Age stratigraphy in the East Antarctic Ice Sheet inferred from radio echo sounding horizons

Anna Winter[1], Daniel Steinhage[1], Timothy T. Creyts[2], Thomas Kleiner[1], and Olaf Eisen[1,3]

[1]Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany
[2]Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, New York, NY, USA
[3]Universität Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Correspondence: Olaf Eisen (olaf.eisen@awi.de)

Abstract. The East Antarctic Ice Sheet contains a wealth of information that can be extracted from its internal architecture such as distribution of age, past flow features and surface and basal properties. Airborne radar surveys can sample this stratigraphic archive across broad areas. Here, we identify and trace key horizons across several radar surveys to obtain the stratigraphic information. We transfer the age-depth scales from ice cores to intersecting radar data. We then propagate these age scales across the ice sheet using the high fidelity continuity of the radar horizons. In Dronning Maud Land, including Dome Fuji, we mapped isochrones with ages of 38 ka and 74 ka. In the central region of East Antarctica around Dome Concordia, Vostok, and Dome Argus, we use isochrone ages of 38 ka, 48 ka, 90 ka, and 161 ka. Taking together both regions, we provide isochrone depths traced along a combined profile length of more than 40,000 km and discuss uncertainties of the obtained stratigraphy, as well as factors important to consider for further expansion. This dataset the most extensive distribution of internal horizons in East Antarctica to date. The isochrone depths presented in this study are available on PANGAEA: https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.895528.

1 Introduction

The internal stratigraphy of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet (EAIS) provides information about both the climate archive contained within the ice as well as the dynamic history of the ice sheet. The stratigraphic information is an important complement to ice-core analyses. It can improve the interpretation of rate and magnitude of climate changes from ice-core records by informing about the flow path and provenance of core ice and irregularities that potentially disturb the age stratigraphy at the core site (e.g., Fahnestock et al., 2001; NEEM community members, 2013; Parrenin et al., 2004). With a direct connection of different deep ice cores by continuous stratigraphic horizons, the ice core age scales can be synchronized and the uncertainties reduced (Cavitte et al., 2016; MacGregor et al., 2015a; Steinhage et al., 2013). With additional information or assumptions about the thinning of deposited snow layers, information about past accumulation rates and their spatial and temporal variation can be inferred away from available ice-core locations (e.g., Cavitte et al., 2017; Huybrechts et al., 2009; Koutnik et al., 2016;

Leysinger Vieli et al., 2011; MacGregor et al., 2009; Neumann et al., 2008; Parrenin et al., 2017; Siegert, 2003; Siegert and Payne, 2004; Waddington et al., 2007). The information about past accumulation rates constrains the age resolution at potential future ice-core drill sites. Furthermore, mapping of radar-detected horizons increases confidence that layering at those depths is undisturbed and facilitates age extrapolation of the maximum expected age at the coring location (Fischer et al., 2013; Parrenin et al., 2017). These uses of stratigraphic information for future drill-site selection are strongly exploited in the currently running reconnaissance studies for the International Partnership in Ice Core Sciences (IPICS) Oldest Ice target, aiming at the retrieval of a continuous 1.5 Ma old ice core record (Brook et al., 2006; Karlsson et al., 2018; Parrenin et al., 2017; Van Liefferinge and Pattyn, 2013; Van Liefferinge et al., 2018; Young et al., 2017).

Information about the ice sheet dynamic history that is contained in its stratigraphy allows us to understand past behaviors of the ice sheet and assess predictions about future ice sheet behavior (e.g., Cook et al., 2013; Ritz et al., 2015; Gulick et al., 2017). The internal stratigraphy responds

to ice sheet dynamics and retains the integrated history of changes in flow in the location and shape of the horizons. Movement of dome positions and ice divides will modify the horizons (e.g., Jacobel and Hodge, 1995; Raymond, 1983; Urbini et al., 2008; Whillans, 1976) . Similarly, migration of ice streams can locally enhance divergence that pulls down stratigraphy (e.g., Bingham et al., 2007; Clarke et al., 2000; Conway et al., 2002; Jacobel et al., 2000; Siegert et al., 1998b, 2004).

Once established, an accurate age-depth stratigraphy can provide useful constraints for the evaluation of ice flow models which incorporate age tracers (e.g., Hindmarsh et al., 2009; Parrenin et al., 2017; Sutter et al., 2015, 2018). Furthermore, the combination of the stratigraphy with models adjusted to the specific application or region can provide additional information about past ice-sheet dynamics.

