Responses to Reviewer's Comments

Dear Reviewer,

Thank you very much for your hard work on our manuscript. The constructive comments could help to improve our manuscript. The responses to the comments were listed below and the manuscript was revised accordingly.

Review ESSD-2018-90, Phase synchronizaton of temperature and precipitation ...

60 MB files, one for land and one global. Big files but they download successfully, not clear the distinction between them (see below).

Response: The global dataset of phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation (SI-TaP) was generated based on the global temperature and precipitation datasets. The global dataset including land and ocean could contribute on global analyses regarding global climate changes. As a case study, in this study, the global land dataset was extracted for the global land analyses regarding the climatology of SI-TaP and its contribution to global vegetation productivity.

The basic flaw of this work relates to their complete neglect of energy (light) as the predominant factor in global GPP and NPP. In all discussion and analysis, they assume plants are water or temperature limited or controlled, but never light limited? Because they work primarily from a tropical viewpoint, perhaps, but even in moist warm tropical rainforests, light plays a predominant role in global productivity? In temperate agricultural regions, daily patterns of light and precipitation probably play a much larger role than temperature and precip. E.g. daily good energy (sunlight) coupled with nocturnal precipitation represents an ideal growing environment. These authors miss entirely these sub-daily effects and do overall a very poor job of describing the mutual roles of energy, temperature and precip. Synchronization, even if well done (different to this work), on synoptic and seasonal scales seems not relevant for many vegetation regions, as their mostly dismal results confirm. Not a quality paper, needs rejection.

Response: In the sections of "Abstract" and "Introduction", authors clearly addressed that the purpose of this study was to develop a new global dataset of phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation. The scientific community of climate change are mainly focusing on air temperature and precipitation and their changing characteristics. However, the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation, as an important factor which also helps to regulate vegetation distribution and productivity, has been rarely considered in previous studies due to a lack of a robust and quantitative approach. In this study, therefore, we aimed to propose a synchronization index of temperature and precipitation (SI-TaP) and then investigated its global spatial distribution, interannual fluctuation, and long-term trend. As a case study, an investigation was conducted to understand the relationship between SI-TaP and the annually summed Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). This investigation was just used to test the applicability of the proposed dataset.

Authors understood the reviewer's concerns. NPP or GPP are controlled by many factors including air temperature, rainfall, energy, and others. However, the purpose of this study was not to develop / improve NPP/GPP models. The main innovation of this study was to propose a method of SI-TaP calculation and generate a new dataset. Further analyses on its climatology and contribution to global vegetation productivity were conducted to help readers to understand the potential applications of the proposed dataset. As a case study, authors just related NDVI against SI-TaP, air temperature, and rainfall to understand the contribution of SI-TaP to vegetation productivity. Results showed that SI-TaP may help to explain the distribution and productivity of terrestrial vegetation.

In fact, energy was considered to explain NDVI. In this study, the annual accumulated temperature above 0°C was used to relate NDVI. Accumulated temperature could be a proxy of energy in many vegetation/crop models.

Page 1 line 25, temp and precip. No discussion of energy / light? Exclusively tropical?

Response: This sentence was rephrased as "Temperature and precipitation are two of dominant factors affecting vegetation productivity". In this study, the energy factor was considered through the annual accumulated temperature above 0°C.

Response: The monsoon is one of climate systems with the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation (SI-TaP will have relatively large values).

Page 2 line 26, again, summer = energy = sunlight. If, perhaps only/if energy (sunlight) not limiting then temp precip important?

Response: Energy closely correlates to temperature. In this study, the energy factor was considered through the annual accumulated temperature above 0°C.

Page 3 figure 2, overlap and non-overlap functions of the log curve clearly shown, but intervals generally 10s to 100s of days? Nothing within a day, e.g. day to night?

Response: The proposed methodology was based on global daily data, so the intermediate results of t1 and t2 were given with a unit of day. The final yearly SI-TaP was scaled between 0 and 1. If hourly data is available, the proposed methodology also works.

Page 3 line 10: show us the multiyear averaged annual distributions of T and P from this data set to convince us of log behaviour. If not symmetrical, you have a skewed distribution of SI? Other studies will tend to confirm the temperature patterns albeit with different slopes and inflection points but global and regional studies generally do not confirm that NPP follows precipitation in such a smooth curvilinear relationship? We need, but do not find, an uncertainty assessment here.

Response: The quality of SI-TaP depends on the seasonal patterns (unimodal) of T and P. This issue was further discussed in Discussion Section 4.5. In this study, a quality-flag index was proposed to quantify the robustness of SI-TaP. As shown in Figure 8, the proposed index is robust across the global lands except the Greenland Island, North Africa, and the Middle East.

GIMMS NDVI basically 40N to 40S?

Response: The GIMMS NDVI dataset covers the global land, basically from 60S to 80N. See Figure 5 for the exact coverage.

Page 5, step wise linear regressions, NDVI vs T and P and then NDVI vs T, P and SI, with change in R2 due to SI? If valid, such an analysis could / should provide the basis for a rigorous uncertainty quantification?

Response: Authors understood the reviewer's concerns. Both T and P (or T, P and SI-TaP) could not fully explain the changes in NDVI, in which uncertainties exist. Rather than modelling NDVI changes, in this study, it was only expected to understand the contribution of SI-TaP to NDVI changes. Therefore, the changes in the coefficients of determination (R^2) from the step-wise linear regressions were used to quantifies the contribution of SI-TaP to terrestrial vegetation productivity.

