used for the debris-covered glacier parts, as their uncertainty is higher. However, we have 392 not implemented this here, as the related calculations are computationally expensive (cf. Mölg et al. 393 2018) and would still not reflect the real problem in debris identification as shown in Fig. 4d. Instead, 394 we additionally applied a ±2 pixels buffer to all glaciers. For the majority of the debris-covered glaci-395 ers (i.e. those where debris can at least be identified) this gives an upper bound value of the uncertain-396 ty. Depending on the degree of debris cover along the perimeter, the uncertainty is between the two 397 values derived from the two buffers.

398

# 399 **5. Results**

### 400 **5.1 The new glacier inventory**

In total, we identified 4395 glaciers larger than  $0.01 \text{ km}^2$  covering a total area of 1805.9 km<sup>2</sup>, of which 401 402 361.5 km<sup>2</sup> (20%) is found in Austria and 227.1 (12.6%), 325.3 (18%), and 892.1 km<sup>2</sup> (49.4%) in 403 France, Italy, and Switzerland, respectively. The size class distribution by area and count is depicted in 404 Fig. 5a and also listed in Table 2. In total, 62.5% (92%) of all glaciers are smaller than 0.1 km<sup>2</sup> (1.0  $km^2$ ) covering 5.5% (28%) of the glacierised area, whereas 1.6% are larger than 5  $km^2$  and cover 40%. 405 Thereby, glaciers in the size class 1 to 5  $\text{km}^2$  alone cover one third (31.5%) of the area but only 6.4% 406 407 of the total number. This biased size class distribution is typical for alpine glaciers where a few large 408 glaciers are surrounded by numerous much smaller ones. The distribution of glacier number and area 409 by aspect sector displayed in Fig. 5b shows the dominance, both in number and coverage area, of nor-410 therly exposed glaciers compared to all other sectors. About 60% of all glaciers (covering 60% of the 411 area) are exposed to the NW, N, or NE whereas only 21% of all glaciers are found in the sectors SE, S, 412 and SW. This distribution of glacier aspects is typical for regions where radiation plays a larger role in 413 glacier existence compared to factors such as precipitation (Evans and Cox, 2005). The larger area 414 coverage for glaciers facing SE is mostly due to the large Aletsch and Fiescher glaciers.

- 415
- 416
- 417

Figure 5, Table 2

418 A plot of glacier surface area vs. minimum and maximum elevations (Fig. 6a) reveals that glaciers 419 smaller than 1 km<sup>2</sup> cover nearly the full range of possible elevations, indicating that their mean eleva-420 tion is also impacted by factors other than climate (i.e. they can also exist at low elevations when they 421 are located in a well protected environment). Glaciers larger than  $1 \text{ km}^2$  on the other hand have clearly 422 distinguished maximum and minimum elevations, *i.e.* they arrange around a climatically driven mean 423 elevation which is around 3000 m a.s.l. Plotting glacier area vs. elevation range (Fig. 6b) shows that 424 the largest glaciers are not those with the highest elevation range (the maximum of 3140 m is for Glac-425 ier des Bossons in the Mont-Blanc massif with a size of 10 km<sup>2</sup>) and that for the majority of glaciers 426 the elevation range increases with glacier size. This is typical for regions dominated by mountain and valley glaciers as these follow the given topography. The ca. 7 km<sup>2</sup> large Plaine Morte Glacier is a 427 428 plateau glacier with an elevation range of only 350 m and represents an exception from the rule that 429 larger glaciers have generally a larger elevation range.

#### Figure 6

433 The median elevation of a glacier is largely driven by temperature, precipitation and radiation receipt 434 (that depends on topography). As temperature is rather similar at the same elevation over large regions 435 (e.g. Zemp et al. 2007) and topography (aspect / shading) has a strong local impact on radiation re-436 ceipt, the large-scale variability of median (or mean) elevation of a glacier has a high correlation with 437 precipitation (e.g. Ohmura et al. 1992, Oerlemans 2005, Rastner et al. 2012, Sakai et al. 2015). The 438 spatial distribution of glacier median elevations in the Alps (Fig. 7) thus also reflects the general pat-439 tern of annual precipitation amounts (e.g. Frei et al. 2003). When focusing on glaciers larger than 0.5 km<sup>2</sup> (that are less impacted by local topographic conditions), clearly lower median elevations (around 440 441 2400 m a.s.l.) are found for glaciers along the northern margin of the Alps and major mountain passes 442 than in the inner Alpine valleys (around 3700 m a.s.l.) that are well shielded from precipitation. On top 443 of this variability comes the variability due to a different aspect (Fig. 7, inset): On average, glaciers 444 that are exposed to the south have median elevations that are about 250 m higher (mean 3125 m a.s.l.) 445 than north-facing glaciers (mean 2875 m a.s.l.). However, the scatter is high and for each aspect the 446 elevation variability is about 1500 m.

447

430 431

432

448 449

#### Figure 7

The graph in Fig. 8 shows the hypsometry of glacier area in the four countries and for the total area in relative terms. On average, the highest area share is found around the mean elevation of 3000 m a.s.l. By referring for each country to the total area as 100%, differences among them can be seen. Most notable is the smaller elevation range and larger peak of glaciers in Austria, the broader vertical distribution in Switzerland (with the lowest peak value), and the slightly higher peak of the distribution in Italy (at 3100 m a.s.l). The hypsometry of glaciers in France is closest to the curve for the entire Alps.

456 457

#### Figure 8

### 459 **5.2 Area changes**

460 For a selection of 2873 comparable polygon entities present in both inventories, total glacier area shrunk from 2060 km<sup>2</sup> in 2003 to 1783 km<sup>2</sup> in 2015/16 or by -13.2% (-1.1%/a). Considering the as-461 sumed missing area in the 2003 inventory of about 40 km<sup>2</sup> (glaciers with area gain are 29.4 km<sup>2</sup> larger 462 463 in 2015/16 than in 2003), a more realistic area loss is -15% or -1.3%/a. This is about the same pace as 464 reported earlier by Paul et al. (2004) for the Swiss Alps from 1985 to 1998/99 (-1.4%/a). An example 465 of the strong glacier shrinkage in Austria is depicted in Fig. 9. Closer inspection of this image also 466 reveals a small shift (about up to 50 m to the SE) of the S2 scenes compared to the earlier Landsat TM 467 scenes.