Radio-echo sounding (RES) is the method of choice to establish an age stratigraphy over broad areas in ice sheets. RES measurements reveal deep internal reflection horizons (IRHs), that mainly originate from contrasts in conductivity. The conductivity signals (e.g. acid from volcanic eruptions) are deposited from the atmosphere and thus form isochronous horizons (Clough, 1977; Eisen et al., 2006; Fujita et al., 1999; Gogineni et al., 1998; Harrison, 1973; Jacobel and Hodge, 1995; Millar, 1981; Paren and Robin, 1975; Siegert et al., 1998b). We assign ages to isochronous IRHs from the age-depth scales of deep ice cores where the RES profiles pass close the ice-core drill sites.

The age stratigraphy of the Antarctic ice sheets has only been obtained over finite areas (e.g., Siegert et al., 1998b, a, 2005; Steinhage et al., 2001; Leysinger Vieli et al., 2011; Cavitte et al., 2016) and is thus incomplete. Roadblocks in achieving a continent-wide stratigraphy include the large amount of time required to trace internal horizons, the incomplete spatial coverage of data, and the lack of investigations into how stratigraphic information from different RES systems can be integrated (Cavitte et al., 2016; Winter et al., 2017). The many applications of a dated RES stratigraphy have motivated the SCAR Action Group AntArchitecture, designed to overcome the stated difficulties and establish such a stratigraphy for the whole Antarctic Ice Sheet (Bingham, pers. comm., 2018). An established stratigraphy can be used to better understand the ice sheet, as demonstrated by MacGregor et al. (2015a, b, 2016a, b) for the Greenland Ice Sheet.

Our study contributes to establishing the internal horizon architecture of the EAIS, how it varies over long distances, and how the age-depth relationship changes. We use airborne RES data that have been collected by the Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI) in numerous campaigns during the last 20 years (Steinhage et al., 2001, 2013), and RES data collected across the Gamburtsev subglacial mountains with tie lines to other surveys (e.g., Bell et al., 2011; Das et al., 2013). The IRHs are assigned their ages from the age scale of the Dome Fuji ice core (Dome Fuji Ice Core Project Members: Kawamura et al., 2017) and the ice cores in Dronning Maud Land (EDML, Oerter et al., 2004; Ruth et al., 2007) and at Dome Concordia (EDC, EPICA community members, 2004). We track these IRHs along 40,000 km of RES profiles across the ice sheet.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 RES data

We use RES data that were collected by the AWI between 1998 and 2008 and, additionally, the AGAP-South data over the Gamburtsev Mountain Province in the center of East Antarctica. The systems' characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The AWI system has been operated in toggle mode for most surveys, where it is alternating between shots with 60 ns and 600 ns burst lengths. The range resolution of each of these bursts in ice is approximately 5 m and 50 m, respectively (Nixdorf et al., 1999). The recorded data are stacked to an average trace distance of 75 m and a vertical sampling interval of 13.33 ns. We use the 60 ns data for IRH interpretation. To increase the contrast of IRHs for the tracing procedure, we differentiate the data, apply a low-pass filter of 150 MHz and an automatic gain-control filter in the vertical direction (Steinhage, 2001). The AGAP-South survey has a gridded layout with line spacings of 5 km and 33 km in east–west and north–south direction, respectively (Bell et al., 2011). The RES system is based on the Multi-Channel Coherent Radar Depth Sounder (MCoRDS), developed by the Center for Remote Sensing of Ice Sheets (CReSIS). Synthetic-aperture migration processing is applied. We additionally differentiate the data for consistency with the AWI data. The last step before tracing the IRHs is to shift the surface reflection in each trace to time zero. We find this to be the easiest way to ensure consistency in the surface, bed and IRHs at profile crossovers, as the radar-system delay is unknown for some profiles and thus there are biases in the measured travel times of the reflections. With this flattened ice surface we measure depths below the surface and sacrifice the absolute elevation of the traced IRHs.

All RES profiles are connected via profile cross-overs within two separate regions. We refer to the regions as DML for Dronning Maud Land and CEA for Central East Antarctica. DML includes profiles passing the Dome Fuji (DF) and EDML ice cores. Deep ice cores in the CEA region are the EDC and Vostok ice cores. An overview of the RES profiles and deep ice core locations in East Antarctica is given in Fig. 1.

