

CHLSOC: The Chilean Soil Organic Carbon database, a multi-institutional collaborative effort

Marco Pfeiffer¹, José Padarian², Rodrigo Osorio³, Nelson Bustamante³, Guillermo Federico Olmedo^{4,5}, Mario Guevara⁶, Felipe Aburto^{7*}, Francisco Albornoz^{8*}, Monica Antilen^{9,10*}, Elías Araya^{3*}, Eduardo Arellano^{11*}, Maialen Barret^{12*}, Juan Barrera^{13*}, Pascal Boeckx^{14*}, Margarita Briceño^{15*}, Sally Bunning^{16*}, Lea Cabrol^{17,18*}, Manuel Casanova^{1*}, Pablo Cornejo^{19*}, Fabio Corradini^{20*}, Gustavo Curaqueo^{21*}, Sebastian Doetterl^{22*}, Paola Duran^{19*}, Mauricio Escuday^{23,9*}, Angelina Espinoza^{24*}, Samuel Francke^{25*}, Juan Pablo Fuentes^{26*}, Marcel Fuentes^{27*}, Gonzalo Gajardo^{28*}, Rafael García^{29*}, Audrey Gallaud^{28*}, Mauricio Galleguillos^{30*}, Andres Gomez^{3*}, Marcela Hidalgo^{13*}, Jorge Ivelic-Sáez^{31*}, Lwando Mashalaba^{1*}, Francisco Matus^{19*}, Francisco Meza^{27*}, Maria de la Luz Mora^{19*}, Jorge Mora^{32*}, Cristina Muñoz^{13*}, Pablo Norambuena^{33*}, Carolina Olivera^{34*}, Carlos Ovalle^{35*}, Marcelo Panichini^{36*}, Pauchard Aníbal^{29*}, Jorge F. Perez-Quezada^{30, 41*}, Sergio Radic^{37*}, José Ramirez^{38*}, Nicolas Riveras^{1*}, German Ruiz^{3*}, Osvaldo Salazar^{1*}, Ivan Salgado^{3*}, Oscar Seguel^{1*}, Maria Sepúlveda^{12*}, Carlos Sierra^{26*}, Yasna Tapia^{1*}, Francisco Tapia^{27*}, Balfredo Toledo^{27*}, José Miguel Torrico^{39*}, Susana Valle^{40*}, Ronald Vargas^{4*}, Michael Wolff^{34*}, and Erick Zagal^{12*}

*These authors contributed equally to this work

¹Departamento de Ingeniería y Suelos, Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Universidad de Chile. Santa Rosa 11315, La Pintana, Chile

²Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

³Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG), Ministerio de Agricultura, Av. Presidente Bulnes 140, Santiago, Chile

⁴Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, Rome, Italy

⁵Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA) Mendoza, San Martín 3853, Luján de Cuyo, Mendoza, Argentina

⁶Department of Plant and Soil Sciences. University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

⁷Laboratorio de Investigación en Suelos, Aguas y Bosques (LISAB), Universidad de Concepción, Departamento de Silvicultura, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, Victoria 631, Concepción, Chile

⁸Departamento de Ciencias Vegetales, Facultad de Agronomía e Ingeniería Forestal, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

⁹Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Química y de Farmacia, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

¹⁰Centro para el Desarrollo de la Nanociencia y Nanotecnología (CEDENNA), Av. L.B. O'Higgins 3363, Santiago, 7254758, Chile

¹¹Departamento de Ecosistemas y Medio Ambiente, Facultad de Agronomía e Ingeniería Forestal, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

¹²University of Toulouse, CNRS, INP, Ecolab UMR5245, Toulouse, France

¹³Departamento de Suelos y Recursos Naturales, Universidad de Concepción, Campus Chillán, Vicente Méndez 595, Chillán, Chile

¹⁴Isotope Bioscience Laboratory, Ghent University, Gent, Belgium

¹⁵Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Arturo Prat, Av. Arturo Prat 2120, Iquique, Chile

¹⁶Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean, Dag Hammarskjold, Vitacura, Chile

¹⁷Escuela de Ingeniería Bioquímica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Av. Brasil 2185, Valparaíso, Chile

¹⁸Aix Marseille University, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO UM 110, Marseille, France

^{**}Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, INIA Intihuasi, Apartado Postal 36/B, La Serena, Chile

¹⁹Scientific and Technological Bioresource Nucleus, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile

²⁰Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, INIA La Platina, Casilla 439, Correo 3, Santiago, Chile

²¹Departamento de Ciencias Agropecuarias y Acuícolas Núcleo de Investigación en Producción Alimentaria, Universidad Católica de Temuco, Casilla 15-D, Temuco, Chile

²²Soil Resources, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

²³Facultad de Química y Biología, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Av. B. O'Higgins 3363, Santiago, Chile

²⁴Ministerio del Medio Ambiente, San Martín 73, Santiago, Chile

²⁵Corporación Nacional Forestal (CONAF), Paseo Bulnes 285, Santiago, Chile

²⁶Facultad de Ciencias Forestales y Conservación de la Naturaleza, Universidad de Chile, Santa Rosa 11315, La Pintana, Chile

²⁷Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, INIA Intihuasi, Apartado Postal 36/B, La Serena, Chile

²⁸Centro de Información de Recursos Naturales (CIREN), Santiago, Chile

²⁹Laboratorio de Invasiones Biológicas (LIB), Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile

³⁰Departamento de Ciencias Ambientales y Recursos Naturales Renovables, Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Universidad de Chile. Santa Rosa 11315, La Pintana, Chile

³¹Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, INIA Kampenaike, Punta Arenas, Chile

³²ONG Suelo Sustentable, Santiago Chile

³³Edáfica, www.edafica.cl, Santiago, Chile

³⁴Oficina Regional de la FAO para América Latina y el Caribe, Bogotá, Colombia

³⁵Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, INIA La Cruz, Chorrillos 86, La Cruz, Chile

³⁶Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, INIA Quilamapu, Av. Vicente Méndez 515, Chillán, Chile

³⁷Departamento de Ciencias Acuícolas y Agropecuarias, Universidad de Magallanes, Av. Bulnes 01855, Punta Arenas, Chile