#### 468 469

470

458

#### Figure 9

The comparison of glacier outlines in Fig. 10 illustrate for the region around Sonnblickkees in Austria 471 472 why we do not provide a scatterplot of relative area changes vs. glacier size or country specific area 473 change values (cf. also Fig. 4d for Gavirolas Glacier in Switzerland). Due to the different interpreta-474 tions in the new inventory, 125 mostly very small glaciers are 100% to 630% larger than in 2003 and a large number (557) is 0% to 100% larger. For example, the 4 km<sup>2</sup> Suldenferner has increased in size 475 476 by 550% as a small tributary (that holds the ID for the glacier) was disconnected in 2003 but is now 477 connected to the entire glacier. Although such cases can be manually adjusted, it would not solve the 478 general problem of the different interpretation when using data sources with differing spatial resolu-479 tion (cf. Fischer et al. 2014, Leigh et al. 2019). For example, the glacier in Fig. 4d has increased its 480 size from 2003 to 2015 by 56% due to the new interpretation. On the other hand, Careser glacier, 481 which fragmented in six ice bodies from 2003 to 2015, lost 55% of its area when summing up all parts 482 as opposed to 63% when considering the largest glacier only. In consequence, the possible area reduc-483 tion due to melting is partly compensated by the more generous interpretation of glacier extents and 484 thus with a limited meaning on the basis of individual glaciers. Overall, glacier extents in the 2015/16 485 inventory might be somewhat larger than in reality due to the inclusion of seasonal/perennial snow in 486 some regions. The -15% area loss mentioned above can thus be seen as a lower bound estimate.

- 487
- 488 489

#### Figure 10

- 490 **5.3 Uncertainties**
- 491 **5.3.1 Glacier outlines**

The multiple digitising experiment revealed several interesting albeit well-known results. Overall, the area uncertainty (one standard deviation, STD) is 3.3% across all participants for the total of the digitised area (Table 3). As two glaciers (11 and 13) were not mapped by one participant, the missing values are replaced with the mean value from the other participants. Across all glaciers but for individual participants the uncertainty (comparing the values from the four digitisation rounds) is considerably lower (1% to 2.7%), indicating that the digitising is more consistent when performed by the same per498 son. The area values of participant 1 (P1) are systematically higher than for the other participants, 499 about 6% for the total area. A detailed analysis (close-ups and only showing individual datasets) of the 500 digitised outlines (Fig. 11) revealed that the differences are mostly due to the more generous inclusion 501 of debris-covered glacier ice for two of the larger glaciers (Nr. 1 and 5). When excluding P1, the STD 502 across the other participants is three times smaller (1.1%). The uncertainty also slightly depends on 503 glacier size, showing values between 1% and 6% for glaciers larger than 1 km<sup>2</sup> and between 2% and 504 20% for glacier  $<1 \text{ km}^2$ . The smallest glacier in the sample is smaller than 0.1 km<sup>2</sup> and shows variations in STD between 8% and 44%, in the latter case also due to a reinterpretation of its extent when 505 506 using very high-resolution imagery. For such small glaciers related changes can thus result in consid-507 erably different extents.

#### Table 3, Figure 11

511 Moreover, for P1 and most of the other participants the digitised glacier extents increased by several 512 per cent after consultation of very high resolution satellite images as available in Google Earth and 513 from the swisstopo map server (Supplement, Fig. S1). The generally very flat and debris-covered re-514 gions were barely visible on the S2 images and have been digitised differently in each of the four 515 rounds. Hence, the possibility for a re-interpretation of the outlines within the same experiment result-516 ed in higher standard deviations. If such regions have to be included in a glacier inventory or not can 517 be discussed, as the transition to ice-cored medial or lateral moraines is often gradual and including 518 these features in a glacier inventory or not is a (personal) methodological decision. The Figs. S2 and 519 S3 in the Supplement provide examples of the difficulties in interpreting such regions. Even at this 520 high spatial resolution the exact boundary of the two glaciers is not fully clear so that a large interpre-521 tation spread can be expected at lower resolution. However, in general it seems that the area of glaci-522 ers with debris-covered margins is still slightly underestimated at 10 m resolution. This confirms earli-523 er recommendations to double-check all digitised glacier extents with such very high-resolution sen-524 sors, at least for the difficult cases (e.g. Fischer et al. 2014).

525

508 509

510

526 The uncertainty (one STD) obtained with the buffer method is  $\pm 5\%$  (10%) when using a 10 m (20 m) 527 buffer. Considering that the former buffer might be a realistic uncertainty bound for clean ice and the 528 latter for debris-covered ice, the 'true' uncertainty value would be between 5 and 10% and for individ-529 ual glaciers largely depend on the difficulties in identifying ice under debris. This is in line with the 530 uncertainties derived from the multiple digitising and numerous previous studies.

531

#### 532 **5.3.2** Topographic information

533 The comparison of topographic parameters (minimum, maximum and mean elevation, mean slope and 534 aspect) revealed larger differences when derived from either the TDX or AW3D30 DEM, in particular 535 towards smaller glaciers. These are more likely to be impacted by artifacts as they share a larger per-536 centage of their total area (Fig. 2). Differences in mean slope and aspect are generally small but in537 crease towards larger slope values for the former. This is in agreement with the general observations

538 that DEM quality is reduced at steep slopes. Minimum elevation is slightly higher in the TDX DEM,

539 which can be explained by glacier retreat between the acquisition dates (around 2009 for AW3D30 vs.

