

Interactive comment on “ChinaCropPhen1km: A high-resolution crop phenological dataset for three staple crops in China during 2000–2015 based on LAI products” by Yuchuan Luo et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 14 October 2019

General Comments: This study provides a long-term phenology dataset for three staple crops in China at the 1km spatial resolution (ChinaCropPhen1km). Such dataset with high resolution and accuracy is very useful to the researchers focused on crop model, yield estimation, food security, impact evaluation from climate change, and etc.. Meanwhile, the method proposed is robust and repeatable, and the authors' study provide a potential tool to apply into other regions and other crop systems. The manuscript is generally well-structured and well-written, and many findings are very interesting and very attractive to many potential readers. The manuscript falls well within the scope of the journal and provides a suitable contribution to ESSD. Therefore, I recommend it can be acceptable for publication with minor revision. – The following specific com-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



ments should be noticed: 1) Line 39: “estimate” should be “estimated”. 2) Line 53: Add “the” before “essential”. 3) Line 69: “the single cropping system of spring maize in Northeast China” is not an example of “multi-cropped”. 4) Line 91: Replace “including” with “i.e.”. 5) Line 95: Replace “smooth” with “smoothing”. 6) Line 169: Singularize “crop pixels”. 7) Line 170: Add “using” before “RMSE”. 8) Line 189: Revise “the median columns of Fig.3” to detailed icon of specified figure. 9) Line 196: In terms of “the uncertainty of GLASS LAI data”, how such uncertainties may affect the generated dataset? 10) Line 212: Delete “degrees”. 11) Line 217: Add “be” before “ignored”. 12) Line 274: V3 is not the key phenological stage for wheat, delete ”V3” in parenthesis. 13) Line 282: Add “for” before “wheat”

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-110>, 2019.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

