1	Development of the HadISDH marine humidity climate monitoring dataset
2	Kate M. Willett ¹ , Robert J. H. Dunn ¹ , John J. Kennedy ¹ and David I. Berry ²
3	
4	¹ Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK
5	² National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK
6	
7	Correspondence to: Kate Willett kate.willett@metoffice.gov.uk
8	
9	Abstract
10	
11	Atmospheric humidity plays an important role in climate analyses. Here we describe the production and key
12	characteristics of a new quasi-global marine humidity product intended for climate monitoring,
13	HadISDH.marine. It is an in-situ based multi-variable marine humidity product, gridded monthly at a 5° by 5°
14	spatial resolution from January 1973 to December 2018 with annual updates planned. Currently, only reanalyses
15	provide up to date estimates of marine surface humidity but there are concerns over their long-term stability. As
16	a result, this new product makes a valuable addition to the climate record and will help address some of the
17	uncertainties around recent changes (e.g. contrasting land and sea trends, relative humidity drying). Efforts have
18	been made to quality control the data, ensure spatial and temporal homogeneity as far as possible, adjust for
19	known biases in non-aspirated instruments and ship heights, and also estimate uncertainty in the data.
20	Uncertainty estimates for whole-number reporting and for other measurement errors have not been quantified
21	before for marine humidity. This is a companion product to HadISDH.land, which, when combined will provide
22	methodologically consistent land and marine estimates of surface humidity.
23	
24	The spatial coverage of HadISDH.marine is good over the Northern Hemisphere outside of the high latitudes but
25	poor over the Southern Hemisphere, especially south of 20° S. The trends and variability shown are in line with
26	overall signals of increasing moisture and warmth over oceans from theoretical expectations and other products.
27	Uncertainty in the global average is larger over periods where digital ship metadata are fewer or unavailable but

- 28 not large enough to cast doubt over trends in specific humidity or air temperature. Hence, we conclude that
- 29 HadISDH.marine is a useful contribution to our understanding of climate change. However, we note that our

ability to monitor surface humidity with any degree of confidence depends on the continued availability of shipdata and provision of digitised metadata.

32

HadISDH.marine data, derived diagnostics and plots are available at <u>www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisdh</u> and
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5285/463b2fcd6a264a39b1e3249dab16c177</u> (Willett et al., 2020).

- 35
- 36 1 Introduction

37

Water vapour plays a key role as a greenhouse gas, in the dynamical development of weather systems, and
impacts society through precipitation and heat stress. Over land, all these aspects are important and recent
changes have been assessed by Willett et al. (2014). Over the oceans, a major source of moisture over land, a
similar analysis is essential to enhance our understanding of the observed changes generally and as a basis for
worldwide evaluation of climate models. In recognition of its importance, the surface atmospheric humidity has
been recognised as one of the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Essential Climate Variables (ECVs)
(Bojinski et al., 2014; https://gcos.wmo.int/en/essential-climate-variables).

45

46 Observational sources of humidity over the ocean are limited. The NOCSv2.0 (Berry and Kent, 2011) is the 47 only recently updated (January 1971 to December 2015) marine surface humidity monitoring product based on 48 in-situ observations, but it only includes specific humidity (q). Satellite based humidity products exist (e.g. 49 HOAPS, Fennig et al., 2012) but these rely on the in-situ observations for calibration. Whilst quasi-global, the 50 uncertainties in the NOCv2.0 product are large outside the northern mid-latitudes. In this region the NOCSv2.0 51 product shows a reasonably steadily rising trend over the period of record, similar to that seen over land but with 52 slightly different year-to-year variability. Most notably, 2010, a peak year over land in specific humidity, does 53 not stand out over ocean. Figure 1 and Willett et al. (2019) show global land and ocean specific humidity and 54 relative humidity (RH) series from available in-situ and reanalyses products. Older, static products for the 55 ocean (HadCRUH – Met Office Hadley Centre and Climatic Research Unit Humidity dataset: Willett et al., 56 2008; Dai: Dai 2006) show increasing specific humidity to 2003 with similar variability to NOCSv2.0, and near-57 constant relative humidity. Both HadCRUH and Dai show a positive relative humidity bias pre-1982 and 58 slightly higher specific humidity over 1978-1984 compared to NOCSv2.0. There is broad similarity between the 59 reanalysis products and the in-situ products but with notable differences for specific humidity in the scale of the

60 1998 peak and the overall trend magnitude. Differences are to be expected given that the reanalyses are spatially 61 complete in coverage, albeit derived only from their underlying dynamical models over data sparse regions. The 62 reanalyses exhibit near-constant to decreasing relative humidity over oceans but with poorer agreement between 63 both the reanalyses themselves and compared to the in-situ products over land. This is to be expected given the 64 larger sources of bias and error over ocean (Sect. 2) and sparse data coverage. Importantly, land and marine 65 specific humidity appear broadly similar whereas for relative humidity, the distinct drying since 2000 over land 66 is not apparent over ocean in reanalyses and the previously available in-situ products finish too early to be 67 informative. Note that the HadISDH.marine described herein is shown here for comparison and will be 68 discussed below.

69

A positive bias in global marine average relative humidity pre-1982 is apparent in Dai and HadCRUH, and has

71 previously been attributed to high frequencies of whole numbers in the dew point temperature observations prior

72 to January 1982 (Willett et al., 2008). This is less clear in the global average specific humidity timeseries.

73 ICOADS (International Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Dataset) documentation

74 (http://icoads.noaa.gov/corrections.html) notes issues with the pre-1982 data especially mixed-precision

observations, where the air temperature has been recorded to decimal precision but the dew point temperature is

only available as a whole number. Such reporting was in accordance with the WMO Ship Code before 1982.

77 The documentation notes a truncation error in the dew point depression which would lead to a positive bias in

relative humidity. Alternatively, Berry (2009) show that patterns in the North Atlantic Oscillation coincide with

this time period and could have played a role. The NOCSv2.0 product is based on reported wet bulb temperature

80 rather than dew point temperature, where decimal precision is usually present. Hence, the NOCSv2.0 product is

81 expected to be unaffected by these rounding issues. Our analysis shows that changes to the code in January 1982

82 did not eliminate whole number reporting and high frequencies of whole numbers can be found throughout the

83 record in both air temperature and dew point temperature (Sect. 2.4 and Sect. 3.4).

84

85 Clearly, there is a need for more and up to date in-situ monitoring of humidity over ocean, especially for RH.

86 The structural uncertainty in estimates can only be explored if there are multiple available estimates so a new

87 product that explores different methodological choices, and extends the record, is complementary to the existing

88 NOCSv2.0 product and reanalyses estimates. Here we report the development of a multi-variable marine

89 humidity analysis HadISDH.marine.1.0.0.2018f (Willett et al., 2020). HadISDH.marine is a Met Office Hadley

90 Centre led Integrated Surface Dataset of Humidity, forming a companion product to the HadISDH.land

91 monitoring product, and enabling the production of a blended global land and ocean product. We use existing

92 methods where possible from the systems used for building the long running HadSST dataset (Kennedy et al.,

93 2011a, 2011b, 2019), and also use some of the bias adjustment methods employed for NOCSv2.0 (Berry and

94 Kent 2011). We have explored the data to design new humidity specific processes where appropriate,

- 95 particularly in terms of quality control and gridding.
- 96

97 HadISDH.marine is a climate-quality 5° by 5° gridded monthly mean product from 1973 to present (December 98 2018 at time of writing) with annual updates envisaged. Fields will be presented for surface (~10 m) specific 99 humidity, relative humidity, vapour pressure, dew point temperature, wet bulb temperature and dew point 100 depression. Air temperature will also be made available as a by-product but less attention has been given to 101 addressing temperature specific biases. The product is intended for investigating long-term changes over large 102 scales and so efforts have been made to quality control the data, ensure spatial and temporal homogeneity, adjust 103 for known biases and also estimate remaining uncertainty in the data. In particular, we estimate uncertainties 104 from whole-number reporting and other measurement errors that have not been quantified before for marine 105 humidity.

106

107 Section 2 discusses known issues with marine humidity data. Section 3 describes the source data and all 108 processing steps. Section 4 presents the gridded product and explores the different methodological choices and 109 comparison with NOCSv2.0 specific humidity and ERA-Interim marine humidity. This section also includes a 110 first look at the blended land and marine HadISDH product for each variable. Section 5 covers data availability 111 and Section 6 concludes with a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of the product.

112

113 2 Known issues affecting the marine humidity data

114

115 2.1 Daytime solar-biases

116

Marine air temperature measurements on board ships during the daytime are known to be affected by the heating of the ship or platform by the sun. This results in a positive bias during daylight and early night time hours. The bias varies with sunlight strength/cloudiness (and thus also latitude), relative wind speed, size and material of 120 the ship. This solar heating bias affects both the wet bulb and dry bulb temperature measurements but, as noted 121 by Kent and Taylor (1996), the ships do not act as a source of humidity or change the humidity content of the air. As a result, biases in the specific humidity and dew point temperature due to the solar heating errors will be 122 123 negligible. However, care needs to be taken with relative humidity because estimates of the saturation vapour 124 pressure from the uncorrected dry bulb air temperature will be too high, leading to an underestimate in relative 125 humidity. Ideally, relative humidity should be estimated using the corrected dry-bulb temperature to calculate 126 the saturation vapour pressure and uncorrected wet and dry bulb temperature or dew point temperature to 127 calculate the vapour pressure. 128 129 Previously, efforts have been made to bias-adjust the air temperature observations for solar heating by

131 cloudiness, time of day, time of year and latitude (Kent et al, 1993; Berry et al., 2004; Berry and Kent, 2011).
132 These adjustments are complex and so we have decided not to attempt to implement them for our first version of
133 a marine humidity product given the wide variety of other issues we have accounted for. We have, however,
134 produced daytime, night time and combined products to investigate differences that may be caused by the solar
135 heating bias. Later versions of HadISDH.marine that apply bias corrections for solar heating may reduce the

modelling the extra heating over the superstructure of the ship, taking account of the relative wind speed,

amount of daytime data removed.

137

130

138 2.2 Un-aspirated psychrometer bias

139

140 Humidity measurements can be made in a variety of ways. Instruments can be housed in a screen with 141 ventilation slats, with or without additional artificial aspiration, or handheld in a sling or whirling psychrometer. 142 There is information on instrument ventilation provided up to 2014. Approximately 30 % of ship observations have information in 1973, peaking at ~75 % by the mid-1990s, as summarised in Fig. 2. Initially, slings were 143 144 more common for the hygrometer and thermometer, but by 1982 a screen was more common. There is a 145 tendency for the screened instruments, in the absence of artificial aspiration, to give a wet bulb reading that is 146 higher relative to the slings/whirling instruments where airflow is ensured by the whirling motion. Bias 147 adjustments have been applied to un-aspirated humidity observations by Berry and Kent (2011), building on 148 previous bias adjustments of Josey et al. (1999) and Kent et al. (1993). They have also estimated the uncertainty 149 in the bias adjustments. We implement a modified version of their method of bias adjustment for the unaspirated observation types (Sect. 3.3.1) and uncertainty estimation. Uncertainties from instrument bias

adjustments will have some spatial and temporal correlation structure as the ships move around (Kennedy et al.,

152 2011a).

153

154 2.3 Ship height inhomogeneity

155

156 Over time there has been a general trend for ship heights to increase. Kent et al. (2007; 2013) quantified the 157 increase from an average of ~ 16m in 1973 to ~24m by the end of 2006. Instrument height information is 158 available for some ships between the period of 1973 and 2014, providing heights for the barometer (HOB), 159 thermometer (HOT), anemometer (HOA) and visual observing platform (HOP). Figure 3 shows the availability 160 of height information and the mean and standard deviation of heights per year in each category for the ship 161 observations selected here. Similar to the ventilation metadata, height information availability is low in 1973, 162 peaking mid-1990s to 2000 and then declining slightly. Prior to 1994 only the platform height was available 163 from WMO Publication 47. This was replaced in 1994 by the barometer height and augmented with the 164 thermometer and visual observing heights from 2002 onwards (Kent et al., 2007). Anemometer heights have 165 been available from WMO 47 since 1970. All four types of heights increase over time. We conclude that the 166 mean height based on HOP/HOB/HOT increases from 17 m in 1973 to 23 m by 2014, which differs slightly to 167 that in Kent et al., (2007). If uncorrected, this likely leads to a small artificial decreasing trend in air temperature 168 and specific humidity, as, in general, these variables decrease with height away from the surface. The effect on 169 relative humidity is less clear and depends on the relative effects on air temperature and specific humidity.

170

171 Prior studies (e.g. Berry and Kent, 2011; Berry 2009; Josey et al., 1999; Rayner et al., 2003; Kent et al., 2013) 172 have applied height adjustments to the air temperature, specific humidity and wind speed measurements to 173 adjust the measurements to a common reference height and minimise the impact of the changing observing 174 heights on the climate record. These have been based on boundary layer theory and the bulk formulae, using the 175 parameterisations of Smith (1980, 1988). In the absence of high-frequency observations of meteorological 176 parameters for each observation location, allowing direct estimation of the surface fluxes, parameterisations 177 have to be made and an iterative approach is necessary to estimate a height adjustment (Sect. 3.3.2). We have 178 followed these previous approaches and estimated height adjustments for all observations and variables of 179 interest. Where observing heights are unavailable we have made new estimates (Sect. 3.3.2). We have also

provided an estimate of uncertainty on these height adjustments, which are larger where we have also estimated
the height of the observation. The uncertainties from height adjustments will have some spatial and temporal
correlation structure.