Page 6, Figure 3: No evidence of useful signal beyond +45. No evidence that analysis excluded Mediterranean climates (which occur many areas other than around geographic Mediterranean). Greenland result for SD likely bogus.

Response: The proposed method has its limitations, which was discussed in Discussion Section 4.5. "The calculation of synchronization index is derived from the unimodal seasonal distribution of daily air temperature and precipitation from spring to winter. Therefore, the proposed index may not work for the Mediterranean climates (dry summer and wet winter) due to the bimodal seasonal distribution of daily precipitation across a year. Alternatively, the peak or half-phase synchronization methods mentioned above may be useful for a phase-shift analysis for the Mediterranean climate."

Based on the global Ta and P dataset, this study just provided the original SI-TaP dataset for the public. The proposed index was used to map the synchronization of Ta and P across the global land. The data users might catch some interesting findings from other viewpoints. This study could not provide all evidence for all potential findings. Anyway, the proposed method and index are open for the public and could be improved according the data-users' feedback in the future.

As a case study, SI-TaP beyond 45N still contributed NDVI changes (See Figure 5).

Page 7, regional trends of SI at levels of 0.0009? Not valid, not believable, no uncertainty basis. Then, trend of -0.0005, declared not significant. Give us the uncertainty analysis and significance standards? Global mean SI trend = 0. 0 + 5%? 0 + 50%? None of this seems reliable without a detailed uncertainty analysis. "suggesting that there is no significant trend" Suggesting? Authors need to show

5 *and validate, not suggest.*

Response: Figure 4 showed the yearly trend and *p*-values from the Mann-Kendall test. The trends were directly derived from the proposed SI-TaP dataset. This dataset only provided one time-series matrix, so the trends were obtained without biases (bias calculation needs more than three time-series matrix samples). The statistical significance was also derived to quantitively evaluate the derived trends. As for

10 one data sample, the analysis on uncertainty basis cannot be conducted. The uncertainty regarding to the proposed SI-TaP dataset was discussed on in Discussion Section 4.5.

Page 8, global trends of temperature and precipitation: "maximum increasing trend is 18 ℃/year " Nonsense, garbage, authors can't really believe this? "4 ℃/year for global lands " Nonsense,

15 *garbage, authors can't really believe this? For precip, no statistically valid global trend but meanwhile the authors write of increases in specific small regions, primarily at high latitudes where NDVI, SI, T and P all fail? One senses that these authors have no accurate sense of global plant biomass or productivity.*

Response: Authors double-checked the noted values. Both were correct. In this study, the trends of

20 18 °C/year and 4 °C/year were for the annual accumulated temperature (>0°C), not for annual average temperature. All trends of annual accumulated temperature and precipitation were directly derived from the global gridded meteorological data (the Terrestrial Hydrology Research Group, Princeton University http://hydrology.princeton.edu/data.pgf.php). This original dataset was widely used. Authors believed that trend analyses based on this dataset was reliable.

25

Page 9 "added contribution of phase synchronization to terrestrial vegetation productivity across global lands … the average is 0.06 for global lands … dotted sporadically across global lands". This narrative estimate - we can't credit it as a proper analysis - fails every reliability, validation and

uncertain requirement of a global data set. Fundamentally unacceptable, useless. Definitely not suitable for publication in ESSD.

Response: The main purpose of this study was to propose a method of SI-TaP and generate a new dataset. As a case study, authors related NDVI against SI-TaP, air temperature, and rainfall to understand the contribution of SI-TaP to vegetation productivity. These analyses were conducted to just help readers to understand the potential applications of the proposed dataset. Since this is a data paper, current manuscript might not involve more detailed analyses because of word constraints.

Page 9, SI with monsoons. In Figure 6, barely 20% ("20.44%", do these authors not understand significant figures?) of lands with SI > 0.5, despite the fact that SI has - so far in this paper – no demonstrated utility or validity, correspond (how?, geographically?, seasonally?) with monsoon regions. Figure 6 demonstrates the weaknesses, not the strengths. SW American monsoon completely missing, coastal areas of the major Asian monsoons show no correlations, etc. Southern Japan and Korean peninsula show correlations? Figure 6 demonstrates failure, not success?

Response: Figure 6 showed the overlap of global land >0.5 SI-TaP and monsoon regions, not the global monsoon map. This simple overlap analysis just helped to state that the proposed index could be helpful for studies relating to monsoons and climate change. As a test, authors chose 0.5 as a threshold, which resulted that 20.44% of regions with > 0.5 SI-TaP were in monsoon regions. If this given threshold value increases, the resulted value will also increase.

Page 10, Figure 7. Again, an emphatically clear demonstration of the failure of SI over most regions according to precipitation. Most of the vegetation monitoring community knows better than to rely on NDVI for qualitative analyses; cautions exist in almost any NDVI description paper. Here again: "55.42%", "42.38%"? Where do the authors get these significant figures?

Response: For a global analysis, it is difficult to obtain actual vegetation productivity which is usually measured on fine scales. Rather than using simulated NPP/GPP with some uncertainties, this study used NDVI data as a proxy of vegetation productivity. This alternative strategy is widely used in studies on large scales.

It is well known that monsoon has important contribution to vegetation spatial pattern and its productivity. Figure 7 showed that SI-TaP had a similar performance to monsoon (55.42% is close to 42.38%). Both Figures 7 and 8 were overlap analysis results, which were used to show the potential applications of the proposed dataset.

Page 11 "the minimum of two coefficients of determination derived from 30 regression analyses on daily temperature and precipitation time series could be used to flag the quality of the proposed index" No, two weak correlation patterns combined do not constitute a valid quality indicator. Figure 8 conveys no useful information.

