

1 **Temporal inventory of glaciers in the Suru sub-basin, western**  
2 **Himalaya: Impacts of the regional climate variability**

3

4 | Aparna Shukla<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Siddhi Garg<sup>1</sup>, Manish Mehta<sup>1</sup>, Vinit Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Uma Kant Shukla<sup>3</sup>

5

6 | <sup>1</sup>Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, 33, GMS Road, Dehradun-248001, India

7

8 | <sup>2</sup>Ministry of Earth Sciences, New Delhi- 110003, India

9

10 | <sup>3</sup>Department of Geology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi -221005, India

11 | \*Correspondence to: Aparna Shukla (aparna.shukla22@gmail.com)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

## 42 Abstract

43 Updated knowledge about the glacier extent and characteristics in the Himalaya cannot be overemphasised.  
 44 Availability of precise glacier inventories in the latitudinally diverse western Himalayan region is particularly  
 45 crucial. In this study we have created an inventory of the Suru sub-basin, western Himalaya for year 2017 using  
 46 Landsat OLI data. Changes in glacier parameters have also been monitored from 1971 to 2017 using temporal  
 47 satellite remote sensing data and limited field observations. Inventory data shows that the sub-basin has 252  
 48 glaciers covering 11% of the basin, having an average slope of  $25 \pm 6^\circ$  and dominantly north orientation. The  
 49 average snow line altitude (SLA) of the basin is  $5011 \pm 54$  masl with smaller (47%) and cleaner (43%) glaciers  
 50 occupying the bulk area. Longterm climate data (1901-2017) show an increase in the mean annual temperature  
 51 ( $T_{max}$  &  $T_{min}$ ) by  $0.77^\circ\text{C}$  ( $0.25$  &  $1.3^\circ\text{C}$ ) in the sub-basin, driving the overall glacier variability in the region.  
 52 Temporal analysis reveals a glacier shrinkage of  $-6 \pm 0.02\%$ , an average retreat rate of  $4.3 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ , debris  
 53 increase of 62% and  $22 \pm 60$  m SLA rise in past 46 years. This confirms their transitional response between the  
 54 Karakoram and the Greater Himalayan Range (GHR) glaciers. Besides, glaciers in the sub-basin occupy two  
 55 major ranges, i.e., GHR and Ladakh range (LR) and experience local climate variability, with the GHR glaciers  
 56 exhibiting a warmer and wetter climate as compared to the LR glaciers. This variability manifestes itself in the  
 57 varied response of GHR and LR glaciers. While the GHR glaciers exhibit an overall rise in SLA (GHR:  $49 \pm 69$   
 58 m; LR: decrease by  $18 \pm 50$  m), the LR glaciers have deglaciated more (LR: 7%; GHR: 6%) with an enhanced  
 59 accumulation of debris cover (LR: 73%; GHR: 59%). Inferences from this study reveal prevalence of glacier  
 60 disintegration and overall degeneration, transition of clean ice to partially debris covered glaciers, local climate  
 61 variability and non-climatic (topographic and morphometric) factor induced heterogeinty in glacier response as  
 62 the major processes operatives in this region. The dataset Shukla et al., (2019) is accessible at  
 63 <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.904131>

64  
 65 **Key words:** Suru sub basin, western Himalaya, glacier inventory, climate change

66  
 67 **Location of the dataset:** <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.904131>

## 69 1 Introduction

70 State of the Himalayan cryosphere has a bearing on multiple aspects of hydrology, climatology, environment  
 71 and sustenance of living organisms at large (Immerzeel et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2012). Being sensitive to the  
 72 ongoing climate fluctutations, glaciers keep adjusting themselves and these adaptations record the changing  
 73 patterns in the global climate (Bolch et al., 2012). Any alteration in the glacier parametere would ultimately  
 74 affect the hydrology of the region, thereby influencing the downstream communities (Kaser et al., 2010;  
 75 Pritchard, 2017). Owing to these reasons, quantifying the mass loss over different Himalayan regions in the past  
 76 years, ascertaining present status of the cryosphere and how these changes are likely to affect the freshwater  
 77 accessibility in the region are at the forefront of contemporary cryospheric research (Brun et al. 2017; Sakai and  
 78 Fujita, 2017). This aptly triggered several regional (Kaab et al., 2012; Gardelle et al., 2013; Brun et al. 2017;  
 79 Zhou et al., 2018; Maurer et al., 2019), local (Bhushan et al., 2018; Vijay and Braun, 2018) and glacier specific  
 80 studies (Dobhal et al., 2013; Bhattacharya et al., 2016; Azam et al., 2018) in the region. These studies at varying

81 scales contribute towards solving the jigsaw puzzle of the Himalayan cryosphere. The regional scale studies  
82 operate on small scale for bringing out more comprehensive, holistic and synoptic spatio-temporal patterns of  
83 glacier response, the local scale studies monitor glaciers at basin level or groups and offer more details on  
84 heterogenous behaviour and plausible reasons thereof. However, the glacier specific studies whether based on  
85 field or satellite or integrative information are magnified versions of the local scale studies and hold the  
86 potential to provide valuable insights into various morphological, topographic and local-climate induced  
87 controls on glacier evolution. Despite these efforts, data on the glacier variability and response remains  
88 incomplete, knowledge of the governing processes still preliminary and the future viability pathways of the  
89 Himalayan cryospheric components are uncertain.

90 Though the literature suggests a generalised mass loss scenario (except for the Karakoram region) over the  
91 Himalayan glaciers, disparities in rates and pace of shrinkage remain. Maurer et al. (2019) report the average  
92 mass wastage of  $-0.32 \text{ m w.e.a}^{-1}$  for the Himalayan glaciers during 1975-2016. They suggest that the glaciers in  
93 the eastern Himalaya ( $-0.46 \text{ m w.e.a}^{-1}$ ) have experienced slightly higher mass loss as compared to the western ( $-$   
94  $0.45 \text{ m w.e.a}^{-1}$ ), followed by the central ( $-0.38 \text{ m w.e.a}^{-1}$ ). However, considerable variability in the glacier  
95 behaviour exists within the western Himalayas (Scherler et al., 2011; Kaab et al., 2012; Vijay and Braun, 2017;  
96 Bhushan et al., 2018; Mólq et al., 2018). Studies suggest that largely the glaciers in the Karakoram Himalayas  
97 have either remained stable or gained mass in the last few decades (Kaab et al., 2015; Cogley, 2016), while a  
98 contrasting behaviour is observed for the GHR glaciers experiencing large scale degeneration, with more than  
99 65% glaciers retreating during 2000-2008 (Scherler et al., 2011). However, there are two views pertaining to the  
100 glaciers in the Trans Himalayan range, with one suggesting their intermediate response between the Karakoram  
101 Himalaya and GHR (Chudley et al., 2017) and the other emphasizing upon their affinity either towards the GHR  
102 or the Karakoram Himalayan glaciers (Schmidt and Nusser, 2017). Therefore, in order to add more data and  
103 build a complete understanding of the glacier response, particularly in the western Himalaya, more local scale  
104 studies are necessary.

105 Complete and precise glacier inventories form the basic prerequisites not only for comprehensive glacier  
106 assessment but also for various hydrological and climate modelling related applications (Vaughan et al., 2013).  
107 Information on spatial coverage of glaciers in any region is a much valued dataset and holds paramount  
108 importance in the future assessment of glaciers. Errors in the glacier outlines may propagate and introduce  
109 higher uncertainties in the modelled outputs (Paul et al., 2017). Besides, results from modelling studies  
110 conducted over same region but using different sources of glacier boundaries are rendered uncomparable,  
111 constraining the evaluation of models and thus their future development. On the other hand, quality, accuracy  
112 and precision associated with glacier mapping and outline delineation requires dedicated efforts. Several past  
113 studies discuss the methods for, challenges in achieving an accurate glacier inventory and resolutions for the  
114 same (Paul et al., 2013; 2015; 2017). Thorough knowledge of glaciology and committed manual endeavour are  
115 two vital requirements in this regard. Realisation of above facts did result in several devoted attempts to prepare  
116 detailed glacier inventories at global scale, such as Randolph glacier inventory (RGI), Global land ice  
117 measurements from space (GLIMS) and recently Chinese glacier inventory (CGI) and Glacier area mapping for  
118 discharge from the Asian mountains (GAMDAM) (Raup et al., 2007; Pfeffer et al., 2014; Shiyin et al., 2014;  
119 Nuimura et al., 2015). However, several issues related to gap areas, differences in mapping methods and skills  
120 of the analysts involved act as limitations and need further attention.

121 Considering the above, present work studies the glaciers in the Suru Sub-basin (SSB), western Himalaya,  
122 Jammu and Kashmir. Prime objectives of this study include: 1) presenting the inventory of recent glacier data  
123 [area, length, debris cover, SLA, elevation (min & max), slope and aspect] in the SSB; 2) assessing the temporal  
124 changes for four epochs in past 46 years; and 3) analysing the observed glacier response in relation to the  
125 regional climate trends, local climate variability and other factors (regional hypsometry, topographic  
126 characteristics, debris cover and geomorphic features). Several remote sensing and field based studies of  
127 regional (Vijay and Braun, 2018), local (Bhushan et al., 2018, Kamp et al., 2011; Pandey et al., 2011; Shukla  
128 and Qadir, 2016, Rashid et al 2017, Murtaza and Romshoo, 2015) and glacier-specific nature (Garg et al., 2018;  
129 Shukla et al., 2018) have been conducted for monitoring the response of the glaciers to the climate change.  
130 Glaciological studies carried out in or adjacent to the SSB suggest increased shrinkage, slowdown and  
131 downwasting of the studied glaciers at variable rates (Kamp et al., 2011; Pandey et al., 2011; Shukla and Qadir,  
132 2016; Bhushan et al., 2018). These studies also hint towards the possible role of topographic & morphometric  
133 factors as well as debris cover in glacier evolution, though confined to their own specific regions. Previous  
134 studies have also estimated the glacier statistics of SSB and reported the total number of glaciers and the  
135 glacierized area to be 284 and 718.86 km<sup>2</sup> (Sangewar and Shukla, 2009) and 110 and 156.61 km<sup>2</sup> (SAC report,  
136 2016), respectively. While the RGI reports varying results by two groups of analysts (number of glaciers: 514 &  
137 304 covering an area of 550 & 606 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively) for 2000 itself.  
138 Previous findings suggesting progressive degeneration of glaciers, apparent variation and discrepancies in  
139 inventory estimates and also the fact that the currently available glacier details for the sub-basin are nearly 20  
140 years old, mandate the recent and accurate assessment of the glaciers in the SSB and drive the present study.


141

## 142 2 Study area

143 The present study focuses on the glaciers of the SSB situated in the state of Jammu and Kashmir, western  
144 Himalaya (Fig. 1). The geographic extent of the study area lies within latitude and longitude of 33° 50' to 34°  
145 40' N to 75° 40'to 76° 30' E.

146 Geographically, the sub-basin covers part of two major ranges, i.e., GHR and LR and shows the presence of the  
147 highest peaks of Nun (7135 masl) and Kun (7077 masl) in the GHR (Vittoz, 1954). The glaciers in these ranges  
148 have distinct morphology, with the larger ones located in the GHR and comparatively smaller towards the LR  
149 (Fig. 1).

Primary




150

151 Figure 1: Location map of the study area. The glaciers in the Suru Sub-basin (black outline) are studied for their  
 152 response towards the climatic conditions during the period 1971-2017. Blue rectangles with dashed outlines  
 153 (GRID-1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) are the Climate Research Unit (CRU)-Time Series (TS) 4.02 grids of dimension  $0.5^\circ \times$   
 154  $0.5^\circ$ . (a) Pie-chart inset showing orientation-wise percentage distribution of glaciers in the sub-basin. North (N),  
 155 north-east (NE), north-west (NW), south (S), south-east (SE), south-west (SW), east (E) and west (W)

156 represents the direction of the glaciers. (b) Pie chart inset showing size-distribution of glaciers in the SSB. The  
157 glacier boundaries [GHR (orange) and LR (yellow)] are overlain on the Advanced Land Observing Satellite  
158 (ALOS) Digital Surface Model (DSM).

159

160 The meltwater from these glaciers feeds the Suru River (tributary of Indus River), which emerges from the  
161 Pensilungpa glacier (Fig. 2a) at an altitude of ~4675 m asl. The river further flows north for a distance of ~24  
162 kms and takes a westward turn from Rangdum (~4200 m asl). While flowing through this path, the Suru River is  
163 fed by some of the major glaciers of the GHR namely Lalung, Dulung (Fig. 1), Chilung (Fig. 2b), Shafat (Fig.  
164 2c; d), Kangriz/ Parkachik (Fig. 2e), Sentik, Rantac (Fig.2f), Tongul (Fig. 2g) and Glacier no.47 (Fig. 2h).  
165 Amongst these major glaciers, Kangriz forms the largest glacier in the SSB, covering an area of ~53 km<sup>2</sup> and  
166 descends down from the peaks of Nun and Kun (Garg et al., 2018). The Suru River continues to flow for a  
167 distance of nearly 54 kms and after crossing a mountain spur and the townships of Tongul, Panikhar and  
168 Sankoo, the river further flows north until it finally merges with River Indus at Nurla (~3028 m asl).



169


170 Figure 2: Field photographs of some of the investigated glaciers in the study area captured during the field visits  
 171 in September, 2016 and 2017. (a), (b), (c), (e), (f), (g), (h) Snouts of Pensilungpa, Chilung, Shafat, Kangriz,  
 172 Sentik & Rantac, Tongul glaciers and Glacier no.47, respectively. (d) Deglaciated valley near the Shafat glacier.  
 173

174 The westerlies are an important source of moisture in this region (Dimri, 2013) with wide range of fluctuations  
 175 in snowfall during winters. In the Padum valley, annual mean precipitation (Snowfall) and temperature amounts  
 176 to nearly 2050 to 6840 mm and 4.3 °C, respectively (Raina and Kaul, 2011; <http://en.climate-data.org>). The  
 177 longterm average annual temperature and precipitation has varied from 5.5 °C/ 588.77 mm (Kargil) to -2.04 °C/

have

178 | 278.65 mm in Leh during the period 1901-2002 (IMD, 2015). However, in order to understand the long term  
 179 | variability of climatic conditions in the SSB, we have utilized the Climate Research Unit (CRU)-Time Series  
 180 | (TS) 4.02 data during the period 1901-2017 (Fig. 3; Harris and Jones, 2018). Derived from this data, the annual  
 181 | mean temperature and precipitation of the SSB for the period 1901-2017 has been  $0.99 \pm 0.45$  °C and  $393 \pm 76$   
 182 | mm, respectively. (Standard deviations associated with the mean temperature and precipitation have been  
 183 | italicized throughout the text).

**Deleted:** In the main administrative centre of Leh (3500 masl), annual mean precipitation and temperature amounts to just 100 mm and 7.3 °C, respectively (IMD, 2015). The extreme annual range of temperature varies from -27.9°C (winters) to 34.8°C (summer) (Chevuturi et al., 2018).



184

185 | Figure 3: Annual and seasonal variability in the climate data for the period 1901-2017. (a), (b) and (c) 5 year  
 186 | moving average of the mean annual precipitation (mm) and temperature (°C) recorded for 5 grids covering the  
 187 | glaciers in the entire SSB, GHR and LR (sub-regions), respectively during the period 1901-2017. The light and  
 188 | dark grey colored dashed lines depict the respective trend lines for precipitation and temperature conditions  
 189 | during the period 1901-2017. (d), (e) and (f) Monthly mean precipitation and temperature data for the entire  
 190 | SSB, GHR and LR (sub-regions), respectively for the time period 1901-2017.

191

### 192 | 3 Datasets and Methods

#### 193 | 3.1 Datasets used

194 | The study uses multi-sensor and multi-temporal satellite remote sensing data for extracting the glacier  
 195 | parameters for four time periods, i.e., 1971/1977, 1994, 2000 and 2017, details of which are mentioned in Table  
 196 | 1. It involves 6 Landsat level 1 terrain corrected (L1T), 3 strips of declassified Corona KH-4B and 1 Sentinel  
 197 | multispectral scenes, downloaded from USGS Earth Explorer (<https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>). Besides, a global  
 198 | digital surface model (DSM) dataset utilizing the data acquired by the Panchromatic remote-sensing Instrument  
 199 | for Stereo Mapping (PRISM) onboard the Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS) *has* also been  
 200 | incorporated (<https://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ALOS/en/aw3d30/>). ALOS World 3D comprises of a fine resolution  
 201 | DSM (approx 5m vertical accuracy). It is primarily used for delineating the basin boundary, extraction of SLA,  
 202 | elevation range, regional hypsometry and slope.

203

204 | Table 1: Detailed specifications of the satellite data utilised in the present study. GB= glacier boundaries,  
 205 | DC=debris cover

*have*



S. no	Satellite sensors(Date of acquisition)	Remarks on quality	Scene Id	RMSE error	Registration accuracy (m)	Purpose
1.	Corona KH-4B (28 Sep 1971)	Cloud free	<u>DS1115-2282DA056/</u> <u>DS1115-2282DA055/</u> <u>DS1115-2282DA054</u>	0.1	0,3	Delineation of GB
2.	LandsatMSS (19 Aug 1977/ 1 Aug 1977)	Cloud free/ peak ablation (17 Aug)	<u>LM02_L1TP_159036</u> <u>19770819_20180422</u> <u>_01_T2/</u> <u>LM02_L1TP_159036</u> <u>19770801_20180422</u> <u>_01_T2</u>	0.12	10	Delineation of GB, SLA&DC
3.	LandsatTM (27 Aug 1994)	Partially cloud covered/ peak ablation	<u>LT05_L1TP_148036</u> <u>19940827_20170113</u> <u>_01_T1/</u> <u>LT05_L1GS_148037</u> <u>19940827_20170113</u> <u>_01_T2</u>	0.22	6	Delineation of GB, SLA&DC
4.	LandsatTM (26 July 1994)	Seasonal snow cover	<u>LT05_L1TP_148036</u> <u>19940726_20170113</u> <u>_01_T1</u>	0.2	6	Delineation of GB
5.	LandsatET M <sup>+</sup> (4 Sep 2000)	Cloud free/ peak ablation	<u>LE71480362000248S</u> <u>GS00</u>	Base image		Delineation of GB, SLA& DC
6.	LandsatOLI (25July 2017)	Partially cloud covered/ peak ablation	<u>LC08_L1TP_148036</u> <u>20170810_01_T1</u>	0.15	4,5	Delineation of GB & DC, estimation of SLA
7.	Sentinel MSI (20 Sep 2017)	Cloud free	<u>S2A_MSIL1C_20170</u> <u>920T053641_N0205</u> <u>R005_T43SET_20170</u> <u>920T053854</u>	0.12	1,2	Delineation of GB & DC
8.	LISS IV (27Aug2017 )	Cloud free	<u>183599611</u>	0.2	1,16	Accuracy assessment

213 The aforementioned satellite images were acquired keeping into consideration certain necessary pre-requisites,  
 214 such as, peak ablation months (July/ August/ September), regional coverage, minimal snow and cloud cover for  
 215 the accurate identification and demarcation of the glaciers. Only three Corona KH-4B strips were available for  
 216 period 1971, which covered the SSB partially, i.e., 40% of the GHR and 57% of the LR glaciers. Therefore, rest  
 217 of the glaciers were delineated using the Landsat MSS image of the year 1977 (Table 1). Similarly, some of the  
 218 glaciers could not be mapped using the Landsat TM image of 27 Aug 1994 as the image was partially covered  
 219 with clouds. Therefore, 26 July 1994 image of the same sensor was used in order to delineate the boundaries of  
 220 the cloud covered glaciers.