540 around 2013 for TDX). However, a clearly lower mean elevation due an overall surface lowering of

- 541 the glaciers could not be observed, indicating that the differences are in the uncertainty range. Apart
- 542 from artefacts, the uncorrected radar penetration of the TDX DEM into snow and firn might play a
  - 543 role here as well.
  - 544

# 545 6. Discussion

546 The derived size class distribution (Fig. 5) and topographic information are typical for glaciers in mid-547 latitude mountain ranges with numerous smaller glaciers surrounding a few larger ones (e.g. Pfeffer et 548 al. 2014). Only 349 out of 4395 glaciers (8%) are larger than 1 km<sup>2</sup> and nearly one half (46%) is 549 smaller than 0.05 km<sup>2</sup> covering 2.7% of the area. It might be well possible that many of the latter are 550 no longer glaciers but just perennial snow and firn patches. However, for consistency with earlier na-551 tional glacier inventories they have been included. Mean elevation values do not depend on size for 552 such 'glaciers', indicating that they can survive at different elevations and precipitation amounts have 553 a limited impact on their occurrence (e.g. if fed by avalanche snow). If they are well protected from 554 solar radiation (e.g. by shadow or debris cover) such glaciers might persist for some time despite in-555 creasing air temperatures. Glacier mean elevation does not depend on glacier size but on glacier loca-556 tion with respect to precipitation sources, in particular for larger glaciers (Fig. 7). On top of this de-557 pendence is the variability with mean aspect (Fig. 7, inset).

558

559 Widespread glacier thinning over the past decades and steep terrain resulted lately in interrupted pro-560 files for several larger valley glaciers. Their lower parts are now no longer nourished by ice from 561 above. These separated parts can thus not be named 'regenerated glaciers' but they melt away as dead 562 ice. Strictly speaking, such lower dead ice bodies (that can persist due to debris cover for a very long 563 time) should be excluded from a glacier inventory (Raup and Khalsa 2007). However, for consistency 564 with former inventories and their contribution to run-off we included them here and used the same ID 565 for both parts to obtain topographic information for the combined extent. Calculating this instead for 566 the individual parts would result in related outliers and a more difficult analysis of trends. At best, 567 such separated parts are identified with a flag in the attribute table, for example as a further extension 568 to the 'Form' attribute (e.g. '4: Separated glacier part') used in the RGI (RGI consortium 2017). How-569 ever, the differentiation from a regenerated glacier might sometimes be difficult.

570

571 Due to the differences in interpretation (Fig. 10) we have not compared the 2003 extents of individual 572 glaciers directly with those from the new inventory but only the total area of glaciers observed in both 573 inventories. Considering the underestimated glacier area in 2003 (e.g. due to missing debris cover) and 574 possibly overestimated sizes in 2015 (e.g. due to included snow) the pace of shrinkage (-1.3% / a) has 575 not changed compared to the earlier mid-1980s to 2003 period. This indicates that most glaciers have 576 not yet reached a geometry that is compliant with current climate conditions and will thus continue 577 shrinking in the future. This becomes also clear from the snow cover remaining near the end of the 578 ablation period on the glaciers, covering barely 20% to 30% of the area (e.g. Figs. 9 and 11). Assum-579 ing a required 60% coverage of their accumulation area, glaciers in the Alps have to lose another 50% 580 to 70% of their area to reach again balanced mass budgets (Carturan et al. 2013). There are other re-581 gions in the world with similar high (or even higher) area loss rates such as the tropical Andes (e.g. 582 Rabatel et al. 2013), but to a large extent this is also due to the smaller glaciers in this region. A realis-583 tic comparison across regions would only be possible when change rates of identical size classes are 584 compared.

585

The multiple digitising experiment (Fig. 11) revealed a large variability in the interpretation of debriscovered glaciers among the analysts but high consistency in the corrections where boundaries are well visible. Related area uncertainties can be high for very small glaciers (>20%) but are generally <5%. The here derived area reduction of about -15% since 2003 is thus significant, but for small and/or debris-covered glaciers the area uncertainty can be similar to the change, making it less reliable. However, this strongly depends on the specific glacier characteristics and cannot be generalized to all small

- 592 glaciers.
- 593

The gradual disappearance of ice under debris cover and the separation of low-lying glacier tongues on steep slopes are major problems for any glacier inventory created these days. We decided to reconnect disconnected glacier parts by their ID (to *multi-part polygons*) for consistency with earlier inventories. However, keeping them separated is another possibility, given that possible dead ice is clearly marked in the attribute table.

599

# 600 7. Conclusions

601 We presented the results of a new glacier inventory for the entire Alps derived from Sentinel-2 images of 2015 and 2016. In total, 4395 glaciers >0.01 km<sup>2</sup> covering an area of 1806  $\pm 60$  km<sup>2</sup> are mapped. 602 603 This is a reduction of about 300 km<sup>2</sup> or -15% (-1.3%/a) compared to the previous Alpine-wide inven-604 tory from 2003. The pace of glacier shrinkage in the Alps remained about the same since the mid-605 1980's, indicating that glaciers will continue to shrink under current climatic conditions. Due to the 606 differences in interpretation, we have not performed a glacier-by-glacier comparison of area changes. 607 The on-going glacier decline also results in increasingly difficult glacier identification (under debris 608 cover) and topologic challenges for a database (when glaciers split). The former is confirmed by the 609 results of the uncertainty assessment, showing a large variability in the interpretation of glacier extents 610 when conditions are challenging. Despite the additional workload, we think this is the best way to pro611 vide an uncertainty value for such a highly corrected and merged dataset. In any case, the outlines 612 from the new inventory should be more accurate than for 2003, as we here used the previous, high-613 quality national inventories as a guide for interpretation, performed corrections by the respective ex-614 perts, and worked with the higher resolution of Sentinel-2 data that helped in identifying important 615 spatial details.

616

617 The clean-ice mapping with the band ratio method is straightforward, but requires well-thought deci-618 sions on the two thresholds as they will always be a compromise. They should be tested in regions 619 with ice in cast shadow and selected in a way that the workload for manual corrections is minimised. 620 If a precise DEM is available, the required corrections of wrongly mapped ice in shadow can be re-621 duced as the further pre-processing for glaciers in Austria revealed. However, reduced DEM quality 622 and illumination differences can limit the benefits of a topographic normalisation of the images. Due 623 to the artefacts in the first version of the TanDEM-X DEM, we used the ALOS AW3D30 DEM to de-624 rive topographic information for each glacier despite the less good temporal agreement. To conclude, 625 we had datasets with a much higher spatial resolution available for this inventory compared to the 626 2003 dataset, but for several reasons (e.g. debris cover, clouds, seasonal snow) the creation of glacier 627 inventories from satellite data and a DEM remains a challenging task with high workload and expert 628 knowledge required.