10 **Response:** In a strict manner, authors selected the minimum of two coefficients of determination derived from regression analyses on daily temperature and precipitation time series to flag the quality of the proposed index. Results from Figure 8 showed that

the proposed index is robust (minimum R2 was more than 0.8) across the global lands except the Greenland Island, North Africa, and the Middle East.

Page 12, no explanation given about differences between 'land' data set and "whole globe" data set (of exactly the same file size, as it turns out). They use a different land mask? They used different NDVI assumptions or averaging? User needs more information here to know which data set to use for which purposes.

20 **Response:** The global dataset of phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation (SI-TaP) was generated based on the global temperature and precipitation datasets. The global dataset including land and ocean could contribute on global analyses regarding global climate changes. Using the land mask, the global land dataset was extracted for global land analyses. Since the ocean region was masked using a specific value with the same value type to that of SI-TaP, both files had the same file size. Information
25 regarding the purpose of data use were added in the revised manuscript.

Page 13 "robust and practical". Wrong on both counts.

Response: The main innovation of this study was to propose a method of SI-TaP and generate a new dataset. The method was proposed based on good mathematic basis and assumptions. Two tests (NDVI and monsoon) showed its potential applications. Authors believed these two words could be used in the Conclusions section.

Taking the guidelines from ESSD https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-2275-2018 (thank the editors for making that available), the present manuscript fails substantially on validation, uncertainty analysis and fundamental quality. Rejection seems necessary.

Response: This study proposed a new method to calculate SI-TaP and then generated a new dataset.
10 Two tests (NDVI and monsoon) showed its potential applications. This dataset is expected to fill some knowledge gaps in climate studies because the phase synchronization has been rarely considered in previous studies related to climate and biogeography. Reevaluating the innovation of methodology and dataset product, as a data paper, authors believe that this paper fully matches the ESSD journal and is potentially publishable.

25

A new global dataset of phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation: its climatology and contribution to global vegetation productivity

Zhigang Sun[1, 2], Zhu Ouyang[1, 2], Xubo Zhang[1], Wei Ren[3]

[1]Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
[2]College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
[3]College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Kentucky, KY 40546-0091, USA

Correspondence to: Zhigang Sun (sun.zhigang@igsnrr.ac.cn)

Abstract. Besides cumulative temperature and precipitation, the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation also helps to regulate vegetation distribution and productivity across global lands. However, the phase synchronization has been rarely considered in previous studies related to climate and biogeography due to a lack of a robust and quantitative approach. In this study, we proposed a synchronization index of temperature and precipitation (SI-TaP) and then investigated its global spatial distribution, interannual fluctuation, and long-term trend derived from a global 60-year dataset of meteorological forcings. Further investigation was conducted to understand the relationship between SI-TaP and the annually summed Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), which could be a proxy of terrestrial vegetation productivity. Results show differences in both spatial patterns and temporal variations between SI-TaP and air temperature and precipitation, but SI-TaP may help to explain the distribution and productivity of terrestrial vegetation. About 60% of regions where annually summed NDVI is greater than half of its maximum value overlap regions where SI-TaP is greater than half of its maximum value. By using SI-TaP to explain vegetation productivity along with temperature and precipitation, the maximum increase in the coefficient of determination is 0.66 across global lands. Results from this study suggest that the proposed SI-TaP index is helpful to better understand climate change and its relation to the biota.

Dataset available at http://www.dx.doi.org/10.11922/sciencedb.642 or http://www.sciencedb.cn/dataSet/handle/642.

1 Introduction

Temperature and precipitation are two of ~~the~~ dominant factors affecting vegetation productivity. Temperature can affect leaf energy balance, metabolic rate, and plant growth rate (Reich and Oleksyn, 2004;Harrison et al., 2010). Precipitation has a strong relationship with plant traits, such as water use efficiency, nutrient cycling, and biomass accumulation (Blackman et al., 2010;Choat et al., 2007). Over recent decades, temperature and precipitation effects on plant growth (ecosystem photosynthesis, respiration, and net primary production (NPP), etc.) and the cycles of water and carbon have been well investigated (Moles et al., 2014;Weltzin et al., 2003;Wu et al., 2011;Moldenhauer and Ludeke, 2002;Schloss et al., 1999;Potter and Brooks, 1998;Heimann and Reichstein, 2008;Foley et al., 1996;Barnes et al., 2016;Jung et al., 2017). The

coupling effects of temperature and precipitation on vegetation productivity include amplitudes, accumulative values, and phases. Therefore, the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation is also a key regulator on vegetation growth; however, it has been seldom investigated in prior studies. Quantifying the degree of this synchronization could improve our understanding of vegetation traits with respect to climate change.

5   As a typical climate of the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation, the monsoon is a climate system with seasonal changes in atmospheric circulation and precipitation, which affects the most densely populated regions on the Earth (Zahn, 2003;Trenberth et al., 2000). Its track, duration, and intensity are closely related to the distribution, seasonality, and amount of precipitation; together with temperature, those factors regulate the geographic pattern of plants and animals in monsoon regions (Wahl and Morrill, 2010). Rather than precipitation alone, therefore, the synchronization of temperature

10   and precipitation could be a promising proxy to quantitatively evaluate the effect of monsoon on biogeography.