221 Besides, long term climate data <sup>have</sup> has been obtained from CRU-TS 4.02, which is a high resolution gridded  
 222 climate dataset obtained from the monthly meteorological observations collected at different weather stations of  
 223 the World. In order to generate this long term data, station anomalies from 1961-1990 are interpolated into 0.5°  
 224 latitude and longitude grid cells (Harris and Jones, 2018). This dataset includes six independent climate  
 225 variables (mean temperature, diurnal temperature range, precipitation, wet-day frequency, vapour pressure and  
 226 cloud cover). However, in this study monthly mean, minimum and maximum temperature and precipitation data  
 227 are taken into consideration.

228

### 229 3.2 Methodology adopted

230 The following section mentions the methods adopted for data extraction, analysis and uncertainty estimation.

231

#### 232 3.2.1 Glacier mapping and estimation of glacier parameters

233 Initially, the satellite images were co-registered by projective transformation at sub-pixel accuracy with the  
 234 Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of less than 1m (Table 1), taking the Landsat ETM<sup>+</sup> image and ALOS DSM  
 235 as reference. However, the Corona image was co-registered following a two step approach: (1) projective  
 236 transformation was performed using nearly 160-250 GCPs (2) spline adjustment of the image strips (Bhambri et  
 237 al., 2012). The glaciers were mapped using a hybrid approach, i.e., normalized difference snow index (NDSI)  
 238 for delineating snow-ice boundaries and manual digitization of the debris cover. Considering that not many  
 239 changes would have occurred in the accumulation region, major modifications have been done in the boundaries  
 240 below the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) (Paul et al., 2017). The glacierets/ tributary glaciers contributing to  
 241 the main trunk are considered as single glacier entity. NDSI was applied on a reference image of Landsat ETM<sup>+</sup>  
 242 using an area threshold range of 0.55-0.6. A median filter of kernel size 3\*3 was used to remove the noise and  
 243 very small pixels. In this manner, glaciers covering a minimum area of 0.01 km<sup>2</sup> have been mapped. However,  
 244 some pixels of frozen water, shadowed regions were manually corrected. Thereafter, the debris covered part of  
 245 the glaciers was mapped manually by taking help from slope and thermal characteristics of the glaciers. Besides,  
 246 high resolution imageries from the Google Earth<sup>TM</sup> were also referred for the accurate demarcation of the  
 247 glaciers. Identification of the glacier terminus was done based on the presence of certain characteristic features  
 248 at the snout such as ice wall, proglacial lakes and emergence of streams. Length of the glacier was measured  
 249 along the central flow line (CFL) drawn from the bergschrund to the snout. Fluctuations in the snout position  
 250 (i.e., retreat) of an individual glacier was estimated using the parallel line method, in which parallel strips of 50  
 251 m spacing are taken on both sides of the CFL. Thereafter, the average values of these strips intersecting the  
 252 glacier boundaries were used to determine the frontal retreat of the glaciers (Shukla and Qadir, 2016; Garg et al.,

253 2017a;b), Mean SLA estimated at the end of the ablation season can be effectively used as a reliable proxy for  
 254 mass balance estimation for a hydrological year (Guo et al., 2014). The maximum spectral contrast between  
 255 snow and ice in the SWIR and NIR bands helps in delineation of the snow line separating the two facies. The  
 256 same principle was used in this study to yield the snow line. Further, a 15 m sized buffer was created on both  
 257 sides of the snow line to obtain the mean SLA. Other factors such as elevation (max & min), regional  
 258 hypsometry and slope were extracted utilising the ALOS DSM.

259

### 260 3.2.2 Analysis of climate variables

261 To ascertain the long term climate trends in the sub-basin, mean annual temperature (min & max) and  
 262 precipitation have been derived by averaging the mean monthly data of the respective years. Besides, seasonal  
 263 trends are also analysed for winter (November-March) and summer (April-October) months. Moreover,  
 264 the climate variables have also been assessed separately for the ~46 year period (1971-2017), which is the study  
 265 period of present research.


266 Further, the climate dataset was statistically analysed for five grids using Mann-Kendall test to obtain the  
 267 magnitude and significance of the trends (Supplementary table S2). The magnitude of trends in time series data  
 268 was determined using Sen's slope estimator (Sen, 1968). Quantitatively, the temperature and precipitation trends  
 269 have been assessed here in absolute terms (determined from Sen's slope). The change in climate parameters  
 270 (temperature and precipitation) was determined using following formula:

$$271 \text{Change} = (\beta * L) / M \quad (1)$$

272 where  $\beta$  is Sen's slope estimator,  $L$  is length of period and  $M$  is the long term mean.

273 These tests were performed at confidence level,  $S = 0.1$ (90%),  $0.05$ ( 95%) and  $0.01$ (99%), which differed for  
 274 both the variables (Supplementary table S2). Spatial interpolation of climate data was achieved using the Inverse  
 275 Distance Weighted (IDW) algorithm. For this purpose, a total number of 15 CRU TS grids (in vicinity of our  
 276 study area) were taken so as to have an ample number of data points in order to achieve the accurate results.


277 Further, in order to check data consistency, we have taken up instrument data from nearest stations of Kargil and  
 278 Leh (due to the unavailability of meteorological stations in the Suru sub-basin) and compared with the CRU-TS  
 279 derived data for the entire Suru sub-basin during 1901-2002 period (Fig. 4).



280

281 **Figure 4: Mean annual temperature and precipitation patterns of CRU-TS derived gridded data in (a) Suru sub-**  
 282 **basin and IMD recorded station at (b) Kargil and (c) Leh.**

283 The mean annual temperature pattern of Suru sub-basin shows a near negative trend till 1937, with an increase  
 284 thereafter. Similar trends have been observed for Kargil and Leh, despite their distant location from the Suru  
 285 sub-basin (areal distance of Kargil and Leh is -63 and 126 km, respectively from the centre of Suru sub-basin).  
 286 However, it is noteworthy to mention that all the locations had attained maximum mean annual temperature in  
 287 1999 (Suru: 2.02°C; Kargil: 6.84°C; Leh: -0.5°C). We observe an almost similar trend in all the cases (Fig. 4),  
 288 with an accelerated warming post 1995/96. However, the magnitude varies, with longterm mean annual  
 289 temperature of 0.9, 5.5 and -2.04°C observed in Suru sub-basin, Kargil and Leh, respectively (Fig. 4) The  
 290 possible reason for this difference in their magnitudes could possibly be attributed to their distinct geographical  
 291 locations and difference in their nature, with former being point, while latter being the interpolated gridded data.  
 292 Also, we have used the station data, obtained from nearest available IMD sites, i.e., Kargil and Leh and  
 293 compared with their respective CRU-TS data (mean annual temperature and precipitation).



294 Figure 5: Analysis of meteorological (mean annual temperature and precipitation) datasets derived from Indian  
 295 Meteorological Department (IMD) stations at (a) Kargil & (b) Leh and the respective [(c) Kargil and (d) Leh]  
 296 gridded data obtained from climate research unit (CRU)-time series (TS).  
 297

298 Though varying in magnitude, the climate data obtained from IMD as well as CRU-TS suggest almost similar  
 299 trends of temperature and precipitation during the period 1901-2002 for both Kargil and Leh (Fig. 5). The  
 300 annual mean temperature/ precipitation have amounted to 5.5°C/589 mm (IMD) and 2.4°C/315 mm (CRU-TS)  
 301 in Kargil, while -2.04/279 mm (IMD) and -0.09/ 216 mm (CRU-TS) in Leh during the period 1901-2002 (Fig.  
 302 5). We observed that climatic variables show lower magnitude in case of CRU-TS as compared to the station  
 303 data from IMD (except CRU-TS derived temperature data recorded for Leh). The possible reason for this  
 304 difference between CRU-TS and station data can primarily be attributed to the difference in their nature, with  
 305 former being point, while latter being a gridded data (0.5° latitude and longitude grid cells). This analysis aptly

306 brings out the bias in the CRU TS gridded data. Majorly the comparison shows that though the gridded data  
 307 correctly bring out the temporal trends in meteorological data but differ with station data in magnitude (being on  
 308 lower side than the station estimates). This helps us better appreciate the climate variations in the Suru sub-basin  
 309 as well, since we learn that the reported temperature and precipitation changes are probably on the lower side of  
 310 the actual variations.

### 311 3.2.3 Uncertainty assessment

312 This study involves extraction of various glacial parameters utilizing satellite data with variable characteristics,  
 313 hence, susceptible to uncertainties, which may arise from various sources. These sources may be locational  
 314 (LE), interpretational (IE), classification (CE) or processing (PE) errors (Racoviteanu et al., 2009; Shukla and  
 315 Qadir, 2016). In our study, the LE and PE may have resulted on account of miss-registration of the satellite  
 316 images and inaccurate mapping, respectively. While IE and CE would have been introduced due to the miss-  
 317 interpretation of glacier features during mapping. The former can be rectified by co-registration of the images  
 318 and estimation of sub-pixel co-registration RMSE (Table 1) and using standard statistical measures. However,  
 319 the latter can be visually identified and corrected but difficult for exact quantification owing to lack of reliable  
 320 reference data (field data) in most cases. As a standard procedure for uncertainty estimation, glacier outlines are  
 321 compared directly with the ground truth data as acquired using a Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS)  
 322 (Racoviteanu et al., 2008a). In this study, DGPS survey was conducted on the Pensilungpa and Kangriz glaciers  
 323 at an error of less than 1cm. Therefore, by comparing the snout position of Pensilungpa (2017) and Kangriz  
 324 (2018) glaciers derived from DGPS and OLI image, an accuracy of  $\pm 23$  and  $\pm 1.4$  m, respectively was obtained.  
 325 Also, the frontal retreat estimated for the Kangriz glacier using DGPS and OLI image is found to be  $38.63 \pm 47.8$   
 326 and  $39.98 \pm 56.6$  m, respectively during the period 2017-18. In this study, high resolution Linear imaging self-  
 327 scanning system (LISS)-IV imagery (spatial resolution of 5.8 m) is also used for validating the glacier mapping  
 328 results for the year 2017 (Table 1). Glaciers of varying dimensions and distribution of debris cover were  
 329 selected for this purpose. The area and length mapping accuracy for these selected glacier boundaries (G-1, G-2,  
 330 G-3, G-13, G-41, G-209, G-215, G-216, G-220, G-233) was found to be 3% and 0.5%, respectively.  
 331 The multi-temporal datasets were assessed for glacier length and area change uncertainty as per the methods  
 332 given by Hall et al. (2003) and Granshaw and Fountain (2006). Following formulations (Hall et al., 2003) were  
 333 used for estimation of the said parameters:

$$334 \text{Terminus uncertainty } (U_T) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} + \sigma \quad (2)$$

335 where, 'a' and 'b' are the pixel resolution of image 1 and 2, respectively and ' $\sigma$ ' is the registration error. The  
 336 terminus and areal uncertainty estimated are given in Table 2.

$$337 \text{Area change uncertainty } (U_A) = 2 * U_T * x \quad (3)$$

339 where, 'x' is the spatial resolution of the sensor.

340 Table 2. Terminus and Area change uncertainty associated with satellite dataset as defined by Hall et al. (2003).  
 341  $U_T$  = terminus uncertainty,  $U_A$  = area change uncertainty,  $x$  = spatial resolution,  $\sigma$  = registration accuracy.

Serial no.	Satellite sensor	Terminus uncertainty $U_T$ $= \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} + \sigma$	Area change uncertainty $U_A = 2 U_T * x$
------------	------------------	---	--

1.	Corona KH-4B	3.12 m	0.00007 km <sup>2</sup>
2.	Landsat MSS	123.13 m	0.03km <sup>2</sup>
3.	Landsat TM	41.42 m	0.003 km <sup>2</sup>
4.	Landsat ETM <sup>+</sup>	48.42 m	0.003km <sup>2</sup>
5.	Landsat OLI	46.92 m	0.003km <sup>2</sup>

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

Area mapping uncertainty, ~~has also been~~ <sup>was</sup> estimated using the buffer method, in which, a buffer size equal the registration error of the satellite image ~~is~~ <sup>was</sup> taken into consideration (Bolch et al., 2012; Garg et al., 2017a,b). Error estimated using this method is found to be 0.48, 27.2, 9.6 and 3.41 km<sup>2</sup> for the 1971 (Corona), 1977 (MSS), 1994 (TM) and 2017 (OLI) image, respectively. Since the debris extents were delineated within the respective glacier boundaries, the proportionate errors are likely to have propagated in debris cover estimations which were estimated accordingly (Garg et al., 2017b).

Uncertainty in SLA estimation needs to be reported in the X, Y and Z directions. In this context, error in X and Y directions should be equal to the distance taken for creating the buffer on either side of the snow line demarcating the snow and ice facies. Since, the buffer size taken in this study was 15 m, therefore, error in X and Y direction was considered as  $\pm 15$  m. However, uncertainty in Z direction would be similar to the ALOS DSM, i.e.,  $\pm 5$  m.

## 4 Results

The present study involved creation of glacier inventory for the year 2017 and estimation of glacier (area, length, debris cover and SLA) parameters for four different time periods. For detailed insight, the variability of the glacier parameters have also been evaluated on decadal scale, in which the total time period has been sub-divided into three time frames, i.e., 1971-1994 (23 years), 1994-2000 (6 years) and 2000-2017 (17 years).

### 4.1 Basin statistics

The SSB covers an area of  $\sim 4429$  km<sup>2</sup>. In 1971, the sub-basin had around 240 glaciers, with 126 glaciers located in the GHR and 114 in the LR, which remained the same till 2000. However, a major disintegration of glaciers took place during the period 2000-2017, which resulted into the breakdown of about 12 glaciers into smaller glacierets. The recent (2017) distribution of the glaciers in the GHR and LR is 130 and 122, respectively (Supplementary table S1). The overall glacierized area is  $\sim 11\%$ , with the size and length of the glaciers varying from 0.01 to 53.1 km<sup>2</sup> and 0.15 to 16.34 km, respectively.


Within the sub-basin, the size range of glaciers in the GHR and LR vary from 0.01 (G-115) to 53.1 km<sup>2</sup> (G-50) and 0.03 (G-155/165) to 6.73 km<sup>2</sup> (G-209), respectively. Considering this, glaciers have been categorized into small (0-7 km<sup>2</sup>/ 0-2 km), medium (7-15 km<sup>2</sup>/ 2-7 km) and large (>15 km<sup>2</sup>/ >7 km). Based on size distribution, small (comprising all the LR and some GHR glaciers), medium and large glaciers occupy 47%, 15% and 38% of the glacierized sub-basin. Depending upon the percentage area occupied by the supraglacial debris out of the total glacier area, the glaciers have been categorized into clean (CG: 0-25%), partially debris-covered (PDG: 25-50%) and heavily debris-covered (HDG: >50%). Categorization of the glaciers based on this criteria shows their proportion in the glacierized basin as: CG (43%), PDG (40%) and HDG (17%). Majority of the glaciers in the

379 sub-basin are north facing (N/ NW/NE: 71%), followed by south (S/ SW/ SE: 20%), with very few oriented in  
 380 other (E/ W: 9%) directions (Fig. 1a). The mean elevation of the glaciers in the SSB is  $5134.8 \pm 225$  masl, with  
 381 an average elevation of  $5020 \pm 146$  and  $5260 \pm 117$  masl in the GHR and LR, respectively. Mean slope of the  
 382 glaciers is  $24.8 \pm 5.8^\circ$  and varies from  $24 \pm 6^\circ$  to  $25 \pm 6^\circ$  in the GHR and LR, respectively. While, percentage  
 383 distribution of glaciers shows that nearly 80% of the LR glaciers have steeper slope (20-40°) as compared to the  
 384 GHR glaciers (57%).

385

#### 386 4.2 Area changes

387 The glaciated area reduced from  $513 \pm 14$  km<sup>2</sup> (1971) to  $481 \pm 3.4$  km<sup>2</sup> (2017), exhibiting an overall deglaciation  
 388 of  $32 \pm 9$  km<sup>2</sup> ( $6 \pm 0.02\%$ ) during the period 1971-2017. Percentage area loss of the individual glaciers ranges  
 389 between 0.8 (G-50; Parkachik glacier) - 45 (G-81) %, with majority of the glaciers undergoing an area loss in  
 390 the range 6-12% during the period 1971-2017 (Fig. 6a).



391


392 Figure 6: (a) Percent area loss of the glaciers in the SSB during the period 1971-2017. Frequency distribution  
 393 histogram depicting that majority of the glaciers have undergone an area loss in the range 6-12%. (b)  
 394 Hypsometric distribution of glacier area in the GHR and LR regions during the period (I) 1971-2000 and (II)  
 395 2000-2017. (A), (B), (C) and (D) insets in (II) shows the significant change in area at different elevation range  
 396 of the GHR and LR glaciers.  
 397

398 Results show that the highest pace of deglaciation is observed during 1994-2000 ( $0.95 \pm 0.005 \text{ km}^2\text{a}^{-1}$ ) and 2000-  
 399 2017 ( $0.86 \pm 0.0002 \text{ km}^2\text{a}^{-1}$ ) followed by 1971-1994 ( $0.5 \pm 0.001 \text{ km}^2\text{a}^{-1}$ ) (Supplementary figure S1a). Within the  
 400 SSB, glaciers in the LR exhibit higher deglaciation ( $7 \pm 7.2\%$ ) as compared to GHR ( $6 \pm 2\%$ ) during the period  
 401 1971-2017. Apart from deglaciation, G-50 also showed increment in glacier area during the period 1994-2000,  
 402 however, insignificantly.

403

#### 404 4.3 Length changes

405 Fluctuations in the glacier snout have been estimated during the period 1971-2017 and it is observed that nearly  
 406 all the glaciers have retreated during the said period, however the retreat rates vary considerably. The overall  
 407 average retreat rate of the glaciers is observed to be  $4.3 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  during the period 1971-2017. Percentage  
 408 length change of the glaciers ranges between 0.9 to 47%, with majority of the glaciers retreating in the range 6-  
 409 14% during the period 1971-2017 (Fig.7).



410

411 Figure 7: Percent length change of the glaciers in the SSB during the period 1971-2017. Frequency distribution  
 412 histogram showing that majority of the glaciers have undergone length change of in the range 6-14%.

413

414 Decadal observations reveal the highest rate of retreat during 1994-2000 ( $7.37 \pm 8.6 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) followed by 2000-  
 415 2017 ( $4.66 \pm 1.04 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) and lowest during 1971-1994 ( $3.22 \pm 2.3 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) (Supplementary figure S 1b). Also, the  
 416 average retreat rate in the GHR and LR glaciers was observed to be  $5.4 \pm 1.04 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  and  $3.3 \pm 1.04 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ,  
 417 respectively, during the period 1971-2017. The retreat rate of individual glaciers varied from  $0.72 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$



418 (G-114) to  $28.92 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  (G-7, i.e., Dulung glacier) during the period 1971-2017. Besides, the Kangriz  
 419 glacier (G-50) also showed advancement during the period 1994-2000 by  $5.23 \pm 8.6 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ .

420

#### 421 4.4 Debris-cover changes

422 Results show an overall increase in debris-cover extent by 62% ( $\sim 37 \pm 0.002 \text{ km}^2$ ) in the SSB glaciers during the  
 423 period 1971-2017. Decadal variations exhibit the maximum increase in the debris-cover by approximately 19  
 424  $\pm 0.00004 \text{ km}^2$  (24%) during 2000-2017 followed by an increase of  $13 \pm 0.0001 \text{ km}^2$  (20%) and  $5 \pm 0.0001 \text{ km}^2$   
 425 (9%) during 1994-2000 and 1971-1994, respectively (Supplementary figure S1c). However, GHR and LR  
 426 glaciers show an overall increase of debris cover extent by 59% and 73%, respectively during the entire study  
 427 period, i.e., 1971-2017.

428

#### 429 4.5 SLA variations

430 The mean SLA shows an average increase of  $22 \pm 60 \text{ m}$  during the period 1977-2017. On the decadal scale, SLA  
 431 variations showed the highest increase ( $161 \pm 59 \text{ m}$ ) during 1994-2000 with a considerably lower increase ( $8 \pm 59$   
 432  $\text{m}$ ) during 1977-1994 and decrease ( $150 \pm 60 \text{ m}$ ) during 2000-2017. Amongst the four time periods (1977, 1994,  
 433 2000 & 2017) used for mean SLA estimation, the highest SLA is noted during 2000 ( $5158 \pm 65 \text{ masl}$ ) and  
 434 minimum during 1977 ( $4988 \pm 65 \text{ masl}$ ) (Supplementary figure S1d).