629

# 630 8. Data availability

631 The dataset can be downloaded from: <u>https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.909133</u> (Paul et al.,
632 2019).

633

#### 634 Author contributions

FP designed the study, prepared raw glacier outlines, performed various calculations and wrote the draft manuscript. PR performed most of the GIS-based calculations and the editing that was required to obtain a complete dataset and change assessment (e.g. DEM mosaicking, dataset merging, drainage divides, topographic attributes, satellite footprints). All authors processed, corrected and checked the created glacier outlines in their country and contributed to the contents and editing of the manuscript. FP, DF, JN, AR, and PR performed the multiple digitising of glacier outlines for uncertainty assessment.

642

### 643 **Competing interest**

644 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

645

### 646 Acknowledgements

647 This study has been performed in the framework of the project Glaciers\_cci (4000109873/14/I-NB)

648 and the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) that is funded by the European Union and imple-649 mented by ECMWF. R.S. Azzoni and D.Fugazza were funded by DARA - Department for regional 650 affairs and autonomies of the Italian presidency of the council of Ministers (funding code 651 COLL\_MIN15GDIOL\_M) and Levissima Sanpellegrino S.P.A., (funding code LIB\_VT17GDIOL). 652 For the French Alps contribution, A. Rabatel and M. Ramusovic acknowledge the Service National 653 d'Observation GLACIOCLIM (Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, IPEV, https://glacioclim.osug.fr/), 654 the LabEx OSUG@2020 (Investissements d'avenir - ANR10 LABX56), the EquipEx GEOSUD (In-655 vestissements d'avenir - ANR-10-EQPX-20), the CNES / Kalideos Alpes and CNES / SPOT-Image 656 ISIS program #2011-513 for providing the Pléiades images and SPOTDEM from 2011, and J.P. 657 Dedieu for its involvement in the glaciological inventories of the French Alps during past decades. For 658 the Austrian Alps, G. Schwaizer and J. Nemec acknowledge funding from the Environmental Earth 659 Observation (ENVEO) IT GmbH and the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) within the 660 ASAP9-SenSAP project (3574408). The AW3D30 DEM is provided by the Japan Aerospace Explora-661 tion Agency (http://www.eorc. jaxa.jp/ALOS/en/aw3d30/index.htm) ©JAXA. Figures 3, 4, 9, 10, and 662 11 contain modified Copernicus Sentinel data (2015, 2016). The editor thanks Andrea Fischer and 663 Sam Herreid for constructive and meaningful reviews. We would also like to thank them for their con-664 structive comments that helped in improving the clarity of the paper.

# 666 **References**

- Auer, I., Böhm, R., Jurkovic, A., Lipa, W., Orlik, A., Potzmann, R., Schöner, W., Ungersböck, M.,
  Matulla, C., Briffa, K., Jones, P.D., Efthymiadis, D., Brunetti, M., Nanni, T., Maugeri, M., Mercalli, L., Mestre, O., Moisselin, J.-M., Begert, M., Müller-Westermeier, G., Kveton, V., Bochnicek,
- 670 O., Stastny, P., Lapin, M., Szalai, S., Szentimrey, T., Cegnar, T., Dolinar, M., Gajic-Capka, M.,
- 671 Zaninovic, K., Majstorovic, Z. and Nieplova, E.: HISTALP historical instrumental climatologi-
- 672 cal surface time series of the greater Alpine region 1760-2003, International Journal of Climatolo-673 gy, 27, 17-46, 2007.
- Beniston, M., Diaz, H.F., and Bradley, R.S.: Climatic change at high elevation sites: A review, Climatic Change, 36, 233-251, 1997.
- Böhm, R., Auer, I., Brunetti, M., Maugeri, M., Nanni, T., and Schöner, W.: Regional temperature variability in the European Alps 1760–1998 from homogenized instrumental time series, International, Journal of Climatology, 21, 1779-1801, 2001.
- 679 Carturan, L., Filippi, R., Seppi, R., Gabrielli, P., Notarnicola, C., Bertoldi, L., Paul, F., Rastner, P., Ca680 zorzi, F., Dinale, R., and Dalla Fontana, G.: Area and volume loss of the glaciers in the Ortles681 Cevedale group (Eastern Italian Alps): Controls and imbalance of the remaining glaciers, The
  682 Cryosphere, 7, 1339-1359, 2013.
- Casty, C., Wanner, H., Luterbacher, J., Esper, J., and Böhm, R.: Temperature and precipitation variability in the European Alps since 1500, International Journal of Climatology, 25 (14), 1855-1880,
  2005.
- 686 Dozier, J.: Spectral signature of alpine snow cover from Landsat 5 TM, Remote Sensing of Environ 687 ment, 28, 9-22, 1989.
- Evans, I.S., and Cox, N.J.: Global variations of local asymmetry in glacier altitude: Separation of
  north-south and east- west components, Journal of Glaciology, 51 (174), 469-482, 2005.
- Fischer, M., Huss, M., Barboux, C., and Hoelzle, M.: The new Swiss Glacier Inventory SGI2010: Relevance of using high-resolution source data in areas dominated by very small glaciers, Arctic,
  Antarctic and Alpine Research, 46(4), 933-945, 2014.
- Fischer, A., Seiser, B., Stocker-Waldhuber, M., Mitterer, C., and Abermann, J.: Tracing glacier changes in Austria from the Little Ice Age to the present using a lidar-based high-resolution glacier inventory in Austria, The Cryosphere, 9, 753-766, 2015.
- Frei, C.: Interpolation of temperature in a mountainous region using nonlinear profiles and nonEuclidean distances, International Journal of Climatology, 34, 1585-1605, 2014.
- Frei, C., Christensen, J.H., Déqué, M., Jacob, D., Jones, R.G., and Vidale, P.L.: Daily precipitation
  statistics in regional climate models: Evaluation and intercomparison for the European Alps, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108(D3), 4124, doi: 10.1029/2002JD002287, 2003.
- Gardent, M., Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J.-P., and Deline, P.: Multitemporal glacier inventory of the French
   Alps from the late 1960s to the late 2000s, Global and Planetary Change, 120, 24-37, 2014.
- Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J. G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A. A., Wahr, J., Berthier, E., Hock,
  R., Pfeffer, W. T., Kaser, G., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Bolch, T., Sharp, M. J., Hagen, J. O., van den
  Broeke, M. R., and Paul, F.: A consensus estimate of glacier contributions to sea level rise: 2003
  to 2009, Science, 340 (6134), 852-857, 2013.
- Kääb, A., Winsvold, S.H., Altena, B., Nuth, C., Nagler, T., and Wuite, J.: Glacier remote sensing using Sentinel-2. Part I: Radiometric and geometric performance, and application to ice velocity,
  Remote Sensing, 8, 598, doi:10.3390/rs8070598, 2016.
- Kienholz, C., Hock, R., and Arendt, A.A.: A new semi-automatic approach for dividing glacier complexes into individual glaciers, Journal of Glaciology, 59 (217), 925-936, 2013.
- 712 Lambrecht, A., and Kuhn, M. (2007): Glacier changes in the Austrian Alps during the last three dec-