183

184 2.4 Whole-number reporting biases

185

Recording and reporting formats and practices have changed many times over the 20th century, affecting the 186 187 climate record. Some formats required the wet bulb temperature to be reported, others the dew point temperature 188 and some allowed either or both (https://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/amp/mmop/documents/publications-189 history/history/SHIP.html). Some earlier formats restricted space to reporting temperature to whole numbers 190 only and this practice has continued with some ships continuing to report the dew point (or wet bulb) 191 temperature and sometimes even the dry bulb temperature to whole numbers. A practice of truncation of the 192 dew point depression has been noted for the pre-1982 data (http://icoads.noaa.gov/corrections.html) which 193 would result in spuriously high humidity (both in relative and actual terms). It is clear from the 194 ICOADS3.0.0/3.0.1 data that there has been a practice of reporting values to whole numbers rather than decimal 195 places, both for air temperature and dew point temperature. Rounding dew point temperature and air 196 temperature could result in a +/- 0.5° C error individually or a just less than +/- 1° C error in dew point 197 depression for a worst-case scenario combination. 198 199 Whole-number reporting is an issue throughout the record for both variables -a breakdown of air and dew point 200 temperature by decimal place over time is shown in Fig. S1. Air temperature also shows a disproportionate 201 frequency of half degrees (5s). The percentage of whole numbers (0s) declines over time, dramatically in the 202 mid- to late 1990s for air temperature and from 2008 for both air and dew point temperature. This decline in the 203 1990s, and in part also the general decline, appears to be linked to an increase in numbers of moored buoys (see 204 Fig. 5), a similar analysis without the moored buoys (not shown) shows greater consistency over time. The dew 205 point temperature has two distinct peaks in whole number frequency in the 1970s and mid-1990 to early 2010s. 206 The latter peak is more pronounced when moored buoys are not included. The early peak is somewhat 207 consistent with the restriction in transmission space prior to January 1982. This was previously thought to have 208 been a possible cause of higher relative humidity over the period 1973-1981 compared to the rest of the record 209 in the HadCRUH marine relative humidity product (Willett et al., 2008). The pre-1982 moist bias was also

apparent in the global marine relative humidity product of Dai (2006), which like HadCRUH used dew point
temperatures. The NOCSv2.0 product preferentially utilises the wet bulb temperatures from ICOADS which are
not affected by whole number reporting to the same extent.

213

214 Rounding of temperature alone should not affect the mean dew point temperature, specific humidity or vapour

215 pressure. However, as with the solar bias issue, it is sensitive to at what point the reported dew point

temperature was derived from the measured wet bulb temperature or relative humidity. Most likely, this would

be done prior to any rounding or truncating for reporting but during later conversion of various sources into

218 digital archives, or corrections, the dew point temperature may have been reconstructed

219 (https://icoads.noaa.gov/e-doc/other/dupelim_1980). The effect of rounding on a monthly mean gridbox average

should be small as these errors are random and should reduce with averaging. However, there is a risk of

removing very high humidity observations when a rounded dew point temperature then exceeds a non-rounded

air temperature. Such values are removed by our supersaturation check (Sect. 3.2). We do not feel able to

223 correct for this issue but instead include an uncertainty estimate for it. Overly frequent whole numbers are

identified both during quality control track analysis and deck analysis. This will be discussed in more detail in

225 Sect. 3.4. Clearly, there are various issues that can arise linked to the precision of measured and reported data in

addition to conversion between different units (e.g., Fahrenheit, Celsius and Kelvin, Fig. S1) and between

different variables.

228

229 2.5 Measurement errors

230

231 All observations are subject to some level of measurement error and, outside of precision laboratory 232 experiments, the errors can be significant. The BIPM Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement 233 (BIPM, 2008) describes two categories of measurement uncertainty evaluation. A Type A evaluation estimates 234 the uncertainty from repeated observations. A Type B evaluation of the uncertainty is based on prior knowledge 235 of the instrument and observing conditions. Within this study we use a Type B evaluation, adjusting for 236 systematic errors and inhomogeneities due to inadequate ventilation and changing observing heights (screen and 237 height adjustments) and estimate the residual uncertainty. For the random components, we make the 238 conservative assumption that all measurements were taken using a psychrometer (wet bulb and dry bulb 239 thermometers), which allows us to follow the HadISDH.land methodology of Willett et al. (2013, 2014) as

- described in Sect. 3.4. An assessment of the frequency of hygrometer types (TOH) within our selected
- 241 ICOADS3.0.0/3.0.1 data shows this to be a fair assumption as the vast majority of ships (where metadata is
- available: ~30 % increasing to ~70 % 1973 to 1995 then decreasing to 60 % by 2014) are listed as being from a
- 243 psychrometer (Fig. 4). Electric sensors are becoming more common and made up ~30 % of observations by

244 2014 (the end of the metadata information). There are no instrument type metadata for ocean platforms or

- 245 moored buoys. As it is likely that most buoy observations are made using RH sensors, we plan to develop an RH246 sensor specific measurement uncertainty in future versions.
- 247

248 2.6 Other sources of error

249

250 There are other issues specific to humidity measurements that may be further sources of error. Hygrometers that 251 require a wetted wick (i.e., psychrometers), and thus a source of water, are vulnerable to the wick drying out or 252 contamination, especially by salt in the marine environment. The wick drying results in erroneous relative 253 humidity readings of 100 %rh where the wet bulb essentially behaves identically to the dry bulb thermometer. 254 There can also be issues when the air temperature is close to freezing depending on whether the wet bulb has 255 become an ice bulb or not and whether wet bulb or ice bulb calculations are used in any conversions. Humidity 256 observing in low temperature can be generally problematic. For radiosondes, there has previously been a 257 practice of recording a set low value when the humidity observation falls below a certain value (Wade 1994, 258 Elliott et al. 1998). It is debateable how likely such low humidity values are over oceans and this practice has 259 not been documented for ship observations. However, the set value issue is something to look out for. Wet bulb 260 thermometers (and other instruments) can experience some hysteresis at high humidity where it takes some time 261 to return to a lower reading. The wet bulb also requires adequate ventilation which has been discussed above. 262

These can be accounted for to a large extent through quality control but some error will inevitably remain. We
can increase our confidence in the data by comparison with other available products and general expectation
from theory.

266

267 3 Construction of the gridded dataset and uncertainty estimates

269 ICOADS Release 3.0 (Freeman et al., 2017) forms the base dataset for the HadISDH.marine humidity products. 270 From January 1973 to December 2014 we use ICOADS.3.0.0 from http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds540.0/. These 271 data include a unique identifier (UID) for each observation, a station identifier/ship callsign (ID), metadata on 272 instrument type, exposure and height in many cases. From January 2015 onwards we use ICOADS.3.0.1 from 273 the same source. These data include an ID and UID but no instrument metadata. It is likely that digitised 274 metadata updates will be available periodically, depending on resource availability. Each observation is 275 associated with a deck number. These are identifiers for ICOADS national and trans-national sub-sets of data relating to source e.g., deck 926 is the International Maritime Meteorological (IMM) data 276 277 (https://icoads.noaa.gov/translation.html). We utilise the reported air temperature (T) and reported dew point 278 temperature (T_d) as the source for our humidity products. Sea surface temperature (SST) and wind speed (u) are 279 used for estimating height adjustments. 280 281 We calculate the specific humidity (q), relative humidity (RH), vapour pressure (e), wet bulb temperature $(T_w,$ 282 not the thermodynamic wet bulb but a close approximation to it) and dew point depression (DPD) for each point 283 observation. All humidity variables are derived from reported air and dew point temperature and ERA-Interim 284 climatological (from the nearest 1° by 1° 5 day mean [pentad] gridbox) surface pressure P_s , using the set of 285 equations from Willett et al., (2014) which can be found in Table S1. This provides consistency with 286 HadISDH.land for later merging. For consistency we use a fixed psychrometric coefficient that is identical for 287 all observations when estimating the approximate thermodynamic wet bulb temperature rather the observed 288 value which depends on the type of psychrometer used. This is also consistent with what is done for

HadISDH.land.

290

Additionally, we use ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) reanalysis data to provide initial marine climatologies and climatological standard deviations for all variables to complete a 1st iteration climatological outlier test. We extract 1° by 1° gridded 6 hourly 2 m air and dew point temperature and surface pressure to create 6 hourly humidity variables and then pentad climatologies and standard deviations over the 1981-2010 period. Note that 3 iterations are passed before finalising the product. Only the 1st iteration uses ERA-Interim climatologies, later iterations use climatologies built from the previous iteration's quality-controlled observations (Sects. 3.2, 3.5, 4.1).

299	The construction process, including the three iterations and all outputs, is visualised in Figure 5. Firstly,			
300	humidity variables are calculated. For the 1st iteration the hourly temperature and dew point temperature data are			
301	quality controlled (section 3.1) using an ERA-Interim based climatology. The data are then gridded, merged and			
302	a 1° by 1° pentad climatology produced for each variable (section 3.5). These 1 st iteration climatologies are then			
303	used to quality control the original hourly data again; these data are then gridded, merged and a 2 nd iteration			
304	climatology produced. The 2 nd iteration climatology is then used to quality control the original hourly data for a			
305	third and final time. It is during this 3 rd iteration that bias adjustments are applied and uncertainties estimated.			
306	The bias adjusted data and uncertainties are then gridded, merged and climatologies created. For future annual			
307	updates the 2 nd iteration climatologies will be used to apply quality control. Having three iterations enables			
308	incremental improvements to the climatology used to quality control the data and therefore the skill of the			
309	quality control tests. It means that we can ensure that no artefacts remain from using ERA-Interim to quality			
310	control the data initially. Arguably more iterations could be done but each one is computationally expensive and			
311	the difference between the 2 nd and 3 rd iteration is already very small.			
312				
313	3.1 Data selection			
314				
315	We screen all ICOADS data to sub-select only those observations passing the following criteria:			
316	- there must be a non-missing T and T_d value;			
317	- the platform type (PT) must be in one of the following categories: a ship (a US Navy or unknown			
318	vessel, a merchant ship or foreign military ship, an ocean station vessel off station /at an unknown			
319	location, an ocean station vessel on station, a lightship, an unspecified ship - $PT = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$;			
320	or a stationary buoy (moored or ice buoy - $PT = 6, 8$);			
321	- the observation must have a climatology and standard deviation available for its closest 1° by 1°			
322	pentad;			
323	- the observation must pass the gross error checks: calculated RH must be between 0 and 150 % rh			
324	(supersaturated values are flagged during quality control); both T and T_d must be between -80 and			
325	65 °C; and calculated q must be greater than 0.0 g kg ⁻¹ ;			
326	- latitudes must be between -90° and 90° and longitudes must be between -180° and 360° (later			
327	converted to -180° to 180°);			
328	- the hour, day, month and year must be valid quantities;			

any observation from Deck 732 from a specified year and region is blacklisted (Rayner et al., 2006, Kennedy etal, 2011a, Table S2).

331

332 Other marine products (e.g., NOCSv2.0; Berry and Kent, 2011) solely use ship observations due to the lack of 333 buoy metadata available. We include moored buoys to produce climatologies because spatial coverage is of high 334 importance. Our final version recommended to users is a ship-only (SHIP) product but we have produced a 335 combined (ALL) product for comparison. This will be reassessed for future versions. Figure 6a shows the 336 number of observations included in the initial selection per year, broken down by platform type. The breakdown 337 for day and night time observations individually is near identical (not shown). Ship (PT = 5) observations make 338 up almost the entire dataset until the 1990s. After this the number of moored buoys grows significantly to make 339 up around ~50 % of observations from 2000 onwards. The ship-only product (removal of moored buoys) 340 significantly reduces the number of observations in the recent period but gives a more consistent number of 341 observations throughout the record. Our use of climate anomalies should mitigate biasing due to uneven 342 sampling to some extent. Note that the number of gridboxes containing data may be a more relevant measure 343 and that the vast increase in the number of buoys has not actually resulted in the same level of increase in spatial 344 coverage in terms of gridboxes (compare 2018 annual average maps for ship-only and combined 345 HadISDH.marine in Fig. S2). 346 347 3.2 Quality control processing

348

We have not used any of the pre-set flags from ICOADS processing to ensure methodological independence of HadISDH and a process that allows for exploration and analysis of different methodological choices. The quality control processing employed here largely follows the methodology for HadSST4 (Kennedy et al., 2019) with some changes to the climatology check and buddy check thresholds to increase regional sensitivity and additional humidity specific checks. A flag for whole number prevalence has also been added but this is used for uncertainty estimation and not to remove an observation. All observations have their nearest 1° by 1° pentad mean climatology (source depends on iteration – Sect. 3.5) subtracted to create a climate anomaly.

357 Each observation is passed through a suite of quality control tests which are summarised in Table 1 along with358 whether the quality control tests are used to remove or just to flag the observations, and the stage of processing

360 air temperature of $+/-8^{\circ}$ C. We have allowed for a variable threshold depending on the nearest 1° by 1° pentad 361 climatology standard deviation σ . This is set at 5.5 σ . It accounts for the lower variability in the tropics and 362 greater variability in the mid-latitudes. We have set minimum and maximum σ values of 1° C and 4° C 363 respectively resulting in a minimum range of +/- 5.5° C and a maximum range of +/- 22° C. Several thresholds 364 were tested with the selected threshold balancing avoiding acute cut-offs in the data distribution while still 365 removing obviously bad data (Figs. S3 to S6). Given that outliers are assessed by comparing a point observation 366 with a 1° by 1° pentad mean the thresholds have to be relatively large. 367 368 The buddy check compares each observation's climate anomaly with the average of the climate anomalies of its 369 nearest neighbours in space and time, expanding the search area in space and time as necessary until at least one 370 neighbour observation is found. The permitted difference is set by the climatological standard deviation of the 371 candidate 1° by 1° pentad gridbox multiplied by an amount dependent on the number of neighbours present.

at which they are applied. The climatology check differs from the static HadSST3 threshold of climatology for

372 There are five levels of searches:

- 373 1. ±1° latitude and longitude and ± 2 pentads: the climatological standard deviation is multiplied by
 374 5.5, 5.0, 4.5 and 4.0 for 1-5, 6-15, 16-100 and >100 neighbouring observations respectively;
- 375 2. ±2° latitude and longitude and ± 2 pentads: the climatological standard deviation is multiplied by
 376 5.5 for >1 neighbouring observation;

377 3. ±1° latitude and longitude and ± 4 pentads: the climatological standard deviation is multiplied by 378 5.5, 5.0, 4.5 and 4.0 for 1-5, 6-15, 16-100 and >100 neighbouring observations respectively;

379 4. ±2° latitude and longitude and ± 4 pentads: the climatological standard deviation is multiplied by
380 5.5 for >1 neighbouring observation;

381

359

5. no neighbour $\pm 2^{\circ}$ latitude and longitude and ± 4 pentads: the threshold is set at 500.