435 During the period 1977-2017, the average SLA of the LR glaciers is observed to be relatively higher ( $5155 \pm 7$   
 436  $\text{masl}$ ) as compared to the GHR glaciers ( $4962 \pm 9 \text{ masl}$ ). In contrast, an overall rise in mean SLA was noted in  
 437 GHR ( $49 \pm 69 \text{ m}$ ), while a decrease in LR glaciers ( $18 \pm 45 \text{ m}$ ) during the time frame of 1977-2017.

438

### 439 5 Discussion

440 The present study reports detailed temporal inventory data of the glaciers in the SSB considering multiple  
 441 glacier parameters, evaluates the ensuing changes for ascertaining the status of glaciers and relates them to  
 442 climate variability and other inherent terrain characteristics. The results suggest an overall degeneration of the  
 443 glaciers with pronounced spatial and temporal heterogeneity in response.


444

#### 445 5.1 Glacier variability in Suru sub-basin: A comparative evaluation

446 Basin statistics reveal that in the year 2000, the SSB comprised of 240 glaciers covering an area of  
 447 approximately  $496 \text{ km}^2$ . However, these figures differ considerably from the previously reported studies in this  
 448 particular sub-basin, with the total number of glaciers and the glacierized area varying from 284/  $718.86 \text{ km}^2$   
 449 (Sangewar and Shukla, 2009) to 110/  $156.61 \text{ km}^2$  (SAC report, 2016), respectively. In contrast, the glacierized  
 450 area is found to be less, however comparable with the RGI boundaries ( $550.88 \text{ km}^2$ ). Besides, debris cover  
 451 distribution of the glaciers during 2000 is observed to be  $\sim 16\%$  in the present study, which is almost half of that  
 452 reported in RGI (30%). Variability in these figures is possibly due to the differences in the mapping techniques,  
 453 thereby increasing the risk of systematic error. Moreover, due to the involvement of different analysts in the  
 454 latter, the results may more likely suffer with random errors.

455 Results from this study reveal an overall deglaciation of the glaciers in the SSB at an annual rate of  $\sim 0.1$   
 456  $\pm 0.0004\%$  during the period 1971-2017. This quantum of area loss is comparatively less to the average annual

457 rate of 0.4% reported in the western Himalaya (Supplementary table S3). However, our results are comparable  
 458 with Birajdar et al. (2014), Chand and Sharma (2015) and Patel et al. (2018) and differ considerably with other  
 459 studies in the western Himalayas (Supplementary table S3). Period wise deglaciation varied from  $0.1 \pm 0.0007$  to  
 460  $0.2 \pm 0.005\% \text{ a}^{-1}$  during 1971-2000 and 2000-2017, respectively. This result is in line with the recent findings by  
 461 Maurer et al. (2019), who suggest a higher average mass loss post 2000 ( $-0.43 \text{ m w.e.a}^{-1}$ ), which is almost  
 462 double the rate reported during 1975-2000 ( $-0.22 \text{ m w.e.a}^{-1}$ ) for the entire Himalaya.  
 463 Comparing the deglaciation rates of the glaciers within the western Himalayan region reveals considerable  
 464 heterogeneity therein (Supplementary table S3). It is observed that the Karakoram Himalayan glaciers, in  
 465 particular had been losing area till 2000 at an average rate of  $0.09\% \text{ a}^{-1}$ , with an increase in area thereafter by  
 466  $-0.05\% \text{ a}^{-1}$  (Liu et al., 2006; Minora et al., 2013; Bhambri et al., 2013). However, glaciers in the GHR and Trans  
 467 Himalayan range have been deglaciating with higher average annual rate of 0.4 and  $0.6\% \text{ a}^{-1}$ , respectively during  
 468 the period 1962-2016 (Kulkarni et al., 2007; Kulkarni et al., 2011; Rai et al., 2013; Chand and Sharma, 2015;  
 469 Mir et al., 2017; Schmidt and Nusser, 2017; Chudley et al., 2017; Patel et al., 2018; Das and Sharma, 2018). In  
 470 contrast to these studies, deglaciation rates in SSB, which comprises of glaciers in GHR as well as LR have  
 471 varied from  $0.1\% \text{ a}^{-1}$  (GHR) to  $0.2\% \text{ a}^{-1}$  (LR) (present study). These results evidently depict that the response of  
 472 the SSB glaciers is transitional between the Karakoram Himalayan and GHR glaciers. Period wise area loss of  
 473 the glaciers in the Himalayan region suggest maximum average deglaciation of eastern ( $0.49\%/\text{yr}$ ), followed by  
 474 central ( $0.36\%/\text{yr}$ ) and western ( $0.35\%/\text{yr}$ ) Himalayan glaciers before 2000. Contrarily, after 2000, the central  
 475 Himalayan glaciers deglaciated at the maximum rate ( $0.52\%/\text{yr}$ ) followed by western ( $0.46\%/\text{yr}$ ) and eastern  
 476 ( $0.44\%/\text{yr}$ ) Himalayan glaciers (Fig. 8). Though these rates reflect the possible trend of deglaciation in the  
 477 Himalayan terrain, however, any conclusion drawn would be biased due to insufficient data, particularly in  
 478 eastern and central Himalaya.



479 Figure 8: Annual rate of percentage area loss of glaciers in three major sections of Himalaya before and after  
 480 2000. Details of the same have been mentioned in Table S3 of Supplementary sheet. Results from the present  
 481 study have been star marked in the western Himalaya.  
 482  
 483

484 In this study, we found an overall average retreat rate of  $4.3 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  during the period 1971-2017. However,  
 485 the average retreat rates of seven glaciers in the SSB, reported by Kamp et al., (2011) is found to be nearly twice  
 486 ( $24 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) of that found in this study ( $10 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ). The comparatively higher retreat rates in the former might be due  
 487 to the consideration of different time frames. The average retreat rates in other basins of the western Himalaya is  
 488 also found to be higher ( $7.8 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) in the Doda valley (Shukla and Qadir, 2016),  $8.4 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  in Liddar valley  
 489 (Murtaza and Romshoo, 2015),  $15.5 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  in the Chandra-Bhaga basin (Pandey and Venkataraman, 2013) and 19

490  $\text{ma}^{-1}$  in the Baspa basin (Mir et al., 2017). These results show lower average retreat rate of the glaciers in the  
 491 SSB as compared to the other studies in the western Himalaya.  
 492 The observed average retreat rates during 2000-2017 ( $4.6 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) is found to be nearly twice of that, noted  
 493 during 1971-2000 ( $2 \pm 1.7 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ). Similar higher retreat rates post 2000 have been reported in the Tista basin  
 494 (Raina, 2009), Doda valley (Shukla and Qadir, 2016), Chandra Bhaga basin (Pandey and Venkataraman, 2013)  
 495 and Zaskar basin (Pandey et al., 2011). However, these studies may not sufficiently draw a generalized picture  
 496 of glacier recession in the Himalayan region.  
 497

## 498 5.2 Spatio-temporal variability in the climate data

499 Climatic fluctuations play a crucial role in understanding glacier variability. In this regard, CRU-TS 4.02 dataset  
 500 helped in delineating the long term fluctuations in the temperature and precipitation records.

### 501 5.2.1 Basin-wide climate variability


502 During an entire duration of 116 years, i.e. from 1901-2017, maximum mean annual temperature is observed in  
 503 2016 ( $3.23 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) and minimum during 1957 ( $-0.51 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ). Mean annual temperature shows an almost uniform trend  
 504 till 1996, with a pronounced rise thereafter till 2005/06 period (Fig. 3a,b,c). The globally averaged combined  
 505 land and ocean surface temperature data of 1983-2012 period is considered as the warmest 30-year period in the  
 506 last 1400 years (IPCC, 2013). This unprecedented rate of warming has been primarily attributed to the rapid  
 507 scale of industrialization, increase in regional population and anthropogenic activities prevalent during this time  
 508 period (Bajracharya et al., 2008; IPCC, 2013). Thus, one of the probable reason for this sudden increment in  
 509 temperature pattern is possibly due to the greenhouse effect from enhanced emission of black carbon in this  
 510 region (by 61%) from 1991-2001. Evidences of incessant increase in temperature during 1990s have also been  
 511 observed (through chronology of Himalayan Pine) from the contemporaneous surge in tree growth rate (Singh  
 512 and Yadav 2000). In fact, 50% of the years since 1970 have experienced considerably high solar irradiance and  
 513 warm phases of ENSO, which is possibly one of the reasons for the considerable rise in temperature throughout  
 514 the Himalaya (Shekhar et al., 2017). Maximum mean annual precipitation is noted during 2015 (615 mm) and  
 515 minimum during 1946 (244 mm). However, the mean annual precipitation followed a similar trend till 1946  
 516 with an increasing thereafter (Fig. 3a,b,c). Besides these general trends in temperature and precipitation, an  
 517 overall absolute increase in the mean annual temperature ( $T_{\text{max}}$  &  $T_{\text{min}}$ ) and precipitation data have been noted as  
 518  $0.77 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  ( $0.25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  &  $1.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) and 158 mm, respectively during the period 1901-2017. These observations suggest  
 519 an enhanced increase in  $T_{\text{min}}$  by nearly 5 times as compared to the  $T_{\text{max}}$  alongwith a simultaneous increase in the  
 520 precipitation during the period 1901-2017.

521 Seasonal variations reveal monthly mean temperature and precipitation of  $6.7 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and 1071 mm during summer  
 522 (Apr-Oct) and  $-6.9 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and 890 mm during winter (Nov-Mar) recorded during 1901-2017 period. Maximum  
 523 monthly mean temperature and precipitation have been observed in July ( $11.8 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ / 50.4 mm) and August ( $11.4$   
 524  $^\circ\text{C}$ / 52 mm) during the period 1901-2017, suggesting them to be the warmest and wettest months. While,  
 525 January is noted to be the coldest ( $-10.4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) and November (10.3 mm) to be the driest months in the duration of  
 526 116 years (Fig. 3d,e,f). Summer/ winter mean annual temperature and precipitation have increased significantly  
 527 by an average  $0.74/ 1.28 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and 85/ 72 mm, respectively during the period 1901-2017. These values reveal a  
 528 relatively higher rise in winter average temperature in contrast to the summer. However, enhanced increase in  
 529  $T_{\text{min}}$  ( $1.8^\circ\text{C}$ ) during winter and  $T_{\text{max}}$  ( $0.78^\circ\text{C}$ ) during summer have also been observed during the 1901-2017 time

530 period. The relatively higher rise in the winter temperature (particularly  $T_{\min}$ ) and precipitation possibly suggest  
 531 that the form of precipitation might have changed from solid to liquid during this particular time span. Similar  
 532 increase in the winter temperature have also been reported from the NW Himalaya during the 20<sup>th</sup> century  
 533 (Bhutiyani et al., 2007).  
 534 In contrast to the long-term climate trends, we have also analyzed the climate data for the study period, i.e.,  
 535 1971-2017. An overall increase in the average temperature (0.3°C),  $T_{\max}$  (0.45°C)  $T_{\min}$  (1.02°C) and  
 536 precipitation by 213 mm is observed. Meanwhile, an enhanced increase in winter  $T_{\min}$  (1.7°C) and summer  $T_{\max}$   
 537 (0.45°C) are observed. These findings aptly indicate the important role of winter  $T_{\min}$  and summer  $T_{\max}$  in the  
 538 SSB.

### 539 5.2.2 Local climate variability

540 Apart from these generalized climatic variations, grid-wise analysis of the meteorological parameters reveal  
 541 existence of local climate variability within the sub-basin (Fig. 3; 9).



542 Figure 9: Spatial variation in meteorological data recorded for 15 grids in the SSB during the period 1901-2017.  
 543 Map showing the long term mean annual (a) temperature (°C) and (b) precipitation (mm) data within the sub-  
 544 basin suggesting the existence of significant local climate variability in the region. Glacier boundaries are shown  
 545 as: GHR (red) and LR (yellow).  
 546

547  
 548 Observations indicate that the glaciers covered in grid 4 have been experiencing a warmer climatic regimes with  
 549 the maximum annual mean temperature of 1.69 °C as compared to the other glaciers in the region (grid 2 = 1.4  
 550 °C, grid 5 = 0.74 °C, grid 1 = 0.65 °C and grid 3 = 0.45 °C). Spatial variability in annual mean precipitation data  
 551 reveals that grid 2 (448 mm) & grid 1 (442 mm) experience a wetter climate as compared to grid 4 (383 mm),  
 552 grid 3 (373 mm) and minimum in grid 5 (318 mm). These observations suggest that GHR glaciers have been  
 553 experiencing a warmer and wetter climate (1.03 °C/ 445 mm) as compared to the LR glaciers (0.96 °C/ 358 mm)

554 (Fig. 3e; f). These observations clearly show that local climate variability does exist in the basin for the entire  
 555 duration of 116 years (Fig. 9).

556

### 557 **5.3 Glacier changes: Impact of climatic and other plausible factors**

558 The alterations in the climatic conditions, discussed in Sect. 5.2, would in turn, influence the glacier parameters,  
 559 however varying with time. This section correlates the climatic and other factors (elevation range, regional  
 560 hypsometry, slope, aspect and proglacial lakes) with the variations in the glacier parameters.

#### 561 **5.3.1 Impact of climatic factors**

562 An overall degenerating pattern of the glaciers in the SSB is observed during the period 1971-2017, with  
 563 deglaciation of  $32 \pm 9 \text{ km}^2$  ( $6 \pm 0.02\%$ ). In the same duration, the glaciers have also retreated by an average  $199$   
 564  $\pm 46.9 \text{ m}$  (retreat rate:  $4.3 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) alongwith an increase in the debris cover by  $\sim 62\%$ . The observed overall  
 565 degeneration of the glaciers have possibly resulted due to the warming of climatic conditions during this  
 566 particular time frame. The conspicuous degeneration of these glaciers might have led to an increased melting of  
 567 the glacier surface, which in turn would have unveiled the englacial debris cover and increased its coverage in  
 568 the ablation zone (Shukla et al., 2009; Scherler et al., 2011). An enhanced degeneration of the glaciers have been  
 569 noted during 2000-2017 ( $0.85 \pm 0.005 \text{ km}^2\text{a}^{-1}$ ) than 1971-2000 ( $0.59 \pm 0.005 \text{ km}^2\text{a}^{-1}$ ). Also, nearly 12 glaciers  
 570 have shown disintegration into glacierets after 2000. These observations may be attributed to the relatively  
 571 higher annual mean temperature ( $1.68 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) during the former as compared to the period 1971-2000 ( $0.89 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ).  
 572 Concomitant to the maximum glacier degeneration during the period 2000-2017, debris cover extent has also  
 573 increased more (24%) as compared to 1971-2000 (16%). The enhanced degeneration of the glaciers during  
 574 2000-2017 might have facilitated an increase in the distribution of supraglacial debris cover. A transition from  
 575 CGs to PDGs has also been noticed which resulted due to increase in the debris cover percentage over nearly 99  
 576 glaciers. The conversion from PDGs to HDGs (39) and from CGs to HDGs (2) has also occurred. Also, most of  
 577 these transitions have occurred during 2000-2017, which confirms the maximum degeneration of the glaciers  
 578 during this particular period.

579 It is observed in our study that smaller glaciers have deglaciated more (4.13%) than the medium (1.08%) and  
 580 larger (1.03%) sized glaciers during the period 1971-2017 (Supplementary figure S2). This result depicts an  
 581 enhanced sensitivity of the smaller glaciers towards the climate change (Bhambri et al., 2011; Basnett et al.,  
 582 2013; Ali et al., 2017). A similar pattern of glacier degeneration is noted during 1971-2000, with smaller  
 583 glaciers deglaciating more (5%) as compared to the medium sized (3%) and larger (1%) ones. However during  
 584 2000-2017, medium glaciers showed slightly greater degeneration (3.9%) as compared to the smaller (3.7%)  
 585 followed by larger ones (1.5%). We have also observed maximum length change for smaller glaciers (8%) in  
 586 comparison to medium (5%) and large glaciers (3%). These results indicate that the snout retreats are commonly  
 587 associated with small and medium sized glaciers (Mayewski et al., 1980).

588 Temporal and spatial variations in SLAs are an indicator of ELAs, which in turn provide direct evidences  
 589 related to the change in climatic conditions (Hanshaw and Bookhagen, 2014). SLAs are amongst the dynamic  
 590 glacier parameters that alters seasonally and annually, indicating their direct dependency towards the climatic  
 591 factors such as temperature and precipitation. In the present study, the mean SLA has gone up by an average  $22$   
 592  $\pm 60 \text{ m}$  during the period 1977-2017. This rise in SLA is synchronous with the increase in mean annual

593 temperature by 0.43°C. Moreover, the maximum rise in SLA during 1994-2000 is contemporaneous with the  
 594 rise of temperature by 0.64 °C during this time period.

595 Further, in order to understand the regional heterogeneity in glacier response within the sub-basin, parameters of  
 596 the GHR and LR glaciers are analyzed separately at four different time periods and correlated with the climatic  
 597 variables. It is found that the LR glaciers have deglaciated more (7.2%) as compared to the GHR glaciers  
 598 (5.9%). Similarly, more debris cover is found to have accumulated over the LR (73%) glaciers as compared to  
 599 the GHR (59%) glaciers during 1971-2017. This result shows that the relatively cleaner (LR) glaciers tend to  
 600 deglacierate more alongwith accumulation of more debris as compared to the debris and partially debris covered  
 601 glaciers (GHR glaciers) (Bolch et al., 2008; Scherler et al., 2011). Moreover, increase in mean annual  
 602 temperature in the LR (0.3°C) is slightly greater than in GHR (0.25°C) during the period 1971-2017, thus  
 603 exhibiting a positive correlation with deglaciation and debris cover distribution in these regions. We also  
 604 observed that the glacier area, length and debris cover extent of the LR glaciers show a good correlation with  
 605 winter  $T_{min}$  and average precipitation as compared to the GHR glaciers (Table 3). This shows that both  
 606 temperature as well as precipitation influence the degeneration of the glaciers and in turn affects the supraglacial  
 607 debris cover. It is believed that winter precipitation has a prime control on accumulation of snow on the glaciers,  
 608 hence acts as an essential determinant of glacier health (Mir et al., 2017). Also, the negative correlation of  
 609 glacier area with precipitation in this study possibly indicate the major role of increased winter temperature and  
 610 precipitation, which might have decreased the accumulation of snow, thereby decreasing the overall glacier area.  
 611 The average SLA for LR glaciers is observed to be higher as compared to the GHR glaciers. However, a  
 612 relatively higher rise in SLA is observed for GHR in contrast to the LR glaciers. Also, the mean SLA of the  
 613 GHR glaciers shows a good positive correlation with summer  $T_{max}$  as compared to the LR glaciers, while a  
 614 negative correlation with precipitation in the respective year (Table 3). Considering these observations, it  
 615 appears that a general rise in SLA can be attributed to regional climatic warming while that of individual SLA  
 616 variation in glaciers may be related to their unique topography (Shukla and Qadir, 2016).

617 From this analysis, it is quite evident that climatic factors directly influence the glacier response. Also, summer  
 618  $T_{max}$  have a stronger control over SLA, while glacier area,length and debris cover are predominantly controlled  
 619 by the winter  $T_{min}$  in the sub-basin.

