

# ***Interactive comment on “Environmental conditions of a salt-marsh biodiversity experiment on the island of Spiekeroog (Germany)” by Oliver Zielinski et al.***

**G. M. R. Manzella (Editor)**

giuseppe.manzella@ettsolutions.com

Received and published: 24 September 2018

A further improvement is necessary to take into account the comment of Referee 1. It is suggested to authors to add some concepts on QA QC in the conclusions. The last sentence (Finally, data quality assurance and quality measures should be further developed to reduce the workload of manual data curation while improving data availability in near-real time) is not adding anything to the manuscript. The QA in a such experiment is requiring a lot of efforts. QA is essentially done before and during the observational efforts. A synthesis in the conclusion would help. QC is done when data are acquired. Near-real time and delayed mode QC require different efforts. The

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



authors are invited to better explain the methodologies they think to apply in future.

---

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2018-65>, 2018.

**ESSDD**

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