- ades, derived from the new Austrian glacier inventory, Annals of Glaciology 46, 177-184, 2007.
- Leigh, J.R., Stokes, C.R., Carr, R.J., Evans, I.S., Andreassen, L.M., and Evans, D.J.A.: Identifying and
   mapping very small (<0.5 km2) mountain glaciers on coarse to high-resolution imagery, Journal of</li>
   Glaciology, 65(254), 873-888, 2019.
- Marzeion, B., Champollion, N., Haeberli, W., Langley, K., Leclercq, P., and Paul, F.: Observation of
   glacier mass changes on the global scale and its contribution to sea level change, Surveys in Geo physics, 38 (1), 105-130, 2017.
- Mölg, N., Bolch, T., Rastner, P., Strozzi, T., and Paul, F.: A consistent glacier inventory for the Karakoram and Pamir region derived from Landsat data: Distribution of debris cover and mapping
  challenges, Earth Systems Science Data, 10, 1807-1827, 2018.
- Mölg, N., Bolch, T., Walter, A., and Vieli, A.: Unravelling the evolution of Zmuttgletscher and its debris cover since the end of the Little Ice Age, The Cryosphere, 13, 1889-1909, 2019.
- 725 Oerlemans, J.: Extracting a climate signal from 169 glacier records, Science, 308, 675- 677, 2005.
- Ohmura, A., Kasser, P., and Funk, M.: Climate at the equilibrium line of glaciers, Journal of Glaciolo gy, 38(130), 397-411, 1992.
- Paul, F., Kääb, A., Maisch, M., Kellenberger, T.W., and Haeberli, W.: The new remote-sensingderived Swiss glacier inventory: I. Methods, Annals of Glaciology, 34, 355-361, 2002.
- Paul, F., Kääb, A., Maisch, M., Kellenberger, T.W., and Haeberli, W.: Rapid disintegration of Alpine
  glaciers observed with satellite data, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L21402, doi:
  10.1029/2004GL020816, 2004.
- Paul, F., Barry, R., Cogley, J.G., Frey, H., Haeberli, W., Ohmura, A., Ommanney, C.S.L, Raup, B.,
  Rivera, A., and Zemp, M.: Recommendations for the compilation of glacier inventory data from
  digital sources, Annals of Glaciology, 50 (53), 119-126, 2009.
- Paul, F., Frey, H., and Le Bris, R.: A new glacier inventory for the European Alps from Landsat TM
  scenes of 2003: Challenges and results, Annals of Glaciology, 52 (59), 144-152, 2011.
- Paul, F., Barrand, N. E., Baumann, S., Berthier, E., Bolch, T., Casey, K., Frey, H., Joshi, S. P.,
  Konovalov, V., Le Bris, R., Mölg, N., Nosenko, G., Nuth, C., Pope, A., Racoviteanu, A., Rastner,
  P., Raup, B., Scharrer, K., Steffen, S., and Winsvold, S.H.: On the accuracy of glacier outlines derived from remote sensing data, Annals of Glaciology, 54 (63), 171-182, 2013.
- Paul, F., Winsvold, S.H., Kääb, A., Nagler, T., and Schwaizer, G.: Glacier remote sensing using Sentinel-2. Part II: Mapping glacier extents and surface facies, and comparison to Landsat 8. Remote
  Sensing, 8(7), 575; doi:10.3390/rs8070575, 2016.
- Paul, F., Bolch, T., Briggs, K., Kääb, A., McMillan, M., McNabb, R., Nagler, T., Nuth, C., Rastner, P.,
  Strozzi, T., and Wuite, J.: Error sources and guidelines for quality assessment of glacier area, elevation change, and velocity products derived from satellite data in the Glaciers\_cci project, Remote Sensing of Environment, 203, 256-275, 2017.
- Paul, F., Rastner, P., Azzoni, R.S., Diolaiuti, G., Fugazza, D., Le Bris, R., Nemec, J., Rabatel, A., Ramusovic, M., Schwaizer, G., and Smiraglia, C.: Glacier inventory for the Alps, online:
  https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.909133, 2019.
- Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A.A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J.-O., Hock,
  R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., Miles, E.S., Moholdt, G., Mölg, N., Paul, F., Radic<sup>'</sup>, V., Rastner, P.,
  Raup, B.H., Rich, J., Sharp, M.J., and the Randolph Consortium: The Randolph Glacier Inventory:
  A globally complete inventory of glaciers, Journal of Glaciology, 60 (221), 537-552, 2014.
- Rabatel, A., and 27 others: Current state of glaciers in the tropical Andes: a multi-century perspective
  on glacier evolution and climate change, The Cryosphere, 7, 81-102, 2013.
- Rabatel, A., Ceballos, J.L., Micheletti, N., Jordan, E., Braitmeier, M., Gonzales, J., Moelg, N., Ménégoz, M., Huggel, C., and Zemp, M.: Toward an imminent extinction of Colombian glaciers?,
  Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography, 100 (1), 75-95, 2018.