620

621 Table 3: Coefficients of determination (r) between respective meteorological (temperature and precipitation)  
 622 data and observed glacier parameters in the Greater Himalayan Range (GHR) and Ladakh Range (LR) at 90%  
 623 confidence.  $T_{avg}$ ,  $T_{min}$  and  $T_{max}$  are montly mean, monthly mean minimum, monthly mean maximum  
 624 temperatures and  $P_{pt}$  is monthly mean precipitation during different point in time (1971, 1994, 2000 and 2017)

Major Mountain Ranges	Glacier Parameters	Climate Variables			
		$T_{avg}$	$T_{min}$	$T_{max}$	Ppt
GHR	Area	-0.826	<b>-0.897</b>	-0.347	-0.670
	Length	-0.908	<b>-0.926</b>	-0.345	-0.719
	Debris cover	0.842	<b>0.847</b>	0.434	0.593
	SLA	0.725	0.209	<b>0.725</b>	-0.315
LR	Area	-0.900	<b>-0.942</b>	-0.568	-0.779
	Length	-0.909	<b>-0.939</b>	-0.569	-0.778
	Debris cover	0.929	<b>0.907</b>	0.595	0.719
	SLA	0.658	0.395	<b>0.658</b>	-0.505

625


**626 5.3.2 Impact of other factors**

627 In addition to the climate variables, other factors such as hypsometry, maximum elevation, altitude range, slope,  
628 aspect and proglacial lakes also influence the response of individual glacier.

629 Glacier hypsometry is a measure of mass distribution over varying altitudes. It is affected by the mean SLA of  
630 the glaciers to a greater extent, as it is considered that if a large portion of the glacier has elevation equivalent to  
631 SLA, then even a slight alteration in SLA might significantly change the ablation and accumulation zones  
632 (Rivera et al., 2011; Garg et al., 2017b).

633 In this study, we observed that GHR and LR glaciers have nearly 45% and 10% of their area at an elevation  
634 similar to SLA. This suggests that GHR glaciers are more susceptible to retreat as compared to the LR glaciers,  
635 as a larger portion of the former belongs to the SLA. Moreover, the hypsometric distribution of glacier area in  
636 the GHR and LR of the SSB reveals maximum area change post 2000 (Fig. 6b). In this regard, while GHR  
637 glaciers have undergone relatively higher area loss (21%) at lower elevation (3800-4200 masl), the LR glaciers  
638 lost maximum area (30%) at much higher elevation (5600-5900 masl) ranges (Fig.6b). Besides, a significant  
639 area loss has also been observed for both GHR (6%) and LR (7%) glaciers at their mean elevations post 2000  
640 (Fig.6b).

641 Elevation plays an important role in understanding the accumulation pattern at higher and ablation in the lower  
642 altitudes. The general perception is that the glaciers situated at relatively higher elevation are subjected to  
643 greater amount of precipitation and hence are susceptible to less deglaciation or even mass gain (Pandey and  
644 Venkataraman, 2013). Similarly, we have also noticed that the glaciers extending to comparatively higher  
645 maximum elevation experience minimum retreat (10%) and exhibit higher percentage deglaciation (33%) as  
646 compared to the glaciers having lower maximum elevation (retreat: 15% & deglaciation: 20%) (Fig.10a).



647

— % deglaciation    - - - % length change

648 | Figure 10: Differential degeneration of the glaciers during the period 1971-2017 with variability in non-climatic  
 649 | factors. (a) Percentage deglaciation and length change of the glaciers at different ranges of maximum elevation,  
 650 | (b) altitude range, (c) mean slope and (d) percentage debris cover.

651

652 | Moreover, our study shows that the glaciers having lower altitude range have retreated and deglaciated more  
 653 | (13% & 20%, respectively) as compared to the counterparts (Fig. 10b). These observations indicate that glaciers  
 654 | which possess higher maximum elevation and altitudinal range are subjected to less retreat and undergo greater  
 655 | deglaciation.

656 | Slope is another important factor which has a major role in the sustenance of the glacier as accumulation of ice  
 657 | is facilitated by a gentler bedrock topography (DeBeer and Sharp, 2009; Patel et al., 2018). It is observed that  
 658 | glaciers having steep slopes (30-40°) have retreated more (17%), however with minimum deglaciation (7%)  
 659 | during the period 1971-2017 (Fig. 10c). Similar results with steeper glaciers exhibiting minimum deglaciation  
 660 | have been reported in the Parbati, Chandra and Miyar basins (Venkatesh et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2018).  
 661 | However, it differs with Pandey and Venkataraman (2013) and Garg et al., (2017b), likely due to the differing  
 662 | average size:  $25 \pm 33.78$  and  $17 \pm 33.2$  km<sup>2</sup> (present study:  $2 \pm 5.7$  km<sup>2</sup>) and slope: 5-20° and 12-26° (present  
 663 | study: 13-41°), respectively, of glaciers used in these studies.

664 | Presence of supraglacial debris cover influences the glacier processes. Depending on thickness, debris cover  
 665 | may either enhance or retard the ablation process (Scherler et al., 2011). In this study, we observed that clean  
 666 | glaciers have undergone maximum deglaciation (52%) as compared to the partially (46%) and heavily debris  
 667 | covered glaciers (2%). However, they all have retreated almost similarly (12 to 14%), with slightly higher  
 668 | retreat of partially debris covered glaciers (Fig. 10d). Aspect/ orientation of glaciers provide information



669 regarding the duration for which they are exposed to the incoming solar radiation. Since, the south facing  
 670 glaciers are subjected to longer duration of exposure to the solar radiations as compared to the north facing  
 671 glaciers, therefore, are prone to greater deglaciation and retreat (Deota et al., 2011). Here, it is observed that the  
 672 glaciers having northerly aspect (north, north-east, north-west) have undergone maximum deglaciation as  
 673 compared to the counterparts. However, majority (71%) of the glaciers have northerly aspect, so any inferences  
 674 drawn in this respect would be biased. It is worthwhile to state that most of the south facing slopes in the basin  
 675 are devoid of glaciers but show presence of relict glacier valleys which would have been glaciated in the past.  
 676 At present only 48 south facing glaciers (south, south-east, south-west) with an average size of  $1 \pm 1.9 \text{ km}^2$  exist  
 677 in the SSB.

678 Similarly, the glacier changes are also influenced by the presence of certain features such as glacial (proglacial  
 679 or supraglacial) lakes or differential distribution of supraglacial debris cover. The presence of a proglacial or  
 680 supraglacial lakes significantly enhances the rate of glacier degeneration by increasing the melting processes  
 681 (Sakai, 2012; Basnett et al., 2013). As per our results, highest average retreat rate ( $\sim 31 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ ) is observed for  
 682 glaciers G-4 (Dulung glacier). Although, it is a debris free glacier, shows the highest retreat rates. Also, a  
 683 moraine-dammed lake is observed at the snout of this glacier and has continuously increased its size from  $0.15$   
 684  $\text{km}^2$  in 1977 to  $0.56 \text{ km}^2$  in 2017. This significant increase in the size of moraine-dammed lake has possibly  
 685 influenced the enhanced retreat rate of the glacier.

## 686 **6 Dataset availability**

687 Temporal inventory data for glaciers of Suru sub-basin, western Himalaya is available at  
 688 <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.904131> (Shukla et al., 2019).

689

## 690 **7 Conclusions**

691 The major inferences drawn from the study include:

692 1. The sub-basin comprised of 252 glaciers, covering an area of  $481.32 \pm 3.41 \text{ km}^2$  (11% of the glacierized area)  
 693 in 2017. Major disintegration of the glaciers occurred after 2000, with breakdown of 12 glaciers into glacierets.  
 694 Small (47%) and clean (43%) glaciers cover maximum glacierized area of the sub-basin. Topographic  
 695 parameters reveal that majority of the glaciers are north facing and the mean elevation and slope of the glaciers  
 696 are  $5134.8 \pm 225 \text{ masl}$  and  $24.8 \pm 5.8^\circ$ , respectively.

697

698 2. Variability in glacier parameters reveal an overall degeneration of the glaciers during the period 1971-2017,  
 699 with deglaciation of approximately  $0.13 \pm 0.0004\% \text{ a}^{-1}$  alongwith an increase in the debris cover by  $37 \pm 0.002$   
 700  $\text{km}^2$  ( $\sim 62\%$ ). Meanwhile, the glaciers have shown an average retreat rate of nearly  $4.3 \pm 1.02 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  with SLA  
 701 exhibiting an overall rise by an average  $22 \pm 60 \text{ m}$ .

702 3. Long-term meteorological records during the period 1901-2017 exhibit an overall increase in the temperature  
 703 ( $T_{\min}$ :  $1.3^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $T_{\max}$ :  $0.25^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $T_{\text{avg}}$ :  $0.77^\circ\text{C}$ ) and precipitation ( $158 \text{ mm}$ ) trends. Both temperature and precipitation  
 704 gradients influence the changes in glacier parameters, however, winter  $T_{\min}$  strongly influencing the glacier area,  
 705 length and debris cover while summer  $T_{\max}$  controlling the SLA. Spatial patterns in change of climate

706 parameters reveal existence of local climate variability in the sub-basin, with progressively warmer (1.03°C) and  
707 wetter (445 mm) climatic regime for glaciers hosted in the GHR as compared to the LR (0.96°C/ 358 mm).

708 4. The inherent local climate variability in the sub-basin has influenced the behavior of the glaciers in the GHR  
709 and LR. It has been observed that LR glaciers have been shrinking faster (area loss: 7%) and accumulating more  
710 debris cover (debris increase: 73%) as compared to the GHR glaciers (6% and 59%) during the period 1971-  
711 2017. The GHR glaciers have, however, experienced greater rise in SLA ( $220 \pm 121$  m) in comparison to the LR  
712 ones ( $91 \pm 56$  m) during the period 1977-2000, with a decrease thereafter.

713

714 Results presented here show the transitional response of the glaciers in the SSB between the Karakoram  
715 Himalayan and GHR glaciers. The study also confirm the possible influence of factors other than climate such  
716 as glacier size, regional hypsometry, elevation range, slope, aspect and presence of proglacial lakes in the  
717 observed heterogenous response of the glaciers. Therefore, these factors need to be accounted for in more details  
718 in future for complete understanding of the observed glacier changes and response.

719

#### 720 **Team list**

- 721 1. Aparna Shukla
- 722 2. Siddhi Garg
- 723 3. Manish Mehta
- 724 4. Vinit Kumar
- 725 5. Uma Kant Shukla

726

#### 727 **Author contribution**

728 A.S. and S.G. conceived the idea and led the writing of manuscript. A.S. structured the study. S.G. performed  
729 the temporal analysis of the data. M.M. and V.M. helped in the field investigation of the glaciers. All the authors  
730 helped in interpretation of results and contributed towards the final form of the manuscript.

731

#### 732 **Competing interests**

733 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

734

#### 735 **Acknowledgements**

736 Authors are grateful to the Director, Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, Dehradun for providing all the  
737 research facilities and support for successful completion of this work.

#### 738 **References**

- 739 Ali, I., Shukla, A. and Romshoo, S. A.: Assessing linkages between spatial facies changes and dimensional  
740 variations of glaciers in the upper Indus Basin, western Himalaya, *Geomorphology*, 284, 115-129,  
741 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.01.005>, 2017.
- 742 ALOS Global Digital Surface Model "ALOS World 3D - 30m" (AW3D30).  
743 <http://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ALOS/en/aw3d30/> (accessed on 1 August 2017).

- 744 Azam, M. F., Wagnon, P., Berthier, E., Vincent, C., Fujita, K. and Kargel, J. F.: Review of the status and mass  
745 changes of Himalayan-Karakoram glaciers, *Journal of Glaciology*, 64, 61-74,  
746 <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.86>, 2018.
- 747 Bajracharya, S. R., Maharjan, S. B., and Shrestha, F.: The status and decadal change of glaciers in Bhutan from  
748 1980's to 2010 based on the satellite data, *Annals of Glaciology*, 55, 159–166,  
749 <https://doi.org/10.3189/2014AoG66A125>, 2014.
- 750 Bajracharya, S. R., Mool, P. K., Shrestha, B. R.: Global climate change and melting of Himalayan glaciers:  
751 Melting glaciers and rising sea levels: Impacts and implications. Prabha Shastri Ranade (ed), The  
752 Icfa'i's University Press, India, 28–46, 2008.
- 753 Basnett, S., Kulkarni, A.V. and Bolch, T.: The influence of debris cover and glacial lakes on the recession of  
754 glaciers in Sikkim Himalaya, India, *Journal of Glaciology*, 59, 1035-1046,  
755 <https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JoG12J184>, 2013.
- 756 Bhabri, R., Bolch, T., Chaujar, R. K., and Kulshreshtha, S. C.: Glacier changes in the Garhwal Himalaya,  
757 India, from 1968 to 2006 based on remote sensing, *Journal of Glaciology*, 57, 543–556,  
758 <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311796905604>, 2011.
- 759 Bhabri, R., Bolch, T. and Chaujar, R. K.: Frontal recession of Gangotri Glacier, Garhwal Himalayas, from  
760 1965 to 2006, measured through high-resolution remote sensing data, *Current Science*, 102, 489–494,  
761 2012.
- 762 Bhabri, R., Bolch, T., Kawishwar, P., Dobhal, D. P., Srivastava, D. and Pratap, B.: Heterogeneity in Glacier  
763 Response in the Upper Shyok Valley, Northeast Karakoram, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 1385–1398.  
764 <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1385-2013>, 2013.
- 765 Bhattacharya, A., Bolch, Mukherjee, K., Picczonka, T., Kropacek, J. and Buchroithner, M.: Overall recession  
766 and mass budget of Gangotri Glacier, Garhwal Himalayas, from 1965 to 2015 using remote sensing  
767 data, *Journal of Glaciology*, 62, 1115-1133, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.96>, 2016.
- 768 Bhushan, S., Syed, T. H., Arendt, A. A., Kulkarni, A. V. and Sinha, D.: Assessing controls on mass budget and  
769 surface velocity variations of glaciers in Western Himalaya, *Scientific Reports*, 8, 8885,  
770 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27014-y>, 2018.
- 771 Bhatiyani, M. R., Kale, V. S. and Pawar, N. J.: Long term trends in maximum, minimum and mean annual air  
772 temperature across the Northwestern Himalaya during the twentieth century, *Climate change*, 85, 159-  
773 177, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9196-1>, 2007.
- 774 Birajdar, F., Venkataraman, G., Bahuguna, I. and Samant, H.: A revised glacier inventory of Bhaga Basin  
775 Himachal Pradesh, India: current status and recent glacier variations, *ISPRS Annals of*  
776 *Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, II-8, 37-43, <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsannal-s-ii-8-37-2014>, 2014.
- 778 Bolch, T., Buchroithner, M., Picczonka, T. and Kunert, A.: Planimetric and Volumetric Glacier Changes in the  
779 Khumbu Himal, Nepal, Since 1962 Using Corona, Landsat TM and ASTER Data, *Journal of*  
780 *Glaciology*, 54, 592–600, <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214308786570782>, 2008.
- 781 Bolch, T., Kulkarni, A., Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Paul, F., Cogley, J. G., Frey, H., Kargel, J. S., Fujita, K., Scheel,  
782 M., Bajracharya, S., and Stoffel, M.: The State and Fate of Himalayan Glaciers, *Science*, 336, 310–314,  
783 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215828>, 2012.
- 784 Brun, F., Berthier, E., Wagnon, P., Kääb, A. and Treichler, D.: A spatially resolved estimate of High Mountain  
785 Asia glacier mass balances from 2000 to 2006, *Nature Geoscience*, 10, 668-673, [10.1038/NGEO2999](https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO2999),  
786 2017.
- 787 Chand, P. and Sharma, M. C.: Glacier changes in Ravi basin, North-Western Himalaya (India) during the last  
788 four decades (1971-2010/13), *Global and Planetary change*, 135, 133-  
789 147, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.10.013>, 2015.
- 790 Chevuturi, A., Dimri, A. P. and Thayyen, R. J.: Climate change over Leh, Ladakh (India), *Theoretical and*  
791 *Applied Climatology*, 131, 531-545, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s0070401619891>, 2018.

- 792 Chudley, T. R., Miles, E. S. and Willis, I. C.: Glacier characteristics and retreat between 1991 and 2014 in the  
793 Ladakh Range, Jammu and Kashmir, *Remote Sensing Letters*, 8, 518-527,  
794 <https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2017.1295480>, 2017.
- 795 Cogley, J. G.: Glacier shrinkage across High Mountain Asia, *Annals of Glaciology*, 57, 41-49,  
796 <https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A040>, 2016.
- 797 Das, S. and Sharma, M. C.: Glacier changes between 1971 and 2016 in the Jankar Chhu Watershed, Lahaul  
798 Himalaya, India, *Journal of glaciology*, 1-16, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2018.77>, 2018.
- 799 DeBeer, C. M. and Sharp, M. J.: Topographic influences on recent changes of very small glaciers in the  
800 Monashee mountains, British Columbia, Canada, *Journal of Glaciology*, 55, 691-700,  
801 <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214309789470851>, 2009.
- 802 Deota, B. S., Trivedi, Y. N., Kulkarni, A. V., Bahuguna, I. M. and Rathore, B. P.: RS and GIS in mapping of  
803 geomorphic records and understanding the local controls of glacial retreat from the Baspa Valley,  
804 Himachal Pradesh, India, *Current Science*, 100, 1555-1563, 2011.
- 805 Dimri, A. P.: Interseasonal oscillation associated with the Indian winter monsoon, *Journal of geophysical*  
806 *research: Atmospheres*, 118, 1189-1198, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50144>, 2013.
- 807 Dobhal, D. P., Mehta, M. and Srivastava, D.: Influence of debris cover on terminus retreat and mass changes of  
808 Chorabari Glacier, Garhwal region, central Himalaya, India, *Journal of Glaciology*, 59, 961-971,  
809 <https://doi.org/10.3189/2013jog12j180>, 2013.
- 810 Gardelle, J., Berthier, E., Arnaud, Y. and Kääb, A.: Region-wide glacier mass balances over the Pamir-  
811 Karakoram-Himalaya during 1999-2011, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 1263-1286, 2013.
- 812 Garg, P. K., Shukla, A., Tiwari, R. K. and Jasrotia, A. S.: Assessing the status of glaciers in parts of the Chandra  
813 basin, Himachal Himalaya: A multiparametric approach, *Geomorphology*, 284,99-114,  
814 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.022>, 2017a.
- 815 Garg, P. K., Shukla, A. and Jasrotia, A. S.: Influence of topography on glacier changes in the central Himalaya,  
816 India, *Global and Planetary change*, 155, 196-212, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.07.007>, 2017b.
- 818 Garg, S., Shukla, A., Mehta, M., Kumar, V., Samuel, S. A., Bartarya, S. and Shukla, U. K.: Field evidences  
819 showing rapid frontal degeneration of the Kangriz glacier, Suru basin, Jammu and Kashmir. *Journal of*  
820 *mountain science*, 15, 1199-1208, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-017-4809-x>, 2018.
- 821 Granshaw, F. D. and Fountain, A. G.: Glacier change (1958- 1998) in the North Cascades National Park  
822 Complex, Washington, USA, *Journal of Glaciology*, 52, 251-256  
823 <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828782>, 2006.
- 824 Guo, Z., Wanga, N., Kehrwald, N. M., Mao, R., Wua, H., Wu, Y. and Jiang, X.: Temporal and spatial changes  
825 in western Himalayan firn line altitudes from 1998 to 2009, *Global and Planetary Change*, 118, 97-  
826 105, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.03.012>, 2014.
- 827 Hall, D. K., Bayr, K. J., Schöner, W., Bindschadler, R. A. and Chiene, J. Y. L.: Consideration of the Errors  
828 Inherent in Mapping Historical Glacier Positions in Austria from the Ground and Space (1893-2001),  
829 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 86, 566-577, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(03\)00134-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00134-2), 2003.
- 830 Hanshaw, M. N., and Bookhagen, B.: Glacial Areas, Lake Areas, and Snow Lines from 1975 to 2012:  
831 Status of the Cordillera Vilcanota, Including the Quelccaya Ice Cap, Northern Central Andes, Peru,  
832 *The Cryosphere*, 8, 359-376, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-359-2014>, 2014.
- 833 Harris, I.C. and Jones, P.D.: CRU TS 4.02: Climatic Research Unit (CRU) year-by-year variation of selected  
834 climate variables by country (CY) version 4.02 (Jan. 1901 - Dec. 2017), Centre for Environmental  
835 Data Analysis, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5285/d4e823f0172947c5ae6e6b265656c273>, 2018.