Generally, there are two quantitative methods for characterizing the synchronization of temperature and precipitation. Cross-correlation is a typical method to test the synchronization (von Storch and Zwiers, 1999). Alternatively, a phase synchronization method is useful for investigating two or more cyclic systems (or subsystems) which tend to oscillate with a repeating sequence of relative phase angles (Rybski et al., 2003;Tatli, 2007). The cross-correlation method essentially

15   compares the amplitude of meteorological records while the phase synchronization method fundamentally compares the phase of harmonic meteorological records based on harmonic analyses and entropy theory (Rybski et al., 2003). Instead of the complicated calculations and assumptions, a robust but practical synchronization index may provide new insight for investigating the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation and its impacts on climate assessments.

In this study, we proposed a robust and practical index to generate the global yearly-map stack of phase synchronization of

20   temperature and precipitation. Then, the trend of phase synchronization was analyzed. Finally, the contribution of the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation to vegetation productivity was quantified using a satellite-based vegetation index and the relationship of synchronization index to monsoon was preliminarily discussed.

2 Method and data

2.1 Defining a synchronization index of air temperature and precipitation

25   Summer commonly has high temperature and plenty precipitation for most climates except the Mediterranean climate characterized by dry summer and wet winter (Peel et al., 2007). In this study, we defined a practical index (SI-TaP) to measure the phase synchronization of air temperature (Ta) and precipitation (P) across a whole year for global climates except the Mediterranean climate. For most climates where temperature and precipitation synchronize, the time series of both $Ta(i)$ and $P(i)$ could be graphed in unimodal curves, where i ranges from 1 to 365 (or 366 for a leap year) for a given

30   year (from January 1 to December 31 for the Northern Hemisphere, from July 1 to June 30 of the next year for the Southern Hemisphere). Subsequently, the cumulative time series of $\sum_{t=1}^{t=i} Ta(t)$ and $\sum_{t=1}^{t=i} P(t)$ across one year can be simulated using a logistic curve, respectively,

$$y = \frac{K}{1+ae^{-bt}},$$ (1)

where t ranges from 1 to i, y represents $\sum_{t=1}^{t=i} \text{Ta}(t)$ or $\sum_{t=1}^{t=i} \text{P}(t)$, K is the upper limit, a is the coefficient of intercept, and b is the curve steepness (Figure 1). The inflection point of $(t_0, K/2)$ can be obtained using the derivative of the logistic curve, and two critical points of $(t_1, (3-\sqrt{3}) K /6)$ and $(t_2, (3+\sqrt{3}) K /6)$ can be obtained using the second derivative of the logistic curve, where t_0, t_1, and t_2 can be calculated using the following equations,

$$t_1 = \frac{\ln a + \ln(2-\sqrt{3})}{b},$$ (2a)

$$t_0 = \frac{\ln a}{b}, \text{ and}$$ (2b)

$$t_2 = \frac{\ln a + \ln(2+\sqrt{3})}{b}.$$ (2c)

The lower critical point represents the time after which air temperature or precipitation will increase sharply. The upper critical point represents the time after which air temperature or precipitation will decrease sharply.

Figure 1. The inflection point and two critical points derived from the logistic curve.

Using equations 2a and 2c, where a and b are calculated by regression analysis of daily temperature time series, two critical time points (t_1^T, t_2^T) can be obtained for the logistic curve of temperature. By the same calculation using daily precipitation time series, two critical time points (t_1^P, t_2^P) can also be obtained for the logistic curve of precipitation. Then, the unitless SI-TaP for a given year can be obtained using the equations listed in Figure 2. Its value ranges from 0 to 1. The SI-TaP is 1 if the seasonal phase of precipitation exactly matches that of air temperature and is 0 if there is no overlap between seasonal phases of precipitation and air temperature.

The quality of the SI-TaP could be flagged using

$$\text{Flag}_{\text{SI}-\text{TaP}} = \min(R_T^2, R_P^2),$$ (3)

where R_T^2 and R_P^2 are coefficients of determination derived from regression analyses on daily temperature and precipitation time series, respectively.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram and equations for calculating the synchronization index of air temperature and precipitation (SI-TaP).

2.2 Climate data and vegetation index

2.2.1 Global gridded meteorological data

5   Global 0.5° daily air temperature and precipitation data were obtained from the Terrestrial Hydrology Research Group, Princeton University (http://hydrology.princeton.edu/data.pgf.php), where a suite of global observation-based datasets along with the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) / the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) reanalysis were combined and corrected to develop a global 60-year (1948-2008) dataset of meteorological forcings based on the proposed method (Sheffield et al., 2006). In this study, air temperature and precipitation data were used to calculate

10   global SI-TaP. The annual accumulated temperature above 0°C and annual precipitation were used to investigate the response of vegetation productivity to temperature, precipitation, and SI-TaP across space and time.

2.2.2 Remotely sensed vegetation index

Global GIMMS (Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies) Satellite Drift Corrected NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) dataset was accessed via the GLCF (Global Land Cover Facility) (Tucker et al., 2005). This dataset

15   provides 25-year (1981-2006) satellite records of bimonthly changes in the terrestrial vegetation index, with a spatial

resolution of 8 km. This size of GIMMS NDVI grid was averagely aggregated to match the 0.5° global gridded meteorological data. In this study, bimonthly GIMMS NDVI data were annually summed to provide a proxy for terrestrial vegetation productivity (Potter and Brooks, 1998;Schloss et al., 1999;Peng et al., 2012;Peng et al., 2013;Wu et al., 2015). This proxy was used to investigate the response of vegetation productivity to climate and SI-TaP.

