



Interactive comment on “Coastal complexity of the Antarctic continent” by Richard Porter-Smith et al.

Richard Porter-Smith et al.

r.smith@utas.edu.au

Received and published: 12 April 2021

General comments

Ted Scambos (Reviewer)#2 (Received and published: 19 March 2021)

‘The authors have produced an interesting and first-ever (for Antarctica) analysis of coastal complexity using methods previously used on other continents (Australia, at least). They describe the mathematics and statistics of the approach quite well (it seems clearly written and reasoned, and the math is not opaque), and note the - potential- importance and usefulness of the analysis in terms of studies of coastal ice and ocean conditions, and biodiversity. The study is well-written, and I have mostly minor comments. I would encourage the authors (and editor) to consider asking for some kind of ‘case study’ or close-up assessment of a region of interest, perhaps near Davis

C1

Base or McMurdo (places with lots of existing literature) and describe in qualitative or semi-quantitative terms how the coastal type is reflected in the physical characteristics of the sea ice, biological environment and variability, or geology and erosion history..... it would make a stronger case that this is not just a topological exercise, but something that could potentially be mined for scientific application. The authors do a fair job of making this case in general terms, but two or three paragraphs looking at the results in a particular area would be a good addition. Not an essential addition for publication, but one that would be nice to see.

Response: To Dr Scambos (Reviewer #2), we thank you for taking the time to read and review our manuscript. Your insightful comments and observations have not only contributed to the improvement of our manuscript but have generated new ideas that we are keen to pursue. We have revised our original submission with your input and will submit the revised manuscript, along with a version containing all the changes made. Many thanks again.

We have addressed the regional case suggestion by expanding upon Figs 10 and 11. One problem is that localised case studies quickly become "non-localised" when the multiscale complexity is fully analysed (largest scale is +/- 256 km). We could analyse a subset of these length scales to ensure a case study is localised, but this would somewhat negate the point of the study - examining patterns at a meso or continental-wide scale. However, we have added more explanatory text to Figure 10 e.g., ‘Lützow-Holm Bay is so deeply embayed that it shelters and favours formation of multiyear fast ice, whereas along Enderby Land coast, its much higher degree of exposure permits fast ice to form only seasonally’. Additionally, more text was added to Figure 11 e.g., ‘Fast ice forms readily within the protection of McMurdo Sound, but with incrementally lower persistence further north (Fraser et al., 2020)’. The northern and southern side of the Drygalsky Ice Tongue have identical complexity classes but very different glaciology (sometimes fast ice to the south, but almost always ice-free/coastal polynya to the north. This highlights the importance of considering embayment aspect, which is

C2

independent of complexity.’

Supplementary comments - Reviewer #2

Comment: L#15 no comma needed between ‘novel technique’ Response: Removed comma

Comment: L#22 suggest ending the sentence at ‘...biological studies’. The last part has a circular reasoning tone to it. L30 change to ‘...of terrestrial land areas.’ Response: Ended sentence at ‘...biological studies’ as suggested

Comment: L#30 change to ‘...of terrestrial land areas.’ Response: Text changed to ‘...of terrestrial land areas.’ as suggested

Comment: L#37 is it really more challenging to quantify? Is it not just a mathematical analysis no matter the shape, or pace of change? Is change in the coastline over time considered somehow? Response: Text changed from ‘...therefore more challenging to quantify...’ to ‘...thereby a different challenge to terrestrial coastlines...’

Comment: L#40 I don’t think the case for the importance of coastal complexity has really be made at this point in the text. Suggest moving this sentence to lead-off the paragraph that begins on Line70. Response: Text ‘Despite its importance, no study has quantified the coastline complexity of the Antarctic continent.’ moved to suggested position .

Comment: L#54 suggest ‘...and has a major impact on logistical operations, e.g., station resupply.’ Response: Text changed from ‘...and has a major role in logistical operations e.g., station resupply.’ to ‘...and has a major impact on logistical operations, e.g., station resupply.’

Comment: L#79 I think the text flow would be better if you moved this paragraph up one step and then combined the one above it and the one below it as the last statement before launching into the study. Response: Text (at end of Introduction) restructured as suggested,

C3

Original Text: ‘Despite its importance, no study has quantified the coastline complexity of the Antarctic continent. Here, we address this critical gap by carrying out a first quantification of the geometric configuration and complexity of the Antarctic coastline, using a novel technique to examine the spatial distribution of both the magnitude and direction (aspect) of C_x over varying length scales. This new dataset (Porter-Smith et al., 2019) serves as an important yardstick against which to gauge future change and variability in coastal complexity and character around Antarctica. To capture the true complexity of the coastline, it is necessary to adopt a method that accounts for scale variation, since geomorphological features and associated processes can vary across several scales (Andrle, 1994, 1996; Goodchild and Mark, 1987; Lam and Quattrochi, 1992). Porter-Smith and McKinlay’s (2012) study on the Australian continent investigated coastline complexity and the relationship between geological inheritance, ocean processes and the characteristic length scales at which they operate, and forms the basis for this study.