- Racoviteanu, A.E, Paul, F., Raup, B., Khalsa, S.J.S., and Armstrong, R.: Challenges in glacier mapping from space: Recommendations from the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space
  (GLIMS) initiative, Annals of Glaciology, 50 (53), 53-69, 2009.
- Rastner, P., Bolch, T., Mölg, N., Machguth, H., Le Bris, R., and Paul, F.: The first complete inventory
  of the local glaciers and ice caps on Greenland, The Cryosphere, 6, 1483-1495, 2012.
- Raup, B., and Khalsa, S.J.S.: GLIMS Analysis Tutorial, 15 pp. Online at:
  http://www.glims.org/MapsAndDocs/guides.html, 2007.
- Reid, P. C., Hari, R.E., Beaugrand, G., Livingstone, D.M., Marty, C., Straile, D., Barichivich, J., Goberville, E., Adrian, R., Aono, Y., Brown, R., Foster, J., Groisman, P., Hélaouët, P., Hsu, H., Kirby, R., Knight, J., Kraberg, A., Li, J., Lo, T., Myneni, R.B., North, R.P., Pounds, J.A., Sparks, T.,
  Stübi, R., Tian, Y., Wiltshire, K.H., Xiao, D., and Zhu, Z.: Global impacts of the 1980s regime
- 572 shift, Global Change Biology, 22(2), 682-703, 2016.
- RGI consortium: Randolph Glacier Inventory A Dataset of Global Glacier Outlines: Version 6.0,
   GLIMS Technical Report, 71 pp., online at: glims.org/RGI/00\_rgi60\_TechnicalNote.pdf, 2017.
- Sakai, A., Nuimura, T., Fujita, K., Takenaka, S., Nagai, H., and Lamsal, D. (2015): Climate regime of
   Asian glaciers revealed by GAMDAM glacier inventory, The Cryosphere, 9, 865-880.
- Smiraglia, C., Diolaiuti, G.A.: The new Italian glacier inventory, 1st ed., Ev-K2-CNR Publications,
   Bergamo, 2015.
- Smiraglia, P., Azzoni, R.S., D'Agata, C., Maragno, D., Fugazza, D., and Diolaiuti, G.A.: The evolution of the Italian glaciers from the previous data base to the new Italian inventory. Preliminary considerations and results, Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria 38, 79-87, 2015.
- Stumpf, A., Michéa, D., and Malet, J.-P.: Improved co-registration of Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 imagery for earth surface motion measurements, Remote Sensing, 10(2), 160, doi: 10.3390/rs10020160,
  2018.
- Takaku, J., Tadono, T., and Tsutsui, K.: Generation of high resolution global DSM from ALOS
  PRISM, ISPRS International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Vol. XL-4, 243-248, 2014.
- Vaughan, D. G., Comiso, J. C., Allison, I., Carrasco, J., Kaser, G., Kwok, R., Mote, P., Murray, T.,
  Paul, F., Ren, J., Rig- not, E., Solomina, O., Steffen, K., and Zhang, T.: Observations: Cryosphere,
  in: Climate Change 2013: Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth
  Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F.,
  Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and
  Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
  USA, 317-382, 2013.
- Wouters, B., Gardner, A.S., and Moholdt, G.: Global glacier mass loss during the GRACE satellite
  mission (2002-2016), Frontiers in Earth Science, 7 (96), doi: 10.3389/feart.2019.00096, 2019.
- Zemp, M., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: Distributed modelling of the regional climatic equilibrium
  line altitude of glaciers in the European Alps, Global and Planetary Change, 56, 83–100, 2007.
- Zemp, M., Paul, F., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: Alpine glacier fluctuations 1850-2000: An overview and spatio-temporal analysis of available data and its representativity. In: Orlove, B., Wiegandt, E. and Luckman, B. (eds.): Darkening Peaks: Glacier Retreat, Science, and Society, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 152-167, 2008.
- Zemp, M., Frey, H., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S.U., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F., Haeberli, W., Denzinger, F., Ahlstroem, A.P., Anderson, B., Bajracharya, S., Baroni, C., Braun, L.N., Caceres, B.E.,
  Casassa, G., Cobos, G., Davila, L.R., Delgado Granados, H., Demuth, M.N., Espizua, L., Fischer,
  A., Fujita, K., Gadek, B., Ghazanfar, A., Hagen, J.O., Holmlund, P., Karimi, N., Li, Z., Pelto, M.,
- 807 Pitte, P., Popovnin, V.V., Portocarrero, C.A., Prinz, R., Sangewar, C.V., Severskiy, I., Sigurdsson,
- 808 O., Soruco, A., Usubaliev, R., and Vincent, C.: Historically unprecedented global glacier changes

- in the early 21st century, Journal of Glaciology, 61 (228),745-762, 2015.
- 810 Zemp, M., Huss, M., Thibert, E., Eckert, N., McNabb, R., Huber, J., Barandun, M., Machguth, H.,
- 811 Nussbaumer, S.U., Gärtner-Roer, I., Thomson, L., Paul, F., Maussion, F., Kutuzov, S., and
- 812 Cogley, J.G.: Global glacier mass changes and their contributions to sea-level rise from 1961 to
- 813 2016, Nature, 568, 382-386, 2019.
- 814