5   With the purpose of matching the available period of GIMMS dataset, the SI-TaP dataset during the 1982-2006 was used for analysis in this study.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

2.3.1 Trend analysis

The Mann-Kendall test (Kendall, 1975;Gilbert, 1987) was used to test the trend of changes in temperature, precipitation, and
10   SI-TaP in this study. This method has been widely applied in the time series analysis on climatic and hydrological variables (Gocic and Trajkovic, 2013).

2.3.2 Step-wise linear regression

Step-wise linear regression was used to understand the contribution of SI-TaP to terrestrial vegetation productivity. Firstly, annually summed NDVI were fitted using the annual accumulated temperature above 0℃ and annual precipitation. The
15   coefficient of determination (R_1^2) could then be obtained. Secondly, annually summed NDVI were fitted using the annual accumulated temperature above 0, annual precipitation, and SI-TaP. The other coefficient of determination (R_2^2) was then also obtained. The difference in the two coefficients of determination ($R_2^2 - R_1^2$) quantifies the contribution of SI-TaP to terrestrial vegetation productivity.

3 Climatology of SI-TaP and its contribution to global vegetation productivity

20   ### 3.1 Global spatial pattern of SI-TaP and its interannual fluctuation

Yearly gridded SI-TaP during 1982-2006 was calculated for the global climate zones except the Mediterranean climate zones. The gridded mean and standard deviation of SI-TaP were also calculated. The derived map of mean SI-TaP values from 1982 through 2006 shows a spatial pattern of the synchronization of air temperature and precipitation across global lands (Figure 3a). Larger values of SI-TaP are mainly located on both sides of the equator, in southeast of North America, Europe,
25   Arabian Peninsula, and Eastern Asia in the Northern Hemisphere, and around the 30°S latitude line in the Southern Hemisphere. The global spatial pattern of SI-TaP is quite different from those of air temperature and precipitation. Annual accumulated temperature above 0 ℃ has higher values mainly between the 30°N and 30°S latitude lines (Figure S1). Annual

total precipitation has larger values mainly between the 15°N and 15°S latitude lines and in regions near the oceans (Figure S2).

The standard deviation of SI-TaP could indicate the degree of interannual fluctuation. Figure 3b shows that large interannual fluctuation of SI-TaP occurs near the 30°N latitude line and around Greenland. The global spatial pattern of the interannual fluctuation of SI-TaP is also different from those of air temperature and precipitation. Annual accumulated temperature exhibits large interannual fluctuation mainly in the middle and western regions of the United States and the Middle East (Figure S3). Annual precipitation has large interannual fluctuation mainly in the equatorial regions and regions near the oceans (Figure S4).

(a)

(b)

Figure 3. Mean (a) and standard deviation (b) of SI-TaP from 1982 through 2006.

3.2 Long-term trend of SI-TaP over global lands

The SI-TaP over global lands presents a significant increasing trend in scattered regions of the United States, northeast Africa, the Middle East, and Australia. The maximum value of an increasing trend reaches 0.009 per year (Figures 4a and 4b). Decreasing trends are found mainly in South America, the Middle East, Southeastern China, and Australia. The maximum value of a decreasing trend reaches -0.005 per year. However, this decreasing trend is not statistically significant. The average trend of SI-TaP is 0 for global lands, suggesting that there is no significant trend in SI-TaP over the global land surface, despite strong regional responses.

Figure 4. Yearly trend of SI-TaP based on the Mann-Kendall test (a) and *p*-values from the Mann-Kendall test on SI-TaP (b).

The trend of SI-TaP is also different from annual accumulated temperature (>0°C) and annual precipitation. Annual accumulated temperature shows a significant increasing trend over global lands except for few regions where non-significant decreasing trends exist (Figures S5a and S5b). The maximum increasing trend is 18 °C/year and the average increasing trend is 4 °C/year for global lands. Annual precipitation has a significant increasing trend mainly in western Australia and in

scattered regions of North America, South America, and Europe, with a maximum value of 17 mm/year. It has a significant decreasing trend mainly in equatorial regions in southern Greenland, Africa, India, northern China, and eastern Australia, with a minimum value of -19 mm/year (Figures S6a and S6b). However, the average of annual precipitation trend is close to 0 for global lands. This indicates that there is also no significant trend in annual precipitation at the scale of global land.

Figure 5. Coefficient of determination (R^2) for linear regression of annually summed NDVI against annual > 0°C accumulated temperature and annual precipitation (a), R^2 for linear regression of annually summed NDVI against annual > 0°C accumulated temperature, annual precipitation, and SI-TaP (b), and change in R^2 after involving SI-TaP (c).

3.3 Contribution of phase synchronization to changes in terrestrial vegetation productivity

Terrestrial vegetation productivity is mainly affected by accumulated temperature and precipitation. Figure 5a shows a map of coefficient of determination (R_1^2) for the linear regression of annually summed NDVI against accumulated temperature and annual precipitation. The maximum value of R_1^2 is 0.92 and the average is 0.35 for global lands. The maximum value of R_2^2 is 0.93 and the average is 0.41 for global lands when SI-TaP is added to fit annually summed NDVI along with accumulated temperature and annual precipitation (Figure 5b). The difference map ($R_2^2 - R_1^2$) of R_2^2 and R_1^2 shows the added contribution of phase synchronization to terrestrial vegetation productivity across global lands (Figure 5c). The maximum of difference reaches 0.66 and the average is 0.06 for global lands. The regions sensitive to SI-TaP are dotted sporadically across global lands, especially in North America, South America, central and southern Africa, Arabian Peninsula, Russia, and southern Australia.