The complexity of terrestrial coastlines is dependent on geological inheritance and surrounding ocean processes. For instance, each of Australia’s geological regions displays discrete complexity signatures demonstrating a correlation between coastal complexity and geology – an analysis of which revealed a close relation between C_x , lithological mix and ocean processes e.g., Porter-Smith and McKinlay (2012). These signatures can vary enormously, between regions and over a range of length scales. Geological phenomena cannot be captured by a single value (Ringrose, 1994), and an attempt to do so may cause a process or form to be missed or misinterpreted. Therefore, an appreciation of the variability of complexity evident at different length scales is crucial (Porter-Smith and McKinlay, 2012). Characterisation of the complexity of terrestrial coastlines is a fundamental measure of the lithological mix. Coastlines of a homogeneous lithology tend to be straighter than coastlines of mixed lithology. Wave action promotes a straight coastline if the lithology is homogeneous and a complex one if the lithology is heterogeneous (Porter-Smith and McKinlay, 2012). The analysis of C_x using this multi-scale approach also allows the identification and analysis of mor-

C4

phologically similar coastal environments and their relationship to natural processes.

In this study, we derive methods for determining scale-dependent metrics describing coastal complexity of the Antarctic continent, including the facility to classify points as belonging to bays or peninsulas at different scales. Using this metric at 40,000 random point locations around the coastal margin, we use clustering techniques to determine characteristic complexity “signatures” around the continent.’

Replacement text: ‘The complexity of terrestrial coastlines is dependent on geological inheritance and surrounding ocean processes. For instance, each of Australia’s geological regions displays discrete complexity signatures demonstrating a correlation between coastal complexity and geology – an analysis of which revealed a close relation between Cx, lithological mix and ocean processes e.g., Porter-Smith and McKinlay (2012). These signatures can vary enormously, between regions and over a range of length scales. Geological phenomena cannot be captured by a single value (Ringrose, 1994), and an attempt to do so may cause a process or form to be missed or misinterpreted. To capture the true complexity of the coastline, it is necessary to adopt a method that accounts for scale variation, since geomorphological features and associated processes can vary across several scales (Andrle, 1994, 1996a; Goodchild and Mark, 1987; Lam and Quattrochi, 1992). Therefore, an appreciation of the variability of complexity evident at different length scales is crucial (Porter-Smith and McKinlay, 2012).

Characterisation of the complexity of terrestrial coastlines is a fundamental measure of the lithological mix. Coastlines of a homogeneous lithology tend to be straighter than coastlines of mixed lithology. Wave action promotes a straight coastline if the lithology is homogeneous and a complex one if the lithology is heterogeneous (Porter-Smith and McKinlay, 2012). The Antarctic coastline is a different challenge in that it is almost totally covered by glacier ice and surrounded by ice barriers that influence ocean processes acting on the continent and is likely to be more likely to be more temporally-variable in nature than terrestrial coastlines. Additionally, knowledge of the

C5

underlying rock type is severely limited due to inability to access much of the geology through the ice (Stål et al., 2019).

However, even in this homogeneous environment, one might expect a relatively higher complexity due to the presence of glacial valleys, an example would include the western Peninsula’s fjord-like coast, where there are glacial erosion processes in motion. Glacial erosive processes have a distinct signature (Anderson et al., 2006) that would result in a higher coastal complexity. Although the formative processes may differ between Antarctic and terrestrial scenarios, the methodology does not assume prescriptive or formative processes but classifies purely on differences in complexity over a range of length scales. The analysis of Cx using this multi-scale approach also allows the identification and analysis of morphologically similar coastal environments and forms the basis for further research into their relationship to, and synergy with natural processes.

Despite its importance, no study has quantified the coastline complexity of the Antarctic continent. Here, we address this critical gap by carrying out a first quantification of the geometric configuration and complexity of the Antarctic coastline, using a novel technique to examine the spatial distribution of both the magnitude and direction (aspect) of Cx over varying length scales. This new dataset (Porter-Smith et al., 2019) not only highlights spatial differences but, serves as an important yardstick against which to gauge future change and variability in coastal complexity and character around Antarctica. In this study, we derive methods for determining scale-dependent metrics describing coastal complexity of the Antarctic continent, including the facility to classify points as belonging to bays or peninsulas at different scales. Using this metric at 40,000 random point locations around the coastal margin, we use clustering techniques to determine characteristic complexity “signatures” around the continent.’

