Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2018-2-EC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



ESSDD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Diversity II water quality parameters for 300 lakes worldwide from ENVISAT (2002–2012)" by Daniel Odermatt et al.

F. Huettmann (Editor)

fhuettmann@alaska.edu

Received and published: 29 March 2018

Dear Authors, Colleagues,

thanks, I have usually not much to say beyond what the three reviewers commented. I await for a reply to those comments first.

But just to add to the existing feedback and to be addressed early on: I fully agree with the need for a confidence/error metric for such data and analysis. Secondly, I would probably call the physical metric an 'index' (e.g. interpreted, based on a computation and with relative units); having a quality flag is meaningful for data points.

The more those details get described and addressed probably the better it is for the users (and the authors eventually).

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Thanks, more then with a reply by the authors.

Falk Huettmann, Associate Editor PhD, Associate Professor, University of Alaska Fairbanks

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2018-2, 2018.

ESSDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