³⁸Oficina de Estudios y Políticas Agrarias (ODEPA), Ministerio de Agricultura, Teatinos 40, Santiago, Chile

³⁹United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification, Regional Coordination Unit for Latin-America and the Caribbean, CELADE, Dag Hammarskjöld 3477, Vitacura, Chile

⁴⁰Instituto de Ingeniería Agraria y Suelos, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Austral, Valdivia, Chile

⁴¹Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad, Av. Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 340, Santiago, Chile

Correspondence: Marco Pfeiffer (mpfeiffer@uchile.cl)

Abstract. A critical aspect in predicting soil organic carbon (SOC) concentrations is the lack of available soil information; where information on soil characteristics is available, it is usually focused on regions of high agricultural interest. To date, in Chile, a large proportion of the soil and SOC data has been collected in areas of intensive agricultural or forestry use, however, vast areas beyond these forms of land use have few or no soil data available. Here we present a new SOC database for 5 the country, which is the result of an unprecedented national effort under the framework of the Global Soil Partnership. This partnership has helped build the largest database on SOC to date in Chile named the Chilean Soil Organic Carbon database (CHLSOC) comprising 13,612 data points compiled from numerous sources including unpublished and difficult to access data. The database will allow users to fill spatial gaps where no SOC estimates were publicly available previously. Presented values of SOC range from 6×10^{-5} to 83.3 percent, reflecting the variety of ecosystems that exist in Chile. The dataset has the potential 10 to inform and test current models that predict SOC stocks and dynamics at larger spatial scales, thus enabling benefits from the richness of geochemical, topographic and climatic variability in Chile.

The dataset is freely available to registered users at <https://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/NMYS3> (Pfeiffer et al., 2019b) under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License.

1 Introduction

Soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks play a vital role in the global Carbon (C) cycle and make up nearly two thirds of the total
5 terrestrial carbon pool (Eswaran, 2000; Sarmiento and Gruber, 2002). Therefore, knowledge of the contents and dynamics of the SOC stock is essential for estimating trends in the evolution of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂), to be used as an input and applied to models of global climate change (Jones et al., 2005; Davidson and Janssens, 2006). However, predictions of SOC stock vary widely due to the limited availability of soil data for remote regions and existing soil datasets being biased towards highly managed forest and agroecosystems (Duarte-Guardia et al., 2018). Chile is not exempt from these difficulties,
10 having much of its publicly available soil and SOC data focused on intensively cultivated central regions (Padarian et al., 2012, 2017). In fact, vast areas of the country are situated in the high Andean mountains, the hyper-arid Atacama Desert or the inaccessible Magellanic moorlands of the Patagonian fjords, for which very little soil data is available. These areas are of particular interest for SOC dynamics and stock predictions as they represent the extreme ends of a huge latitudinal climate gradient, from Earth's driest extreme in the north (Atacama Desert) to the very humid conditions of Patagonian pacific margin,
15 all flanked by the second highest mountain range in the world (Garreaud et al., 2009; Ewing et al., 2008; Loisel and Yu, 2013).

Access to spatially explicit, consistent and reliable soil data is essential to model and map the status of soil resources globally to an increasingly detailed resolution in order to respond and assess world global issues (Arrouays et al., 2014; FAO, 2015; Hengl et al., 2014; Omuto et al., 2013). Furthermore, soil datasets are one of the most important inputs for Earth System Models (ESM), to address, for example, the importance of terrestrial sinks and sources of greenhouse gases (Dai et al., 2018;
20 Luo et al., 2016). At the same time, soils in ESM are one of the largest sources of uncertainty (Dai et al., 2018). Hence, in recent years, there has been a growing effort to improve access to and quality of soil datasets, a key goal of the Global Soil Partnership Pillar 4 Implementation Plan sponsored by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (Batjes et al., 2017; Omuto et al., 2013). Efforts to increase access to harmonized soil products containing comparable and consistent datasets, including soil carbon, are highly valuable and appreciated by an increasing number of users (Arora et al., 2013; Baritz et al., 2014; Batjes et al., 2017; Hendriks et al., 2016; Jones and Thornton, 2015; Luo et al., 2016; Maire et al., 2015).

In an unprecedented national effort, between May 2018 and April 2019, a group of professionals from 39 public and private institutions joined together to build the largest (to date) Chilean SOC database (CHLSOC). The dataset was compiled from varied data sources including soil surveys, publications, private reports, unpublished research data and cryptic documents unknown to the public and often difficult to access. . This work ended up with an harmonized dataset of 13,612 points, which is
30 a great improvement considering that up to date harmonized data on SOC for Chile include 45 points in WOSIS (Batjes et al., 2017).

The entire CHLSOC dataset (13,612 data points from 25 sources; summarized in Table 1) is freely available for registered users to download at <https://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/NMYS3> (Pfeiffer et al., 2019b). This joint effort has resulted in a comprehensive Chilean soil dataset that is available to the international community for analysis, exchange and interpretation.

2 Soil Data harmonization

5 2.1 Database sources

In order to fill the gaps in the current data, CHLSOC 889 soil profiles and 12,723 topsoil samples from all over Chile (Table 2) were gathered, curated and harmonized. Eighty nine percent of this information had previously been unpublished or unavailable to the national and global scientific community. The resultant soil information was from all of the administrative regions and 16 out of 17 ecological zones of Chile (Figure 1, Table 3).

10 Data compiled from the literature is referenced in Table 1. Sources include legacy soil surveys, environmental assessment reports, research papers, private reports, theses and unpublished data provided by researchers. The minimum requirements for inclusion in the database were geographic coordinate information, records of soil horizon depth and soil organic carbon content (or organic matter content). Other soil variables, such as bulk density, texture and/or coarse fragments, sampling depth, sampling year and measurement methods, were included where available. Approximately 20% of horizon samples included
15 information on bulk density (BLD) measured using the clod or the core (cylinder) method, and Only 382 horizons (<3%) included information about coarse fragments (CRF).