382 The thresholds used for the buddy check are wider than those previously used in HadSST3. This is to account
383 for the greater variability of air and dew point temperature, and sparser observation coverage. It is only applied
384 in the 3rd iteration of the quality control (Sect. 3.5).

385

386 Figure 6 shows the final number of observations passing through initial selection and then 3rd iteration quality

387 control by platform (PT) type. The quality control does not significantly affect one platform over another. The

388 performance of these tests is demonstrated for 4 example months in Figs. S3 to S6. These reveal a slight positive

bias in the removed air temperature observations and negative bias in removed dew point temperature.

390 Removals in terms of relative humidity and specific humidity similarly tend to have a negative bias. It is clear

that the majority of grossly erroneous observations are removed. The change in climatology between iterations

392 of the quality control process (Sect. 3.5) also makes a difference to removals. This is both because the

393 observation driven climatologies do not provide complete spatial coverage and because the ERA-Interim

climatologies are cooler and drier than the observations (Sect. 4.1). Removals are dense in the Northern

Hemisphere and especially sparse around the tropics. The addition of the buddy check in the 3rd iteration

396 considerably increases the removal rate, noticeably over the Southern Hemisphere and Tropics.

397

398 The quality-control flagging rate for the 3^{rd} iteration reduces over time from ~25 % to ~18 %, as shown in Fig. 399 S7. This is driven by the buddy check and track check. Proportionally more observations are flagged during the 400 daytime than night time but the interannual behaviour is very similar. The daytime increase is driven by the 401 larger number of air temperature buddy and climatology check failures. This could be due to the issue of solar 402 heating of the ship structure during the daytime. The main source of test fails by a large margin is the buddy 403 check, followed by the climatology check and track check. There doesn't appear to be a strong difference in the 404 distribution of removals from each test between the 1973-1981 and 1982-1990 periods that might explain the 405 pre-1982 moist bias (Fig. S8, Sect. 4.2). There is an increase in removals from repeated saturation and 406 supersaturation events over time, particularly the late 2000s. This may be related to the decrease in 407 psychrometer deployment over time and increase in electric and capacitance sensors as shown in Fig. 4. The 408 latter have increased significantly since the mid-2000s.

409

410 The whole number flags show very different behaviour to the other checks and to each other over time in Fig.

411 S7. These depend on the ability to assign each observation to a track/voyage and the frequency of whole number

412 observations on that voyage, hence, these flags are not a true reflection of the whole number frequency.

413 Compared to the actual proportion of whole numbers shown in Fig. S1, these tend to exaggerate the annual

414 patterns but the shape is broadly similar. This method of identifying problematic whole numbers appears to

415 under-sample the true distribution, especially for air temperature pre-1982. An additional deck-based check is

416 applied later for estimating uncertainty from whole numbers (Sect. 3.4).

418 Note that the NOCSv2.0 dataset, with which we compare our specific humidity data, includes an outlier check
419 that removes data greater than 4.5 standard deviations from the climatological mean. This test has already been
420 applied within the ICOADS format and so the NOCSv2.0 excludes any data with ICOADS trimming flags set
421 (Wolter 1997). We do not use the trimming flags to select data. They also apply a track check based on Kent and
422 Challenor (2006).

423

424 3.3 Bias adjustments and associated uncertainties

425

Given the issues raised in Sect. 2, it is desirable to attempt to adjust the observations to improve the spatial and temporal homogeneity and accuracy of the data. As discussed in Sect. 2.1, we have not attempted to adjust for solar biases in this first version product. We have made adjustments for instrument and height biases and estimated uncertainties (summarised in Table 1) in these adjustments.

430

431 The availability of machine readable metadata alongside each observation enables specific adjustment for 432 known biases and inhomogeneities. This differs to the approach for the HadISDH.land dataset where no 433 substantial digitised metadata currently exists. By necessity, adjustment for biases (inhomogeneities) is done 434 using the Pairwise Homogenisation Algorithm (Menne and Williams, 2009). This is a neighbour comparison 435 based statistical algorithm to detect change points and resolve the most reasonable adjustments. It is very likely 436 that inhomogeneities that affect the land data such as instrument changes, instrument housing changes and 437 practice changes also affect the marine data. However, this level of detail is not available in the metadata, nor is 438 it straightforward to adjust for even if it was because of the mobile nature of ship data. Although a neighbour 439 based comparison is possible and useful at the single observation level (e.g. buddy check), it is not useful in the 440 manner in which it is used for land observations from static weather stations. Arguably, the region-wide biases 441 such as increasing ship heights and ventilation biases are of greater concern for long term trends than the more 442 ship specific inhomogeneity owing to instrument or housing changes. We acknowledge that, similar to the land 443 data, there will be inhomogeneity/bias remaining within the HadISDH.marine dataset which we cannot detect or 444 adjust for but argue that we have removed the large errors from the dataset. Future versions will take advantage 445 of greater metadata and statistical tools as they become available.

446

447 3.3.1 Application of adjustments for biases from un-aspirated instruments

448

449 We have shown that the majority of humidity observations have been made with a psychrometer (Fig. 4) and 450 that 30-70 % of instruments with metadata available have been housed within a non-aspirated screen (Fig. 2). 451 Berry and Kent (2011) found that applying a 3.4 % reduction to specific humidity observations from non-452 aspirated screens was a reasonable adjustment to remove the bias relative to aspirated/well ventilated 453 observations (e.g., slings, whirled hygrometers or artificially aspirated instruments). Some uncertainty remains 454 after adjustment which they estimated to be ~0.2 g kg⁻¹. We have used the hygrometer exposure metadata 455 (EOH) or the thermometer exposure (EOT) if EOH does not exist. We assume good ventilation for any 456 instruments that are aspirated (A), from a sling (SL) or ship's sling (SG) or from a whirling instrument (W). We 457 assume poorer ventilation for instruments that are from a screen (S), ship's screen (SN) or are unscreened (US) 458 and apply a bias adjustment. The reported exposure type of Ventilated Screens (VS) does not appear to mean 459 that the screen is artificially ventilated and so bias adjustments are also applied to these. We do not apply 460 adjustments to buoys and other non-ship data based on the assumption that these generally measure relative 461 humidity directly. For any ship observations with no exposure information we apply 55 % of the 3.4 % 462 adjustment based on the mean percentage of observations with EOH metadata that require an adjustment over 463 the 1973-2014 (metadata) period). This partial adjustment factor follows the method of Berry and Kent (2011) 464 and Josey et al. (1999) but differs in quantity. They assessed this over a shorter time period and found then that 465 ~30 % of observations were from poorly ventilated instruments.

466

467 To estimate the uncertainty in the non-aspirated instrument adjustment applied U_i , we use the Berry and Kent 468 (2011) and Josey et al. (1999) uncertainty estimate of 0.2 g kg⁻¹ and apply this in all cases where an adjustment 469 or partial adjustment has been applied. This is treated as a standard uncertainty (1 σ). In the case of partial 470 adjustments for the ship observations with no metadata there is large uncertainty in both the adjustment and 471 adjusted value. To account for this we use the amount of what would have been a full 3.4 % adjustment in 472 addition to the 0.2 g kg⁻¹ as the 1 σ uncertainty.

473

To carry these adjustments and uncertainties to all other humidity variables we start with q and then propagate the adjusted quantity and adjusted quantity plus uncertainty using the equations in Table S1. Using the original *T* (which does not need to be adjusted for poor ventilation) and ERA-Interim climatological surface pressure, ecan be calculated from q. T_d and RH can be calculated from e and T. From these, the T_w and DPD can be 478 calculated. The uncertainty is then obtained by subtracting the adjusted quantity from the adjusted quantity plus479 uncertainty for each variable.

480

481 **3.3.2** Application of adjustments for biases from ship heights

482

After bias adjustment for poor ventilation, all variables are adjusted to approximately 10 m elevation. This serves to account for the inhomogeneity from the systematic increase in ship height over time and for spatial inhomogeneity between observations made at different heights. In the absence of height adjustments, increasing ship heights likely lead to a small decrease in air temperature and specific humidity over time (Berry and Kent, 2011) because these quantities generally decrease with height. As Fig. 3 shows, the standard deviations in ships' instrument heights exceed 5 m in most cases. Also, we have included buoys in the processing so far and these can be very low (~4 m, e.g. Gilhousen, 1987) relative to ship observing heights.

490

The height of the hygrometer (HOH) must be estimated (HOHest) as no metadata is available. In the case of psychrometers, which are the most common instruments listed in the ship metadata, the wet and dry bulb thermometers are co-located. Figure 3 shows that the visual observation height (HOP) is the most commonly available information, followed by the barometer height (HOB) and then thermometer height (HOT). It also shows the mean and standard deviation of all observing heights including the anemometer (HOA). Hence, HOHest is obtained using the following methods in preference order:

497

498	1.	HOP present and >2 m: HOHest μ = HOP, σ = 1 m
499	2.	HOB present and >2 m: HOHest μ = HOB, σ = 1 m
500	3.	HOT present and >2 m: HOHest μ = HOT, σ = 1 m
501	4.	HOA present and >12 m: HOHest μ = HOA – 10, σ = 9 m
502	5.	No height metadata: HOHest $\mu = 16 \text{ m} + \text{the linear trend in mean HOP/HOB/HOT height to the}$
503		date of observation, $\sigma = 4.6 \text{ m} + \text{the linear trend in standard deviation HOP/HOB/HOT height to}$
504		the date of observation
505		

506 The μ and σ of the combined HOP, HOB and HOT increases from 16 m and 4.6 m respectively in January 1973 507 to 23 m and 11 m respectively in December 2014. Kent et al. (2007) and Berry and Kent (2011) used 16 m to 24

508 m between 1971 and 2007 so our estimate is very similar. The anemometer height is also required for the 509 adjustments. We either use the provided HOA as long as it is greater than 2 m or set it to 10m above the 510 HOHest. All buoys are assumed to be observing at 4 m, with anemometers at 5 m 511 (http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/bht.shtml). 512 513 Once HOHest has been obtained for each observation, the air temperature and specific humidity are adjusted to 514 10 m using bulk flux formulae. The methodology, assumptions and parameterisations largely follow that of 515 Berry and Kent (2011), Berry (2009), Smith (1980, 1988) and Stull (1988). Essentially, the quantity of interest x 516 can be adjusted to a reference height of 10 m as follows: 517 $x_{10} = x - \frac{x_*}{\kappa} \left(\ln \left(\frac{z_x}{10} \right) - \psi_x + \psi_{x10} \right)$ 518 (1)

519

where x_* is the scaling parameter specific to that variable (e.g., friction velocity in the case of u, characteristic temperature or specific humidity in the case of T or q respectively), κ is the von Karman constant (0.41 used here), z_x is the observation height of the variable of interest, ψ_x is the stability correction for the variable of interest and is a function of z_x/L , ψ_{x10} is the stability correction for the variable of 10m and is a function of 10/L and L is the Monin-Obukov Length.

525

526 An iterative approach (as done for Berry and Kent 2011) is required to resolve Eq. (1) because we only have 527 basic meteorological variables available at a single height for each observation. We start from T, q, u, sea 528 surface temperature (SST), the co-located 1° by 1° gridbox pentad climatological surface pressure from ERA-529 Interim (climP), HOHest which becomes both z_d and z_l and our estimated anemometer height which becomes z_{dl} . 530 For some observations the SST or u is missing. If SST is missing it is given the same value as T so in effect, no adjustment to T is applied. Either way, the SST is set to a minimum of -2° C and a maximum of 40° C. If u is < 531 0.5 m s⁻¹ it is given a light wind speed of 0.5 m s⁻¹. If u is missing or >100 m s⁻¹ it is assumed to be erroneous but 532 533 given a moderate wind speed of 6 m s⁻¹. We also approximate surface values T_0 , q_0 and u_0 where $T_0 = SST$, $q_0 =$ 534 $q_{sat}(SST)$ *0.98 and $u_0 = 0$. Clearly, with so many necessary approximations there are many different plausible 535 methodological choices, hence the need for multiple independent analyses that explore these different choices in 536 order to quantify the structural uncertainty.

538 We begin the iteration by assuming a value for *L* depending on assumed stability:

- if (SST - T) > 0.2 °C: L = -50 m, unstable conditions are assumed;

540 - if (SST - T) < -0.2 °C: L = 50 m, stable conditions assumed;

541 - if (SST = T) +/- 0.2 °C: L = 5000 m, neutral conditions assumed where L tends to ∞ .