2.2 Internal reflection horizons

We semi-automatically trace the IRHs in the two-way travel-time (TWT) domain, using Halliburton's seismic-processing package Landmark. We choose the most distinct IRHs that can be traced continuously along the profiles, although many

Table 1. Characteristics of the two RES systems. Range resolution and horizontal sampling distance are given for the processed data.

System	Source wavelet	Center freq. (MHz)	Bandwidth/ pulse length	Range resolution (m)	Horizontal sampl. * (m)	Reference
AWI	burst	150	60 ns	5	75	Nixdorf et al. (1999)
AGAP-South	chirp	150	20 MHz	7	13	Lohoefener (2006)

* after 10-fold stacking

Figure 1. Overview of East Antarctica with the RES profiles along which we continuously traced IRHs (green lines: AWI data, purple lines: AGAP-South data) and deep drill sites, stations and other locations mentioned in the text. Abbreviations of sites of interest stand for: EPICA Dronning Maud Land (EDML), Dome Fuji (DF), Dome Argus (DA), South Pole (SP), EPICA Dome Concordia (EDC) and Talos Dome (TD). Gray lines indicate the ice divides (Zwally et al., 2012), coordinates are polar-stereographic (EPSG:3031).

more IRHs are visible on local scales. We trace the zero-crossing from positive to negative amplitude of the respective reflections. The TWT of the zero crossing is equivalent to that of the maximum reflection amplitude in the undifferentiated data. The IRHs are transferred from one profile to another at crossover points by comparing the TWTs, which are marked in both profiles by the software. Additionally, we visually compare the reflection patterns in both profiles at the crossover locations. We omit IRHs that cannot be transferred with sufficient certainty, either directly or via wrap-

ping around other lines, or that are truncated or lost in a profile. We do not make any corrections on the IRH depths for the different years of the AWI RES surveys. A different year of data collection causes a slight change in IRH depth. With the maximum time difference between adjacent surveys being only four years and accumulation rates of about 40 to $65\,\mathrm{mm}\,(\mathrm{ice-equivalent})\,\mathrm{a}^{-1}$ in DML, the maximum change in IRH depth is less than $0.3\,\mathrm{m}$ of ice. This is less than 5% of the range resolution of the radar system so that we consider it negligible.

In the DML region we use IRHs that connect the DF and EDML drill sites (Huybrechts et al., 2009; Steinhage et al., 2013). We convert the TWTs of the traced IRHs t_{IRH} to depths d_{IRH} (e.g., Steinhage et al., 2001; MacGregor et al., 2015a; Cavitte et al., 2016):

$$d_{\mathrm{IRH}} = \frac{c_{\mathrm{ice}}\,t_{\mathrm{IRH}}}{2} + z_{\mathrm{f}}, \tag{1}$$

with the constant electromagnetic wave speed $c_{\mathrm{ice}} = 168.5\,\mathrm{m}\,\mu\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Gudmandsen, 1975; Peters et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2017) and a constant firn correction z_{f}. In the DML region we use $z_{\mathrm{f}} = 13\,\mathrm{m}$, derived from the measurements of the complex permittivity of five ice cores, down to depths of $100\text{-}150\,\mathrm{m}$ (Steinhage et al., 2001). We use the DFO-2006 (Kawamura et al., 2007) and Antarctic Ice Core Chronology 2012 (AICC2012, EDML) (Bazin et al., 2013; Veres et al., 2013) age scales to assign ages to the IRHs. We use the mean age from the DF and EDML age scales for the respective IRH. At Vostok, we use the same method of TWT-to-depth conversion, a firn correction of $z_{\mathrm{f}} = 13\,\mathrm{m}$ (Cavitte et al., 2016) and the AICC2012(Vostok) age scale (Bazin et al., 2013; Veres et al., 2013).

Uncertainties in IRH depths are a combination of the vertical accuracy of the radar system and the uncertainty in the TWT-to-depth conversion. The TWT conversion depends critically on the uncertainty in the firn correction (Following Cavitte et al. (2016) we use a variation of $\pm 1.3\,\mathrm{m}$), and the uncertainty in the electromagnetic wave speed in ice. Fujita et al. (2000) give a range from $168.0\,\mathrm{m}\,\mu\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to $169.5\,\mathrm{m}\,\mu\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for the wave speed in ice, therefore, we use an uncertainty of $\pm 0.44\%$. This results in an increasing depth uncertainty with increasing IRH depth (e.g., Cavitte et al., 2016; MacGregor et al., 2015a). Even though the accuracy of RES systems for the range estimate for a distinct IRH is always higher than the range resolution of the system we use $5\,\mathrm{m}$ radar system