- 836 India Meteorological Department (IMD), Climatological table: Available online:  
837 [http://www.imd.gov.in/pages/city\\_weather\\_show.php](http://www.imd.gov.in/pages/city_weather_show.php), 2015.
- 838 Immerzeel, W. W., Beek, L. P. H. and Bierkens M. F. P.: Climate change will affect the Asian water towers,  
839 *Science*, 328, 1382–1385, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183188>, 2010.
- 840 IPCC. Summary for policymakers. In: Stocker, T. F. et al. (Eds). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science  
841 Basis. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of Intergovernmental Panel  
842 on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 2013.
- 843 Kaab, A., Berthier, E., Nuth, C., Gardelle, J. and Arnaud, Y.: Contrasting patterns of early twenty first century  
844 glacier mass change in the Himalayas, *Nature*, 488, 495–498, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11324>,  
845 2012.
- 846 Kaab, A., Treichler, D., Nuth, C., and Berthier, E.: Brief Communication: Contending estimates of 2003–  
847 2008 glacier mass balance over the Pamir–Karakoram–Himalaya, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 557–564,  
848 <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-557-2015>, 2015.
- 849 Kamp, U., Byrne, M. and Bolch, T.: Glacier Fluctuations between 1975 and 2008 in the Greater  
850 Himalaya Range of Zaskar, Southern Ladakh, *Journal of Mountain Sciences*, 8, 374–389,  
851 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-011-2007-9>, 2011.
- 852 Kaser, G., Großhauser, M. and Marzeion, B.: Contribution potential of glaciers to water availability in different  
853 climate regimes, *Proceedings of National academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107,  
854 20223–20227, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008162107>, 2010.
- 855 Kulkarni, A. V., Bahuguna, I. M., Rathore, B. P., Singh, S. K., Randhawa, S. S., Sood, R. K. and Dhar, S.:  
856 Glacial retreat in Himalaya using remote sensing satellite data, *Current Science*, 92, 69–  
857 74, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.694004>, 2007.
- 858 Kulkarni, A. V., Rathore, B. P., Singh, S. K. and Bahuguna, I. M.: Understanding changes in Himalayan  
859 Cryosphere using remote sensing technique, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 32,  
860 601–615, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2010.517802>, 2011.
- 861 Maurer, J. M., Schaefer, J. M., Rupper, S., Corley, A.: Acceleration of ice loss across the Himalayas over the  
862 past 40 years, *Science Advances*, 5, 1–12 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav7266>, 2019.
- 863 Mayewski, P. A., and Jeschke, P. A.: Himalayan and Trans-Himalayan Glacier Fluctuations Since A.D. 1812,  
864 *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 11, 267–287, <https://doi.org/1980>.
- 865 Miller, J. D., Immerzeel, W. W. and Rees, G.: Climate change impacts on glacier hydrology and river discharge  
866 in the Hindu Kush- Himalaya, *Mountain research and development*, 32, 461–467,  
867 <http://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-12-00027.1>, 2012.
- 868 Mir, R. A., Jain, S. K., Jain, Thayyen, R. J. and Saraf, A. K.: Assessment of recent glacier changes and its  
869 controlling factors from 1976 to 2011 in Baspa Basin, western Himalaya, *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine*  
870 *Research*, 49, 621–647, <https://doi.org/10.1657/AAAR0015-070>, 2017.
- 871 Mölg, N., Bolch, T., Rastner, P., Strozzi, T. and Paul, F.: A consistent glacier inventory for Karakoram and  
872 Pamir derived from Landsat data: distribution of debris cover and mapping challenges. *Earth*  
873 *System Science Data*, 10, 1807–1827, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1807-2018>, 2018.
- 874 Murtaza K. O. and Romshoo S. A.: Recent glacier changes in the Kashmir Alpine Himalayas, India, *Geocarto*  
875 *International*, 32, 188–205, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2015.1132482>, 2015.
- 876 Nuimura, T., Sakai, A., Taniguchi, K., Nagai, H., Lamsal, D., Tsutaki, S., Kozawa, A.,  
877 Hoshina, Y., Takenaka, S., Omiya, S., Tsunematsu, K., Tshering, P. and Fujita, K.: The GAMDAM  
878 glacier inventory: a quality-controlled inventory of Asian glaciers, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 849–864,  
879 <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-849-2015>, 2015.
- 880 Pandey, A., Ghosh, S. and Nathawat, M. S.: Evaluating patterns of temporal glacier changes in Greater  
881 Himalayan Range, Jammu & Kashmir, India, *Geocarto International*, 26, 321–338,  
882 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2011.554611>, 2011.

- 883 Pandey, P. and Venkataraman, G.: Changes in the glaciers of Chandra–Bhaga basin, Himachal Himalaya, India,  
 884 between 1980 and 2010 measured using remote sensing, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 34,  
 885 5584–5597, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2013.793464>, 2013.
- 886 Patel, L. K., Sharma, P., Fathima, T. N. and Thamban, M.: Geospatial observations of topographical control  
 887 over the glacier retreat, Miyar basin, western Himalaya, India, *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77, 190,  
 888 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7379-5>, 2018.
- 889 Paul, F., Barrand, N.E., Baumann, S., Berthier, E., Bolch, T., Casey, K., Frey, H., Joshi, S.P., Kononov, V.,  
 890 Bris, R.L. and Mølg, N.: On the accuracy of glacier outlines derived from remote-sensing data, *Annals*  
 891 *of Glaciology*, 54, 171–182, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2013AoG63A296>, 2013.
- 892 Paul, F., Bolch, T., Kääb, A., Nagler, T., Nuth, C., Scharrer, K.: The glaciers climate change initiative:  
 893 methods for creating glacier area, elevation change and velocity products *Remote Sensing*  
 894 *Environment*, 162, 408–426, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.07.043>, 2015.
- 895 Paul, F., Bolch, T., Briggs, K., Kääb, A., McMillan, M., McNabb, R., Nagler, T., Nuth, C., Rastner, P., Strozzi,  
 896 T. and Wuite, J.: Error sources and guidelines for quality assessment of glacier area, elevation change,  
 897 and velocity products derived from satellite data in the Glaciers\_cci project, *Remote sensing of*  
 898 *Environment*, 203, 256–275, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.08.038>, 2017.
- 899 Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J. O., Hock, R., Kaser, G.,  
 900 Kienholz, C., Miles, E. S., Moholdt, G., Molg, N., Paul, F., Radic, V., Rastner, P., Raup, B. H., Rich, J.  
 901 and Sharp, M.: The Randolph Glacier Inventory: A globally complete inventory of glaciers, *Journal of*  
 902 *Glaciology*, 60, 537–552, doi:10.3189/2014JoG131176, 2014.
- 903 Pritchard, H. D.: Asia’s glaciers are a regionally important buffer against drought, *Nature*, 545, 169–187,  
 904 doi:10.1038/nature22062, 2017.
- 905 Racoviteanu, A. E., Arnaud, Y., Williams, M. W. and Ordonez, J.: Decadal changes in glacier parameters in  
 906 the Cordillera Blanca, Peru, derived from remote sensing, *Journal of Glaciology*, 54, 499–510,  
 907 <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214308785836922.2008a>.
- 908 Racoviteanu, A., Paul, F., Raup, B., Khalsa, S. J. S. and Armstrong, R.: Challenges and recommendations in  
 909 mapping of glacier parameters from space: results of the 2008 Global Land Ice Measurements from  
 910 Space (GLIMS) workshop, Boulder, Colorado, USA, *Annals of Glaciology*, 50, 53–69,  
 911 <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756410790595804>, 2009.
- 912 Rai, P. K., Nathawat, M. S. and Mohan, K.: Glacier retreat in Doda valley, Zaskar basin, Jammu and Kashmir,  
 913 India, *Universal Journal of Geoscience*, 1, 139–149, <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujg.2013.010304>, 2013.
- 914 Raina, V. K.: Himalayan glaciers: a state-of-art review of glacial studies, glacial retreat and climate  
 915 change. *Himal. Glaciers State-Art Review*, *Glacial Stud. Glacial Retreat Climate Change*, 2009.
- 916 Raina, R. K. and Koul, M. N.: Impact of Climatic Change on Agro-Ecological Zones of the Suru-Zaskar  
 917 Valley, Ladakh (Jammu and Kashmir), India, *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment* 3,  
 918 424–440, 2011.
- 919 Rashid, I., Romshoo, S. A. and Abdullah, T.: The recent deglaciation of Kolahoi Valley in Kashmir Himalaya,  
 920 India in response to the changing climate, *Journal of Asian Earth Science*, 138, 38–50,  
 921 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2017.02.002>, 2017.
- 922 Raup, B., Racoviteanu, A., Khals, S. J. S., Helm, C., Armstrong, R., Arnaud, Y.: The GLIMS geospatial glacier  
 923 database: a new tool for studying glacier change, *Global and Planetary Change* 56, 101–110,  
 924 doi:10.1016/j.gioplacha.2006.07.018, 2007.
- 925 Rivera, A., Cawkwell, F., Rada, C. and Bravo, C.: Hypsometry. In: *Encyclopaedia of Snow, Ice and glaciers*,  
 926 Springer, Netherlands, 551–554, 2011.
- 927 Space Application Centre (SAC): Report: Monitoring Snow and Glaciers of Himalayan Region. Space  
 928 Application Centre, ISRO, Ahmedabad, India, 413 pages, ISBN: 978-93-82760-24-5, 2016.
- 929 Sakai, A.: Glacial lakes in the Himalayas: A review on formation and Expansion process, *Global environmental*  
 930 *research*, 23–30, 2012.

- 931 Sakai A. and Fujita, K.: Contrasting glacier responses to recent climate change in high-mountain Asia, *Scientific*  
 932 *reports*, 7, 1-18, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14256-5>, 2017.
- 933 Sangewar, C. V., and S. P. Shukla.: Inventory of the Himalayan Glaciers: A Contribution to the International  
 934 Hydrological Programme, An Updated Edition. Kolkata: Geological Survey of India (Special  
 935 Publication 34), ISSN: 1:0254-0436, 2009.
- 936 Scherler, D., Bookhagen, B. and Strecker, M.R.: Spatially variable response of Himalayan glaciers to climate  
 937 change affected by debris cover, *Nature Geoscience*, 4, 156-159, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1068>,  
 938 2011.
- 939 Schmidt, S. and Nusser, M.: Changes of High Altitude Glaciers in the Trans-Himalaya of Ladakh over the Past  
 940 Five Decades (1969-2016), *Geosciences*, 7, 27, <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences7020027>, 2017.
- 941 Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's Tau, *American Statistics Journal*, 63,  
 942 1379-1389, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2285891>, 1968.
- 943 Shekhar, M., Bhardwaj, A., Singh, S., Ranhotra, P. S., Bhattacharyya, A., Pal, A. K., Roy, L., Martin-  
 944 Torres, F. J. and Zorzano, M.P.: Himalayan glaciers experienced significant mass loss during later  
 945 phases of little ice age, *Scientific Reports*, 7, 1-14, 2017.
- 946 Shiyin, L., Donghui, S., Junli, Xu., Xin, W., Xiaojun, Y., Zongli, J., Wanqin, G., Anxin, L., Shiqiang, Z.,  
 947 Baisheng, Ye., Zhen, Li., Junfeng, W. and Lizong, W.: Glaciers in China and Their Variations, In:  
 948 Kargel J., Leonard G., Bishop M., Käab A., Raup B. (eds) *Global Land Ice Measurements from Space*,  
 949 Springer Praxis Books, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014
- 950 Shukla, A., Gupta, R. P. and Arora, M. K.: Estimation of debris cover and its temporal variation using optical  
 951 satellite sensor data: a case study in Chenab basin, Himalaya, *Journal of Glaciology*, 55, 444-452,  
 952 <http://doi.org/10.3189/002214309788816632>, 2009.
- 953 Shukla, A. and Qadir, J.: Differential response of glaciers with varying debris cover extent: evidence from  
 954 changing glacier parameters, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 37, 2453-2479,  
 955 <http://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2016.1176272>, 2016.
- 956 Shukla, A., Garg, P.K., Manish, M., Kumar, V.: Changes in dynamics of Pensilungpa glacier, western  
 957 Himalaya, over the past two decades, in: *Proceedings of the 38<sup>th</sup> Asian Conference on Remote*  
 958 *Sensing, Delhi, India, 23-27 October 2017, 2017.*
- 959 Shukla, A., Garg, S., Manish, M., Kumar, V and Shukla, U. K.: Temporal inventory of glaciers in the Suru  
 960 sub-basin, western Himalaya, PANGAEA, <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.904131>, 2019.
- 961 Singh, J. and Yadav, R. R.: Tree-ring indications of recent glacier fluctuations in Gangotri, western Himalaya,  
 962 India, *Current Science*, 79(11), 1598-1601, 2000.
- 963 Vaughan, D. G., Comiso, J. C., Allison, I., Carrasco, J., Kaser, G., Kwok, R., Mote, P., Murray, T., Paul, F.,  
 964 Ren, J., Rignot, E., Solomina, O., Steffen, K. and Zhang, T.: Observations: Cryosphere, in *Climate*  
 965 *change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report*  
 966 *of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*, Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M.,  
 967 Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. and Midgley, P. M. (Eds.), Cambridge  
 968 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- 969 Venkatesh, T. N., Kulkarni, A. V. and Srinivasan, J.: Relative effect of slope and equilibrium line altitude on the  
 970 retreat of Himalayan glaciers, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 301-311, <http://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-301-2012>, 2012.
- 971 Vijay, S and Braun, M.: Early 21st century spatially detailed elevation changes of Jammu and Kashmir glaciers  
 972 (Karakoram-Himalaya), *Global and Planetary Change*, 165, 137-146,  
 973 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.03.014>, 2018.
- 974 Vittoz, P.: *Ascent of the Nun in the Mountain World: 1954* (Marcel Kurz, ed.), George Allen & Unwin, Ltd.,  
 975 London, 1954.
- 976 Zhou, Y., Li, Z., Li, J., Zhao, R. and Ding, X.: Geodetic glacier mass balance (1975-1999) in the central  
 977 Pamir using the SRTM DEM and KH-9 imagery, *Journal of Glaciology*, 65, 309-320, doi:  
 978 10.1017/jog.2019.8, 2018.





Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the revised manuscript (Manuscript ID: essd-2019-122) entitled "Temporal inventory of glaciers in the Suru sub-basin, western Himalaya: Impacts of the regional climate variability". On behalf of all the authors, I would like to thank the editorial team of the Earth System Science Data for timely processing of the manuscript and two anonymous referees for their critical reviews and the following constructive suggestions for improving the original manuscript:

- Enhance the climate study by incorporating error statistics, comparative analysis of used gridded data with in-situ data and explanation for the obtained trends.
- Revise the figures so that the readers could better relate the text with the respective figures and avoid confusion.
- Incorporate statistical significance of non-climatic parameters (size, debris cover, elevation, slope) to explain the effect of spatial characteristics on LR and GHR glaciers.
- Add more data from the field to ensure data consistency.

In line with the listed major suggestions, we have addressed to all the comments and now revised the manuscript accordingly:

- Climate study is enhanced by adding meteorological data (temperature and precipitation) derived from nearby stations of Kargil and Leh (IMD) and the changes have been incorporated in the revised manuscript.
- Figures: 3, 6 and 7 (revised manuscript) have been simplified and updated as suggested by the reviewers.
- Statistical tests of the non climatic factors (size, slope, mean elevation, debris cover) have now been performed and incorporated in the revised manuscript.
- Field data obtained from other glacier has been incorporated in the revised manuscript.

Our responses to the Reviewers comments and revised manuscript are attached with this letter. I confirm that all the authors have approved the submission of this manuscript and it is not currently under consideration for publication elsewhere.

Thanks for your consideration.

Yours Sincerely,

Aparna Shukla

## Response to the comments on "Temporal inventory of glaciers in the Suru sub-basin, western Himalaya: Impacts of the regional climate variability" by Shukla et al., 2019

### Referee # 1:

**Comment 1:** Long-term climate data presentation and analysis needs attention. Page 8, Line 183; Mean precipitation of the SSB for the period 1901-2017 has been  $393 \pm 76$  mm. However, if we see plots in figure 3(d), 3(e) and 3(f), monthly mean precipitation for the same period are quite high indicating high precipitation during the same period.

**Response 1:** Thanks for pointing out. The annual average precipitation for the Suru sub-basin amounts to  $393 \pm 76$  mm during the period 1901-2017. However, the monthly mean precipitation values during the same period had been overestimated due to computational error. This error was introduced due to the variance in formats available for the CRU-TS derived precipitation data and hence was mistaken with the other format (mm/day). The error has now been rectified in the revised manuscript (Page 8; Figure 3d, 3e & 3f). The revised figures (3d, 3e, 3f) show monthly mean precipitation (Jan-Dec) variations of  $33 \pm 14$  mm/month in the entire Suru sub-basin, while  $37 \pm 15$  mm/month and  $30 \pm 12$  mm/month in the GHR and LR, respectively during the period 1901-2017.




Figure 3 (revised manuscript): Annual and seasonal variability in the climate data for the period 1901-2017. (a), (b) and (c) 5 year moving average of the mean annual precipitation (mm) and temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) recorded for 5 grids covering the glaciers in the entire Suru sub-basin (SSB), Greater Himalayan Range (GHR) and Ladakh Range (LR) (sub-regions), respectively during the period 1901-2017. The light and dark grey colored lines depict the respective trend lines for precipitation and temperature conditions during the period 1901-2017. (d), (e) and (f) Monthly mean precipitation and temperature data for the entire SSB, GHR and LR (sub-regions), respectively for the time period 1901-2017.

**Comment 2:** Figure 3(a), 3(b) and 3(c) shows continuous increase in the temperature during the period 1995-96 onwards till 2005-06. It shows sudden change in the temperature pattern. The reason for the sudden shift in temperature pattern should be discussed. It will be interesting to see the temperature pattern of the IMD recorded data at Leh or any other in-situ recorded data in the study region during the same period.

**Response 2:** Agreed. The mean annual temperature depicted in figure 3(a), 3(b) and 3(c) shows an overall increase of  $0.71^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $0.72^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $0.71^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the Suru sub-basin, GHR and LR, respectively, during 1995/96 till 2005/06 period as mentioned by the referee. The globally averaged combined land and ocean surface temperature data of 1983-2012 period is considered as the warmest 30-year period in the last 1400 years (IPCC, 2013). This unprecedented rate of warming has been primarily attributed to the rapid scale of industrialization, increase in regional population and anthropogenic activities prevalent during this time period (Bajracharya et al., 2008; IPCC, 2013). Thus, one of the probable reason for this sudden increment in temperature pattern is possibly due to the greenhouse effect from enhanced emission of black carbon in this region (by 61%) from 1991-2001 (Sahu et al., 2008). Evidences of incessant increase in temperature during 1990s have also been observed (through chronology of Himalayan Pine) from the contemporaneous surge in tree growth rate (Singh and Yadav 2000). In fact, 50% of the years since 1970 have experienced considerably high solar irradiance and warm phases of ENSO, which is possibly one of the reasons for the considerable rise in temperature throughout the Himalaya (Shekhar et al., 2017). The same has now been discussed in the revised manuscript as suggested (Page: 19, lines: 502-512).

Due to the unavailability of in-situ climate dataset for the Suru sub-basin, station data is obtained from nearest stations of Kargil and Leh and compared with the CRU-TS derived data for the entire Suru sub-basin during 1901-2002 period.




Figure R1(Figure 4 of the revised manuscript): Mean annual temperature and precipitation patterns of CRU-TS derived gridded data and IMD recorded station at different locations.