542 We also start with an assumption that the 10 m wind speed in neutral conditions $u_{10n} = u$. The iteration is

543 continued until L converges to within 0.1 m, which it generally does. If after 100 iterations there is no

- 544 convergence we either apply no adjustment or if absolute L is large (> 500 m) we assume neutral conditions and
- take *L* (and all other parameters) as they are. In cases where u_* is very large (it should be < 0.5 m s⁻¹ [Stull,
- 546 1988]) we also apply no adjustment. The iteration involves 21 steps as described in the Supplementary Material.
- 547

For most observations we arrive at a plausible *L*, friction velocity u_* , ψ_x and ψ_{x10} . We then calculate the scaling parameters T_* and q_* :

550

551
$$T_* = \kappa \left(\ln \left(\frac{z_t}{z_{t_0}} \right) - \psi_t \right)^{-1} (T - T_0)$$
(2a)

552
$$q_* = \kappa \left(\ln \left(\frac{z_q}{z_{q_0}} \right) - \psi_q \right)^{-1} (q - q_0)$$
 (2b)

553

where the neutral stability heat transfer coefficient $z_{t0} = 0.001$ m and the neutral stability moisture transfer coefficient $z_{q0} = 0.0012$ m (Smith 1988). The adjusted values for T_{10} and q_{10} can then be calculated from Eq. (1). From these we recalculate the other humidity variables using the equations in Table S1.

557

There is uncertainty in the obtained HOHest. Given that this is a best estimate we assume that the uncertainty in the height is normally distributed and use the standard deviation in the height estimate HOHest to calculate an uncertainty range in the height adjusted value x (where x is any of T, q etc.) of xH_{min} to xH_{max} . Following the 'two out of three chance' rule in the BIPM Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (BIPM, 2008), the standard uncertainty (1 σ) for the height adjusted value (U_h) is then given by:

563

564
$$U_h = \frac{x H_{max} - x H_{min}}{2} \tag{3}$$

566	The range xH_{min} to xH_{max} depends on the source of HOHest and associated σ , as listed above. There are several
567	scenarios where estimating the uncertainty in this way is not possible or calculation of an adjustment is not
568	possible. Also, U_h for buoys is highly uncertain given the lack of height information available. These alternative
569	scenarios are documented in Table 2.
570	
571	3.4 Estimating residual uncertainty at the observation level
572	
573	Three other sources of uncertainty affect the marine humidity data at the observation level. These are
574	measurement uncertainty U_m , climatology uncertainty U_c and whole number uncertainty U_w . These are all
575	assessed as 1 σ standard uncertainties.
576	
577	We have estimated U_m for each observation following the method used for HadISDH.land (Willett et al., 2013,
578	2014). This assumes that humidity was measured using a pyschrometer which is a reasonable assumption for the
579	marine ship data (Fig. 4). The HadISDH.land measurement uncertainty is based on an estimated standard (1 σ)
580	uncertainty in the wet bulb and dry bulb instruments of 0.15° C and 0.2° C respectively. As shown in Table S3,
581	the equivalent uncertainty for the other variables depends on the temperature. The uncertainty is applied as a
582	standard uncertainty in RH depending on which bin the air temperature falls in. This is then propagated through
583	the other variables starting with vapour pressure, using the equations in Table S1.
584	
585	Whole numbers of air and/or dew point temperature that have either been flagged as such during quality control
586	(Sect. 3.2), or that belong to a source deck/year where whole numbers make up more than two times the
587	frequency of other decimal places (Table S4), are given an uncertainty U_w . These decks and years where whole
588	numbers are very common differ for air and/or dew point temperature. Clearly with so many decks affected, the
589	removal of entire decks to remove any whole number biasing could easily reduce sampling to critically low
590	levels. We cannot distinguish between observations that have been rounded versus those that have been
591	truncated so we assume that all offending whole numbers have been rounded. This means that the value could
592	be anywhere between $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C, with a uniform distribution. Hence, where only air or dew point temperature is
593	an offending whole number the standard 1 σ uncertainty expressed in air or dew point temperature (° C) is:
594	
595	$U_w = \frac{0.5}{\sqrt{3}} \tag{4}$

596

597 Where both air and dew point temperature are offending whole numbers the standard 1 σ uncertainty expressed 598 in air or dew point temperature (° C) for dew point depression, relative humidity and wet bulb temperature is: 599

$$U_w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \tag{5}$$

601

600

602 There is uncertainty U_c in the climatological values used to calculate climate anomalies because of missing data 603 over time, uneven and sparse sampling in space and also the inevitable mismatch between a point observation 604 and a 1° by 1° gridded pentad climatology. This uncertainty reduces with the number of observations 605 contributing to the climatology N_{obs} and with the variability of the region σ_{clim} . The climatologies used to create 606 the anomalies have undergone spatial and temporal interpolation to move from 5° by 5° gridded monthly 607 climatologies and climatological standard deviations σ_{clim} to maximise coverage and so it is not straightforward 608 to assess the number of observations contributing to each 1° by 1° gridded pentad climatology and the true σ_{clim} 609 is likely greater. The minimum number of years required to be present over the 30 year climatology period is 10. 610 Therefore, we assume a worst case scenario of $N_{obs} = 10$. Hence, for a standard 1σ uncertainty:

611

612

$$U_c = \frac{\sigma_{clim}}{\sqrt{N_{obs}}} \tag{6}$$

613

614 3.5 Gridding of actual and anomaly values and uncertainty

615

To create a quasi-global monitoring product the raw observations need to be gridded. The spatial density is too
low for high resolution grids and the intended purpose is for this marine product to be blended with the
HadISDH.land humidity product which is on a 5° by 5° grid at monthly resolution. Hence, the point hourly
observations must be averaged to monthly mean gridded values.

620

621 The sparsity of the data means that there is a risk of bias due to poor sampling. A 5° by 5° gridbox covers an
622 area greater than 500 km² by 500 km² which, despite the large correlation decay distances of both temperature
623 and humidity, can include considerable variability. Furthermore, a monthly mean can be made up of a strong
624 diurnal cycle and considerable synoptic variability. This is minimised by the use of climate anomalies but

625 regardless, care should be taken to ensure sufficient sampling density while maximising coverage where626 possible.

628	Several data-density criteria were trialled to balance spatial coverage and poor representativeness (high
629	variance) of the gridbox averages. Climate anomalies are created at the raw observation level by subtracting the
630	nearest 1° by 1° pentad climatology (1981-2010) and so we can grid both the actual values and the anomalies.
631	Gridding of the anomalies is safer than gridding actual values in terms of biasing through poor sampling density
632	because the correlation length scales of anomalies are higher than for actual temperatures. Initially, ERA-
633	Interim is used to provide a climatology. This then requires an iterative approach to produce an initial
634	observation-based climatology and improve the climatology through quality control. To reduce biasing further
635	we grid the data in six stages to create an average at each stage. The entire process including quality control,
636	bias adjustment, gridding and three iterations, is shown diagrammatically in Fig. 5 and each gridding stage
637	described below.
638	
639	1. Create 1° by 1° 3-hourly gridded means of the hourly observations of actuals and anomalies; there
640	must be at least one observation.
641	2. Create separate 1° by 1° daytime and night time gridded means of the 1° by 1° 3-hourly gridded
642	mean actuals and anomalies; there must be at least one 1° by 1° 3-hourly grid.
643	3. Create 5° by 5° monthly daytime and night time gridded means of the 1° by 1° daytime and night
644	time gridded mean actuals and anomalies; there must be at least 0.3*days in the month of 1° by 1°
645	daily grids.
646	4. Create combined 5° by 5° monthly gridded means of the 5° by 5° monthly daytime and night time
647	gridded mean actuals and anomalies; there must be at least 1 5° by 5° monthly daytime or night
648	time gridded mean.
649	5. Create 1981-2010 5° by 5° monthly mean climatologies and standard deviations from the 5° by 5°
650	monthly gridded means of actuals and anomalies; there must be at least 10 5° by 5° monthly
651	gridded means.
652	6. Renormalise the gridded anomalies by subtracting the monthly anomaly 1981-2010 climatology to
653	remove biases from use of the previous iteration climatology (Sect. 4.1).
654	

At each iteration the gridded observation based climatologies are infilled linearly over small gaps in space and
time and then interpolated down to 1° by 1° pentad resolution. The observations are too sparse to create such
high-resolution grids directly.

658

659 The observation uncertainties also need to be gridded and the total observation uncertainty U_o calculated. Ships 660 move around, and so their uncertainties also track around the globe. This means that the uncertainty in any one 661 point / gridbox bears some relationship to nearby points / gridboxes over time and space and cannot be treated 662 independently. Correlation needs to be accounted for both in gridding and subsequently creating regional 663 averages from gridboxes to avoid underestimation. The five sources of observation uncertainty are summarised 664 in Table 2. The non-aspirated instrument adjustment uncertainty U_i , height adjustment uncertainty U_h and 665 climatology uncertainty U_c persist over time and space as ships move around. These are accordingly treated as 666 correlating completely within one gridbox month. The measurement uncertainty U_m , and whole number 667 uncertainty U_w are likely to differ observation to observation and so treated as having no correlation within one 668 gridbox month. Hence, observation uncertainty sources are first gridded individually, following the first four 669 steps outlined above and taking into account correlation where necessary. For those that do not correlate (U_m 670 and U_w) the gridbox mean uncertainties U_{gb} for each source are combined over N points in time and space as 671 follows:

672

673
$$U_{gb} = \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 \dots + n^2}}{N}$$
(7)

674

For those sources that do correlate (U_c , U_i and U_h), assuming r = 1, the gridbox mean uncertainties U_{gb} for each source are combined over N points in time and space as follows:

677

$$678 U_{gb} = \frac{a+b\dots+n}{N} (8)$$

679

680 To create the total observational uncertainty for each gridbox the gridbox quantities of the five uncertainty681 sources can then be combined in quadrature:

682

683
$$U_o = \sqrt{U_c^2 + U_m^2 + U_w^2 + U_h^2 + U_i^2}$$
(9)

Given the general sparsity of observations across each gridbox month and the uneven distribution of
observations across each gridbox and over time there is also a gridbox sampling uncertainty component, U_s.
This is estimated directly at the 5° by 5° monthly gridbox level and follows the methodology applied for
HadISDH.land (Willett et al., 2013, 2014), denoted SE², which is based on station-based observations from
Jones et al (1997):

690

691
$$U_s = \frac{\left(\bar{s}_l^2 \bar{r}(1-\bar{r})\right)}{(1+(N_s-1)\bar{r})}$$
(10)

692

693 where \bar{s}_i^2 is the mean variance of individual stations within gridbox, \bar{r} is the mean inter-site correlation and N_s is 694 the number of stations contributing to the gridbox mean in each month. The mean variance of individual stations 695 within the gridbox is estimated as:

696

697
$$\bar{s}_i^2 = \frac{(\hat{s}^2 N_{SC})}{(1+(N_{SC}-1)\bar{r})}$$
 (11)

698

699 where \hat{S}^2 is the variance of the gridbox monthly anomalies over the 1982-2010 climatology period and N_{SC} is 700 the mean number of stations contributing to the gridbox over the climatology period. The mean inter-site 701 correlation is estimated by:

702

703
$$\bar{r} = \frac{x_0}{x} \left(1 - exp\left(-\frac{x_0}{x}\right) \right)$$
(12)

704

705 where X is the diagonal distance across the gridbox and x_0 is the correlation decay length between gridbox 706 means. We calculate x_0 as the distance (gridbox midpoint to midpoint) at which correlation reduces to 1/e. To 707 account for the fact that marine observations generally move around at each time point we use the concept of 708 pseudo-stations to modify this methodology. For any one day there could be 25 1° by 1° gridboxes and so we 709 assume that the maximum number of pseudo-stations per gridbox is 25 which is broadly consistent with the 710 number of stations per gridbox in HadISDH.land. Over a month then, there could be a maximum of 775 1° by 1° daily gridboxes contributing to each 5° by 5° monthly gridbox. Given ubiquitous missing data and sparse 711 712 sampling the maximum in practice is closer to 600. Using these values we then scale the actual number of 1° by

 1° daily gridboxes contributing to each 5° by 5° monthly gridbox to provide a pseudo-station number between 1

and 25 for each month (N_s) and then the average over the climatology period (N_{SC}) .

715

716 The gridbox U_o and U_s uncertainties are then combined in quadrature, assuming no correlation between the two

717 sources. This gives the full gridbox uncertainty U_f. Calculation of regional average uncertainty and spatial

718 coverage uncertainty is covered in Sect. 4.