4 Further applications of the proposed synchronization index

4.1 Relationship of synchronization index to monsoon regions

As shown in Figure S7, the regional monsoons across the globe include the North American monsoon (NAM), South American monsoon (SAM), North African monsoon (NAF), South African monsoon (SAF), Indian monsoon (IND), East Asian monsoon (EAS), Western North Pacific monsoon (WNP), and the Australian monsoon (AUS) (Chang et al., 2011;Trenberth et al., 2000). An investigation of the overlap of global lands with > 0.5 SI-TaP and monsoon regions shows that 20.44% of regions with > 0.5 SI-TaP are located in monsoon regions (Figure 6). The ~~close~~ relationship between SI-TaP and monsoons suggests that the proposed method and ~~results~~ dataset could be quantitatively supportive in studies relating to monsoons and climate change.

Figure 6. Overlap of global land >0.5 SI-TaP and monsoon regions. (Replotted after Chang et al. (2011), monsoon regions are defined where the local summer-minus-winter precipitation rate exceeds 2.5 mm/day and the local summer precipitation exceeds 55% of the annual total).

4.2 Relationship of the synchronization index to vegetation

Temperature determines the potential vegetation productivity, whereas precipitation along with topography and soil determines the actual vegetation productivity (Seddon et al., 2016). In other words, the amplitude and phase matching of both temperature and precipitation mainly regulate the distribution and productivity of vegetation across global lands (see Figure S8 for global annually summed NDVI map). Many prior studies mainly related the amplitude of temperature and precipitation to plant growth (Potter and Brooks, 1998;Schloss et al., 1999;Moldenhauer and Ludeke, 2002;Moles et al., 2014). Statistical results across global lands in this study illustrate that the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation can contribute to plant growth (Figure 5). This could be also supported by the overlap of the SI-TaP map of global land and the annually summed NDVI map (Figure 7a). The 55.42% of regions with >10 annually summed NDVI are located in regions with >0.5 SI-TaP, whereas only 42.38% of regions with >10 annually summed NDVI are located in monsoon regions (Figure 7b). This high agreement of SI-TaP and vegetation productivity suggests that the proposed synchronization index of temperature and precipitation may contribute to understanding vegetation dynamics with respect to climate change in amplitude and phase.

Figure 7. Overlap of global lands with >10 annually summed NDVI and >0.5 SI-TaP (a) and overlap of global lands with >10 annually summed NDVI and monsoon regions (b).

4.3 Potential extensions of the proposed synchronization index

As compared to air temperature and precipitation, the asymmetric spatial patterns of SI-TaP (unitless) and its interannual fluctuation may provide new insights into climate change and its impacts on vegetation productivity and distribution. The inflection point (t_0, $K/2$), which represents the peak of air temperature or precipitation across a whole year, was obtained from Equations 1 and 2 in this study. Therefore, this inflection point can be used to quantify the peak synchronization of air temperature and precipitation. The beginning critical point and the inflection points can be used to further quantify the synchronization of the half phase before the peak. Meanwhile, the ending critical point and the inflection points can also be used to further quantify the synchronization of the half phase after the peak. This provides us with flexible strategies with an actual unite (days) to evaluate phase synchronization during a whole year or a part of a year.

4.4 Potential applications of the proposed synchronization index

The phase synchronization index along with its extended indices discussed above is closely related to recent studies on the impacts of water balance on vegetation phenology and productivity. For example, Jung et al. (2017) presented a climate water index (CWI) to investigate the water balance and how it regulates carbon cycle processes. The indices presented herein could be complementary to climatic indices such as CWI. The proposed indices are also relevant to studies on changing phenology and its impact on the carbon balance. For example, Piao et al. (2008) found that increased carbon uptake was compensated by increased respiratory losses in the fall and Graven et al. (2013) found changes in the phase of CO_2 at high latitudes. In further studies, therefore, the SI-TaP and its extended indices could be integrated into broader studies such as phenology and water / carbon / nitrogen balances.

4.5 Limitations of the proposed synchronization index

Rather than the traditional harmonic analysis methods based on long-term time series data with cosinoidal or sinusoidal seasonality, the proposed synchronization index of temperature and precipitation can be calculated using single-year data and specific numerical equations. This provides a practical, numerical method to quantify the phase synchronization of two variables with seasonal variations. It indicates that the proposed method works not only for climate variables but also for hydrological variables and other variables with seasonal variation. However, the proposed method has its limitations. The calculation of synchronization index is derived from the unimodal seasonal distribution of daily air temperature and precipitation from spring to winter. Therefore, the proposed index may not work for the Mediterranean climates (dry summer and wet winter) due to the bimodal seasonal distribution of daily precipitation across a year. Alternatively, the peak or half-phase synchronization methods mentioned above may be useful for a phase-shift analysis for the Mediterranean climate. The other limitation is that the reliability of the proposed index depends on two sets of regression coefficients of a and b for daily temperature and precipitation time series. In practice, the minimum of two coefficients of determination derived from regression analyses on daily temperature and precipitation time series could be used to flag the quality of the proposed index.

As shown in Figure 8, the proposed index is robust across the global lands except the Greenland Island, North Africa, and the Middle East.

Figure 8. Mean (a) and standard deviation (b) of long-term quality flag raster maps from 1982 through 2006.