The mean annual temperature pattern of Suru sub-basin shows a near decreasing trend till 1936, with an increase thereafter. Similar trends have been observed for Kargil and Leh, despite their distant location from the Suru sub-basin (areal distance of Kargil and Leh is ~63 and 126 km, respectively from the centre of Suru sub-basin). However, it is noteworthy to mention that all the locations had attained maximum mean annual temperature in 1999 (Suru: 2.02°C; Kargil: 6.84°C; Leh: -0.5°C).

Indeed, these results are interesting and we observe an almost similar trend in all the cases (Figure R1), with an accelerated warming post 1995/96. However, the magnitude varies, with longterm mean annual temperature of 0.9, 5.5 and -2.04°C observed in Suru sub-basin, Kargil and Leh, respectively (Figure R1). While the change (increase) in mean annual temperature observed during the same period, i.e., 1901-2002 is found to be 0.34, 0.13 and 0.44 °C in Suru sub-basin, Kargil and Leh, respectively. The possible reason for this difference in their magnitudes could possibly be attributed to their distinct geographical locations and difference in their nature, with former being point, while latter being the interpolated gridded data.

**Comment 3:** A comparison of the CRU data with in-situ (temperature and precipitation) in the study region will provide information about the biases in the CRU data.

**Response 3:** Agreed. Due to the unavailability of meteorological observatories in the Suru sub-basin, station data <sup>are</sup> is obtained from nearest available IMD sites, i.e., Kargil and Leh and compared with their respective CRU-TS data (mean annual temperature and precipitation).




Figure R2 (Figure 5 of the revised manuscript): Analysis of meteorological (mean annual temperature and precipitation) datasets derived from Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) stations at (a) Kargil & (b) Leh and the respective [(c) Kargil and (d) Leh] gridded data obtained from climate research unit (CRU)-time series (TS).

Though varying in magnitude, the climate data obtained from IMD as well as CRU-TS suggest almost similar trends of temperature and precipitation during the period 1901-2002 for both Kargil and Leh (Figure R2). The annual mean temperature/ precipitation have amounted to 5.5°C/589 mm (IMD) and 2.4°C/315 mm (CRU-TS) in Kargil, while -2.04°C/279 mm (IMD) and -0.09°C/216 mm (CRU-TS) in Leh during the period 1901-2002 (Figure R2). We observed that climatic variables show lower magnitude in case of CRU-TS as compared to the station data from IMD (except CRU-TS derived temperature data recorded for Leh). The possible reason for this difference between CRU-TS and station data can primarily be attributed to the difference in their nature, with former being point, while latter being a gridded data (0.5° latitude and

longitude grid cells). This analysis aptly brings out the bias in the CRU TS gridded data. Majorly the comparison shows that though the gridded data correctly bring out the temporal trends in meteorological data but differ with station data in magnitude (being on lower side than the station estimates). This helps us better appreciate the climate variations in the Suru sub-basin as well, since we learn that the reported temperature and precipitation changes are probably on the lower side of the actual variations.

This analysis has been incorporated in the climate analysis section of the revised manuscript (Page: 11-13; lines: 276-308).

**Comment 4:** Page 13, Line 339; How authors will explain the mean slope variation of  $16.2^\circ \pm 71^\circ$  to  $41^\circ \pm 66^\circ$ ?

**Response 4:** Thanks for pointing it. In this study, range of slope was reported initially depicting minimum and maximum variations in the overall data, i.e., in  $16.2^\circ \pm 71^\circ$ ,  $16.2^\circ$  was the average minimum slope and  $71^\circ$  was the deviation in this minimum slope considering the entire basin. Similarly, in  $41^\circ \pm 66^\circ$ ,  $41^\circ$  was the average maximum slope while  $66^\circ$  was the deviation in this maximum slope considering the entire basin. However, we now realize this form of data representation misleading. Therefore, the mean slope of the GHR and LR glaciers have now been mentioned, which has varied from  $24 \pm 6^\circ$  to  $25 \pm 6^\circ$ , respectively. The same has now been incorporated in the revised manuscript (Page: 15, Line: 380).

**Comment 5:** Figure 4(a) Frequency distribution histogram depicting maximum frequency in the percent area change between 0.52-0.97. How it concludes that majority of the glaciers have undergone an area loss of 3.3%.

**Response 5:** The statement mentioning that the majority of the glaciers have undergone area change of 3.3% was based on mid-point of a legend category (0.8-6%) as shown in the choropleth map. This was misleading as the categories of percent area change depicted in histogram differed from those shown in the choropleth map. However, now we have simplified the histogram and the choropleth map by keeping same divisions (range of percent area change) for both.

In the revised Figure 6a, it may be observed that majority of the glaciers have undergone area change of the range 6-12% and same is depicted in the chloropleth.




Figure 6 (revised): (a) Percent area loss of the glaciers in the SSB during the period 1971-2017. Frequency distribution histogram depicting that majority of the glaciers have undergone an area loss in the range 6-12%. (b) Hypsometric distribution of glacier area in the GHR and LR regions

during the period (I) 1971-2000 and (II) 2000-2017. (A), (B), (C) and (D) insets in (II) shows the significant change in area at different elevation range of the GHR and LR glaciers.

**Comment 6:** Figure 5; Majority of the glaciers have undergone length change of 5% is not seen in the frequency distribution histogram.

**Response 6:** The statement mentioning that the majority of the glaciers have undergone length change of 5% was based on mid-point of a legend category (0.9-8%) as shown in the choropleth map. This was misleading as the categories of percent length change depicted in histogram differed from those shown in the choropleth map. However, now we have simplified the histogram and the choropleth map by keeping same divisions (range of percent length change) for both.

In the revised Figure 7, it may be observed that majority of the glaciers have undergone length change of the range 6-14% and same is depicted in the choropleth.






Figure 7 (revised): Percent length change of the glaciers in the SSB during the period 1971-2017. Frequency distribution histogram showing that majority of the glaciers have undergone length change in the range 6-14%.

**Comment 7:** What could be the possible reasons of decrease in SLA in LR glaciers despite of increase in temperature and retreat in glacier length in the region?

**Response 7:** Yes, if we simply try to equate the absolute temperature change in LR with the overall SLA and/or length changes observed in this region then the results might seem counter-intuitive. However, such is not the case. While SLA [often used as a reliable proxy for glacier mass balance changes (Guo et al., 2014)] responds directly to the changes in meteorological variables mostly temperature, length changes or retreat are much delayed response of the glaciers towards climate change (Bolch et al., 2012; Paul et al., 2017). Besides, glacier retreat is often strongly influenced by the local snout characteristics and conditions such as presence of proglacial lakes, supraglacial debris coverage and differential shadowing (Sakai, 2012; Shukla and Qadir, 2016; Garg et al., 2017). For these reasons, SLA and retreat trends may not always be in-sync.

Coming to the reported increase in temperature in the LR, this increase has been estimated using following formulation which takes into account longterm mean and trends of entire temperature data series in the form of Sen's slope.

$$\text{Change in Temperature and Precipitation} = (\beta * L) / M$$

where  $\beta$  is Sen's slope estimator,  $L$  is length of period and  $M$  is the long term mean.

Contrary to this, the reported SLA changes are simple difference between the average SLAs of 1977 and 2017. Thus, the SLA changes seem counter-intuitive to the temperature variations and do not correlate well with it. However, if we break this long time frame of 40 years (1977–2017) into shorter time periods then we find that the SLA in LR had been responding excellently to the ongoing temperature changes (Table R1). Also, the SLA and temperature changes have, as expected, high negative correlation with each other (i.e., -0.82).

Table RT1: Period wise variations in SLA of the LR glaciers and changes in temperature conditions during the corresponding time interval.

Time Period	SLA change (m)	Temperature change (°C)
1977-94	12.55	Decrease in temp by 0.11
1994-2000	-103.31	Increase in temp by 0.71
2000-17	108.96	Increase in temp by 0.02

Where, (-ve) sign: rise in SLA, (+ve) sign: decrease in SLA

**Comment 8:** Page 16; Line 405; there is a large difference in the number of glaciers reported in the sub basin by earlier researchers and reported in the present paper. It needs discussion and possible reasons. Is there any difference in defining a glacier?

**Response 8:** Statistics of the year 2000 reveal a total of 240 glaciers in the Suru sub-basin (Page 16; Line: 404 of the original manuscript). This is, though comparable with that reported by Sangewar and Shukla, (2009) i.e. 284, varies drastically from SAC report, (2016) and RGI (2 different analysts) [110 and (514 & 304), respectively]. One possible reason could be the difference in methodology adopted for glacier delineation leading to systematic errors (Page: 16; Lines: 410-411 of the original manuscript). Secondly, the involvement of multiple analysts may introduce random errors, as in case of RGI (Page: 16; Lines: 411-412 of the original manuscript).

Yes, as already pointed out there is a difference in defining a glacier in these studies, which is yet another plausible reason for introducing the bias in the glacier count. RGI have provided separate glacier id to each polygon in the Sub-basin, which might be the reason for overestimation of glaciers. While no such information regarding the definition of glacier has been provided in the SAC report, (2016). However, in this study, the glacierets / tributary glaciers contributing to the main trunk are considered as a single glacier entity, which is a standard procedure for assigning the glacier id. The statement was somehow missing from the original manuscript which would have created the confusion, therefore, now it has been incorporated in the revised manuscript (Page 10, lines 239-240).

**Comment 9:** Page 18, Line 462; statement 'However a sudden decrease in the precipitation anomaly is observed in the year 2016 with an increase thereafter', it is not clear to me that Figure 3(a), (b) and (c) are showing 'precipitation' or 'precipitation anomaly'? Year 2016 is missing in the Figure.

**Response 9:** Figure 3(a) (b) and (c) are showing '*5 year moving average of average annual precipitation*'. The statement mentioned in the original manuscript regarding 'precipitation anomaly' was previously included in the graphs. However, these graphs were changed (with different mode of representation) later owing to more information shown by present graphs included in the manuscript (Figure 3). These lines should have been removed from the text as well. We regret their inclusion. The vertical bars show 5 year moving average of mean annual precipitation during the period 1901-2017.

**Comment 10:** Page 18, Line 462-463; statement regarding mean annual precipitation is not clear if I look at Figure.

**Response 10:** Similar to Response 9

**Comment 11:** Page 18, Line 463-464; 'temperature and precipitation anomaly' not understood.

**Response 11:** Thanks for pointing out. The statement mentioned in the original manuscript regarding 'temperature and precipitation anomaly' was previously included in the graphs. However, these graphs were changed (with different mode of representation) later owing to more information shown by present graphs included in the manuscript (Figure 3). These lines should have been removed from the text as well. We regret their inclusion. The statement has now been edited to "Besides these general trends in mean annual temperature and precipitation, an overall absolute increase in the mean annual temperature ( $T_{\max}$  &  $T_{\min}$ ) and precipitation data have been noted as 0.77 °C (0.25 °C & 1.3 °C) and 158 mm, respectively during the period 1901-2017" (Revised manuscript; Page 19; lines 512-514).

**Comment 12:** It is advised to draw a trend line for temperature and precipitation variation in Figure 3.

**Response 12:** Thanks for the suggestion. A trend line for temperature and precipitation variations have now been added in Figure 3 of the revised manuscript.

**Comment 13:** Page 18, Line 466; 'Percentage increase in the average, maximum and minimum temperature observed to be 99,12 and 17%', generally temperature variation is not shown in percentage. I will give an example, if mean temperature varies from 0.1°C to 0.2°C for one year and next year it drops to 0.1°C again, should one conclude that temperature variation was 100%

increasing for the first year and 100% decreasing for next year. Statement will be misleading, since the temperature variation was minimal. If the unit of temperature changes from °C to K, then still the statement will hold good? It is advised not to represent temperature variation in % throughout the manuscript.

**Response 13:** Agreed. As suggested, we have now reported the temperature and precipitation changes in absolute form rather than in percentage.

*Referee # 2:*

**General comments:**

**Comment GC1:** The study by Shukla et al. entitled, "Temporal inventory of glaciers in the Suru sub-basin, western Himalaya ....." provides very useful data sets of glaciers in the Suru sub-basin in Western Himalaya that are very useful for better understanding the status and fate of the glaciers in the Western Himalaya. The data and manuscript quality is good, except that it would require a *major revision* to make it in the framework of data paper. Currently, larger focus is on the scientific implications of the data, which is not focus of the journal. While authors have also followed standard methods to process and analyze the data, the methods are not unique.

**Response GC1:** We agree with your opinion regarding focus of the journal, which aims at publishing articles with original research dataset having the potential to contribute significantly towards the field of Earth Science. In line with the intent of the journal:

1. We have prepared a multi-temporal inventory for four different time periods, which in itself is unique and scarce in the Himalayan region. Apart from addressing the discrepancies, this research also aims to update the data presented in existing inventories (of Suru sub-basin) in order to have a recent and more accurate estimate of glaciers.
2. Inherent data characteristics (glacier area, length, debris cover and snow line altitude changes) have also been assessed to understand the spatial and temporal variability of the glaciers in response to the climate change.
3. Besides, the response of glaciers in Suru sub-basin has also been assessed with respect to other basins of the Himalaya to develop a regional picture.

4. The influence of factors other than climate such as glacier size, regional hypsometry, elevation range, slope, aspect and presence of proglacial lakes have also been evaluated to understand the heterogeneous response of the glaciers.

To accomplish our objectives, a hybrid methodology is adopted, in which the snow-ice boundaries are mapped using a semi-automatic technique of NDSI and debris coverage through manual digitization. Similar methods of glacier mapping have been employed in other glaciological studies (Bolch et al, 2010; Bhambri et al., 2011; Frey et al., 2012; Chand and Sharma, 2015; Mir et al., 2017; Murtaza and Romshoo, 2015; Molg et al., 2018). In addition, methods have also been employed for estimation of uncertainties which might have introduced from various sources (Hall et al., 2003; Granshaw and Fountain, 2006; Paul et al., 2013;17).

**Comment GC2:** Overall, large amount of digitization work has been done for this study. However, the Suru basin is a small sub-basin of the Indus river basin, with only 11% of its area is covered with glaciers. So the authors need to substantially revise the manuscript to be useful as a regional representative of Western Himalayan glaciers. Considering the unique scope of the journal, it would therefore, require that the authors to incorporate similar dataset from other distinct basins of Upper Indus Basin to make it more regionally relevant.

**Response GC2:** Thanks for the suggestion. Suru is actually a sub-basin of Jhelum river basin, which comprises an overall basin area of 50,844 km<sup>2</sup> and glacierization of mere 1.4% (733 glaciers) (Bajracharya et al., 2019). In this respect, the Suru sub-basin covers ~9% basin area and 34% glacier count of the entire Jhelum river basin. The prime reason for selection of this very sub-basin for our study purpose was its significant amount of glacier coverage with respect to the entire basin size of the Jhelum.

Despite, low percentage coverage (11%), glaciers in the Suru sub-basin show large scale variability locally as well as regionally. Also, the study is unique in itself, as it presents a long time series data of glacier changes and climate patterns, which helps in developing a comprehensive understanding of glacier response on the basin scale (i.e. Suru sub basin). Moreover, existing inventories of the Suru sub-basin as mentioned in the manuscript (Page 4; lines:132-136 of the original manuscript) have disparate estimates which need updation. Besides, the Suru sub-basin covers part of two major ranges, i.e., the Greater Himalayan (GHR) and the

Ladakh (LR) range, which helps in understanding the existing intra-regional heterogeneity in glacier response and compare it with other basins as well.

The datasets in the manuscript have been processed using a hybrid methodology: Normalized Differential Snow Index (NDSI) for delineation of ice and snow covered boundaries and manual editing for debris cover (Page 10; lines: 231-232 of the original manuscript). The debris cover boundary is manually delineated as no apt technique has been developed till date, which could extract it automatically using optical satellite images. Moreover, we have also taken assistance of thermal and slope maps for manual digitization of the debris cover boundaries. Similar mapping methodology has been followed by several researchers (Bolch et al., 2010; Chand and Sharma, 2015; Mir et al., 2017; Molg et al., 2018).

**Specific comments:**

**Comment 1:** Unlike Karakoram, the Ladakh Range is not a well known nomenclature. Chudley et al., (2017) have used the Karakoram and Ladakh range, not differentiated about Karakoram and GHR. Mir et al. (2018) have represented it as a part of the GHR. It is therefore, important to define/clarify the same.

**Response 1:** We agree that the Ladakh range was not a well known nomenclature in the field of glaciology, however, is well recognized in studies pertinent to Himalayan geology (Raz and Honeggar, 1989; Weinberg and Dunlap, 2000; Kirstein et al., 2006; St-Onge et al., 2010; Borneman et al., 2015). Nevertheless, such studies have now become prevalent in glaciology as well, with increase in the number of studies in this region (Schmidt and Nusser, 2012; 2017; Chudley et al., 2017).

Chudley et al., (2017) have considered the central and eastern Ladakh range as their research area and have shown that the response of glaciers in these regions is consistent with that in the western Himalaya (to the south), however in contrast to the Karakoram (to the north) Himalaya (Figure R3). In this scenario, our study area covers part of southern Ladakh range (33°54' to 34°21' N and 76°00' to 76°36' E) and part of Greater Himalayan range (33°43' to 34°19' N and 76°37' to 76°18' E), lying at the northernmost end of Zaskar range.




Figure R3: Studies conducted in different parts of the western Himalaya (modified after Schmidt and Nusser, 2017)

Mir and Mazeed, (2016), on the other hand, have conducted their study on the Parkachik glacier located in the Suru sub-basin. Similar to our study, they have also included the Parkachik/Kangriz glacier in the GHR (Figure 1 of the original manuscript).

**Comment 2:** The accuracy of CRU-TS data is not analysed independently. It is critical as the Fig. 3 data looks bit unrealistic. The temperature data indicate dramatic changes after 1990, which needs to be confirmed. Since India Met Department has long term station data in this region as well as gridded data ([http://www.imdpune.gov.in/Clim\\_Pred\\_LRF\\_New/Gridded\\_Data\\_Download.html](http://www.imdpune.gov.in/Clim_Pred_LRF_New/Gridded_Data_Download.html)), it is critical to check the data consistency and conduct error statistics.

**Response 2:** Thanks for pointing out. In Fig.3 of the original manuscript, the monthly mean precipitation values during the period 1901-2017 had been overestimated due to computational error. This error was introduced due to the variance in formats available for the CRU-TS derived precipitation data and hence was mistaken with the other format (mm/day). The error has now been rectified in the revised manuscript (Page 8; Figure 3d, 3e & 3f). The revised figures (3d, 3e,

3f) show monthly mean precipitation (Jan-Dec) variations of  $33 \pm 14$  mm/month in the entire Suru sub-basin, while  $37 \pm 15$  mm/month and  $30 \pm 12$  mm/month in the GHR and LR, respectively during the period 1901-2017.

As rightly indicated by the reviewer, a drastic increase in the mean annual temperature is noticed post 1990, especially from 1995/96 till 2005/06. The mean annual temperature as depicted in figure 3(a), 3(b) and 3(c) shows an overall increase of  $0.69^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $0.66^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $0.71^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the Suru sub-basin, GHR and LR, respectively, during period 1990-2017. In fact, the globally averaged combined land and ocean surface temperature data of 1983-2012 period is considered as the warmest 30-year period in the last 1400 years (IPCC, 2013). This unprecedented rate of warming has been primarily attributed to the rapid scale of industrialization, increase in regional population and anthropogenic activities prevalent during this time period (Bajracharya et al., 2008; IPCC, 2013). Thus, one of the probable reason for this sudden increment in temperature pattern is possibly due to the greenhouse effect from enhanced emission of black carbon in this region (by 61%) from 1991-2001. Evidences of incessant increase in temperature during 1990s have also been observed (through chronology of Himalayan Pine) from the contemporaneous surge in tree growth rate (Singh and Yadav 2000). In fact, 50% of the years since 1970 have experienced considerably high solar irradiance and warm phases of ENSO, which is possibly one of the reasons for the considerable rise in temperature throughout the Himalaya (Shekhar et al., 2017).