719

720 4 Analysis and validity of the gridded product

721

722 The final gridded marine humidity monitoring product presented as HadISDH.marine.1.0.0.2018f is the result of 723 the 3rd iteration quality-control and bias-adjustment of ship-only observations average into 5° by 5° gridded 724 monthly means (Fig. 5). There are four reasons for only using the ship observations. Firstly, the increase in 725 spatial coverage in the combined ship and buoy product is actually fairly small (Fig. S2) and only during the 726 latter part of the record. Secondly, a dataset intended for detecting long-term changes in climate should have 727 reasonably consistent input data and coverage over time. Thirdly, we believe that the buoy data are less reliable 728 given their proximity to the sea surface and exposure to sea spray contamination in addition to the lower 729 maintenance frequency compared to ship data. Fourthly, there are no metadata available for buoy observations 730 which makes it difficult to apply necessary bias adjustments or estimate uncertainties. Actual monthly means, 731 anomalies from the 1981-2010 climatology (not standardised by division with the standard deviation), the 732 climatological means and standard deviation of the climatologies, uncertainty components and number of 733 observations for both products are all made available as netCDF from www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisdh/. 734

735 4.1 Comparison of climatologies between HadISDH.marine and ERA-Interim

736

At the end of each iteration (Fig. 5), observation-based climatology fields are created at both the monthly 5° by 5° grid and, by interpolation, pentad 1° by 1° grid (Sect. 3.5). These are then used to quality control and create anomaly values for the next iteration. Hence, the 2nd iteration quality-controlled data are used to build the final 3rd iteration and therefore, there should be no lasting effect from having used the ERA-Interim fields initially. The quality-controlled, buddy-checked and bias-adjusted 3rd iteration is used to create the final climatology provided to users. 743

744 To compare the use of ERA-Interim versus the observation based climatology to calculate anomalies and quality control the data we show difference maps of the 2nd iteration minus ERA-Interim pentad 1° by 1° grid 745 746 climatologies and climatological standard deviations in Figs. S9 to S14 for a selection of pentads and variables. 747 Note that ERA-Interim fields are for 2 m above the ocean surface whereas the raw observations range between 748 approximately 10 m to 30 m above the surface. In normal conditions we may therefore expect ERA-Interim to 749 provide climatologies that are warmer and moister than the observations. However, overall, ERA-Interim 750 appears drier (both in absolute and relative terms) and cooler than the observation based climatologies. For 751 humidity this is consistent with the results of Kent et al. (2014). For the majority of gridboxes these differences 752 are within ± 2 g kg⁻¹, %rh and °C. However, differences are especially strong around coastlines with magnitudes exceeding \pm 10 g kg⁻¹, %rh and °C. This is to be expected given that ERA-Interim coastal 753 754 gridboxes will include effects from land, especially at the relatively coarse 1° by 1° grid resolution. For relative 755 humidity there are more regions where ERA-Interim is more saturated and there is more seasonality in the 756 differences. Relative humidity is less stable spatially and on synoptic time scales and also more susceptible to 757 biases and errors than specific humidity and air temperature, largely because it is affected by errors in both air 758 temperature and dew point temperature. For temperature, the coastal difference can be positive or negative 759 depending on the season.

760

The climatological standard deviations are generally lower in the 2nd iteration observations compared to ERA-761 Interim. Differences are generally between ± 2 g kg⁻¹, %rh and °C but for relative humidity there are expansive 762 763 regions in the extratropics to mid-latitudes, especially in the Northern Hemisphere where climatological 764 standard deviations are up to 5 %rh lower in the observations. The generally lower variability in the 765 observation-based climatology is to be expected given the interpolation from monthly mean resolution and 766 interpolation over neighbouring gridboxes where data coverage is limited. However, much of the tropics, 767 particularly in the Southern Hemisphere tends to show more variability in the observations. Similarly, many of 768 the peripheral gridboxes (those at the edge of the spatial coverage and therefore more likely to be interpolated 769 from nearby gridboxes rather than based on actual data) show higher variability for specific and relative 770 humidity and lower variability for air temperature. All of these gridboxes are in data sparse regions which likely 771 contributes to the higher variability. Ideally, observation based climatologies would be created directly at the

pentad 1° by 1° grid but this severely reduces spatial coverage of the climatology fields and any product based
on them. A balance has to be made between coverage and quality.

774



- 800 interannual variability. We consider these trends to be significant because the 90th percentile confidence
- 801 intervals around the trend are not large enough to bring the direction of the trends into question. The trends in

802 the global average are positive over the 1973-2018 period for specific humidity, dew point temperature and air 803 temperature, and negative for relative humidity. The linear trends for the final HadISDH.marine.1.0.0.2018f 804 version are 0.07 ± 0.02 g kg⁻¹ decade⁻¹, -0.09 ± 0.08 %rh decade⁻¹, $0.09 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ C decade⁻¹ and $0.11 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$ C 805 decade ⁻¹ for specific humidity, relative humidity, dew point temperature and air temperature respectively. 806 Hence, we conclude that HadISDH.marine shows moistening and warming since the 1970s globally in actual 807 terms but that the air above the oceans appears to have become less saturated and drier in relative terms. This 808 differs from theoretical expectation where changes in relative humidity over ocean are strongly energetically 809 constrained to be small, of the order of 1% K⁻¹ or less, and generally positive (Held and Soden, 2006; Schneider 810 et al., 2010). Model-based expectations also suggest small positive changes (Byrne and O'Gorman, 2013, 2016, 811 2018). Despite careful quality control and bias-adjustment the previously noted moist humidity bias pre-1982 is 812 still apparent in the bias-adjusted (BA) data. The linear trend in relative humidity from 1982 to 2018 is -0.03 \pm 813 0.13 %rh decade ⁻¹, and therefore not significantly decreasing which is more consistent with expectation.

814

815 Since there are considerable known issues affecting the marine humidity data, and because there are large 816 outliers (Figs. S3 to S6), the effect of quality (noQC compared to noBA), might be expected to be large. 817 Furthermore, approximately 25 %, dropping steadily over time to 18 % of the initial selection of data have been 818 removed by the quality control (Fig. 5), so there is a considerable difference in the amount of data contributing 819 to the quality-controlled version compared to the raw version. Despite all of this, differences are relatively 820 small. Overall, the quality control makes the positive trends smaller (specific humidity, dew point temperature 821 and air temperature) and negative trends larger (relative humidity). The effect of quality control, including 822 buddy checking, is largest in the 1970s to early 1980s, when the largest amount of data was removed by quality 823 control. This is especially noticeable for relative humidity and dew point temperature, suggesting that the pre-824 1982 bias, although present to some extent in the raw (noQC) data, could be exacerbated by the quality control. 825 This could be due to erroneous removal of good data but investigation (Figs. S3 to S8) suggests that much of the 826 data removal was appropriate - many very low relative humidity values were removed. It could also be an 827 artefact of the reduced number of observations after quality control, reducing the chance of averaging out 828 random error. To explore whether the presence of whole numbers in the record has contributed to the pre-1982 829 bias we have processed a bias adjusted version with all whole number flagged data (Table 1) removed 830 (BA_no_whole) which is shown against the noQC and BA versions in Fig. 9d. The resulting global average 831 trend is largest in the BA_no_whole version, even over the 1982-2018 period, and the pre-1982 bias is still

832 clear. We conclude that the pre-1982 moist bias remains apparent in HadISDH.marine, and is not yet well

833 understood, and quality control of the pre-1982 data is an area for more research in future versions.

834

835 The bias adjustment (BA, BA_HGT, BA_INST) reduces the negative trends in relative humidity compared to 836 the quality-controlled (noBA) data, and increases the positive trends in specific humidity and dew point 837 temperature relative to the quality-controlled data. The effect of bias adjustment is negligible for air 838 temperature, which only has adjustment for ship height applied. For the humidity variables the height 839 adjustment has a far larger effect than the non-aspirated instrument adjustment. The non-aspirated instrument 840 adjustment makes the positive trends in specific humidity and dew point temperature slightly smaller and the 841 negative trends in relative humidity slightly larger. The height adjustment has the opposite effect. For relative 842 humidity, the bias adjustments appear to have introduced greater intra-decadal scale variability but retained the 843 interannual patterns, again highlighting the sensitivity of relative humidity compared to the other variables. 844 Given that these biases exist we do have to try and mitigate their impact. However, this is a focus area for 845 investigation and improvements in future versions of HadISDH.marine.

846

847 The timeseries that include data from moored buoys compared to those from ships only ('all' versus 'ship')
848 show smaller positive trends for specific humidity and air temperature and larger negative trends for relative
849 humidity. Moored buoys begin to play a role from the late 1980s, increasing in number dramatically to make up
850 over 50 % of the observations by 2015. The 'all' timeseries can be seen to diverge slightly from the 'ship'
851 timeseries in the latter part of the record. Therefore, it is more consistent to produce the final HadISDH.marine
852 version without inclusion of moored buoy data.

853

854 Before quality control there are more daytime ship observations than night time ship observations in the early 855 record (~1 000 000 compared to ~800 000 per year) but this evens out by the end of the record to ~900 000 per 856 year. However, the quality control removes more daytime observations than night time observations, especially 857 in the 1970s and 1980s such that both contribute ~700 000 observations per year, dipping in the middle of the 858 record. There has been no bias adjustment for solar heating of ships applied in this version of HadISDH.marine 859 so the daytime data may contain some artefacts of solar heating. If this is a problem it should affect the air 860 temperature and relative humidity but not the dew point temperature or specific humidity (Sect. 2.1). While the 861 full dataset (both) combines both daytime and night time data, for various gridboxes and seasons there is only

862 either a daytime or night time value present. As such, the 'both' timeseries and its linear trend may not be a 863 straightforward average of the 'day' and 'night' timeseries and trends. For specific humidity, dew point 864 temperature and air temperature the 'day' and 'night' trend differences are essentially negligible, with linear 865 trends identical or within 0.01 g kg⁻¹ decade⁻¹. Even for relative humidity the differences are small. The 'day' 866 timeseries gives the largest negative trend followed by 'both' which is 0.01 %rh decade ⁻¹ smaller and then 'night' which is 0.02 %rh decade ⁻¹ smaller again. The negligible differences in air temperature suggest that 867 868 solar heating is not a significant concern at least at the global average scale. Relative humidity is very sensitive 869 to any differences in the data but even these differences are fairly small and do not change the overall 870 conclusion of decreasing full-period trends and no significant trend over the 1982-2018 period. 'Night' trends 871 are often thought to provide a better signal of change because they are generally free from convective and 872 shortwave radiative processes and more a measure of outgoing longwave radiation. The main conclusion here is 873 that trends and variability are very similar in the daytime, night time and combined timeseries which adds 874 confidence in their representativeness of real-world trends and variability.

875

876 In terms of linear trend direction, HadISDH.marine compares well with other monitoring estimates from 877 NOCSv2.0 and ERA-Interim and to other reanalyses and older products (Fig. 1). ERA-Interim in Figs. 8 to 11 is 878 from analysis fields of 2 m air temperature and dew point temperature and has been masked to ocean coverage 879 using a 1° by 1° land-sea mask and also to HadISDH.marine coverage for comparison. Note that the ERA-880 Interim timeseries shown in Fig. 1 are from background forecast values to avoid biases introduced from ship 881 data and ocean-only points over open sea. Both NOCSv2.0 and HadISDH.marine are estimates of 10 m 882 quantities and the NOCSv2.0 coverage is similar to that of HadISDH.marine but it only extends to 2015. NOCSv2.0 shows the largest trends in specific humidity over the 1979-2015 common period, 0.04 g kg⁻¹ decade 883 884 ⁻¹ greater than HadISDH.marine. The interannual patterns are broadly similar but with some differences showing 885 that methodological choices do make a difference, given that the underlying observations are from the same 886 source. ERA-Interim shows very weak moistening compared to HadISDH.marine for specific humidity and dew 887 point temperature and slightly weaker warming for air temperature. Over the longer 1979-2018 period ERA-888 Interim trends are slightly larger for specific humidity but still weaker than in HadISDH.marine. The decreasing 889 saturation in relative humidity is very strong in ERA-Interim at more than 2 times the HadISDH.marine trend 890 over the common period. The masking to HadISDH.marine coverage surprisingly makes very little difference in 891 the linear trends, they are slightly more negative, and only small year-to-year differences. Interannual behaviour

does differ, especially for relative humidity and especially in the period up to the early 1990s where ERAInterim is warmer and wetter generally, thus moderating the long-term trends in specific humidity, dew point
temperature and air temperature. Note that the ERA-Interim background field relative humidity shown in Fig, 1
also shows a decrease but to a lesser extent than the analysis fields (Fig. 9) which include ship data. Agreement
is closest for air temperature in both trends and variability.

897

The decreasing relative humidity trends over ocean are similar to the drying seen in HadISDH.land and ERA-Interim land relative humidity (Fig. 1); land linear trends are 0.03 %rh more negative at -0.12 (-027 to -0.03) %rh 10 yr⁻¹ over the same 1973 to 2018 period. The timeseries pattern is quite different though with marine relative humidity decreasing throughout the period around large variability and land relative humidity clearly decreasing from 2000. The greater sensitivity of relative humidity to observation errors, biases and sampling issues makes the conclusion of long-term drying an uncertain one but agreement with ERA-Interim adds some weight to this conclusion.

905

906 For the final HadISDH.marine.1.0.0.2018f product the regional average uncertainty is also computed and shown 907 for the global average (70° S to 70° N) in Fig. 12. This includes the total observation uncertainty, which covers 908 uncertainty components for instrument adjustment, height adjustment, measurement, climatology and whole 909 number uncertainty (Table 2). In addition, the regional average uncertainty includes the gridbox sampling 910 uncertainty and also a spatial coverage uncertainty, following the method applied for HadISDH.land (Willett et 911 al., 2014). The coverage uncertainty essentially uses the variability between ERA-Interim full coverage 912 compared to ERA-Interim with HadISDH.marine coverage to estimate uncertainty. To obtain uncertainty in the 913 global average from the gridbox uncertainties correlation in time and space should be taken into account. It is 914 not trivial to assess the true spatial and temporal correlation of the various uncertainty sources. In reality, 915 although ships move around over space and time, implying some correlation, the contributing sources to each 916 ~500 km² gridbox monthly mean differ widely. Therefore, for this first version product we assume no 917 correlation between gridboxes in time or space and take the simple approach of the quadrature combination of 918 uncertainty sources, noting that this is a lower limit on uncertainties. 919

920 The uncertainty in the global averages (Fig. 12) is larger than the equivalent timeseries for land (see Fig. 12 in921 Willett et al., 2014). The coverage uncertainty (accounting for observation gaps in space and time) is generally

922 the largest source of uncertainty with the exception of relative humidity and dew point depression. For the latter 923 two, the total observation uncertainty makes up the greatest contribution. In all cases the total observation 924 uncertainty is larger at the beginning and especially the end of the records, where there are fewer/no metadata 925 with which to apply bias adjustments. The contribution from sampling uncertainty (gridbox spatial and temporal 926 coverage) is generally very small except for relative humidity. This is as expected given that the correlation 927 decay distance of humidity should generally be larger over ocean than over land given the homogeneous surface 928 altitude and composition. Overall, the magnitudes of the uncertainties are small relative to the magnitudes of 929 long-term trends and variability in all variables except for relative humidity and dew point depression. This 930 suggests that there is good confidence in changes in absolute measures of humidity over ocean (e.g., specific 931 humidity), and also air temperature, but lower confidence in changes in the relative humidity. The warming and 932 moistening are further corroborated by strong theoretical reasoning based on laws of physics governing the 933 expectation that specific humidity should have increased over the period of record given the warming of the 934 oceans and atmosphere that has occurred (Hartmann et al., 2013). The uncertainty model makes many 935 assumptions over correlation of uncertainty in space and time. It is likely that we have overestimated the 936 uncertainty at the gridbox scale by assuming complete correlation for height adjustment uncertainty, instrument 937 adjustment uncertainty and climatological uncertainty. Conversely, we have likely underestimated the 938 uncertainty at the regional average level by assuming no correlation. This is certainly an area for improvement 939 in future versions.