The resulting database (Summarized in Table 1) includes datasets of variable size, source and composition. Unpublished data sources are referenced in the database to the coauthor and group who provided the data. Examples of unpublished data sources are shown in Table 1 and include those of Oficina de Estudios y Políticas Agrarias (ODEPA) with 782 points provided by J.
20 Ramirez, METHANOBASE (Table 1), corresponding to surface samples (0 - 25 cm) from the Magallanes Region collected in 2016 and provided by L. Cabrol and M. Barret. (Table 3). A further 51 data points from the Environmental Impact Assessment System (SEIA) were included from mostly underrepresented areas, such as the Andean Cordillera and the Atacama Desert.

25 The largest contributor to CHLSOC (9,935 data points) was the SOC dataset of the Agricultural and Livestock Service (SAG by its Spanish acronym). The data was comprised of SOC, obtained from the first 20 cm of soil by auger or excavation methods sampled by beneficiaries (farmers) of the SAG subsidy program.

Another important data contributor was the legacy soil survey data compiled by Centro de Información de Recursos Naturales (CIREN) reported as regional soil surveys, that were carried out from the 1960s up to 2007. In total, CIREN compiled 37 soil surveys, totaling 540 data points over 177,500 km² (equal to about a 24.5 % of the total Chilean territory), much of which are already compilations of former studies originally not referenced by CIREN (CIREN, 1996a, b, 1997a, b, 1999, 2002, 2003,
30 2005a, b, 2007).

2.2 Data harmonization processing and caveats

The assembled data was sampled over several decades and compiled by different authors and institutions. We would like to mention the following warnings to the data users: first, for some data points it was not possible to find or verify the original data source. Second, a potential source of uncertainty may be the analytical method employed for analysis; for most samples

5 (97%), SOC content was analyzed using the wet oxidation method and a small number were analyzed by total combustion (CN elemental analyzer). Discrepancies in SOC results between combustion methods have identified wet combustion as a less reliable assessment method for SOC, as it tends to underestimate organic carbon at higher SOC contents (Kumar et al., 2019), and potentially overestimate in highly reduced soils (Chatterjee et al., 2009). This issue has not been addressed in Chile to date. The recommended methods for SOC determination are currently wet oxidation and loss on ignition, however, dry combustion
10 is a more accurate alternative (Sadzawka et al., 2006). Future data collection initiatives should stress consistent analytical procedures as a revision of local standards is urgently required. Finally, a possible source of bias in data from SAG is the fact that samples were taken by farmers following SAG guidelines where a composite sampling is taken for each parcel.

3 The Spatio-temporal distribution of the Chilean SOC dataset

3.1 Spatial distribution

15 To date, CHLSOC is the most complete data compilation for mainland Chile, comprising 13,612 points, a great improvement in comparison with former databases used in Chile for SOC assessments. For example, national SOC mapping studies (Padarian et al., 2017; Reyes Rojas et al., 2018) were based almost exclusively on CIREN data (540 points). CHLSOC can be used to show the influence of soil, vegetation and climatic conditions on SOC concentrations. Table 3 shows the amount of data compiled in this work, by vegetation formation. It is important to note that the scheme of Luebert and Pliscoff (2006) corresponds to
20 the potential vegetation belts that originally occupied the territory and does not necessarily reflect current land use. We refer to vegetation formations as “ecosystems” as this is a more common term and to avoid further specific disciplinary discussion, which is outside the scope of this work. In order to represent each ecosystem (by vegetation formation) in CHLSOC, the database is based on the number of data points divided by the total coverage of the ecosystem in Chile.

More than two-thirds (85.73%) of the data is sampled from a concentrated area (25% of the total country area) found in
25 the following four ecosystems: deciduous forest, broad-leaved forest, sclerophyllous forest and thorny forest. The first two ecosystems are located in the northern section of the temperate macro bioclimate zone, and the second two in the southern section of the mediterranean macro bioclimate zone (Moreira-Muñoz, 2011) respectively. These ecosystems are characterized by a combination of benign climate, high quality soils and water availability (for irrigation), resulting in a long history of agricultural activity and human settlement (Armesto et al., 2010). For this reason, these areas have experienced the highest
30 land use conversion to agriculture, forestry and urban use in the country (Echeverría et al., 2006; Schulz et al., 2010; Arroyo et al., 2008). Deciduous forests (14.7% of the country) are the most represented, with 52.14% of the data points collected in CHLSOC, located between latitudes 35°S to 41°S (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Spatial distribution of soil data points compiled in this work. Green triangles are soil profiles and red squares are topsoil samples (up to 30 cm).

The second largest pool of data (8.6% of the total data compiled in this work) is for evergreen forest, steppe and grassland (Table 3), which comprise 10.3% of the country's area. These ecosystems are located between 41°S and 53°S in the Temperate macro bioclimate (Moreira-Muñoz, 2011), a thermally homogeneous territory with a considerable precipitation gradient that can reach several meters of mean annual precipitation on its western section, along the pacific coast (Garreaud et al., 2009).

- 5 These areas contain vast sections of pristine forest, with only 8% of the land being converted to other land use (Pliscoff and Fuentes-Castillo, 2011). Most of the data collected here correspond to the eastern section of the administrative region of Aysén in Patagonia. The relatively high representation of these ecosystems in the database can be attributed to (i) the intense

agricultural use of the northern section of the evergreen forest, and (ii) an unprecedented effort in soil sampling in the Aysén Region (43.5°S – 49°S) by SAG and the Agricultural Resarch Institute (INIA by its Spanish acronym) (Table 1)(Hepp and Stolpe, 2014).

Arguably the most important ecosystem in terms of SOC stocks for Chile is that of the moorlands, which comprise a large area located on the Pacific coast of Patagonia where the landscape is fragmented into fjords and small islands (between 44°S and 55°S). The moorlands cover a significant section (9.1%) of the country's area, and are probably the largest soil carbon reservoir in Chile, with an almost continuous carpet of thick peat bog to a depth of 5 m in some places (Loisel and Yu, 2013; Minasny et al., 2019). Despite the importance of moorland soils, most of our knowledge on this ecosystem comes from the northern and eastern borders, whereas there is limited information about peat soils in remote areas of the western fjords (20 observations in this database).