uncertainty as an upper boundary. The depth-uncertainty reduction for a distinct IRH depends on the signal-to-noise ratio and is described in the Appendix of Cavitte et al. (2016). The age uncertainty Δa of an IRH is the combination of the age uncertainty related to depth uncertainty $\Delta a(\Delta d)$ and the age-scale uncertainty $\Delta a(\text{core})$ at the depth (e.g., MacGregor et al., 2015a):

$$\Delta a = \sqrt{\Delta a(\Delta d)^2 + \Delta a(\text{core})^2}. \tag{2}$$

The TWT-to-depth conversion and age assignment at EDC were carried out by Winter et al. (2017), who use the ice-core density and conductivity measurements to calculate synthetic radar traces. The synthetic traces are matched against RES data from the vicinity of the ice core site to identify distinct IRHs and their depths (Eisen et al., 2006; Winter et al., 2017). IRH depth uncertainties at EDC depend on the depth uncertainty of the conductivity measurements and the width of the reflection-causing conductivity section and are independent of uncertainties in the wave speed in ice and firn. The depth uncertainties of deep IRHs are thus reduced compared to the TWT-to-depth conversion with Eq. 1. Furthermore, this method assures that the respective IRHs are caused by conductivity contrasts and thus isochronous surfaces (Eisen et al., 2006; Millar, 1981; Siegert et al., 1998b; Fujita et al., 1999) and not of other origin such as changes in crystal orientation fabric (Eisen et al., 2007).

3   Results

Starting from EDC, four IRHs were continuously traceable along the central profile in the CEA region, connecting EDC, Vostok and Dome Argus (DA) (Fig. 2 a). The IRHs have ages of 38.2 ± 0.6 ka, 48.3 ± 1.2 ka, 90.2 ± 1.6 ka and 161.1 ± 3.5 ka. The 48 ka IRH is not listed in Winter et al. (2017) and is slightly deeper than their horizon H2. The depths of the four IRHs with uncertainties at EDC, Vostok and DA and their ages from the EDC and Vostok age scales with uncertainties are listed in Table 2.

The shallower IRHs in the profile are relatively smooth because they follow the surface topography. Some locations have shallow IRHs that are steep where the ice crosses very steep bed topography, such as at kilometer 550, shortly before the Vostok ice-core site. Subsequently deeper IRHs gain more features of the bed topography with lowest IRHs mimicking the broad scale curvature of the bed. The relatively smooth depression in the ice–bedrock interface around kilometer 600 marks Lake Vostok. The downward dip of the stratigraphy over Lake Vostok is clearly visible in the traced IRHs (e.g., Studinger et al., 2003).

The IRH depths along the EDML–DF profile are shown in the top panel of Fig. 2 b. IRH ages from shallow to deep in this profile are: 4.8 ka, 7.6 ka, 10.1 ka, 15.4 ka, 25.0 ka, 38.1 ka, 48.2 ka and 74.2 ka. The oldest IRH is likely related to the Toba eruption (Eisen et al., 2006; Svensson et al.,

2013). The IRHs that are most extensively traceable in all other profiles of the DML region are the third deepest and deepest ones with ages of 38.1 ± 0.7 ka, and 74.2 ± 1.7 ka. Below we focus on these two IRHs.

The depths of deepest traced IRHs provide hints about the maximum age of the ice at the respective location. The deeper the IRH with respect to ice thickness, the thinner the ice fraction that is older than the deepest IRH.

The variation of relative layer thicknesses is shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 2a and 2b for the respective profiles. We use layer in the sense of the fraction of ice that is bound by two IRHs, or surface and shallowest IRH. The normalized (by ice thickness) thickness of each layer is divided by the normalized thickness of this layer at the EDC and DF drill sites, respectively. Especially in the DF–EDML profile the layer thicknesses systematically and significantly change from DF towards EDML, closer to the coast. The uppermost and lowermost layers show trends of increasing and decreasing layer thicknesses, respectively, from DF to EDML. This layer thickness change is equivalent to IRHs deepening towards EDML.

The IRH elevations and normalized layer thicknesses relative to EDC for the profile from Talos Dome (TD) towards Progress Station are given in Fig. 2 c. This profile is intersecting the EDC–DA profile at the EDC drill site. The IRHs move deeper and the bottom layer (yellow) becomes thinner with increasing distance from EDC, which means the fraction of ice older than 161 ka becomes smaller. This pattern confirms the results of Frezzotti et al. (2004, 2005, 2007).