Comment: L#183-187 An interesting observation. I think the western-bias in West Antarctica may be an indirect function of climate and winds: much of the 0-60°W coastline (in your broad use of the word) is an ice shelf margin; but in the 60 – 180°W region,

C6

warmer conditions along the western Peninsula mean a more fjord-like coast, greater coastal complexity, and a strong westward orientation of both bays and peninsulas. On the Amundsen coast, there is a strong easterly prevailing wind direction, which may influence calving and ice shelf structure. It would be a nice connection to the physical world to discuss the broad trends in this way (I'm not saying that my speculation is correct, but I think there is a physical / climate link to these obs of East and West Antarctica's coast).

Response: The authors are aware of the recent Nature paper by Holland et al., (2019) which describes the accelerated melting of the western Antarctic ice sheet caused by changing wind patterns due to anthropogenic-influenced climate change. Thereby generating strong easterlies bringing warm ocean water onto the continental shelf and into contact with the West Antarctic ice sheet. It would be interesting to see how regional coastal complexity and the orientation of bays and peninsulas influence or respond to the intrusion of these warmer ocean waters on the Amundsen Sea continental shelf, but for the moment the authors feel it is presently beyond the remit of this study and would be best suited to a future project.

Comment: L#218 – change 'carve' to 'calve' Response: Text changed from 'carve' to 'calve'

Comment: L#233-234 Would it be useful / illuminating to include a third enlargement, perhaps of some part of the Peninsula? (I'm thinking southwest area or northern half on both sides). Response: We used Figure 11 to illustrate a contrasting feature e.g., 'Fast ice forms readily within the protection of McMurdo Sound, but with incrementally lower persistence further north (Fraser et al., 2020)". The northern and southern side of the Drygalsky Ice Tongue have identical complexity classes but very different glaciology (sometimes fast ice to the south, but almost always ice-free/polynya to the north. This highlights the role of embayment aspect, which is independent of complexity.'

Comment: Figure 6: I can't detect a difference between the left and the right diagram

C7

– ah, ok a very slight change in the red-blue boundary area... But is this worth a separate figure? problem there? Response: Diagram reduced to a single figure.

Comment: Figure 11: change to 'Enlargement of the western Ross Sea coastal area...' Response: Text changed to 'Enlargement of the western Ross Sea coastal area'

References

Anderson, R. S., Molnar, P., and Kessler, M. A.: Features of glacial valley profiles simply explained, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 111, 2006. Andrieu, R.: The Angle Measure Technique - a New Method for Characterizing the Complexity of Geomorphic Lines, *Mathematical Geology*, 26, 83-97, 1994. Andrieu, R.: Complexity and scale in geomorphology: Statistical self-similarity vs characteristic scales, *Mathematical Geology*, 28, 275-293, 1996. Fraser, A. D., Massom, R. A., Ohshima, K. I., Willmes, S., Kappes, P. J., Cartwright, J., and Porter-Smith, R.: High-resolution mapping of circum-Antarctic landfast sea ice distribution, 2000–2018, *Earth System Science Data*, 12, 2987-2999, 2020. Goodchild, M. F. and Mark, D. M.: The fractal nature of geographic phenomena, *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 77, 1987. Holland, P. R., Bracegirdle, T. J., Dutrieux, P., Jenkins, A., and Steig, E. J.: West Antarctic ice loss influenced by internal climate variability and anthropogenic forcing, *Nature Geoscience*, 12, 718-724, 2019. Lam, N. S. and Quattrochi, A. A.: On the issue of scale, resolution, and fractal analysis in the mapping sciences, *The Professional Geographer*, 44, 88-98, 1992. Porter-Smith, R. and McKinlay, J.: Mesoscale coastal complexity and its relationship to structure and forcing from marine processes, *Marine Geology*, 323-325, 1–13, 2012. Porter-Smith, R., McKinlay, J., Fraser, A. D., and Massom, R. A.: Coastal complexity of the Antarctic continent. Australian Antarctic Data Centre, Australia <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.26179/5d1af0ba45c03>, 2019. Ringrose, P. S.: Structural and Lithological Controls on Coastline Profiles in Fife, Eastern Britain, *Terra Nova*, 6, 251-254, 1994. Stål, T., Reading, A. M., Halpin, J. A., and Whittaker, J. M.: A multivariate approach for mapping lithospheric domain boundaries in East Antarctica,

C8

Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 10404-10416, 2019.

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-142>, 2019.