940 4.3 Decadal trends across the globe presented by HadISDH.marine

941

942 Figure 13 shows the decadal linear trends for specific humidity, relative humidity, dew point temperature and air 943 temperature for HadISDH.marine.1.0.0.2018f. The completeness criteria for trend fitting is 70 %, more strict 944 than for the climatologies (Fig. 7). This results in poorer spatial coverage especially in the Southern 945 Hemisphere. Clearly, there are no data points outside 70° S to 70° N, hence the restriction of the global average 946 timeseries to this region is sensible. The tropical and Southern Hemisphere Pacific Ocean, and Southern 947 Hemisphere Atlantic Ocean have virtually no data coverage. Overall, the appearance of the trends shows good 948 spatial consistency, with few gridboxes standing out as obviously erroneous. There has been no interpolation 949 across gridboxes that would have smoothed out any outliers, and so the lack of these outlying gridboxes 950 suggests that the data are of reasonable quality for this long-term analysis at least. Trends are as expected from

951 the global average timeseries – generally moistening and warming but becoming less saturated. The same is true
952 over land (Willett et al., 2014).

953

954 The moistening shown in specific humidity and dew point temperature (Fig. 13 panels a, b and e, f) is 955 widespread. The majority of gridboxes are considered to be statistically significant in that the 90th percentile 956 confidence interval around the trend magnitude is the same sign as the trend and does not encompass zero. The 957 largest increases in specific humidity are in the lower latitudes whereas the largest increases in dew point 958 temperature are more spread out with a tendency towards the extratropics and mid-latitudes. There are a few 959 regions where there are clusters of gridboxes with drying trends. These are generally consistent between the 960 specific humidity and dew point temperature, especially in the few cases where these negative trends are 961 significant such as the central Pacific, the east coast of Brazil, the southern coast of Australia and around New 962 Zealand. 963 964 Marine air temperature shows widespread and significant warming, in agreement with HadNMAT2 (Kent et al., 965 2013). Very few of the gridboxes with a negative trend are significant. In some cases they are in similar 966 locations to the drying trends seen in specific humidity and/or dew point temperature e.g., the coast south of

967 Australia around Tasmania, the east coast of Brazil. The warming is stronger in the northern mid-latitudes with
968 the Baltic, Mediterranean and Red Seas showing particularly strong warming consistent with strongly increasing
969 dew point temperature and specific humidity.

970

Whilst relative humidity is more sensitive to methodological choices and observational errors, the broad
spatially coherent structures to the regions of increasing and decreasing saturation, with broadscale significance,
are very encouraging in terms of data quality. Furthermore, the drying trends tend to be around the mid-latitudes
while the increasing saturation trends are more around the tropics, as seen over land. We still urge caution in the
use of marine relative humidity but these results collectively suggest that decreasing saturation might be a real
feature.

978 5 Code and data availability

- 980 HadISDH.marine is available as 5° by 5° gridded fields of monthly means and anomalies along with a 1981-
- 981 2010 climatology and uncertainty estimates at the gridbox scale. The data begin in January 1973 and continue to
- 982 December 2018 (at time of writing) and will be updated annually. HadISDH.marine is publicly available from
- 983 www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisdh/ under an Open Government license
- 984 (http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/) as netCDF and text files.
- 985 Processing code (Python) can also be made available on request. HadISDH.marine data, derived diagnostics and
- 986 plots can be found at <u>www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisdh</u> and
- 987 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5285/463b2fcd6a264a39b1e3249dab16c177</u> (Willett et al., 2020). It should be cited using
- 988 this paper and the following: Willett, K.M.; Dunn, R.J.H.; Kennedy, J.J.; Berry, D.I. (2020): HadISDH marine:
- 989 gridded global monthly ocean surface humidity data version 1.0.0.2018f. Centre for Environmental Data
- **990** Analysis, 5th August 2020. doi:10.5285/463b2fcd6a264a39b1e3249dab16c177.
- 991 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5285/463b2fcd6a264a39b1e3249dab16c177</u>.
- 992
- 993 This product forms one of the HadOBS (<u>www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs</u>) climate monitoring products and will
- be blended with the HadISDH.land product to create a global land and marine humidity monitoring product.
- 995 Updates and exploratory analyses are documented at <u>http://hadisdh.blogspot.co.uk</u> and through the Met Office
- 996 HadOBS twitter account @metofficeHadOBS.
- 997
- 998 6 Discussion and conclusions

999

- Marine humidity data are susceptible to a considerable number of biases and sources of error that can be large in magnitude. We have cleaned the data where possible by applying quality control for outliers, supersaturation, repeated values and neighbour inconsistency which has removed up to 25 % of our initial selection in some years. We have also applied adjustments to account for biases arising from un-aspirated instrument types and differing observation heights over space and time. Care has also been taken to avoid diurnal and seasonal
- sampling biases as far as possible when building the gridded fields and the use of gridbox mean climate
- anomalies reduces remaining random error through averaging.

1007

Spatial coverage of HadISDH.marine differs year to year. The coverage is generally poorer than seen for
variables such as SST which benefit significantly from drifting buoy observations. Any further decline in

1010 observation and transmission of humidity from ships is of concern to our ability to robustly monitor surface 1011 humidity over oceans. Future versions may be able to make more use of humidity data from buoys but their 1012 proximity to the sea surface and difficulty of regular maintenance can lead to poor quality observations. The 1013 provision of digital metadata significantly improves our ability to quantify and account for biases in the data. 1014 Hence, the continuity of this metadata beyond 2014, and ideally an increase in quantity, also strongly affects our 1015 ability to robustly monitor ocean surface humidity. Given the current availability of ship data and metadata, and 1016 necessarily strict selection criteria and quality control, the resulting spatial coverage is good over the Northern 1017 Hemisphere outside of the high latitudes. There is very poor coverage over the Southern Hemisphere, especially 1018 south of 20° S. This means that our 'global' analyses are biased to the Northern Hemisphere. Care should be 1019 taken to account for different spatial coverage when comparing products. However, when comparing HadISDH 1020 to masked and unmasked ERA-Interim fields differences were surprisingly small.

1021

1022 We have shown that the observations are warm and moist relative to ERA-Interim reanalysis for the majority of 1023 the observed globe apart from the northwestern Pacific. This is despite ERA-Interim fields representing 2 m 1024 above the surface compared to the general observation heights of 10-30 m above the surface. Differences are 1025 largest around coastlines, particularly in the Red Sea and Persian Gulf. There is insufficient spatial coverage to 1026 produce a high resolution climatology from the data themselves, hence our use of ERA-Interim initially and then 1027 interpolated observation based fields. However, the lower resolution (5° by 5°) monthly mean climatologies 1028 from the final HadISDH.marine.1.0.0.2018f version show expected spatial patterns and have good spatial 1029 consistency, providing evidence that our data selection methods have resulted in reasonably high quality data. 1030

1031 The quality control and bias adjustment procedures have made small differences to the global average anomaly 1032 timeseries for specific humidity, dew point temperature and air temperature. This overall agreement in the 1033 global average timeseries between versions, and also between the daytime, night time and combined versions, 1034 increases confidence in the overall signal of increased moisture and warmth over oceans. These features show 1035 widespread spatial consistency in the HadISDH.marine.1.0.0.2018f gridbox decadal trends which also adds 1036 confidence. Hence, we can conclude that the ICOADS data are a useful source of humidity data for climate 1037 monitoring. However, we expect differences to be larger on smaller spatial scale analyses. HadISDH.marine 1038 shows consistency with other products in terms of long-term linear trends in the global averages. There are some

differences year to year, with ERA-Interim showing warmer and moister anomalies prior to the early 1990s, andhence, smaller trends overall.

1041

1042 For relative humidity, differences between the versions can be large for any one year but the overall decreasing 1043 saturation trend appears to be robust. We conclude this because the trend is consistent across all processing 1044 steps, apparent in ERA-Interim fields and also has spatial consistency across the extratropics and mid-latitudes. 1045 This is a somewhat surprising result and one that should be treated cautiously. Theoretical and model-based 1046 analysis of changes in relative humidity over ocean under a warming climate suggest negligible or small 1047 positive changes (Held and Soden, 2006; Schneider et al., 2010; Byrne and O'Gorman, 2013, 2016, 2018). The 1048 temporal patterns in global average relative humidity are quite different to those over land whereas specific 1049 humidity shows similarity with the HadISDH.land timeseries, largely driven by the El Niño related peaks. The 1050 pre-1982 data have previously been noted as having a moist bias and our processing steps do not appear to have 1051 removed this feature. The trend excluding this earlier period (1982-2018) is no longer a significant decreasing 1052 trend and therefore more consistent with expectation. Removal of whole number flagged data appeared to 1053 exacerbate the pre-1982 bias and make the negative trends larger. Further work to assess the physical 1054 mechanisms that might lead to such trends is needed.

1055

1056 There are known issues with ERA-Interim in terms of its stability. For example, sea surface temperatures cooled 1057 around mid-2001 due to a change in the SST analysis product used (Simmons et al., 2014). This is very likely to 1058 affect humidity over the ocean surface in ERA-Interim. Similarly, changes in satellite streams over time can also 1059 affect the long-term stability of ERA-Interim, even in the surface fields. Also, the assimilated ship data are not 1060 adjusted for biases in the ERA-Interim assimilation. Clearly, there are various issues affecting both in-situ based 1061 monitoring products and reanalysis products such that neither one can be easily identified as the more accurate 1062 estimate. Analyses should take into account all available estimates and their strengths and weaknesses.

1063 Comparison of HadISDH.marine with satellite-based estimates of humidity over ocean will be an important next1064 step.

1065

1002

1066 We have attempted to quantify uncertainty in HadISDH.marine. The uncertainty analysis comprises observation1067 uncertainty at the point of measurement which is then propagated through to gridbox averages taking correlation

1068 in space and time into account where relevant. Sampling uncertainty at the gridbox level due to uneven
1069 sampling across the gridbox in space and time is assessed. We have also provided uncertainty estimates in 1070 regional and global averages including coverage uncertainty. The propagation of gridbox observation and 1071 sampling uncertainty to large scale averages does not explicitly take into account correlation in these uncertainty 1072 quantities in space and time. As this is a first version monitoring product this simple method is seen as an 1073 appropriate first attempt to assess uncertainty. The ranges presented should be seen as a lower limit on the 1074 uncertainty. Overall, uncertainty in the global average is dominated by the coverage uncertainty for all variables 1075 except relative humidity and dew point depression. The total observation uncertainty is larger at the beginning, 1076 and especially the end of the record, where digital metadata are fewer or non-existent (post-2014). Overall, the 1077 uncertainty is small relative to the magnitude of long-term trends with the exception of relative humidity. We 1078 suspect that this is an overestimate at the gridbox level owing to assumptions of complete correlation in the 1079 height adjustment, instrument adjustment and climatology uncertainty components, and an underestimate at the 1080 regional average level given assumptions of no correlation. This is a first attempt to comprehensively quantify 1081 marine humidity uncertainty and future methodological improvements are envisaged.

1082

1083 We conclude that this first version marine humidity monitoring product is a reasonable estimate of large-scale 1084 trends and variability and contributes to our understanding of climate changes as a new and methodologically-1085 independent analysis. The trends and variability shown are mostly in concert with expectation; widespread 1086 moistening and warming is observed over the oceans (excluding the mostly data-free Southern Hemisphere) 1087 from 1973 to present. These are also large relative to the magnitude of our uncertainty estimates. Our key 1088 finding is that the marine relative humidity appears to be decreasing (the air is becoming less saturated). We 1089 have explored various processes for ensuring high quality data and shown that these do not make large 1090 differences for large scale analyses of specific humidity, dew point temperature and air temperature but that 1091 there is greater sensitivity to methodological choices for relative humidity.

1092

1093 The spatial coverage of surface humidity data is very low outside of the Northern Hemisphere. If only those data 1094 with digitised metadata are included then this coverage deteriorates further. Although moored buoy numbers 1095 have increased dramatically since the 1990s, their measurements are more prone to error through proximity to 1096 the water, and hence, contamination, in addition to less frequent manual checking and maintenance. Hence, our 1097 ability to monitor surface humidity with any degree of confidence depends on the continued availability of ship 1098 data and provision of digitised metadata.

1107

1109

1111

1113

1118

1123

1136

1100 Author Contributions

1101
1102 Kate Willett undertook the majority of the methodology, coding, writing and plotting. John Kennedy designed
and coded the quality control methodology and software with some contribution from Kate Willett. Robert
1104 Dunn designed and coded the gridding methodology and software with some contribution from Kate Willett.
1105 David Berry designed and reviewed the height adjustment methodology and provided guidance on marine
1106 humidity data biases, inhomogeneities and issues. All authors have contributed text and edits to the main paper.

