

## ***Interactive comment on “Precipitation at Dumont d’Urville, Adélie Land, East Antarctica: the APRES3 dataset” by Christophe Genthon et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 22 May 2018

The authors describe a precipitation data set obtained during the APRES3 campaign in Antarctica. Given the lack of precipitation measurement in Antarctica, the data set is highly relevant and I strongly appreciate that the authors share this unique data set. In general, I recommend the paper for publication subject to the following minor comments.

**General:** The files provided do not have a common format. With libraries such as xarray and pandas it is actually very easy to provide consistent CSV files or even netCDFs. I would appreciate if the authors would provide at least reading routines.

**Errors:** I understand that a precise uncertainty estimation is not possible for every variable, but at least add (or point to) a discussion of uncertainties for every data set.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



MASC data set: unit of fall speed is missing

L12: is -> was (?)

L 26f: 'poor cousin', L 43: 'can simply not done', L 74 "run into problems": too colloquial

L 45f: I would recommend: "However, strong katabatic winds are frequently blowing at the peripheries."

L 50: re-mobilized

L 103: masterpieces -> the core instruments

L 101: Please add information about the scan strategy of the X-band radar. What range resolution was used for the MRR and the X-band?

L 106: Add that it is a pulsed radar in contrast to the FMCW.

L 117: What is the attenuation of the radome?

L 151: The reason why the lowest two bins have to be removed is not related to ground clutter, they are also too noisy.

L 152: I would recommend: "Precipitation rates were retrieved from MRR data following Grazioli et al". Further, I would recommend to summarize the retrieval technique in one sentence.

L155: 'standard correction method' for what? Wind?

L255: Remove 'data availability'

---

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2018-34>, 2018.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