The Atacama Desert section of Chile (Table 3; desert, low desert scrub and desertic scrub) comprise 2.18% of the CHLSOC database but correspond to 6% of the country's area. However, the number of data points compiled for this region (298) constitute a great improvement compared with previous national work on SOC for the Atacama Desert, which only included 3 points (Padarian et al., 2017).

15 The scarce SOC information for this region may be due to the extreme aridity of the region, low biological activity and low SOC accumulation (McKay et al., 2003). Vegetation is restricted to a narrow belt along the coast that receives water from fog, deep valleys that cross the desert and the western flank of the Andes (Moreira-Muñoz, 2011).

Regions of high altitude and mountainous areas comprise 102 data points (0.74% of the database) representing 16.2% of the country's area. Two characteristic alpine vegetation formations exist in the Andean Cordillera of Chile between 18°S and 38°S (Figure 1) that comprise herbaceous alpine vegetation and alpine dwarf scrub. Most of the data is concentrated on the lower part (alpine dwarf scrub), while virtually no soil data is available for the higher section of the Andes (above 3000 m a.s.l.). The scarcity of soil data for this region means that assessments of the impact of climate change on soil C stocks is uncertain as large quantities of SOC are stored in this ecosystem (Bockheim and Munroe, 2014).

Little data is available for the coniferous forest, deciduous shrubland, thorny shrubland and arborescent shrubland areas of vegetation (Table 3) located in areas of low forestry or agricultural interest, but these areas comprise less than 2.5% of the country.

In summary, the data we have compiled demonstrates the imbalance between areas of agricultural and forestry interest and areas beyond those land uses. Three areas of high value in terms of ecological, scientific and ecosystem service purposes nationwide (and worldwide), are underrepresented in terms of soil data: High Andean Cordillera, Atacama Desert and Western Patagonia. Government efforts to develop soil surveys in these regions should be promoted urgently. In particular, a SOC inventory of western Patagonia is essential to properly assess the national stock of SOC, and the potential to include this area in carbon offset programs.




Figure 2. Temporal distribution of the samples included in the CHLSOC

3.2 Temporal distribution

The date of sample collection is provided in more than 90% of the included data (12,318 data points). The majority of points were sampled in 2006 and between 2010 and 2018 (Figure 2). The high number of data from the last decade enables users to estimate modern carbon in Chilean soils. Most of the data that reports the year in which it was sampled is concentrated in a

short timeframe and mainly corresponds to the SAG database (2010-2018) and to sampling efforts related to research projects such as ODEPA in 2006 and INIA (mainly 2015-2018). Data from CIREN (Table 1) did not report a sampling date. However, as it consists of a compilation of known former soil surveys, we can limit the period in which samples were collected and analyzed to the period between 1970 and 2007. The oldest data points correspond to those collected by Holdgate (1961) in the
5 Western Patagonian fjords in 1959.

4 Conclusions

The process of generating this database was a distributed data collection effort, which is a step forward under the efforts of the GlobalSoilMap project and the guidelines of the FAO Global Soil Partnership. The database presented here increases the public availability of SOC data for Chile ten-fold thanks to a joint effort of dozens of researchers and institutions. 89% of this database
10 (12,125 data points) consists of unpublished data that has now been made available. CHLSOC now contains a valuable SOC representation of a mosaic of ecosystems in Chile which represents one of Earth's most extreme climate gradients. However, there are still big differences in the amount of data obtained from managed (agro)ecosystems and natural systems in areas of low population density. We would like to stress the urgency of generating a discussion at a national level regarding the need
15 for a comprehensive soil survey program to increase the sampling in these underrepresented areas. Moreover, to include more data in the next versions of CHLSOC, future official CIREN soil surveys in Chile and other data sets should be encouraged to report holistic metadata covering sampling designs, locations, sampling dates and analysis methods.

Data availability. Data is available at <https://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/NMYS3> (Pfeiffer et al., 2019b), the data is represented by a code defining the soil name, soils from the CIREN data source are identified by a 3 letter code corresponding to the soil series and data from other sources are identified by the site or author name. Geographical coordinates are in UTM WGS 84.

20 *Author contributions.* M.P., G.F.O., M.G., R.O., N.B., J.B., M.F., G.G., A.G., J.M., J.R., C.R., I.S. and S.B. designed the framework to produce the database. The manuscript was written by M.P. and J.P. with contributions from all other authors, who reviewed and provided input on the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

25 Acknowledgements.

Authors of unpublished data acknowledge funding from the following sources: F.A. to FONDECYT Iniciación 11160372 and Convenio CONAF-UDeC 2015 Perturbaciones Araucaria. M. Barret and L. Cabrol to ERANet-LAC joint program ELAC2014_DCC-0092. E. Zagal and C. Muñoz to Proyecto Fondecyt 1161492. F. Olmedo and M. Guevara were supported by the Global Soil Partnership and the South America Soil Partnership both sponsored by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