# 815 **Tables**

												J, = = J			
Nr.	Tile	Date	С.		Nr.	Tile	Date	C.		Nr.	Tile	Date	С.		
1	32TMT	29 8 15	СН		11	32TNS	26 8 15	СН		21	31TGL	29 8 15	FR		
2	32TNT	29 8 15	СН		12	32TNS	29 9 16	IT		22	32TLR	29 8 15	FR		
3	32TNT	26 8 15	AT		13	32TNS	29 9 16	AT		23	32TLR	29 8 15	СН		
4	32TPT	26 8 15	AT		14	32TPS	26 8 15	AT		24	32TLR	29 8 15	IT		
5	32TQT	27 8 16	AT		15	32TPS	29 9 16	IT		25	32TLR	7 10 17	IT		
6	33TUN	27 8 16	AT		16	32TPT	26 9 16	IT		26	32TMR	7 10 17	IT		
7	32TLS	29 8 15	СН		17	32TQT	27 8 16	IT		27	31TGK	29 8 15	FR		
8	32TLS	29 8 15	FR		18	32TQS	7816	IT		28	32TLQ	23 8 16	IT		
9	32TMS	29 8 15	СН		19	32TQS	27 8 16	AT		29	32TLP	29 8 15	IT		
10	32TMS	23 8 16	IT		20	33TUM	2817	IT	-						
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1       32TMT         2       32TNT         3       32TNT         4       32TPT         5       32TQT         6       33TUN         7       32TLS         8       32TLS	1       32TMT       29815         2       32TNT       29815         3       32TNT       26815         4       32TPT       26815         5       32TQT       27816         6       33TUN       27816         7       32TLS       29815         8       32TLS       29815         9       32TMS       29815	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH       11         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH       12         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT       13         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT       14         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT       15         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT       16         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH       17         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR       18         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH       19	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH       11       32TNS         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH       12       32TNS         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT       13       32TNS         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT       14       32TPS         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT       15       32TPS         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT       16       32TPT         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH       17       32TQT         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR       18       32TQS         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH       19       32TQS	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH       11       32TNS       26 8 15         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH       12       32TNS       29 9 16         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT       13       32TNS       29 9 16         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT       13       32TNS       29 9 16         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT       14       32TPS       26 9 16         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT       15       32TPT       26 9 16         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH       17       32TQT       27 8 16         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR       18       32TQS       7 8 16         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH       19       32TQS       27 8 16	1       32TMT       29815       CH       11       32TNS       26815       CH         2       32TNT       29815       CH       12       32TNS       29916       IT         3       32TNT       26815       AT       13       32TNS       29916       AT         4       32TPT       26815       AT       14       32TPS       26815       AT         5       32TQT       27816       AT       15       32TPS       29916       IT         6       33TUN       27816       AT       16       32TPT       26916       IT         7       32TLS       29815       CH       17       32TQT       27816       IT         8       32TLS       29815       FR       18       32TQS       7816       IT         9       32TMS       29815       CH       19       32TQS       27816       AT	1       32TMT       29815       CH       11       32TNS       26815       CH         2       32TNT       29815       CH       12       32TNS       29916       IT         3       32TNT       26815       AT       13       32TNS       29916       AT         4       32TPT       26815       AT       14       32TPS       26815       AT         5       32TQT       27816       AT       15       32TPS       29916       IT         6       33TUN       27816       AT       16       32TPT       26916       IT         7       32TLS       29815       CH       17       32TQT       27816       IT         8       32TLS       29815       FR       18       32TQS       7816       IT         9       32TMS       29815       CH       19       32TQS       27816       AT	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH       11       32TNS       26 8 15       CH       21         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH       12       32TNS       29 9 16       IT       22         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT       13       32TNS       29 9 16       AT       23         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT       14       32TPS       29 9 16       AT       23         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT       14       32TPS       26 8 15       AT       24         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT       15       32TPS       29 9 16       IT       25         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT       16       32TPT       26 9 16       IT       26         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH       17       32TQT       27 8 16       IT       27         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR       18       32TQS       7 8 16       IT       28         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH       19       32TQS       27 8 16       AT       29	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH       11       32TNS       26 8 15       CH       21       31TGL         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH       12       32TNS       29 9 16       IT       22       32TLR         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT       13       32TNS       29 9 16       AT       23       32TLR         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT       14       32TPS       26 8 15       AT       24       32TLR         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT       15       32TPS       29 9 16       IT       25       32TLR         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT       16       32TPT       26 9 16       IT       26       32TMR         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH       17       32TQT       27 8 16       IT       27       31TGK         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR       18       32TQS       7 8 16       IT       28       32TLQ         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH       19       32TQS       27 8 16       AT       29       32TLP	1       32TMT       29 8 15       CH       11       32TNS       26 8 15       CH       21       31TGL       29 8 15         2       32TNT       29 8 15       CH       12       32TNS       29 9 16       IT       22       32TLR       29 8 15         3       32TNT       26 8 15       AT       13       32TNS       29 9 16       AT       23       32TLR       29 8 15         4       32TPT       26 8 15       AT       14       32TPS       26 8 15       AT       24       32TLR       29 8 15         5       32TQT       27 8 16       AT       14       32TPS       26 8 15       AT       24       32TLR       29 8 15         6       33TUN       27 8 16       AT       15       32TPT       26 9 16       IT       25       32TLR       7 10 17         7       32TLS       29 8 15       CH       17       32TQT       27 8 16       IT       27       31TGK       29 8 15         8       32TLS       29 8 15       FR       18       32TQS       7 8 16       IT       28       32TLQ       23 8 16         9       32TMS       29 8 15       CH       19 <td< td=""></td<>		

816 Table 1: Details about the Sentinel-2 tiles used to create the inventory, C.: Country.

817

818

819 Table 2: Glacier area and count per size class for the entire sample.

Size class						0.5-1	1-2	2-5	5-10	10-20	>20	All
[km²]	0.02	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.5							
Count	966	1060	723	533	520	244	177	103	48	16	5	4395
Count [%)	22.0	24.1	16.5	12.1	11.8	5.6	4.0	2.3	1.1	0.4	0.1	100
Area [km <sup>2</sup> ]	13.83	34.44	51.42	75.48	163.87	168.28	249.06	319.13	322.96	211.85	195.56	1805.9
Area [%]	0.8	1.9	2.8	4.2	9.1	9.3	13.8	17.7	17.9	11.7	10.8	100

820

821

822 Table 3: Results of the multiple digitising experiment, listing for each of the five participants 823 the mean glacier area (in km<sup>2</sup>) in the columns P1 to P5 along with the standard deviation in 824 per cent (STD%). The last two columns provide the averaged values across all participants 825 for each glacier and the last row gives total areas and their standard deviation across all 826 glaciers and for each participant. The two values marked in blue are mean values derived 827 from the other four participants. Red values mark highest values for glaciers larger and 828 smaller than 1 km<sup>2</sup>. Glacier ID 4 is missing as it was digitised as one glacier (with ID 5) by 829 most participants.