In order to check data consistency, we have taken up instrument data from nearest stations of Kargil and Leh (due to the unavailability of meteorological stations in the Suru sub-basin) and compared with the CRU-TS derived data for the entire Suru sub-basin during 1901-2002 period (Figure R1).

The mean annual temperature pattern of Suru sub-basin shows a near decreasing trend till 1936, with an increase thereafter. Similar trends have been observed for Kargil and Leh, despite their distant location from the Suru sub-basin (areal distance of Kargil and Leh is  $\sim 63$  and  $126$  km, respectively from the centre of Suru sub-basin). However, it is noteworthy to mention that all the locations had attained maximum mean annual temperature in 1999 (Suru:  $2.02^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; Kargil:  $6.84^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; Leh:  $-0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ).



The results are interesting and we observe an almost similar trend in all the cases (Figure R1), with an accelerated warming post 1995/96. However, the magnitude varies, with longterm mean annual temperature of 0.9, 5.5 and  $-2.04^{\circ}\text{C}$  observed in Suru sub-basin, Kargil and Leh, respectively (Figure R1). The possible reason for this difference in their magnitudes could possibly be attributed to their distinct geographical locations and difference in their nature, with former being point, while latter being the interpolated gridded data.

Also, we have used the station data, obtained from nearest available IMD sites, i.e., Kargil and Leh and compared with their respective CRU-TS data (mean annual temperature and precipitation).

Though varying in magnitude, the climate data obtained from IMD as well as CRU-TS suggest almost similar trends of temperature and precipitation during the period 1901-2002 for both Kargil and Leh (Figure R2). The annual mean temperature/ precipitation have amounted to  $5.5^{\circ}\text{C}/589\text{ mm}$  (IMD) and  $2.4^{\circ}\text{C}/315\text{ mm}$  (CRU-TS) in Kargil, while  $-2.04/279\text{ mm}$  (IMD) and  $-0.09/216\text{ mm}$  (CRU-TS) in Leh during the period 1901-2002 (Figure R2). We observed that climatic variables show lower magnitude in case of CRU-TS as compared to the station data from IMD (except CRU-TS derived temperature data recorded for Leh). The possible reason for this difference between CRU-TS and station data can primarily be attributed to the difference in their nature, with former being point, while latter being a gridded data ( $0.5^{\circ}$  latitude and longitude grid cells). This analysis aptly brings out the bias in the CRU TS gridded data. Majorly the comparison shows that though the gridded data correctly bring out the temporal trends in meteorological data but differ with station data in magnitude (being on lower side than the station estimates). This helps us better appreciate the climate variations in the Suru sub-basin as well, since we learn that the reported temperature and precipitation changes are probably on the lower side of the actual variations.

**Comment 3:** Considering the large uncertainty involved in Landsat MSS data, it is important to mention the inherent uncertainties while interpreting the temporal variability. Table 1: include the Scene ID for clarity.

**Response 3:** We agree with the reviewer. Despite large uncertainties involved in Landsat MSS dataset, we have utilized it to compensate for the data gap in the Corona imageries (covering 40% of the GHR and 58% of the LR glaciers). Previous studies have frequently utilized the

Landsat MSS imagery for glacier mapping and analysis for the 1970s period (Pandey and Venkatraman, 2013; Rai et al., 2013; Shangguan et al., 2014; Thakuri et al., 2014; Brahmabhatt et al., 2015; Shukla and Qadir, 2016; Mir et al., 2017). Moreover, we have also accounted for uncertainties using prevalent methods [area and length change uncertainty by Hall et al., (2003) and mapping uncertainty using buffer method by Granshaw and Fountain, (2006)] associated with glacier changes (area and length) using Landsat MSS data and also incorporated the same in the original manuscript (Table 2).

In addition to this, we have now taken 2 glaciers, GL-157 (small, 5.5 km<sup>2</sup>) and Kangriz glacier (largest, 53 km<sup>2</sup>) and digitized their boundaries using both the Corona and Landsat MSS imageries. On comparing the glacier boundaries using the two datasets, we noticed that higher uncertainty is associated with the GL-157 (22%) as compared to the Kangriz glacier (0.1%). Considering this, we could say that, though larger in magnitude the uncertainty estimates using Landsat would not affect GHR glaciers much (comparatively larger in size) as compared to the LR (smaller in size) glaciers.

As suggested, the Scene IDs have now been incorporated with the Table1.

Table 1 (revised manuscript): Detailed specifications of the satellite data utilized in the present study. GB= glacier boundaries, DC=debris cover

S. no	Satellite sensors(Date of acquisition)	Remarks on quality	Scene Id	RMSE error	Registration accuracy (m)	Purpose
1.	Corona KH-4B (28 Sep 1971)	Cloud free	DS1115-2282DA056/ DS1115-2282DA055/ DS1115-2282DA054	0.1	0.3	Delineation of GB
2.	LandsatMSS (19 Aug 1977/ 1 Aug 1977)	Cloud free/ peak ablation (17 Aug)	LM02_L1TP_159036_197 70819_20180422_01_T2/ LM02_L1TP_159036_197 70801_20180422_01_T2	0.12	10	Delineation of GB, SLA&DC
3.	LandsatTM (27 Aug)	Partially cloud covered/ peak	LT05_L1TP_148036_1994 0827_20170113_01_T1/	0.22	6	Delineation of GB,

	1994)	ablation	LT05_L1GS_148037_199 40827_20170113_01_T2			SLA&DC
4.	LandsatTM (26 July 1994)	Seasonal snow cover	LT05_L1TP_148036_1994 0726_20170113_01_T1	0.2	6	Delineation of GB
5.	LandsatETM <sup>+</sup> (4 Sep 2000)	Cloud free/ peak ablation	LE71480362000248SGS00	Base image		Delineation of GB, SLA& DC
6.	LandsatOLI (25July 2017)	Partially cloud covered/ peak ablation	LC08_L1TP_148036_2017 0810_01_T1	0.15	4.5	Delineation of GB & DC, estimation of SLA
7.	Sentinel MSI (20 Sep 2017)	Cloud free	S2A_MSIL1C_20170920T 053641_N0205_R005_T43 SET_20170920T053854	0.12	1.2	Delineation of GB & DC
8.	LISS IV (27Aug2017)	Cloud free	183599611	0.2	1.16	Accuracy assessment

**Comment 4:** Lines 236-240: The procedures used for determining the glacier boundaries are apparently manual digitization. While this is reasonable to undertake manual processing in such complicated areas, it also necessitates a study of uncertainty estimations in such manual work. Authors may also undertake repeatability tests with different analysts to determine repeatability.

**Response 4:** We have followed a '*hybrid approach*', involving normalized difference snow index (*NDSI*) for delineation of snow-ice boundaries and *manual digitization* for mapping the debris cover (Page: 10; lines: 231-232 of the original manuscript). Similar mapping methodology has been followed by several researchers (Chand and Sharma, 2015; Mir et al., 2017; Molg et al., 2018).

As aptly pointed out by the reviewer, we also agree that manual processing of the database necessitates uncertainty estimation. However, the essence of this work lies in the mapping of the glaciers for multiple (four) time periods by a single analyst, which minimizes the errors to a great extent. While, the repeatability tests are more relevant for studies concerning global scale inventory such as Randolph glacier inventory (RGI), Global land ice measurements from space (GLIMS) and recently Chinese glacier inventory (CGI), where multiple analysts are involved. Nevertheless, we have performed the repeatability tests on the Pensilungpa glacier by delineating

its boundary for the year 2017 by 4 different analysts. The test result shows variation in glacier size by all four analysts (17.003 km<sup>2</sup>, 16.22 km<sup>2</sup>, 16.59 km<sup>2</sup> and 14.67 km<sup>2</sup>). These values have varied significantly and slightly overestimated from the size estimated using the semi-automatic approach (15.57 km<sup>2</sup>). The fluctuations in glacier size have varied within the range of 5-10%, i.e., by 9, 4, 6.5 and 6%, respectively, which is acceptable for glacier mapping (Paul et al., 2013).

**Comment 5:** Lines 272 – 300: The uncertainty assessment is biased with the very limited field validation on only one glacier for a very limited time frame. One issue that needs to be addressed is the reliability of ground truth data when different types of data were used through the nearly 50 years' time period.

**Response 5:** We agree that very limited field validation has been incorporated for a limited time frame, however, ground based monitoring of the glaciers is difficult and often constrained by extreme conditions prevailing in the Himalayan glaciated terrain. This is very well discerned from the limited field studies (11 in western, 4 in central, 1 in eastern) being conducted in the Himalayan region till date (Pratap et al., 2015; Raina and Srivastava, 2008).

In this study, the aim of comparing our results with field data (initially for 2017) was basically validating the mapping method as data related errors are being already accounted for in the other methods of uncertainty estimation. However, to enhance the reliability of ground data, we have now incorporated field data of the Kangriz glacier as well for year 2018 (obtained from DGPS). On comparing the snout position of the Kangriz glacier derived from DGPS and OLI image, an accuracy of  $\pm 1.4$  m is obtained. Also, the frontal retreat estimated using DGPS and OLI image is found to be  $38.63 \pm 47.8$  and  $39.98 \pm 56.6$  m, respectively during the period 2017-18. This result has now been incorporated in the revised manuscript (Page: 13; lines: 320-324).

**Comment 6:** Please discuss why the projective transformation was required for the satellite data sets other than Corona?

**Response 6:** We have used projective transformation for co-registration of all the images, i.e., Landsat as well as Corona (Page: 10; lines: 227-231 of the original manuscript) in order to maintain uniformity in data processing method.

Projective transformation is a novel technique of image registration which projects the 2-dimensional image on the radius and angular coordinates, respectively. Moreover, this method

has been used because in contrast to the other methods of image registration, i.e., polynomial and rubber sheeting, projective transformation involves the input reference of DEM which allows the analyst to capture the dynamics of the image and enhances the quality of the two-dimensional data.

**Comment 7:** Line 328- 330: Categorization of glaciers - is there a scientific standard for categorizing the glaciers in the different categories or was more based on the author's selectivity? Check DeBeer and Sharp (2009, Journal of Glaciology). Since the data descriptions needs to be internationally consistent, may revise.

**Response 7:** It is a welcome suggestion. However, glacier size is a variable parameter which fluctuates from basin to basin and hence, cannot be standardized globally or for a particular region. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, there is no scientific standard for categorizing the glaciers and for this study, it is entirely based on investigators selectivity. DeBeer and Sharp, (2009) have categorized small glaciers in the British Columbia as per the size distribution of the glacier in the region, i.e.,  $<0.4 \text{ km}^2$  as very small and  $0.4\text{-}5 \text{ km}^2$  as large glaciers. However, in the Himalayan region different studies have used different size class for the glaciers (Table RT2). Owing to this heterogeneity in glacier size classification, we have not followed any particular study, but, have given a separate categorization (Page:12; lines:328-330 of the original manuscript).

Table RT2: Size distribution of glaciers in different basins of the Himalaya.

Serial no.	Basin/ Himalayan region	Glacier size class ( $\text{km}^2$ )	References
1.	Chenab, Parbati & Baspa, western Himalaya	<1 1-5 5-10 >10	Kulkarni et al., (2007; 2011)
2.	Bhagirathi and Saraswati, central Himalaya	<1 1-5 5-10 >10	Bhambri et al., (2011)
3.	Tista basin	<5 5-20 >20	Basnett et al., (2013)
4.	Koshi river basin, central Himalaya	<0.2 0.2-0.5 0.5-1 1-5	Shangguan et al., (2014)

		5-10 10-20 >20	
5.	Ravi basin	<1 1-2 2-5 5-11	Chand and Sharma, (2015)
6.	Drass valley, Ladakh	<1 1-3 >10	Koul et al., (2016)
7.	Chenab basin, western Himalaya	<5 5-10 10-20 >20	Brahmbhatt et al., (2017)
8.	Central Himalaya	<5 5-10 >10	Garg et al., (2017)
9.	Baspa basin	<0.5 0.5-1 1-5 5-9 >9	Mir et al., (2017)
10.	Lidder valley, Kashmir	<1 1-5 5-15	Murtaza and Romshoo, (2015)
11.	Central and eastern Ladakh Himalaya	<0.25 0.25-0.5 0.5-0.75 0.75-1 1-2 >2	Schmidt and Nusser, (2017)
12.	Jankar Chhu watershed, Lahaul Himalaya	<0.5 0.5-1 1-5 5-10 >10	Das and Sharma, (2018)
13.	Karakoram, Pamir	0.02-0.5 0.5-1 1-5 5-10 10-20 20-50 50-100 >100	Molg et al., (2018)
14.	Miyar basin, western Himalaya	<5 >5	Patel et al., (2018)

**Comment 8:** Statistical significance could be included to explain the effect of spatial characteristics (size, aspect, debris cover) or any difference spatial control over LR and GHR.

**Response 8:** Thanks for the suggestion. We understand the reviewer's point that GHR and LR comprises of different glaciers having distinct morphology. However, in our analysis, we have taken into account the change in glacier parameters in terms of percentage, which is normalized. Hence, the data is not susceptible to any biases. Moreover, we have followed a sequential method of data analysis: in which all the glaciers are first investigated for parametric changes and we observe regional heterogeneity in glacier response. Thereafter, we went for understanding the possible controls on the reported changes, in which we noted that the glacier response is primarily influenced by climate variability (statistical significance taken into account). The study also confirms the possible controls of non-climatic factors (in terms of percentage) on heterogeneous glacier response.


However, we have now incorporated the statistical significance to explain the effect of spatial characteristics (size, slope, debris cover and elevation) over LR and GHR [Supplementary material (Text S1) of the revised manuscript]. For this, the non-climatic factors were subsequently correlated with the change in glacier dimensional parameters, i.e., area change and retreat using some statistical tests (Figure R4a,b; Table RT3). In the statistical analysis, the variables were initially tested for normality and visual inspection of the histogram. The test showed normal distribution for nearly all the variables and the correlations were found to be significant at  $\alpha < 0.05$  (except for mean elevation). These correlations also showed the presence of few outliers (not removed in this study), which indicate the possible role of any other factor due to which these glaciers have deviated from the general trend of area loss and retreat (Figure R4a;b).

**Table RT3:** Correlation (r) and Pearson's correlation (p) coefficient computed between non-climatic factors (size, slope, debris cover and elevation) and glacier changes (% deglaciation & retreat rate). These relationships were found to be significant at  $\alpha < 0.05$  (Except for mean elevation: *Italicized*).


Parameters	% deglaciation		Retreat rate ( $\text{ma}^{-1}$ )	
	GHR	LR	GHR	LR
Size	<i>r= -0.385</i>	<i>r= -0.5</i>	<i>r= 0.475</i>	<i>r= 0.524</i>
	<i>p&lt;0.00001</i>	<i>p&lt;0.00001</i>	<i>p&lt;0.0001</i>	<i>p&lt;0.00001</i>

<b>Slope</b>	<i>r</i> = 0.27	<i>r</i> = 0.339	<i>r</i> = -0.18	<i>r</i> = -0.389
	<i>p</i> < 0.0022	<i>p</i> < 0.0002	<i>p</i> < 0.043	<i>p</i> < 0.00002
<b>Mean elevation</b>	<i>r</i> = -0.048	<i>r</i> = 0.002	<i>r</i> = 0.091	<i>r</i> = -0.152
	<i>p</i> = 0.593	<i>p</i> = 0.98	<i>p</i> = 0.31	<i>p</i> = 0.106
<b>Debris cover</b>	<i>r</i> = -0.334	<i>r</i> = -0.249	<i>r</i> = 0.337	<i>r</i> = 0.245
	<i>p</i> < 0.00013	<i>p</i> < 0.0075	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	<i>p</i> < 0.0088





**Figure R4a.** Scatter plots displaying the relation between topographic factors with percent deglaciation during the period 1971-2017. All the relationships were found to be significant at confidence level, i.e.,  $\alpha < 0.05$  (Except mean elevation).



**Figure R4b.** Scatter plots displaying the relation between topographic factors with retreat rate during the period 1971-2017. All the relationships were found to be significant at confidence level, i.e.,  $\alpha < 0.05$  (Except mean elevation).

## **REFERENCES**

- Bajracharya, S. R., Mool, P. K., Shrestha, B. R.: Global climate change and melting of Himalayan glaciers. *Melting glaciers and rising sea levels: Impacts and implications*, Prabha Shastri Ranade (ed), The Icfai's University Press, India, 28–46, 2008.
- Basnett, S., Kulkarni, A.V. and Bolch, T.: The influence of debris cover and glacial lakes on the recession of glaciers in Sikkim Himalaya, India, *Journal of Glaciology*, 59, 1035-1046, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JoG12J184>, 2013.
- Bhambri, R., Bolch, T., Chaujar, R. K., and Kulshreshtha, S. C.: Glacier changes in the Garhwal Himalaya, India, from 1968 to 2006 based on remote sensing, *Journal of Glaciology*, 57, 543–556, <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311796905604>, 2011.
- Bolch, T., Kulkarni, A., Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Paul, F., Cogley, J. G., Frey, H., Kargel, J. S., Fujita, K., Scheel, M., Bajracharya, S., and Stoffel, M.: The State and Fate of Himalayan Glaciers, *Science*, 336, 310–314, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215828>, 2012.
- Bolch, T., Yao, T., Kang, S., Buchroithner, M. F., Scherer, D., Maussion, F., Huintjes, E., and Schneider, C.: A glacier inventory for the western Nyainqentanglha Range and the Nam Co Basin, Tibet, and glacier changes 1976–2009, *The Cryosphere*, 4, 419-433, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-4-419-2010>, 2010.
- Borneman, N. L., Hodges, K.V., Van Soest, M. C., Bohon, W., Wartho, J. A., Cronk, S. S. and Ahmad, T.: Age and structure of the Shyok suture in the Ladakh region of northwestern India: implications for slip on the Karakoram fault system, *Tectonics*, 34, 2011-2033, 2015.
- Brahmbhatt, Rupal, M., Bahuguna, I. M., Rathore, B. P., Kulkarni, A. V., Nainwal, H. C., Shah, R. D. and Ajai: A comparative study of deglaciation in two neighbouring basins (Warwan and Bhut) of Western Himalaya, *Current Science*, 103, 298–304, 2012.
- Chand, P. and Sharma, M. C.: Glacier changes in Ravi basin, North-Western Himalaya (India) during the last four decades (1971-2010/13), *Global and Planetary change*, 135, 133-147, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.10.013>, 2015.

- Chudley, T. R., Miles, E. S. and Willis, I. C.: Glacier characteristics and retreat between 1991 and 2014 in the Ladakh Range, Jammu and Kashmir, *Remote Sensing Letters*, 8, 518-527, <https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2017.1295480>, 2017.
- Das, S. and Sharma, M. C.: Glacier changes between 1971 and 2016 in the Jankar Chhu Watershed, Lahaul Himalaya, India, *Journal of glaciology*, 1-16, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2018.77>, 2018.
- DeBeer, C. M. and Sharp, M. J.: Topographic influences on recent changes of very small glaciers in the Monashee mountains, British Columbia, Canada, *Journal of Glaciology*, 55, 691-700, <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214309789470851>, 2009.
- Frey, H., Paul, F., Strozzi, T.: Compilation of a glacier inventory for the western Himalayas from satellite data: methods, challenges, and results, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 124, 832–843, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.06.020>, 2012.
- Garg, P. K., Shukla, A. and Jasrotia, A. S: Influence of topography on glacier changes in the central Himalaya, India, *Global and Planetary change*, 155, 196-212, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.07.007>, 2017.
- Granshaw, F. D. and Fountain, A. G.: Glacier change (1958– 1998) in the North Cascades National Park Complex, Washington, USA, *Journal of Glaciology*, 52, 251–256, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828782>, 2006.
- Guo, Z., Wanga, N., Kehrwald, N. M., Mao, R., Wua, H., Wu, Y. and Jiang, X.: Temporal and spatial changes in western Himalayan firn line altitudes from 1998 to 2009, *Global and Planetary Change*, 118, 97–105, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.03.012>, 2014.
- Hall, D. K., Bayr, K. J., Schöner, W., Bindschadler, R. A. and Chiene, J. Y. L.: Consideration of the Errors Inherent in Mapping Historical Glacier Positions in Austria from the Ground and Space (1893–2001), *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 86, 566–577, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(03\)00134-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00134-2), 2003.
- IPCC. Summary for policymakers. In: Stocker, T. F. et al. (Eds), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 2013.
- Kirstein, L. A., Sinclair, H., Stuart, F. M. and Dobson, K.: Rapid early Miocene exhumation of the Ladakh batholith, western Himalaya, *Geology*, 34, 1049-1052, 2006.
- Koul., M. N., Bahuguna, I. M., Ajai, Rajawat, A. S., Ali., S. and Koul, S.: Glacier Area Change over Past 50 Years to Stable Phase in Drass Valley, Ladakh Himalaya (India), *American Journal of Climate change*, 5, 88-102, <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajcc.2016.51010>, 2016.