References

- Armesto, J. J., Manuschevich, D., Mora, A., Smith-Ramirez, C., Rozzi, R., Abarzúa, A. M., and Marquet, P. A.: From the Holocene to the Anthropocene: A historical framework for land cover change in southwestern South America in the past 15,000 years, *Land Use Policy*, 27, 148–160, 2010.
- 5 Arora, V. K., Boer, G. J., Friedlingstein, P., Eby, M., Jones, C. D., Christian, J. R., Bonan, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., et al.: Carbon–concentration and carbon–climate feedbacks in CMIP5 Earth system models, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 5289–5314, 2013.
- Arrouays, D., Grundy, M. G., Hartemink, A. E., Hempel, J. W., Heuvelink, G. B., Hong, S. Y., Lagacherie, P., Lelyk, G., McBratney, A. B., McKenzie, N. J., et al.: GlobalSoilMap: Toward a fine-resolution global grid of soil properties, in: *Advances in agronomy*, vol. 125, pp. 93–134, Elsevier, 2014.
- 10 Arroyo, M. T., Marquet, P., Marticorena, C., Simonetti, J., Cavieres, L., Squeo, F., Rozzi, R., and Massardo, F.: El hotspot chileno, prioridad mundial para la conservación, *Biodiversidad de Chile, patrimonio y desafíos*, pp. 90–93, 2008.
- Baritz, R., Erdogan, H., Fujii, K., Takata, Y., Nocita, M., Bussian, B., Batjes, N., Hempel, J., Wilson, P., and Vargas, R.: Harmonization of methods, measurements and indicators for the sustainable management and protection of soil resources, 2014.
- 15 Batjes, N. H., Ribeiro, E., van Oostrum, A., Leenaars, J., Hengl, T., and Mendes de Jesus, J.: WoSIS: providing standardised soil profile data for the world, *Earth System Science Data*, 9, 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-1-2017>, <https://www.earth-syst-sci-data.net/9/1/2017/>, 2017.
- Bernhard, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Schmidt, K., Oeser, R. A., Aburto, F., Bader, M. Y., Baumann, K., von Blanckenburg, F., Boy, J., van den Brink, L., et al.: Pedogenic and microbial interrelations to regional climate and local topography: New insights from a climate gradient (arid to humid) along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile, *Catena*, 170, 335–355, 2018.
- 20 Besoain, M., Peralta, P., Massaro, M., et al.: Mineralogy and origin of some volcanic ash soils of continental Chiloé, Chile., *Agricultura Técnica*, 60, 127–153, 2000.
- Biester, H., Martinez-Cortizas, A., Birkenstock, S., and Kilian, R.: Effect of peat decomposition and mass loss on historic mercury records in peat bogs from Patagonia, *Environmental science & technology*, 37, 32–39, 2003.
- 25 Bockheim, J. G. and Munroe, J. S.: Organic carbon pools and genesis of alpine soils with permafrost: a review, *Arctic, antarctic, and alpine research*, 46, 987–1006, 2014.
- Chatterjee, A., Lal, R., Wielopolski, L., Martin, M. Z., and Ebinger, M.: Evaluation of different soil carbon determination methods, *Critical Reviews in Plant Science*, 28, 164–178, 2009.
- CIREN: Estudio agrológico VI Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 1996 (Pub. CIREN N° 114/1996), 1996a.
- 30 CIREN: Estudio agrológico Región Metropolitana. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 1996 (Pub. CIREN N° 115/1996), 1996b.
- CIREN: Estudio agrológico V Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 1997 (Pub. CIREN N° 116/1997), 1997a.
- CIREN: Estudio agrológico VII Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 1997 (Pub. CIREN N° 117/1997), 35 1997b.
- CIREN: Estudio agrológico VIII Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 1997 (Pub. CIREN N° 121/1999), 1999.

- CIREN: Estudio agrológico IX Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 2002 (Pub. CIREN N° 122/2002), 2002.
- CIREN: Estudio agrológico X Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 2003 (Pub. CIREN N° 123/2003), 2003.
- 5 CIREN: Estudio agrológico IV Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 2005 (Pub. CIREN N° 129/2005), 2005a.
- CIREN: Estudio agrológico XI Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 2005 (Pub. CIREN N° 130/2005), 2005b.
- CIREN: Estudio agrológico III Región. Descripciones de Suelos. Materiales y Símbolos. Actualización 2007 (Pub. CIREN N° 135/2007),
10 2007.
- Corradini, F., Meza, F., and Calderón, R.: Trace element content in soil after a sediment-laden flood in northern Chile, *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 17, 2500–2515, 2017.
- Corradini, F., González, N., Casado, F., Rojas, V., and van der Ploeg, M.: Usefulness of an opportunistic data analysis approach to evaluate if environmental regulations aim at relevant applications, *Geoderma*, 2019.
- 15 Curaqueo, G., Acevedo, E., Cornejo, P., Seguel, A., Rubio, R., and Borie, F.: Tillage effect on soil organic matter, mycorrhizal hyphae and aggregates in a mediterranean agroecosystem, *Revista de la ciencia del suelo y nutrición vegetal*, 10, 12–21, 2010.
- Curaqueo, G., Barea, J. M., Acevedo, E., Rubio, R., Cornejo, P., and Borie, F.: Effects of different tillage system on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal propagules and physical properties in a Mediterranean agroecosystem in central Chile, *Soil and Tillage Research*, 113, 11–18, 2011.
- 20 Curaqueo, G., Meier, S., Khan, N., Cea, M., and Navia, R.: Use of biochar on two volcanic soils: effects on soil properties and barley yield, *Journal of soil science and plant nutrition*, 14, 911–924, 2014.
- Dai, Y., Shangguan, W., Wang, D., Wei, N., Xin, Q., Yuan, H., Zhang, S., Liu, S., and Yan, F.: A review on the global soil datasets for earth system modeling, *SOIL Discussions*, 2018, 1–30, <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2018-32>, <https://www.soil-discuss.net/soil-2018-32/>, 2018.
- Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change, *Nature*, 440,
25 165, 2006.
- Delatorre, J., Pinto, M., and Cardemil, L.: Effects of water stress and high temperature on photosynthetic rates of two species of *Prosopis*, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 92, 67–76, 2008.
- Doetterl, S., Stevens, A., Six, J., Merckx, R., Van Oost, K., Casanova, M., Casanova-Katny, A., Muñoz, C., Boudin, M., Zagal, E., et al.: Soil carbon storage controlled by interactions between geochemistry and climate, *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 780, 2015.
- 30 Duarte-Guardia, S., Peri, P. L., Amelung, W., Sheil, D., Laffan, S. W., Borchard, N., Bird, M. I., Dieleman, W., Pepper, D. A., Zutta, B., et al.: Better estimates of soil carbon from geographical data: a revised global approach, *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, pp. 1–18, 2018.
- Echeverría, C., Coomes, D., Salas, J., Rey-Benayas, J. M., Lara, A., and Newton, A.: Rapid deforestation and fragmentation of Chilean temperate forests, *Biological Conservation*, 130, 481–494, 2006.
- 35 Ehleringer, J. R., Mooney, H. A., Rundel, P. W., Evans, R. D., Palma, B., and Delatorre, J.: Lack of nitrogen cycling in the Atacama Desert, *Nature*, 359, 316, 1992.
- Eswaran, H.: Global carbon stock, Global climate change and pedogenic carbonates, pp. 15–25, 2000.