GIID	P1	STD%	P2	STD%	P3	STD%	P4	STD%	P5	STD%	Mean	STD%
1	9.37	1.89	8.96	0.18	8.40	0.79	8.77	0.99	8.64	3.86	8.83	4.14
2	6.50	2.10	6.08	1.31	6.07	1.43	5.95	0.81	6.25	1.31	6.17	3.48
3	0.79	3.75	0.72	3.51	0.65	1.62	0.73	0.74	0.71	8.77	0.72	7.02
5	4.10	3.03	3.22	2.33	3.50	3.92	3.45	5.66	3.45	7.46	3.54	9.33
6	2.88	1.82	2.83	1.52	2.90	3.32	2.75	2.69	2.91	1.86	2.85	2.27
7	1.20	1.04	1.06	6.10	1.16	2.71	1.14	1.91	1.20	2.90	1.15	4.81
8	5.35	0.24	5.13	1.58	5.25	0.77	5.24	0.31	5.26	1.24	5.25	1.51
9	2.75	0.43	2.75	1.64	2.59	3.80	2.72	2.17	2.64	1.53	2.69	2.64
10	0.38	6.38	0.30	2.76	0.25	4.37	0.30	3.39	0.25	4.80	0.30	17.24
11	0.28	12.40	0.27	0.64	0.26	2.06	0.26	1.71	0.30	8.69	0.27	6.77
12	0.24	1.41	0.25	4.34	0.20	3.30	0.21	5.54	0.23	6.79	0.23	8.85
13	0.08	41.67	0.12	17.80	0.03	8.00	0.08	17.68	0.11	17.65	0.08	44.21
14	0.21	4.29	0.17	15.52	0.11	16.16	0.20	5.03	0.21	13.42	0.18	24.01
15	0.12	4.96	0.12	7.10	0.11	1.09	0.11	14.22	0.14	3.45	0.12	11.01
Sum	34.25	1.48	31.97	0.97	31.48	1.13	31.90	0.91	32.31	2.72	32.38	3.35

# 832 Figure captions

Fig. 1: Overview of the study region with footprints (colour-coded for acquisition year) of the Senti-nel-2 tiles used (see Table 1 for numbers).

835

Fig. 2: Comparison of hillshade views from a) the AW3D30 DEM and b) the TanDEM-X DEM for a region around the Mt. Blanc/Monte Bianco. Glacier outlines are shown in red, data voids in the Tan-DEM-X DEM are depicted as constantly grey areas. The yellow circle marks the Mt. Blanc summit, the yellow cross in the lower centre marks the coordinates 45.8° N and 6.9° E. The AW3D30 DEM has been obtained from https://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ALOS/en/aw3d30/index.htm and is provided by JAXA. The TanDEM-X DEM has been acquired by the TerraSAR-X/TanDEM-X mission and is provided by DLR (DEM\_GLAC1823).

843

844 Fig. 3: Results of the automated (clean ice) glacier mapping and threshold selection. a) band ratio MSI 845 band 4 / MSI band 11 (red/SWIR). b) Glacier classification results using different thresholds. The 846 lower values add some additional pixels, in particular in shadow regions where the threshold is most 847 sensitive. c) Blue band threshold to remove wrongly classified rock in shadow. The highest value has 848 been used resulting in a good performance in the left part of the image (white arrow) and a bad one to 849 the right (green arrow), where correctly classified ice in shadow is removed. d) Final outlines (light 850 blue) on top of the Sentinel-2 image in natural colours. The yellow cross to the lower right of the cen-851 tre of panel a) is marking the coordinates 45.87° N and 7.0° E. Sentinel-2 image source: Copernicus 852 Sentinel data (2015).

853

854 Fig. 4: Examples of challenging classifications in different countries. a) Debris cover delineation (red) 855 around Grossvenediger (Hohe Tauern) in Austria with raw extents (light grey) and outlines from the 856 previous national inventory (yellow). b) Tré-La-Tête Glacier (Mont-Blanc) with automatically derived 857 glacier extents (green), manually corrected outlines from 2015 (red) and outlines derived from aerial 858 photographs taken in 2008 (vellow). The S2 image from August 2015 is in the background. c) Subset 859 of the Orobie Alps in Italy (S2 image from September 2016), with evidence of topographic shadow 860 and debris covered glaciers. The inset shows an aerial photograph with better glacier visibility but sea-861 sonal snow. d) S2 image from 2015 showing differences in interpretation of debris cover for Gavirolas 862 glacier in Switzerland for the inventories from 2003 (vellow), 2008 (green) and 2015 (red). The inset 863 shows a close-up of its lowest debris-covered part obtained from aerial photography for comparison 864 (this image is a screenshot from Google Earth). The yellow crosses in each panel mark the following 865 geographic coordinates: a) 47.12° N, 12.4° E; b) 45.8° N, 6.75° E; c) 46.09° N, 10.07° E; d) 46.86° N, 866 9.06° E. Source of all Sentinel-2 images shown in the background: Copernicus Sentinel data (2015 and 867 2016).

- Fig. 5: Relative frequency histograms for glacier count and area per a) size class and b) aspect sector
  for all glaciers.
  Fig. 6: Glacier area vs. a) minimum and maximun elevation and b) elevation range for all glaciers.
  873
- Fig. 7: Spatial distribution of median elevation (colour coded) for glaciers larger 0.5 km<sup>2</sup>. The inset
  shows a scatterplot depicting glacier aspect (counted from North at 0/360°) vs. median elevation and
  values averaged for each cardinal direction.
- 877
- Fig. 8: Normalised glacier hypsometry per country as derived from the AW3D30 DEM.
- 879

880 Fig. 9: Visualisation of the strong glacier area shrinkage between 2003 (yellow) and 2015 (red) for a

sub-region of the Zillertal Alps (Austria and Italy). The yellow cross in the middle right is marking the

882 coordinates 47.0° N and 11.88° E. Sentinel-2 image source: Copernicus Sentinel data (2016).

883

Fig. 10: Overlay of glacier outlines from 2003 (black) and 2016 (yellow) showing the different interpretation of glacier extents for the region around Sonnblickkees (SBK) in Austria. The black cross in
the lower right is marking the coordinates 47.12° N and 12.6° E. Sentinel-2 image source: Copernicus
Sentinel data (2016).

888

Fig. 11: Overlay of glacier outlines from the multiple digitising experiment by all participants. Colours
refer to the first (yellow), second (red), third (green) and fourth (white) round of digitisation. The
white cross in the upper right is marking the coordinates 46.0° N and 7.5° E. Sentinel-2 image source:
Copernicus Sentinel data (2015).

# 895 Figures





- 900 Figure 2











- 909 Figure 4









930 Figure 9



- 933 Figure 10





937 Figure 11