1108 Competing Interests

1110 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1112 Acknowledgements

1114 Kate Willett, Robert Dunn and John Kennedy were supported by the Met Office Hadley Centre Climate
1115 Programme funded by BEIS and Defra. (GA01101).
1116

1117 References

Berry, D., 2009: Surface forcing of the North Atlantic: accuracy and variability, University of Southampton,176pp.

- Berry, D. I., Kent, E. C. and Taylor, P. K. : An analytical model of heating errors in marine air temperatures
 from ships, J. Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 21(8), 1198–1215, 2004.
- Berry, D. I. and Kent, E. C. : A new air-sea interaction gridded dataset from ICOADS with uncertainty
 estimates, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 90, 645-656, 2009.
- Berry, D. I. and Kent, E. C.: Air–Sea fluxes from ICOADS: the construction of a new gridded dataset with
 uncertainty estimates, Int. J. Climatol., 31, 987–1001, 2011.
- BIPM, 2008: Evaluation of measurement data Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement. JCGM
 100:2008. <u>https://www.bipm.org/en/publications/guides/gum.html</u>

Bojinski, S., M. Verstraete, T.C. Peterson, C. Richter, A. Simmons, and M. Zemp, 2014: <u>The Concept of Essential Climate Variables in Support of Climate Research, Applications, and Policy.</u> Bull. Amer. Meteor.
 Soc., 95, 1431–1443, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00047.1</u>

- 1137 Bosilovich, M. G., Akella, S., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C., Gelaro, R., Kovach, R., Liu, Q., Molod, A.,
- Norris, P., Wargan, K., Chao, W., Reichle, R., Takacs, L., Vikhliaev, Y., Bloom, S., Collow, A., Firth, S.,
 Labow, G., Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Reale, O., Schubert, S. D. and Suarez, M. : MERRA-2: Initial Evaluation of
 the Climate, Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, Volume 43, NASA/TM–2015104606/Vol. 43, pp. 136. http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/docs/, 2015.
- Buck, A. L. : New equations for computing vapor pressure and enhancement factor, J. Appl. Meteor., 20, 1527–
 1532, 1981.
- Byrne, M. P. and P. A. O'Gorman, 2013: Link between land-ocean warming contrast and surface relative
 humidities in simulations with coupled climate models. Geophysical Ressearch Letters, 40 (19), 5223-5227,
- 1148 https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50971.
- 1149

<sup>Byrne, M. P. and P. A. O'Gorman, 2016: Understanding decreases in land relative humidity with global
warming: conceptual model and GCM simulations. Journal of Climate, 29, 9045-9061, DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D16-0351.1.</sup>

- **1154** Byrne, M. P. and O'Gorman, P. A.: Trends in continental temperature and humidity directly linked to ocean
- warming, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA. 115(19), 4863-4868. doi:
- 1156 10.1073/pnas.1722312115, 2018. 1157
- Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) (2017): ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses
 of the global climate. Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS), February 2019.
 https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home
- 1160 <u>https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/hor</u> 1161
- Dai, A. : Recent climatology, variability, and trends in global surface humidity, J. Climate., 19, 3589–3606,
 2006.
- 1164
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M.
 A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L. J., Bidlot, L., Bormann, N., Delsol,
 C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Holm, E. V., Isaksen, L.,
 Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K.,
- Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thepaut, J.-N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis:
- configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 553–597,
 doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- 1172
- Elliott, W. P., Ross, R. J. and Schwartz, B. : Effects on climate records of changes in National Weather Service
 humidity processing procedures, Journal of Climate, 11, 2424-2436, 1998.
- 11751176 Freeman, E., Woodruff, S. D., Worley, S. J., Lubker, S. J., Kent, E. C., Angel, W. E., Berry, D. I., Brohan, P.,
- Eastman, R., Gates, L., Gloeden, W., Ji, Zaihua, Lawrimor, J., Rayner, N. A., Rosenhagen, G., Smith, S. R., :
 ICOADS Release 3.0: a major update to the historical marine climate record, International Journal of
 Climatology, 37 (5). 2211-2232.10.1002/joc.4775, 2017.
- 1180
 1181 Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A., Darmenov, A.,
 1182 Bosilovich, M. G., Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C., Akella, S., Buchard, V., Conaty,
 1183 A., da Silva, A. M., Gu, W., Kim, G., Koster, R., Lucchesi, R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J. E., Partyka, G.,
 1184 Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M., Schubert, S. D., Sienkiewicz, M. and Zhao, B., : <u>The Modern-Era</u>
 1185 <u>Retroyective Analysis for Research and Applications. Version 2 (MERRA-2).</u> J. Climate, 30, 5419–
- **1186** 5454,<u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1</u>, 2017. **1187**
- Gilhousen, D., : A Field evaluation of NDBC Moored Buoy Winds, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic
 Technology, 4, 94 104, 1987.
- 1190

1191 Hartmann, D. L., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Rusticucci, M., Alexander, L. V., Brönnimann, S., Charabi, Y.,

- 1192 Dentener, F. J., Dlugokencky, E. J., Easterling, D. R., Kaplan, A., Soden, B. J., Thorne, P. W., Wild, M. and
- **1193** Zhai, P. M.: Observations: Atmosphere and Surface. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
- 1194 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- Change [Stocker, T. F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex
 and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
- **1197** pp. 159 254, doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.008, 2013. **1198**
- Held, I. M. and Soden, B. J., : Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming. Journal ofClimate, 19, 5686-5699, 2006.
- 1201
- 1202 Hersbach, H., de Rosnay, P., Bell, B., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Alonso Balmaseda, M.,
- Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Berrisford, P., Bidlot, J., de Boisséson, E., Bonavita, M., Browne, P., Buizza, R.,
 Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Dragani, R., Diamantakis, M., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Geer, A., Haiden, T., Hólm, E.,
 Haimberger, L., Hogan, R., Horányi, A., Janisková, M., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Peubey, C.,
 Radu, R., Richardson, D., Thépaut, J.-N., Vitart, F., Yang, X., Zsótér, E. and Zuo, H.: Operational global
 reanalysis: progress, future directions and synergies with NWP, ERA Report 27, 63pp. Available from
 www.ecmwf.int, 2018.
- 1210 Jensen, M. E., Burman, R. D. and Allen, R. G.: Evapotranspiration and Irrigation Water Requirements: A
- 1211 Manual. American Society of Civil Engineers, 332 pp, 1990
- 1212

- Jones, P. D., Osborn, T. J., and Briffa, K. R. : Estimating sampling errors in large-scale temperature averages,
 Journal of Climate, 10, 2548-2568, 1997.
- Josey, S. A., Kent, E. C. and Taylor, P. K.: New insights into the ocean heat budget closure problem fromanalysis of the SOC air-sea flux climatology, J. Climate, 12, 2685–2718, 1999.

1218

1226

1230

1236

1239

1243

1255

- 1219 Kennedy, J. J., Rayner, N. A., Smith, R. O., Saunby, M. and Parker, D. E. : Reassessing biases and other
 uncertainties in sea-surface temperature observations since 1850 part 1: measurement and sampling errors, J.
 1221 Geophys. Res., 116, D14103, doi:10.1029/2010JD015218, 2011a.
 1222
- 1223 Kennedy, J. J., Rayner, N. A., Smith, R. O., Saunby, M. and Parker, D. E. : Reassessing biases and other
 1224 uncertainties in sea-surface temperature observations since 1850 part 2: biases and homogenisation, J. Geophys.
 1225 Res., 116, D14104, doi:10.1029/2010JD015220, 2011b.
- 1227 Kennedy, J. J., Rayner, N. A., Atkinson, C. P., & Killick, R. E. : An ensemble data set of sea-surface
 1228 temperature change from 1850: the Met Office Hadley Centre HadSST.4.0.0.0 data set, Journal of Geophysical
 1229 Research: Atmospheres, 124. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029867, 2019.
- 1231 Kent, E. C. and Challenor, P. G. : Towards estimating climatic trends in SST. Part II: random errors, Journal of
 1232 Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. 23, 476-486. DOI: 10.1175/JTECH1844.1, 2006.
 1233
- Kent, E. C., and Taylor, P. K. : Accuracy of humidity measurement on ships: Consideration of solar radiation
 effects, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 13, 1317–1321, 1996.
- Kent, E. C., Tiddy, R. J. and Taylor, P. K.: Correction of marine air temperature observations for solar radiation
 effects, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 10, 900–906, 1993.
- 1240 Kent, E. C., Woodruff, S. D. and Berry D. I. : Metadata from WMO Publication No. 47 and an Assessment of
 1241 Voluntary Observing Ship Observation Heights in ICOADS, J. Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 2007
 1242 24:2, 214-234, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JTECH1949.1</u>, 2007.
- Kent, E. C., Rayner, N. A., Berry, D. I., Saunby, M., Moat, B. I., Kennedy, J. J. and Parker, D. E. : Global
 analysis of night marine air temperature and its uncertainty since 1880: The HadNMAT2 data set, J. Geophys.
 Res. Atmos., 118, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50152, 2013.
- 1248 Kent, E. C., Berry, D. I., Prytherch, J., Roberts, J. B. : A comparison of global marine surface-specific humidity
 1249 datasets from *in situ* observations and atmospheric reanalysis. International Journal of Climatology, 34 (2). 3551250 376.<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3691, 2014.</u>
- 1252 Kobayashi, S., Ota, Y., Harada, Y., Ebita, A., Moriya, M., Onoda, H., Onogi, K., Kamahori, H., Kobayashi, C.,
 1253 Endo, H., Miyaoka, K., and Takahashi, K.: The JRA-55 reanalysis: general specifications and basic
 1254 characteristics, J. Meteor. Soc. Japan, 93, 5–48, https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2015-001, 2015.
- Menne, M. J. and Williams Jr., C. N. : Homogenization of Temperature Series via Pairwise Comparisons.
 Journal of Climate, 22, 1700-1717. DOI: 10.1175/2008JCLI2263.1
- Peixoto, J. P., and Oort, A. H. : The climatology of relative humidity in the atmosphere, J. Climate, 9, 3443–3463, 1996.
- Rayner, N. A., Parker, D. E., Horton, E. B., Folland, C. K., Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D. P., Kent, E. C., Kaplan,
 A. : Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late
 nineteenth century, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 108, No. D14, 4407.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002670, 2003.
- Rayner, N., Brohan, P., Parker, D., Folland, C., Kennedy, J., Vanicek, M., Ansell, T. and Tett, S. : Improved analyses of changes and uncertainties in sea surface temperature measured in situ since the mid-nineteenth century: The HadSST2 data set, J. Clim., 19(3), 446–469, doi:10.1175/JCLI3637.1, 2006.
- Santer, B. D., Thorne, P. W., Haimberger, L., Taylor, K. E., Wigley, T. M. L., Lanzante, J. R., Solomon, S.,
 Free, M., Gleckler, P. J., Jones, P. D., Karl, T. R., Klein, S. A., Mears, C., Nychka, D., Schmidt, G. A.,

- Sherwood, S. C. and Wentz, F. J.: Consistency of modelled and observed temperature trends in the tropical
 troposphere. Int. J. Climatol., 28, 1703-1722. doi:10.1002/joc.1756, 2008.
- Schneider, T., O 'Gorman, P. A. and Levine, X. J.,: Water vapor and the dynamics of climate changes, Reviews
 in Geophysics, 48, RG3001, doi:10.1029/2009RG000302, 2010.
- Simmons, A., Willett, K. M., Jones, P. D., Thorne, P. W., and Dee, D.: Low-frequency variations in surface
 atmospheric humidity, temperature and precipitation: inferences from reanalyses and monthly gridded
 observational datasets, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D01110, doi:10.1029/2009JD012442, 2010.
- Simmons, A. J., Poli, P., Dee, D. P., Berrisford, P., Hersbach, H., Kobayashi S. and Peubey, C. : Estimating
 low-frequency variability and trends in atmospheric temperature using ERA-Interim, Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.,
 140: 329-353. doi:10.1002/qj.2317, 2014.
- Smith, S. D.: Wind stress and heat flux over the ocean in gale force winds. J. Physical Oceanography, 10, 709726, 1980.
- Smith, S. D. : Coefficients for sea surface wind stress, heat flux and wind profiles as a function of wind speedand temperature, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 15467-15472, 1988.
- 1290 Stull, R. B. : An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology Klewer Academic Publishers, 666 pp, 1988.
- Wade, C. G.,: An evaluation of problems affecting the measurement of low relative humidity on the United
 States radiosonde, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 11, 687-700, 1994.
- Willett, K. M., Jones, P. D., Gillett N. P. and Thorne, P. W.: Recent changes in surface humidity: development
 of the HadCRUH dataset, J. Climate, 21, 5364–5383, 2008.
- Willett, K. M., Williams Jr., C. N., Dunn, R. J. H., Thorne, P. W., Bell, S., de Podesta, M., Jones, P. D. and
 Parker, D. E. : HadISDH: An updated land surface specific humidity product for climate monitoring. Climate of
 the Past, 9, 657-677, doi:10.5194/cp-9-657-2013, 2013.
- Willett, K. M., Dunn, R. J. H., Thorne, P. W., Bell, S., de Podesta, M., Jones, P. D., Parker, D. E. and Williams
 Jr., C. N.: HadISDH land surface multi-variable humidity and temperature record for climate monitoring,
 Climate of the Past, 10, 1983-2006, doi:10.5194/cp-10-1983-2014, 2014.
- 1303
 1304 Willett, K.M.; Dunn, R.J.H.; Kennedy, J.J.; Berry, D.I. (2020): HadISDH marine: gridded global monthly ocean
 1305 surface humidity data version 1.0.0.2018f. Centre for Environmental Data Analysis, 5th August 2020.
 1306 doi:10.5285/463b2fcd6a264a39b1e3249dab16c177.
- 1307 http://dx.doi.org/10.5285/463b2fcd6a264a39b1e3249dab16c177.
- Willett, K. M., Berry, D., Bosilovich, M. and Simmons, A.: [Global Climate] Surface Humidity [in "State of the
 Climate in 2018"], Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, accepted, 2019.
- Wolter, K.,: Trimming problems and remedies in COADS, Journal of climate. 10. 1980-1997. DOI:
 10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<1980:TPARIC>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- 1313
- Woodruff, S.,: Archival of data other than in IMMT format: The International Maritime Meteorological Archive
 (IMMA) format. NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL), Boulder, CO, USA.
- 1316 http://icoads.noaa.gov/e-doc/imma/R2.5-imma.pdf, 2010.
- 1317 1318

1285

1295

1299

- 1319
- 1320
- 1321
- 1322
- 1323
- 1324
- 1325
- 1326 1327
- 1328

1329 Tables

1331Table 1. Description of quality control tests.