- Ewing, S., Macalady, J., Warren-Rhodes, K., McKay, C., and Amundson, R.: Changes in the soil C cycle at the arid-hyperarid transition in the Atacama Desert, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 113, 2008.
- Ewing, S. A., Sutter, B., Owen, J., Nishiizumi, K., Sharp, W., Cliff, S. S., Perry, K., Dietrich, W., McKay, C. P., and Amundson, R.: A threshold in soil formation at Earth's arid–hyperarid transition, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 70, 5293–5322, 2006.
- 5 FAO, I.: Status of the World's Soil Resources (SWSR)—Main Report, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and Intergovernmental Technical Panel on Soils, Rome, Italy, 650, 2015.
- Filipová, L., Hédl, R., and Covacevich, N.: Variability of soil types in wetland meadows in the south of the Chilean Patagonia, 2010.
- Finstad, K. M., Pfeiffer, M., McNicol, G., Tuite, M., Williford, K., and Amundson, R.: A late Quaternary paleoenvironmental record in sand dunes of the northern Atacama Desert, Chile, *Quaternary Research*, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- 10 Fuentes, I., Casanova, M., Seguel, O., Nájera, F., and Salazar, O.: Morphophysical pedotransfer functions for groundwater pollution by nitrate leaching in Central Chile, *Chilean Journal of Agricultural research*, 74, 340–348, 2014.
- Garreaud, R. D., Vuille, M., Compagnucci, R., and Marengo, J.: Present-day south american climate, *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 281, 180–195, 2009.
- Garrido, E. and Matus, F.: Are organo-mineral complexes and allophane content determinant factors for the carbon level in Chilean volcanic soils?, *Catena*, 92, 106–112, 2012.
- 15 Gerding, V. and Thiers, O.: Caracterización de suelos bajo bosques de Nothofagus betuloides (Mirb) Blume, en Tierra del Fuego, Chile, *Revista chilena de historia natural*, 75, 819–833, 2002.
- Hendriks, C., Stoorvogel, J., and Claessens, L.: Exploring the challenges with soil data in regional land use analysis, *Agricultural Systems*, 144, 9–21, 2016.
- 20 Hengl, T., de Jesus, J. M., MacMillan, R. A., Batjes, N. H., Heuvelink, G. B., Ribeiro, E., Samuel-Rosa, A., Kempen, B., Leenaars, J. G., Walsh, M. G., et al.: SoilGrids1km—global soil information based on automated mapping, *PloS one*, 9, e105 992, 2014.
- Hepp, C. and Stolpe, N.: Caracterización y Propiedades de los Suelos de la Patagonia Occidental (Aysén) 2014 (INIA Pub N 298), 2014.
- Holdgate, M.: Vegetation and soils in the south Chilean islands, *The Journal of Ecology*, pp. 559–580, 1961.
- Jones, C., McConnell, C., Coleman, K., Cox, P., Falloon, P., Jenkinson, D., and Powlson, D.: Global climate change and soil carbon stocks; 25 predictions from two contrasting models for the turnover of organic carbon in soil, *Global Change Biology*, 11, 154–166, 2005.
- Jones, P. G. and Thornton, P. K.: Representative soil profiles for the Harmonized World Soil Database at different spatial resolutions for agricultural modelling applications, *Agricultural Systems*, 139, 93–99, 2015.
- Kirberg, D.: Estabilización de cárcavas con enmiendas orgánicas en la Región de Coquimbo, 2014.
- Kumar, S., Ghotekar, Y. S., and Dadhwal, V. K.: C-equivalent correction factor for soil organic carbon inventory by wet oxidation, dry 30 combustion and loss on ignition methods in Himalayan region, *Journal of Earth System Science*, 128, 62, 2019.
- Loisel, J. and Yu, Z.: Holocene peatland carbon dynamics in Patagonia, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 69, 125–141, 2013.
- Luebert, F. and Plisoff, P.: Sinopsis bioclimática y vegetacional de Chile, Editorial Universitaria, 2006.
- Luo, Y., Ahlström, A., Allison, S. D., Batjes, N. H., Brovkin, V., Carvalhais, N., Chappell, A., Ciais, P., Davidson, E. A., Finzi, A., et al.: Toward more realistic projections of soil carbon dynamics by Earth system models, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 30, 40–56, 2016.
- 35 Maire, V., Wright, I. J., Prentice, I. C., Batjes, N. H., Bhaskar, R., van Bodegom, P. M., Cornwell, W. K., Ellsworth, D., Niinemets, Ü., Ordonez, A., et al.: Global effects of soil and climate on leaf photosynthetic traits and rates, *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 24, 706–717, 2015.