5   **5 Data availability**

The dataset is available from the Science Data Bank, an open generalist data repository (Sun et al., 2018). This dataset includes two files, which is freely accessed at http://www.dx.doi.org/10.11922/sciencedb.642 or http://www.sciencedb.cn/dataSet/handle/642. One file contains the global yearly gridded land SI-TaP from 1948 to 2008, which is ready for global land analyses. The other file contains the yearly gridded SI-TaP for the whole global from 1948 to

10   2008, which is ready for global analyses. Both files have the spatial resolution of $0.5°$. The dataset is stored in the format of netCDF, which could be opened by a wide range of application software that makes use of netCDF files, such as Panoply and ArcGIS versions after 9.2. This dataset could also be read by some programming languages including C, C++, Fortran, R, Python, IDL, and others through the netCDF API.

6 Conclusions

In this study, a robust and practical index (SI-TaP) was proposed to quantitatively investigate the phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation. Our results illustrate that the global distribution, interannual fluctuation, and long-term trend of SI-TaP were different from those of air temperature and precipitation. By adding SI-TaP to explain vegetation productivity along with temperature and precipitation, the maximum increase in the coefficient of determination is 0.66 and the average increase is 0.06 across global lands. The relationships between SI-TaP and monsoon regions and other vegetation traits were further investigated. Our study suggests that the SI-TaP is helpful to explain interannual change in terrestrial vegetation productivity and could aid our understanding of climate change and its relation to the biota.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA19040303), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFC0503805), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31570472), the 100 Talents Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the Science and Technology Service Network Initiative of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KFJ-EW-STS-054). Authors are particularly grateful to Prof. Qiuhong Tang and anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments, to Dr. Linlin Guo for her work on literature survey, and to Ms. Huixia Ren for her work on programming.

References

Barnes, M. L., Moran, M. S., Scott, R. L., Kolb, T. E., Ponce-Campos, G. E., Moore, D. J. P., Ross, M. A., Mitra, B., and Dore, S.: Vegetation productivity responds to sub-annual climate conditions across semiarid biomes, Ecosphere, 7, e01339, doi: 10.1002/ecs2.1339, 2016.

Blackman, C. J., Brodribb, T. J., and Jordan, G. J.: Leaf hydraulic vulnerability is related to conduit dimensions and drought resistance across a diverse range of woody angiosperms, New Phytologist, 188, 1113-1123, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03439.x, 2010.

Chang, C. P., Ding, Y., Lau, N. C., Johnson, R. H., Wang, B., and Yasunari, T.: The Global Monsoon System: Research and Forecast, 2nd Edition, Asia-Pacific Weather and Climate, Vol. 5, World Scientific, New Jersey, 2011.

Choat, B., Sack, L., and Holbrook, N. M.: Diversity of hydraulic traits in nine Cordia species growing in tropical forests with contrasting precipitation, New Phytologist, 175, 686-698, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02137.x, 2007.

Foley, J. A., Prentice, I. C., Ramankutty, N., Levis, S., Pollard, D., Sitch, S., and Haxeltine, A.: An integrated biosphere model of land surface processes, terrestrial carbon balance, and vegetation dynamics, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 10, 603-628, doi:10.1029/96gb02692, 1996.

Gilbert, R. O.: Statistical methods for environmental pollution monitoring, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1987.

Gocic, M., and Trajkovic, S.: Analysis of changes in meteorological variables using Mann-Kendall and Sen's slope estimator statistical tests in Serbia, Global Planet Change, 100, 172-182, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2012.10.014, 2013.

Graven, H. D., Keeling, R. F., Piper, S. C., Patra, P. K., Stephens, B. B., Wofsy, S. C., Welp, L. R., Sweeney, C., Tans, P. P., Kelley, J. J., Daube, B. C., Kort, E. A., Santoni, G. W., and Bent, J. D.: Enhanced Seasonal Exchange of CO2 by Northern Ecosystems Since 1960, Science, 341, 1085-1089, doi:10.1126/science.1239207, 2013.

Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Barboni, D., Kohfeld, K. E., Ni, J., and Sutra, J.-P.: Ecophysiological and bioclimatic foundations for a global plant functional classification, Journal of Vegetation Science, 21, 300-317, doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01144.x, 2010.

Heimann, M. and Reichstein, M.: Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks, Nature, 451, 289-292, doi:10.1038/nature06591, 2008.

Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Schwalm, C. R., Huntingford, C., Sitch, S., Ahlstrom, A., Arneth, A., Camps-Valls, G., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Gans, F., Ichii, K., Ain, A. K. J., Kato, E., Papale, D., Poulter, B., Raduly, B., Rodenbeck, C., Tramontana, G., Viovy, N., Wang, Y. P., Weber, U., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Compensatory water effects link yearly global land CO2 sink changes to temperature, Nature, 541, doi:10.1038/nature20780, 2017.

Kendall, M. G.: Rank correlation methods, 4th ed., Charles Griffin, London, 1975.

Moldenhauer, O., and Ludeke, M. K. B.: Climate sensitivity of global terrestrial net primary production (NPP) calculated using the reduced-form model NNN, Climate Research, 21, 43-57, doi:10.3354/cr021043, 2002.

Moles, A. T., Perkins, S. E., Laffan, S. W., Flores-Moreno, H., Awasthy, M., Tindall, M. L., Sack, L., Pitman, A., Kattge, J., Aarssen, L. W., Anand, M., Bahn, M., Blonder, B., Cavender-Bares, J., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Cornwell, W. K., Diaz, S., Dickie, J. B., Freschet, G. T., Griffiths, J. G., Gutierrez, A. G., Hemmings, F. A., Hickler, T., Hitchcock, T. D., Keighery, M., Kleyer, M., Kurokawa, H., Leishman, M. R., Liu, K. W., Niinemets, U., Onipchenko, V., Onoda, Y., Penuelas, J., Pillar, V. D., Reich, P. B., Shiodera, S., Siefert, A., Sosinski, E. E., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Swaine, E. K., Swenson, N. G., van Bodegom, P. M., Warman, L., Weiher, E., Wright, I. J., Zhang, H. X., Zobel, M., and Bonser, S. P.: Which is a better predictor of plant traits: temperature or precipitation?, Journal of Vegetation Science, 25, 1167-1180, doi:10.1111/jvs.12190, 2014.