- Kulkarni, A. V., Bahuguna, I. M., Rathore, B. P., Singh, S. K., Randhawa, S. S., Sood, R. K. and Dhar, S.: Glacial retreat in Himalaya using remote sensing satellite data, *Current Science*, 92, 69-74, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.694004>, 2007.
- Kulkarni, A. V., Rathore, B. P., Singh, S. K. and Bahuguna, I. M.: Understanding changes in Himalayan Cryosphere using remote sensing technique, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 32, 601–615, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2010.517802>, 2011.
- Mir, R. A. and Majeed, Z.: Frontal recession of Parkachik Glacier between 1971- 2015, *Zanskar Himalaya using remote sensing and field data. GeocartoInt.*, doi: 10.1080/10106049.2016.1232439, 2016.
- Mir, R. A., Jain, S. K., Jain, Thayyen, R. J. and Saraf, A. K.: Assessment of recent glacier changes and its controlling factors from 1976 to 2011 in Baspa Basin, western Himalaya, *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 49, 621-647, <https://doi.org/10.1657/AAAR0015-070>, 2017.
- Mölg, N., Bolch, T., Rastner, P., Strozzi, T. and Paul, F.: A consistent glacier inventory for Karakoram and Pamir derived from Landsat data: distribution of debris cover and mapping challenges. *Earth System Science Data*, 10, 1807-1827, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1807-2018>, 2018.
- Murtaza K. O. and Romshoo S. A.: Recent glacier changes in the Kashmir Alpine Himalayas, India, *Geocarto International*, 32, 188-205, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2015.1132482>, 2015.
- Pandey, P. and Venkataraman, G.: Changes in the glaciers of Chandra–Bhaga basin, Himachal Himalaya, India, between 1980 and 2010 measured using remote sensing, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 34, 5584-5597, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2013.793464>, 2013.
- Patel, L. K., Sharma, P., Fathima, T. N. and Thamban, M.: Geospatial observations of topographical control over the glacier retreat, Miyar basin, western Himalaya, India, *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77, 190, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7379-5>, 2018.
- Paul, F., Barrand, N.E., Baumann, S., Berthier, E., Bolch, T., Casey, K., Frey, H., Joshi, S.P., Konovalov, V., Bris, R.L. and Mölg, N.: On the accuracy of glacier outlines derived from remote-sensing data, *Annals of Glaciology*, 54, 171–182, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2013AoG63A296>, 2013.
- Paul, F., Bolch, T., Briggs, K., Kääb, A., McMillan, M., McNabb, R., Nagler, T., Nuth, C., Rastner, P., Strozzi, T. and Wuite, J.: Error sources and guidelines for quality assessment of glacier area, elevation change, and velocity products derived from satellite data in the

Glaciers\_cci project, Remote sensing of Environment, 203, 256-275, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.08.038>, 2017.

Pratap, B., Dobhal, D. P., Mehta, M. and Bhambri, R.: Influence of debris cover and altitude on glacier surface melting: a case study on Dokriani Glacier, central Himalaya, India. *Annals of Glaciology* 56(70), 9-16, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2015AoG70A971>, 2015.

Raina V. K.: Himalayan glaciers: a state-of-art review of glacial studies, glacial retreat and climate change. (MoEF Discussion Paper) Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India/GB Pant Institute of Himalayan Environment and Development, NewDelhi/Kosi-Katarmal<http://www.indiaenviron-mentportal.org.in/reports/documents/himalayan-glaciers-state-art-review-glacial-studies-glacial-retreat-and-climate>, 2009

Rai, P. K., Nathawat, M. S. and Mohan, K.: Glacier retreat in Doda valley, Zaskar basin, Jammu and Kashmir, India, *Universal Journal of Geoscience*, 1, 139-149, <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujg.2013.010304>, 2013.

Raz, U. and Honegger, K.: Magmatic and tectonic evolution of the Ladakh block from field studies, *Tectonophysics*, 161, 107-118, 1989.

Sakai, A.: Glacial lakes in the Himalayas: A review on formation and Expansion process, *Global environmental research*, 23-30, 2012.

Sangewar, C. V., and S. P. Shukla.: Inventory of the Himalayan Glaciers: A Contribution to the International Hydrological Programme, An Updated Edition. Kolkata: Geological Survey of India (Special Publication 34), IISN: 1:0254-0436, 2009.

Schmidt, S. and Nüsser, M.: Changes of high altitude glaciers from 1969 to 2010 in the Trans-Himalayan Kang Yatze Massif, Ladakh, northwest India. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.*, 44, 107-121, 2012.

Schmidt, S. and Nusser, M.: Changes of High Altitude Glaciers in the Trans-Himalaya of Ladakh over the Past Five Decades (1969-2016), *Geosciences*, 7, 27, <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences7020027>, 2017.

Shangguan, D., Liu, S., Ding., Y., Wu, L., Deng, W., Guo, W., Wang, Y., Xu, J., Yao, X., Guo, Z. and Zhu, W.: Glacier changes in the Koshi River basin, central Himalaya, from 1976 to 2009, derived from remote-sensing imagery, *Annals of glaciology*, 55, 61-68, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2014AoG66A057>, 2014.

Shekhar, M., Bhardwaj, A., Singh, S., Ranhotra, P. S., Bhattacharyya, A., Pal, A. K., Roy, I., Martín-Torres, F. J. and Zorzano, M.P.: Himalayan glaciers experienced significant mass loss during later phases of little ice age, *Scientific Reports*, 7, 1-14, 2017.

- Shukla, A. and Qadir, J.: Differential response of glaciers with varying debris cover extent: evidence from changing glacier parameters, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 37, 2453–2479, <http://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2016.1176272>, 2016.
- Singh, J. and Yadav, R. R.: Tree-ring indications of recent glacier fluctuations in Gangotri, western Himalaya, India, *Current Science*, 79(11), 1598–1601, 2000.
- Space Application Centre (SAC): Report: Monitoring Snow and Glaciers of Himalayan Region. Space Application Centre, ISRO, Ahmedabad, India, 413 pages, ISBN: 978-93-82760-24-5, 2016.
- St-Onge, M. R., Rayner, N. and Searle, M. P.: Zircon age determinations for the Ladakh batholith at Chumathang (Northwest India): implications for the age of the India–Asia collision in the Ladakh Himalaya, *Tectonophysics*, 495, 171-183, 2010.
- Thakuri, S., Salerno, F., Smiraglia, C., Bolch, T., Agata, C. D., Viviano, G. and Tartari, G.: Tracing glacier changes since the 1960s on the south slope of Mt. Everest (central Southern Himalaya) using optical satellite imagery, *The Cryosphere*, 8, 1297-1315, <http://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1297-2014>, 2014.
- Weinberg, R. F. and Dunlap, W. J.: Growth and deformation of the Ladakh Batholith, Northwest Himalayas: implications for timing of continental collision and origin of calc-alkaline batholiths, *The Journal of Geology*, 108, 303-320, 2000.

### **AUTHOR'S CHANGES IN THE MANUSCRIPT**

1. On Page 8; Figure 3 has been updated as suggested.




Figure 3: Annual and seasonal variability in the climate data for the period 1901-2017. (a), (b) and (c) 5 year moving average of the mean annual precipitation (mm) and temperature (°C) recorded for 5 grids covering the glaciers in the entire SSB, GHR and LR (sub-regions), respectively during the period 1901-2017. The light and dark grey colored dashed lines depict the respective trend lines for precipitation and temperature conditions during the period 1901-2017. (d), (e) and (f) Monthly mean precipitation and temperature data for the entire SSB, GHR and LR (sub-regions), respectively for the time period 1901-2017.

2. Table 1 on Page 9 has been updated by incorporating the scene Id in it.

S. no	Satellite sensors(Date of acquisition)	Remarks on quality	Scene Id	RMSE error	Registration accuracy (m)	Purpose
1.	Corona KH-4B (28 Sep 1971)	Cloud free	DS1115-2282DA056/ DS1115-2282DA055/ DS1115-2282DA054	0.1	0.3	Delineation of GB
2.	LandsatMSS (19 Aug 1977/ 1 Aug 1977)	Cloud free/ peak ablation (17 Aug)	LM02_L1TP_159036_197 70819_20180422_01_T2/ LM02_L1TP_159036_197 70801_20180422_01_T2	0.12	10	Delineation of GB, SLA&DC
3.	LandsatTM	Partially cloud	LT05_L1TP_148036_1994	0.22	6	Delineation



	(27 Aug 1994)	covered/ peak ablation	0827_20170113_01_T1/ LT05_L1GS_148037_199 40827_20170113_01_T2			of GB, SLA&DC
4.	LandsatTM (26 July 1994)	Seasonal snow cover	LT05_L1TP_148036_1994 0726_20170113_01_T1	0.2	6	Delineation of GB
5.	LandsatETM+ (4 Sep 2000)	Cloud free/ peak ablation	LE71480362000248SGS00	Base image		Delineation of GB, SLA& DC
6.	LandsatOLI (25July 2017)	Partially cloud covered/ peak ablation	LC08_L1TP_148036_2017 0810_01_T1	0.15	4.5	Delineation of GB & DC, estimation of SLA
7.	Sentinel MSI (20 Sep 2017)	Cloud free	S2A_MSIL1C_20170920T 053641_N0205_R005_T43 SET_20170920T053854	0.12	1.2	Delineation of GB & DC
8.	LISS IV (27Aug2017)	Cloud free	183599611	0.2	1.16	Accuracy assessment

3. Line stating “The glacierets/ tributary glaciers contributing to the main trunk are considered as single glacier entity” has been added on Page 10; lines: 239-240.

4. On page 11; lines: 268-270 have been updated for calculation of "change in climate variables" instead of percentage change.

5. On pages: 11-13; lines: 276-308 have been incorporated Further, in order to check data consistency, we have taken up instrument data from nearest stations of Kargil and Leh (due to the unavailability of meteorological stations in the Suru sub-basin) and compared with the CRU-TS derived data for the entire Suru sub-basin during 1901-2002 period (Figure 4).




Figure 4: Mean annual temperature and precipitation patterns of CRU-TS derived gridded data in (a) Suru sub-basin and IMD recorded station at (b) Kargil and (c) Leh.

The mean annual temperature pattern of Suru sub-basin shows a near negative trend till 1937, with an increase thereafter. Similar trends have been observed for Kargil and Leh, despite their distant location from the Suru sub-basin (areal distance of Kargil and Leh is ~63 and 126 km, respectively from the centre of Suru sub-basin). However, it is noteworthy to mention that all the locations had attained maximum mean annual temperature in 1999 (Suru: 2.02°C; Kargil: 6.84°C; Leh: -0.5°C). We observe an almost similar trend in all the cases (Figure 4), with an accelerated warming post 1995/96. However, the magnitude varies, with longterm mean annual temperature of 0.9, 5.5 and -2.04°C observed in Suru sub-basin, Kargil and Leh, respectively (Figure 4). The possible reason for this difference in their magnitudes could possibly be attributed to their distinct geographical locations and difference in their nature, with former being point, while latter being the interpolated gridded data.

Also, we have used the station data, obtained from nearest available IMD sites, i.e., Kargil and Leh and compared with their respective CRU-TS data (mean annual temperature and precipitation).




Figure 5: Analysis of meteorological (mean annual temperature and precipitation) datasets derived from Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) stations at (a) Kargil & (b) Leh and the respective [(c) Kargil and (d) Leh] gridded data obtained from climate research unit (CRU)-time series (TS).

Though varying in magnitude, the climate data obtained from IMD as well as CRU-TS suggest almost similar trends of temperature and precipitation during the period 1901-2002 for both Kargil and Leh (Figure 5). The annual mean temperature/ precipitation have amounted to 5.5°C/589 mm (IMD) and 2.4°C/315 mm (CRU-TS) in Kargil, while -2.04/279 mm (IMD) and -0.09/ 216 mm (CRU-TS) in Leh during the period 1901-2002 (Figure 5). We observed that climatic variables show lower magnitude in case of CRU-TS as compared to the station data from IMD (except CRU-TS derived temperature data recorded for Leh). The possible reason for this difference between CRU-TS and station data can primarily be attributed to the difference in their nature, with former being point, while latter being a gridded data (0.5° latitude and longitude grid cells). This analysis aptly brings out the bias in the CRU TS gridded data. Majorly the comparison shows that though the gridded data correctly bring out the temporal trends in meteorological data but differ with station data in

magnitude (being on lower side than the station estimates). This helps us better appreciate the climate variations in the Suru sub-basin as well, since we learn that the reported temperature and precipitation changes are probably on the lower side of the actual variations.

6. On page 13, lines: 320-324 have been updated to: "In this study, DGPS survey was conducted on the Pensilungpa and Kangriz glaciers at an error of less than 1cm. Therefore, by comparing the snout position of Pensilungpa (2017) and Kangriz (2018) glaciers derived from DGPS and OLI image, an accuracy of  $\pm 23$  and  $\pm 1.4$  m, respectively was obtained. Also, the frontal retreat estimated for the Kangriz glacier using DGPS and OLI image is found to be  $38.63 \pm 47.8$  and  $39.98 \pm 56.6$  m, respectively during the period 2017-18".

7. On page 15, line: 380 has been edited to "Mean slope of the glaciers is  $24.8 \pm 5.8^\circ$  and varies from  $24 \pm 6^\circ$  to  $25 \pm 6^\circ$  in the GHR and LR, respectively".

8. Page: 15, lines: 387-388 and figure 4 have been edited as suggested.

Percentage area loss of the individual glaciers ranges between 0.8 (G-50; Parkachik glacier) - 45 (G-81) %, with majority of the glaciers undergoing an area loss in the range 6-12% during the period 1971-2017 (Fig.6a).




Figure 6 (revised manuscript): (a) Percent area loss of the glaciers in the SSB during the period 1971-2017. Frequency distribution histogram depicting that majority of the glaciers have undergone an area loss in the range 6-12%. (b) Hypsometric distribution of glacier area in the GHR and LR regions during the period (I) 1971-2000 and (II) 2000-2017. (A), (B), (C) and (D) insets in (II) shows the significant change in area at different elevation range of the GHR and LR glaciers.

9. Page 16, lines: 406-407 and figure 5 has been edited as suggested.

Percentage length change of the glaciers ranges between 0.9 to 47%, with majority of the glaciers retreating in the range 6-14% during the period 1971-2017 (Fig.7).












Figure 7: Percent length change of the glaciers in the SSB during the period 1971-2017. Frequency distribution histogram showing that majority of the glaciers have undergone length change of the range 6-14%.

10. Page: 19, lines: 502-512 stating: Mean annual temperature shows an almost uniform trend till 1996, with a pronounced rise thereafter till 2005/06 period (Fig. 3a; b;c). The globally averaged combined land and ocean surface temperature data of 1983-2012 period is considered as the warmest 30-year period in the last 1400 years (IPCC, 2013). This unprecedented rate of warming has been primarily attributed to the rapid scale of industrialization, increase in regional population and anthropogenic activities prevalent during this time period (Bajracharya et al., 2008; IPCC, 2013). Thus, one of the probable reason for this sudden increment in temperature pattern is possibly due to the greenhouse effect from enhanced emission of black carbon in this region (by 61%) from 1991-2001. Evidences of incessant increase in temperature during 1990s have also been observed (through chronology of Himalayan Pine) from the contemporaneous surge in tree growth rate (Singh and Yadav 2000). In fact, 50% of the years since 1970 have experienced considerably high solar irradiance and warm phases of ENSO, which is possibly one of the reasons for the considerable rise in temperature throughout the Himalaya (Shekhar et al., 2017) have been added as suggested.

10. Page 19; lines 512-514 stating: Maximum mean annual precipitation is noted during 2015 (615 mm) and minimum during 1946 (244 mm). However, the mean annual precipitation followed a similar trend till 1946 with an increasing thereafter (Fig. 3a;b;c) have been edited as suggested.
11. We have now reported the temperature and precipitation changes in absolute terms rather than percentage on Pages: 19,20 & 25 in track change mode.
12. Greater Himalayan Range (GHR) and Ladakh Range (LR) comprises of different glaciers having distinct morphology. Therefore, statistical significance becomes necessary to explain the effect of spatial characteristics (size, slope, debris cover and elevation) over LR and GHR. For this, the non-climatic factors were subsequently correlated with the change in glacier dimensional parameters, i.e., area change and retreat using some statistical tests (Figure R4a,b; Table RT2). In the statistical analysis, the variables were initially tested for normality and visual inspection of the histogram. The test showed normal distribution for nearly all the variables and the correlations were found to be significant at  $\alpha < 0.05$  (except for mean elevation). These correlations also showed the presence of few outliers (not removed in this study), which indicate the possible role of any other factor due to which these glaciers have deviated from the general trend of area loss and retreat (Figure R4a;b).


**Table RT2:** Correlation (r) and Pearson's correlation (p) coefficient computed between non-climatic factors (size, slope, debris cover and elevation) and glacier changes (% deglaciation & retreat rate). These relationships were found to be significant at  $\alpha < 0.05$  (Except for mean elevation: Italicized).

<b><u>Parameters</u></b>	<b><u>% deglaciation</u></b>		<b><u>Retreat rate (ma<sup>-1</sup>)</u></b>	
	<b><u>GHR</u></b>	<b><u>LR</u></b>	<b><u>GHR</u></b>	<b><u>LR</u></b>
<b><u>Size</u></b>	<i>r= -0.385</i>	<i>r= -0.5</i>	<i>r= 0.475</i>	<i>r= 0.524</i>
	<i>p&lt;0.00001</i>	<i>p&lt;0.00001</i>	<i>p&lt;0.0001</i>	<i>p&lt;0.00001</i>
<b><u>Slope</u></b>	<i>r= 0.27</i>	<i>r= 0.339</i>	<i>r= -0.18</i>	<i>r= -0.389</i>
	<i>p&lt;0.0022</i>	<i>p&lt;0.0002</i>	<i>p&lt;0.043</i>	<i>p&lt;0.00002</i>
<b><u>Mean elevation</u></b>	<i>r= -0.048</i>	<i>r= 0.002</i>	<i>r= 0.091</i>	<i>r= -0.152</i>
	<i>p= 0.593</i>	<i>p= 0.98</i>	<i>p= 0.31</i>	<i>p= 0.106</i>
<b><u>Debris cover</u></b>	<i>r= -0.334</i>	<i>r= -0.249</i>	<i>r= 0.337</i>	<i>r= 0.245</i>
	<i>p&lt;0.00013</i>	<i>p&lt;0.0075</i>	<i>p&lt;0.0001</i>	<i>p&lt;0.0088</i>





**Figure R4a.** Scatter plots displaying the relation between topographic factors with percent deglaciation during the period 1971-2017. All the relationships were found to be significant at confidence level, i.e.,  $\alpha < 0.05$  (Except mean elevation).



**Figure R4b.** Scatter plots displaying the relation between topographic factors with retreat rate during the period 1971-2017. All the relationships were found to be significant at confidence level, i.e.,  $\alpha < 0.05$  (Except mean elevation).

14. Reference list has been updated.