Figure 2 d shows IRH depths and layer thicknesses for the profile from DF via crossing point X1 towards X2, juxaposed to the approximately perpendicular profile towards EDML via X2. The IRHs become deeper from the plateau toward the coast. A deepening also occurs toward South Pole, as seen in the IRH elevation and normalized depth for the 161 ka IRH in the SP–DA profile (Fig. 2 e). The normalized IRH depth toward SP is in the same range or deeper than at DA, where in turn the normalized thickness of the bottom layer is smaller than at EDC.

The trend of deepening IRHs towards the coast that is visible in the single profiles is confirmed by the spatial distribution of the normalized depths of the IRHs for all RES profiles (Fig. 3 a–3 d). The normalized depths show smooth lateral variations and a broad pattern of deepening IRHs (smaller ice faction older than 161 ka) towards the ice-sheet margins and towards South Pole.

4   Discussion

We map the structure of dated IRHs in the EAIS. The depths of the IRHs with respect to ice thickness gives a good first-order indication about the maximum expected age at a location. Assuming a similar spatial pattern of accumulation rates and flow speeds over the last glacial-interglacial cycles, the

Figure 2. Sections of some example profiles. The profile locations can be identified via the drill sites and crossing points (vertical lines and labels at the top of sub-figures). Top panels show the elevations (WGS84) of ice surface, bed and IRHs. Colors scale with normalized (by ice thickness) IRH depths. The second panels show normalized layer thicknesses, devided by their normalized thicknesses at EDC (a and c), and DF (b and d), respectively.

distance of the 161 ka IRH from the bed, normalized by ice thickness can be considered a proxy of the age at the bottom, compared to the maximum age of undisturbed ice at EDC

($\sim 800\,\text{ka}$). The normalized depth of the 161 ka IRH at EDC is 0.58. The spatial distribution of normalized depth of the 161 ka IRH is shown in Fig. 3 d. Applying this criterion to

Table 2. The depths d below ice surface of the four IRHs and the bed in the CEA region (at Dome C, Vostok and Dome A) with depth uncertainties Δd and their ages a from the EDC and Vostok AICC2012 ice-core age scales (Bazin et al., 2013; Veres et al., 2013). The age uncertainties are separated in contributions from depth uncertainties $\Delta a(\Delta d)$ and age-scale uncertainties $\Delta a(\text{core})$. Δa is the total age uncertainty.

IRH	Dome C						Vostok						Dome A	
	d (m)	Δd (m)	a (ka)	$\Delta a(\Delta d)$ (ka)	$\Delta a(\text{core})$ (ka)	Δa (ka)	d (m)	Δd (m)	a (ka)	$\Delta a(\Delta d)$ (ka)	$\Delta a(\text{core})$ (ka)	Δa (ka)	d (m)	Δd (m)
H1	702	2	38.2	0.2	0.6	0.6	572	5	38.2	0.4	1.2	1.3	626	5
H2b	820	6	48.3	0.6	1.0	1.2	700	6	48.9	0.4	1.4	1.5	758	6
H5	1269	4	90.2	0.4	1.6	1.6	1284	8	90.4	0.6	1.6	1.7	1102	7
H8	1892	2	161.1	0.3	3.5	3.5	2147	12	161.9	1.4	2.5	3.0	1425	9
bed	3241	17	–	–	–	–	3743	19	–	–	–	–	2076	12

our data set, we can tentatively exclude the regions around DA and South Pole (where covered by our data) to be viable for Oldest Ice in the sense of reaching 1.5 Ma old ice at the bottom on a regional scale. A more promising area in this regard could be along the EDC-Vostok profiles shortly before Vostok, where the normalized depth of the 161 ka horizon is smaller than 0.58 and thus its distance to the bed is larger than at EDC (middle panel of Fig. 2 a and Fig. 3 d). However, large features that suggest (buried) megadunes and wind erosion are reported in this region (Cavitte et al., 2016) and also seen in the radargrams of our study. Surface erosion during some time in the past might be the underlying cause for the comparably shallow 161 ka IRH in this region, because the negative surface mass balance facilitates IRHs becoming shallower, instead of deeper over time. The surface erosion causes the disappearance of some layers of ice or snow and disturbs the stratigraphy in the affected depth interval, which impedes the retrieval of an undisturbed record of very old ice.