Peel, M. C., Finlayson, B. L., and McMahon, T. A.: Updated world map of the Koppen-Geiger climate classification, Hydrol Earth Syst Sc, 11, 1633-1644, 2007.

Peng, D., Zhang, B., Liu, L., Fang, H., Chen, D., Hu, Y., and Liu, L.: Characteristics and drivers of global NDVI-based FPAR from 1982 to 2006, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 26, doi:10.1029/2011gb004060, 2012.

Peng, S. S., Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Myneni, R. B., Chen, A. P., Chevallier, F., Dolman, A. J., Janssens, I. A., Penuelas, J., Zhang, G. X., Vicca, S., Wan, S. Q., Wang, S. P., and Zeng, H.: Asymmetric effects of daytime and night-time warming on Northern Hemisphere vegetation, Nature, 501, 88-92, doi:10.1038/nature12434, 2013.

Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Peylin, P., Reichstein, M., Luyssaert, S., Margolis, H., Fang, J. Y., Barr, A., Chen, A. P., Grelle, A., Hollinger, D. Y., Laurila, T., Lindroth, A., Richardson, A. D., and Vesala, T.: Net carbon dioxide losses of northern ecosystems in response to autumn warming, Nature, 451, 49-U43, doi:10.1038/nature06444, 2008.

Potter, C. S. and Brooks, V.: Global analysis of empirical relations between annual climate and seasonality of NDVI, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 19, 2921-2948, doi:10.1080/014311698214352, 1998.

Reich, P. B., and Oleksyn, J.: Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to temperature and latitude, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101, 11001-11006, doi:10.1073/pnas.0403588101, 2004.

Rybski, D., Havlin, S., and Bunde, A.: Phase synchronization in temperature and precipitation records, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 320, 601-610, doi:10.1016/s0378-4371(02)01509-1, 2003.

Schloss, A. L., Kicklighter, D. W., Kaduk, J., Wittenberg, U., and Intercomparison, P. P. N. M.: Comparing global models of terrestrial net primary productivity (NPP): comparison of NPP to climate and the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Global Change Biology, 5, 25-34, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00004.x, 1999.

Seddon, A. W. R., Macias-Fauria, M., Long, P. R., Benz, D., and Willis, K. J.: Sensitivity of global terrestrial ecosystems to climate variability, Nature, 531, 229-232, doi:10.1038/nature16986, 2016.

Sheffield, J., Goteti, G., and Wood, E. F.: Development of a 50-year high-resolution global dataset of meteorological forcings for land surface modeling, J Climate, 19, 3088-3111, doi:10.1175/Jcli3790.1, 2006.

Sun, Z., Ouyang, Z., Zhang, X., and Ren, W.: A new global dataset of phase synchronization of temperature and precipitation, Science Data Bank, http://www.dx.doi.org/10.11922/sciencedb.642, 2018.

Tatli, H.: Synchronization between the North Sea–Caspian pattern (NCP) and surface air temperatures in NCEP, International Journal of Climatology, 27, 1171-1187, doi:10.1002/joc.1465, 2007.

Trenberth, K. E., Stepaniak, D. P., and Caron, J. M.: The global monsoon as seen through the divergent atmospheric circulation, J Climate, 13, 3969-3993, doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<3969:Tgmast>2.0.Co;2, 2000.

Tucker, C. J., Pinzon, J. E., Brown, M. E., Slayback, D. A., Pak, E. W., Mahoney, R., Vermote, E. F., and El Saleous, N.: An extended AVHRR 8-km NDVI dataset compatible with MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 26, 4485-4498, doi:10.1080/01431160500168686, 2005.

von Storch, H., and Zwiers, F. W.: Statistical Analysis in Climate Research, Springer, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

Wahl, E. R., and Morrill, C.: CLIMATE CHANGE Toward Understanding and Predicting Monsoon Patterns, Science, 328, 437-438, doi:10.1126/science.1188926, 2010.

Weltzin, J. F., Loik, M. E., Schwinning, S., Williams, D. G., Fay, P. A., Haddad, B. M., Harte, J., Huxman, T. E., Knapp, A. K., Lin, G. H., Pockman, W. T., Shaw, M. R., Small, E. E., Smith, M. D., Smith, S. D., Tissue, D. T., and Zak, J. C.: Assessing the response of terrestrial ecosystems to potential changes in precipitation, Bioscience, 53, 941-952, doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0941:Atrote]2.0.Co;2, 2003.

Wu, D. H., Zhao, X., Liang, S. L., Zhou, T., Huang, K. C., Tang, B. J., and Zhao, W. Q.: Time-lag effects of global vegetation responses to climate change, Global Change Biology, 21, 3520-3531, doi:10.1111/gcb.12945, 2015.

Wu, Z., Dijkstra, P., Koch, G. W., PeÑUelas, J., and Hungate, B. A.: Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to temperature and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental manipulation, Global Change Biology, 17, 927-942, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02302.x, 2011.

Zahn, R.: Global change - Monsoon linkages, Nature, 421, 324-325, doi:10.1038/421324a, 2003.