- Martínez, I., Brunel, N., Seguel, O., Ovalle, C., and Acevedo, E.: Eficiencia del uso del agua en una rotación avena (*Avena sativa*)–trigo (*Triticum aestivum*) en un suelo Alfisol degradado, *Tópicos en ciencias agropecuarias*, p. 60, 2017.
- McCulloch, R. D. and Davies, S. J.: Late-glacial and Holocene palaeoenvironmental change in the central Strait of Magellan, southern Patagonia, *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 173, 143–173, 2001.
- 5 McKay, C. P., Friedmann, E. I., Gómez-Silva, B., Cáceres-Villanueva, L., Andersen, D. T., and Landheim, R.: Temperature and moisture conditions for life in the extreme arid region of the Atacama Desert: four years of observations including the El Niño of 1997–1998, *Astrobiology*, 3, 393–406, 2003.
- Minasny, B., Berglund, Ö., Connolly, J., Hedley, C., de Vries, F., Gimona, A., Kempen, B., Kidd, D., Lilja, H., Malone, B., et al.: Digital mapping of peatlands – A critical review, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 2019.
- 10 Mörchen, R., Lehndorff, E., Diaz, F. A., Moradi, G., Bol, R., Fuentes, B., Klumpp, E., and Amelung, W.: Carbon accrual in the Atacama Desert, *Global and Planetary Change*, p. 102993, 2019.
- Moreira-Muñoz, A.: Plant geography of Chile, vol. 5, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- Norambuena, P.: Caracterizacion y clasificacion de algunos suelos de la provincia de Parinacota, I Region de Chile, 2000.
- Omuto, C., Nachtergaele, F., and Rojas, R. V.: State of the Art Report on Global and regional Soil Information: Where are we? Where to go?, 15 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome, 2013.
- Padarian, J., Pérez-Quezada, J., and Seguel, O.: Modelling the distribution of organic carbon in the soils of Chile, in: Proceeding of the fifth global workshop on digital soil mapping. Digital Soil assessments and beyond, Sydney, pp. 329–333, 2012.
- Padarian, J., Minasny, B., and McBratney, A.: Chile and the Chilean soil grid: a contribution to GlobalSoilMap, *Geoderma Regional*, 9, 17–28, 2017.
- 20 Panichini, M., Matus, F., Mora, M., Godoy, R., Bolan, N., Rumpel, C., and Borie, F.: Carbon distribution in top-and subsoil horizons of two contrasting Andisols under pasture or forest, *European Journal of Soil Science*, 63, 616–624, 2012.
- Panichini, M., Neculman, R., Godoy, R., Arancibia-Miranda, N., and Matus, F.: Understanding carbon storage in volcanic soils under selectively logged temperate rainforests, *Geoderma*, 302, 76–88, 2017.
- 25 Pfeiffer, M., Aburto, F., Le Roux, J. P., Kemnitz, H., Sedov, S., Solleiro-Rebolledo, E., and Seguel, O.: Development of a Pleistocene calcrete over a sequence of marine terraces at Tongoy (north-central Chile) and its paleoenvironmental implications, *Catena*, 97, 104–118, 2012.
- Pfeiffer, M., Latorre, C., Gayo, E., and Amundson, R.: Rare calcium chloride-rich soil and implications for the existence of liquid water in a hyperarid environment, *Geology*, 2019a.
- 30 Pfeiffer, M., Padarian, J., Osorio, R., Bustamante, N., Olmedo, G., Guevara, M., Aburto, F., Antilen, M., Araya, E., Arellano, E., Barret, M., Barrera, J., Boeckx, P., Briceño, M., Bunning, S., Cabrol, L., Casanova, M., Cornejo, Pablo, C. F., Curaqueo, G., Doetterl, S., Duran, P., Escudéy, M., Espinoza, A., Francke, S., Fuentes, J. P., Fuentes, M., Gajardo, G., García, R., Gallaud, A., Galleguillos, M., Gomez, A., Hidalgo, M., Ivelic-Sáez, J., Mashalaba, L., Matus, F., Mora, M., Mora, J., Muñoz, C., Norambuena, P., Olivera, C., Ovalle, C., Panichini, M., Pauchard, A., Perez-Quezada, J., Radic, S., Ramirez, J., Riveras, N., Ruiz, G., Salazar, O., Salgado, I., Seguel, O., Sepúlveda, M., Sierra, C., Tapia, Y., Toledo, B., Torrico, J. M., Valle, S., Vargas, R., Wolff, M., and Zagal, E.: CHLSOC: The Chilean Soil Organic Carbon database, <https://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/NMYS3>, 2019b.
- 35 Pliscoff, P. and Fuentes-Castillo, T.: Representativeness of terrestrial ecosystems in Chile's protected area system, *Environmental Conservation*, 38, 303–311, 2011.
- Quade, J., Rech, J. A., Latorre, C., Betancourt, J. L., Gleeson, E., and Kalin, M. T.: Soils at the hyperarid margin: the isotopic composition of soil carbonate from the Atacama Desert, Northern Chile, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71, 3772–3795, 2007.

- Radic, S., Fernandez, A., Opazo, S., McAdam, J., and Ivelic, J.: Soil bulk density from grasslands in the Magallanes Region, Chile, in: 10th International Conference of Agrophysics. Book of Abstract. Lublin, Poland, p. 105, 2013.
- Reyes Rojas, L. A., Adhikari, K., and Ventura, S. J.: Projecting Soil Organic Carbon Distribution in Central Chile under Future Climate Scenarios, *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 2018.
- 5 Sadzawka, A., Carrasco, M., Grez, R., Mora, M., Flores, H., and Neaman, A.: *Métodos de análisis recomendados para los suelos chilenos, Comisión de Normalización y Acreditación*. Sociedad Chilena de la Ciencia del Suelo, Santiago, Chile, p. 150, 2006.
- Sarmiento, J. L. and Gruber, N.: Sinks for anthropogenic carbon, *Physics today*, 55, 30–36, 2002.
- Schuller, P., Bunzl, K., Voigt, G., Ellies, A., and Castillo, A.: Global fallout ^{137}Cs accumulation and vertical migration in selected soils from South Patagonia, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 71, 43–60, 2004.
- 10 Schulz, J. J., Cayuela, L., Echeverria, C., Salas, J., and Benayas, J. M. R.: Monitoring land cover change of the dryland forest landscape of Central Chile (1975–2008), *Applied Geography*, 30, 436–447, 2010.
- Seguel, O., Farías, E., Luzio, W., Casanova, M., Pino, I., Parada, A., Videla, X., Nario, A., et al.: Physical properties of soil after change of use from native forest to vineyard., *Agro Sur*, 43, 29–39, 2015.
- 15 Soto, L., Leiva, E., Montoya, F., Seguel, Ó., Delpiano, C., Becerra, P., Vasquez, I., Miranda, A., Smith-Ramírez, C., et al.: Effect of *Acacia caven* (Mol.) on the physical properties of soil under grazing exclusions., *Chilean Journal of Agricultural & Animal Sciences, ex Agro Ciencia*, 31, 211–222, 2015.
- Ziólkowski, L. A., Wierzchos, J., Davila, A. F., and Slater, G. F.: Radiocarbon evidence of active endolithic microbial communities in the hyperarid core of the Atacama Desert, *Astrobiology*, 13, 607–616, 2013.