Test	Description	1 st and 2 nd Iteration	3 rd Iteration and Bias Adjusted	% of Observations Removed
day / night	day / night values likely to be affected by the solar heating of a ship where the sun was above the horizon an hour before the observation (based on the month, day, hour, latitude and longitude; Kent et al. (2013)) are flagged as 'day'		flagged	NA
climatology	climatology T and T_d must be within a specified threshold of the nearest 1° by 1° pentad climatology		removed	$T = 2.39$ and $T_d = 5.14$
supersaturation	ration $\begin{array}{c} T_d \text{ must not be greater than } T \text{ (only } T_d \text{ removed)} \end{array}$		removed	0.54
track	The distance and direction travelled by the ship must be plausible and consistent with the time between observations, normal ship speeds and observation locations before and after.	removed	removed	0.86
repeated value $A T \text{ or } T_d$ value must not appear in more than 70 % of a ship track where there are at least 20 observations.		removed	removed	$T = 0.04$ and $T_d = 0.06$
repeated saturation	Saturation ($T_d = T$) must not persist for more than 48 hours within a ship track where there are at least 4 observations (only T_d removed).	removed	removed	0.54
buddy T and T_d must be within a specified threshold of the average of nearest neighbours in space and time.		not applied	removed	$T = 7.16$ and $T_d = 9.47$
whole number	A T or T_d value must not appear as a whole number in more than 50 % of a ship track where there are at least 20 observations.		flagged	$T = 11.73$ and $T_d = 8.20$

Table 2. Description of the uncertainty elements affecting marine humidity. All uncertainties are assessed as 1σ uncertainty.

Unce	rtainty Source	Description	Туре	Formula	Correlation
U_i	Non-aspirated instrument adjustment uncertainty. Expressed as q (g kg ⁻¹) and then	Adjusted poorly aspirated instrument: 0.2 g kg ⁻¹ in terms of q (following Berry and Kent, 2011 standard uncertainty assessment).	standard	0.2	Space and time, $r = 1$
	then propagated to other humidity variables	Partially adjusted unknown instrument: 0.2 g kg ⁻¹ + the full adjustment amount in terms of q .		$0.2 + 100 \left(\frac{abs(q - q_{adj})}{55}\right)$	
$U_h egin{array}{c} { m heightarrow adju} \\ { m unc} \\ { m Exp} \\ (^{\circ}{ m C} \\ { m kg}^{-1} \\ { m prop} \\ { m otherwise} \end{array}$		Height adjusted ship and valid SST: assessed using the range of adjustments from a 1σ uncertainty in the height estimate.	normally distributed	$\frac{xH_{max} - xH_{min}}{2}$	Space and time, r = 1
	Observation height adjustment uncertainty. Expressed as T (°C) and q (g kg ⁻¹) and then propagated to other humidity variables	Height adjusted ship and invalid SST or height adjusted buoy: the larger of the adjustment value or $0.1 ^{\circ}$ C in terms of <i>T</i> and $0.007q$.	normally distributed	x_{adj} Or $0.1 \text{ °C in terms of } T 0.007 q_{adj}$	
		Height adjustment or uncertainty range not resolved, valid SST: half of the difference between the observation value and the surface value (SST or q _{sf}).	standard	$\frac{T_{(adj)} - SST}{2}$ $\frac{q_{(adj)} - q_{sf}}{2}$ $q_{sf} = 0.98q_{sat}f(SST)$	
		Height adjustment or uncertainty range not resolved, no valid SST: 0.1	standard	0.1 °C in terms of $T 0.007 q_{adj}$	

		°C in terms of			
Um	Measurement uncertainty. Expressed as T (°C), T_w (°C) and RH (%rh) and then propagated to other humidity variables.	T and $0.007q$. Standard uncertainty in the thermometer (T) and psychrometer (T _w) is 0.2 °C and 0.15 °C respectively. This equates in an uncertainty in RH dependent on T.	standard	0.2 °C in terms of T 0.15 °C in terms of T_w x %rh depending on the temperature and RH bins in Table S3	None, r = 0
U _w	$U_{w} = \begin{bmatrix} Whole & number \\ uncertainty. \\ Expressed as T \\ (^{\circ}C) & and T_{d} \\ (^{\circ}C) & and then \end{bmatrix}$	Observation either has the Whole Number flag set or is a whole number and from a red listed source deck in Table S4. If both <i>T</i> and	uniformly distributed	$\frac{0.5}{\sqrt{3}}$	None, r = 0
propagated to other humidity variables.	T_d are offending whole numbers then RH, T_w and DPD have a combined uncertainty.	hen d e a	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$		
Uc	Climatology uncertainty. Assessed for each variable independently.	The 1° by 1° pentad gridbox climatological standard deviation for the variable is divided by the square root of the number of observations used to create it.	standard	$rac{\sigma_{clim}}{\sqrt{N_{obs}}}$	Space and time, r = 1
U_{og}	Total observation uncertainty of the gridbox	All gridbox observation uncertainty sources are combined, assuming no correlation between sources.	standard	$\sqrt{U_i^2 + U_h^2 + U_m^2 + U_w^2 + U_c^2}$	Space and time to some extent, decreasing with space and time
U _{sg}	Temporal and spatial sampling	Sampling uncertainty follows Jones et al., (1997)	standard	$\frac{\left(\bar{s}_i^2\bar{r}(1-\bar{r})\right)}{(1+(N_s-1)\bar{r})}$	Space and time to some extent,

	uncertainty of	depending on			decreasing
	the gridbox	the mean			with space
		'station'			and time
		variance, the			
		mean inter-site			
		correlation and			
		the number of			
		'stations'			
		contributing to			
		the gridbox.			
	Full uncertainty of the gridbox	All gridbox			Space and
		uncertainty			time to
U_{fg}		sources are			some
		combined,	standard	$\sqrt{U_{og}^2 + U_{sg}^2}$	extent,
		assuming no	standard	$\sqrt{\frac{U_{og}}{V_{og}} + U_{sg}}$	decreasing
		correlation			with space
		between			and time
		sources.			and time



1404 Figure 1 Global average surface humidity annual anomalies (base period: 1979–2003). For in-situ datasets, 2-m 1405 surface humidity is used over land and ~10-m over the oceans. For the reanalysis, 2-m humidity is used across 1406 the globe. For ERA-Interim and ERA5, ocean-only points over open sea are selected and background forecast 1407 values are used as opposed to analysis values to avoid incorporating biases from unadjusted ship data. All data 1408 have been given a mean of zero over the common period 1979-2003 to allow direct comparison, with HOAPS given a zero mean over the 1988–2003 period. [Sources: HadISDH (Willett et al., 2013, 2014); HadCRUH 1409 1410 (Willett et al., 2008); Dai (Dai 2006); HadCRUHext (Simmons et al. 2010); NOCSv2.0 (Berry and Kent, 2009, 2011); HOAPS (Fennig et al. 2012), ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011), ERA5 (C3S 2017, Hersbach et al., 2018), 1411 1412 MERRA-2 (Gelaro et al. 2017; Bosilovich et al. 2015) and JRA-55 (Kobayashi et al. 2015). Adapted from 1413 Willett et al., 2019. 1414





1417 Figure 2 Availability of instrument exposure information (black) for ships (platform (PT) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) for the hygrometer (EOH, SOLID) and thermometer (EOT, DASHED) for each year. All ICOADS 3.0.0/3.0.1 observations passing 3rd iteration quality control are included. The percentage of EOHs/EOTs in each exposure category is also shown. Aspirated (A) screens are shown in red. Handheld instruments (ship's sling [SG], sling [SL], whirling [W]) are shown in orange. Unaspirated/unventilated screens (S) and ship's screens (SN) are shown in blue. Additionally, ventilated screens (VS) are also shown in blue as these are generally not artificially aspirated. Unscreened (US observations are shown in violet.



1429Year1430Figure 3 a) Availability of instrument height information for ships (platform (PT) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) for the1431barometer (HOB), thermometer (HOT), anemometer (HOA) and visual observing platform (HOP) with b) mean1432heights (solid lines) and standard deviations (dotted lines) for each year. All ICOADS 3.0.0/3.0.1 observations1433passing 3rd iteration quality control are included.



Figure 4 Availability of instrument type information (black) for ships (platform (PT) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) for the
hygrometer (TOH) for each year. All ICOADS 3.0.0/3.0.1 observations passing 3rd iteration quality control are
included. The percentage of TOHs in each type category is also shown.



Figure 5 Flow chart of the build process from raw hourly observations to gridded fields. Note that the grey 'no QC' output boxes are produced during the 1st iteration by selecting all data rather than those passing quality control.



Figure 6 Annual observation count for the initial selection (a) and only those observations passing the final 3rd iteration quality control (b), broken down by platform (PT) type.







1543 -2 2 6 10 14 18 22 26 30 -5.00 -1.25 2.50 6.25 10.00 13.75 17.50 21.25 25.00 1544 Figure 7 Annual mean climatology (°C)
1545 (%rh), c) air temperature (°C) and d) dew point temperature (°C) for 3rd iteration quality-controlled and bias-1546 adjusted ship version. Climatological means are calculated for gridboxes and months with at least 10 years 1547 present over the climatology period. Annual mean climatologies require at least 9 months of the year to be 1548 represented climatologically.



1568 c)

1569 Figure 8 Global annual average anomaly timeseries and decadal trends (+/- 90 % confidence interval) for specific humidity. a) Processing comparison for ships only: raw data (noQC), 3rd iteration quality-controlled 1570 with no bias adjustment (noBA), 3rd iteration quality-controlled and bias-adjusted (BA), 3rd iteration quality-1571 controlled and bias-adjusted for ship height only (BA_HGT), 3rd iteration quality-controlled and bias-adjusted 1572 for instrument ventilation only (BA_INST). b) Platform and alternative product comparison: 3rd iteration 1573 quality-controlled and bias-adjusted ship-only (ship), 3rd iteration quality-controlled and bias-adjusted for ships 1574 and moored buoys (all), NOCSv2.0 in-situ quality-controlled and bias-adjusted product based on ships only 1575 (NOCS-q), ERA-Interim reanalysis 2m fields using complete ocean coverage at the 1° by 1° scale (ERA-1576 1577 Interim), ERA-Interim reanalyses 2m fields using complete ocean coverage at the 1° by 1° scale and masked to HadISDH.marine spatio-temporal coverage (ERA-Interim MASKED). Trends cover the common 1979 to 2015 1578 period. 1979 to 2018 trends for ERA-Interim are 0.03 ± 0.028 and 0.03 ± 0.027 for the full and masked versions 1579 respectively. c) Time of observation comparison for 3rd iteration quality-controlled and bias-adjusted ship-only: 1580 1581 all times (both), daytime hours only (day), night time hours only (night). Linear trends were fitted using ordinary least squares regression with AR(1) correction applied when calculating confidence intervals (Santer et 1582 1583 al., 2008).







1595 c)

1596 Figure 10 Global annual average anomaly timeseries and decadal trends (+/- 90 % confidence interval) for dew

1597 point temperature. See Figure 8 caption for details. Trends in b) cover the common 1979 to 2018 period.





- 1601 Figure 11 Global annual average anomaly timeseries and decadal trends (+/- 90 % confidence interval) for
- marine air temperature. See Figure 8 caption for details. Trends in b) cover the common 1979 to 2018 period.



1605

Figure 12 Global average timeseries of annual mean climate anomalies for all variables. The 2 sigma uncertainty ranges for total observation (blue), sampling (red) and coverage (gold) uncertainty contributions combined are shown. All series have been given a zero mean over the common 1981-2010 period. Decadal linear trends and 90th percentile confidence intervals (in parentheses) were fitted using ordinary least squares regression with AR(1) correction applied when calculating the confidence intervals (Santer et al., 2008), with the range representing the 90 % confidence interval in the trend.



1619 Figure 13 Linear decadal trends from 1973 to 2018 for a, b) specific humidity (g kg⁻¹), c, d) relative humidity 1620 (%rh), e, f) dew point temperature (° C) and g, h) air temperature (° C) for the 3rd iteration quality-controlled and bias-adjusted ships only. Decadal linear trends were fitted using ordinary least squares regression when 1621 1622 there are at least 70 % percent of months present over the trend period. Gridboxes with boundaries show 1623 significant trends in that the 90 % confidence interval (calculated with AR(1) correction following Santer et al., 2008) around the trend magnitude is the same sign as the trend and does not encompass zero. The right-hand 1624 1625 panels (b, d, f, h) show the distribution of gridbox trends by latitude with the mean shown as a solid black line. 1626 The dark grey shading shows the proportion of the globe at that latitude which is ocean. The light grey shading 1627 shows the proportion of the globe that contains observations.