Appendix A: Appendix A

Table 1. Database sources used in this compilation

Source	Samples	SOC method	BLD method	References
Biester	3	DC	Core	Biester et al. (2003)
CIREN	540	WO	Clod	CIREN (1996a); CIREN (1996b); CIREN (1997a); CIREN (1997b); CIREN (1999); CIREN (2002); CIREN (2003); CIREN (2005a); CIREN (2005b); CIREN (2007)
Doetterl	22	DC	Core	Doetterl et al. (2015)
EarthShape	16	DC	Core	Bernhard et al. (2018)
Filipova	46	WO	Core	Filipová et al. (2010)
Holdgate	33	DC	NA	Holdgate, M. 1961
INIA	1663	WO	Clod; NA	Hepp and Stolpe (2014); Besoain et al. (2000); Corradini et al. (2019, 2017); Corradini, F. Unpublished data; Ovalle, C., INIA, Unpublished data; Panichini et al. (2012, 2017); Pfeiffer, M., Ivelic-Sáez, J., Valle, S., Unpublished data
McCulloch	2	WO	Clod	McCulloch and Davies (2001)
Methanobase	37	DC	NA	Cabrol, L. & Barret, M., Unpublished data
Mörchen	12	DC	Excavation	Mörchen et al. (2019)
ODEPA	782	WO	NA	Ramirez, J., Unpublished data.
PUC	24	WO	Clod; Core	Arellano, E., unpublished data
Quade	16	DC	NA	Quade et al. (2007)
SAG	9935	WO	NA	Gomez, A., Unpublished data; Osorio, R., Bustamante, N., Unpublished Data
Schuller	14	WO	Core	Schuller et al. (2004)
SEIA	51	WO	Clod	Riveras, N., unpublished data
UACH	3	WO	Clod; Core	Gerding and Thiers (2002); Pfeiffer, M., Ivelic-Sáez, J., Valle, S., Unpublished data
UAP	85	WO	Clod	Briceño M. unpublished data; Delatorre et al. (2008); Ehleringer et al. (1992)
UCB	8	DC; WO	Clod	Ewing et al. (2006, 2008); Finstad et al. (2018); Pfeiffer et al. (2019a); Pfeiffer, M. Unpublished data; Pfeiffer, M., Ivelic-Sáez, J., Valle, S., Unpublished data
UCHile	198	WO	Clod; Core; NA	Norambuena (2000); Pfeiffer et al. (2012); Casanova, M. Unpublished data; Casanova, M., Salazar, O. Unpublished data; Fuentes et al. (2014); Fuentes, JP, unpublished data; Galleguillos, M., unpublished data; Kirberg (2014); Martínez et al. (2017); Mashalaba, L., unpublished data; Perez, J., Galleguillos, M., Unpublished data; Seguel et al. (2015); Seguel, O. Unpublished data; Soto et al. (2015)
UCT	5	WO	Core	Curaqueo et al. (2010, 2011, 2014); Curaqueo. Unpublished data
UDEC	86	DC; WO	Core; NA	Hepp and Stolpe (2014); Aburto, F. (Unpublished data); Zagal, E., Munoz, C., Doetterl, Unpublished data
UFRO	16	WO	NA	Garrido and Matus (2012)
UMAG	11	DC	Core	Radic et al. (2013)
Ziolkowski	4	DC	NA	Ziolkowski et al. (2013)

BLD: bulk density; WO: wet oxidation; DC: dry combustion; NA: data not provided

Table 2. Summary of the soil points included in the Chilean Soil Organic Carbon Dataset (CHLSOC)

Variable	value
Number of profiles	889
Number of topsoil samples	12,723
SOC measurements	16,884
SOC using method wet oxidation method	16,363
SOC using method dry combustion method	521
Minimum SOC (%)	6×10^{-5}
Maximum SOC (%)	83.30
BLD measurements	2,757
BLD using core method	533
BLD using clod method	2,224
Minimum BLD (g/cm ³)	0.03
Maximum BLD (g/cm ³)	2.38
CRF measurements	382
Minimum CRF (%)	0.00
Maximum CRF (%)	78.31

SOC: soil organic carbon; BLD: bulk density; CRF: coarse fragments;
topsoil considers points with surface samples only (<30 cm)

Table 3. Distribution of SOC data points per ecosystem (vegetation formation) according to Luebert and Pliscoff (2006)

Vegetation formation	Data points	Country area (%)	Representativeness index (points per % area)	SOC	SOC	SOC	SOC
				(mean)	(min)	(max)	(sd)
Deciduous forest	7098	14.70	482.86	8.01	0.00	83.30	3.91
Sclerophyllus forest	2544	5.20	489.23	2.66	0.00	15.61	1.87
Thorny forest	1392	2.80	497.14	1.90	0.00	20.70	1.50
Broad-leaved forest	645	1.90	339.47	12.02	0.15	25.75	5.11
Coniferous forest	94	2.30	40.87	6.02	0.10	25.00	3.40
Evergreen forest	828	6.90	120.00	11.70	0.01	81.19	7.89
Desert	47	7.70	6.10	1.63	0.00	15.00	1.84
Steppe and grassland	343	3.40	100.88	6.02	0.00	56.70	10.87
Herbaceous alpine vegetation	2	2.40	0.83	5.11	5.01	5.20	0.13
Evergreen shrubland	0	0.30	0.00	—	—	—	—
Alpine dwarf scrub	100	13.80	7.25	1.42	0.01	41.60	6.09
Low desert scrub	20	8.70	2.30	0.92	0.02	25.40	2.94
Deciduous shrubland	2	2.30	0.87	4.47	2.20	10.19	3.19
Desertic scrub	231	9.50	24.32	1.19	0.00	22.60	1.69
Thorny shrubland	17	0.30	56.67	1.11	0.20	2.49	0.61
Arborescent shrubland	158	1.00	158.00	5.26	0.08	42.50	5.70
Moorland	20	9.10	2.20	43.86	1.74	57.71	21.10

Percentages of surface area and English names for vegetation formations were taken from Pliscoff and Fuentes-Castillo (2011)

Table A1. Naming conventions and descriptions of variables provided in the Chilean Soil Organic Carbon Dataset (ChiSOC)

Variable	Unit	Description
ProfileID	-	soil profile identification
top	cm	soil horizon upper border
bottom	cm	soil horizon lower border
bd	g/cm ³	bulk density
oc	%	organic carbon
crf	%	coarse fragments
long	decimal degrees	longitude
lat	decimal degrees	latitude
year	-	year of data collection
oc _{method}	-	organic carbon method
bd _{method}	-	bulk density method
reference	-	source of data