We find a broad trend of IRHs deepening from the center of the ice sheet towards its margins. This trend is seen in the spatial depth distributions (Fig. 3) and the profiles DF–EDML and TD–Progress Station (Fig. 2 d and 2 c). The most obvious explanation is an increase in accumulation rates towards the coast. However, nonuniform bedrock topography or a changing flow mode can also induce deepening of the IRHs (Frezzotti et al., 2005; Leysinger Vieli et al., 2011; Weertman, 1976).

4.1 The accuracy of the IRH mapping

The IRH mapping forms intersecting networks, allowing us to connect the Vostok and EDC deep ice cores with four isochrones. The IRH depths at Vostok and ages from the Vostok age scale can serve as an independent quality control on the tracing procedure because we use only the EDC ice core for the dating of the IRHs. Although the connection of the two ice cores comprises only two RES profiles and misses the gridded survey layout providing crossovers for quality checks (e.g., Cavitte et al., 2016), we find a very good agreement of the IRH ages at EDC and Vostok (Ta-

ble 2). The differences lie within the uncertainties of the age scales. This gives us confidence that our IRH tracing is reliable despite the unfavorable survey design, provided the IRHs with doubtful pathways are thoughtfully omitted. In comparing our results with Cavitte et al. (2016), we find that our IRH H1 is most probably the same horizon as their youngest isochrone "Reflection 1" because both the depth and age are with in the error bounds at EDC and Vostok. Our lower three IRHs (H2b, H5, H8) are different ones than the other core-connecting isochrones from Cavitte et al. (2016, Table 1). The age uncertainties of our IRHs are in the same range as in Cavitte et al. (2016), which can be attributed to the dominance of ice core age-scale uncertainty over depth uncertainty contribution.

To further evaluate the quality of our IRH mapping, we conduct a crossover analysis of the IRH depths. Table 3 shows the mean differences of the absolute values and standard deviations of IRH depths for AWI data, AGAP data, and at the intersections between both data types. Cavitte et al. (2016) and Winter et al. (2017) show that the transfer of IRHs between different RES data sets is possible, and is relatively easy for radar systems that have a similar range resolution. As the range resolutions of our two data sets are similar (5 m and 7 m), we do not expect major additional uncertainties from the transfer. The calculated crossover errors of the IRH depths are larger within the AGAP-South grid than at the transfer between the AWI and AGAP data. Based on this crossover analysis, we conclude that the transfer of IRHs between the different data sets is reliable within the range resolution.

4.2 Factors constraining spatial extent and age resolution of the stratigraphy

We expand the EDC-Vostok stratigraphy (with four IRHs) further to the DA region and into the AGAP-South grid. In the AGAP-South grid, we lose two of the IRHs. The topography of the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains causes steep reflections that render deep reflections untraceable with the reliability of other regions. Increasing the horizontal sam-

Figure 3. The depth distribution of different IRHs, traced in the two regions DML and CEA: (a) 38.2 ka IRH, (b) 74.2 ka IRH, (c) 90.2 ka IRH, and (d) 161.1 ka IRH. The color scale represents IRH depth normalized with ice thickness. Background image indicates ice thickness (Fretwell et al., 2013). Gray lines indicate the position of the ice divides (Zwally et al., 2012).

pling resolution by using the unstacked data (average trace distance of 1.3 m) to avoid destructive stacking due to the

phase shift of the reflection from a dipping IRH (e.g., suggested by Holschuh et al., 2014) brings only little improve-

Table 3. Mean values of absolute depth differences $\overline{\Delta d}$, standard deviations $\sigma_{\Delta d}$ and number of cross-overs N from the cross-over analysis for the different IRHs and RES data sets.

IRH	AWI			AGAP-South			AWI–AGAP-South		
	$\overline{\Delta d}$ (m)	$\sigma_{\Delta d}$ (m)	N	$\overline{\Delta d}$ (m)	$\sigma_{\Delta d}$ (m)	N	$\overline{\Delta d}$ (m)	$\sigma_{\Delta d}$ (m)	N
38 ka	1.6	1.6	939	15.4	17.4	121	5.9	4.4	18
74 ka	2.8	5.2	939						
161 ka				15.7	16.6	180	8.9	9.1	20

ment. Another possibility to extend the stratigraphy across these regions that could be worth trying would be to use un-migrated data, because synthetic-aperture migration can also reduce the return power of steep reflectors (Holschuh et al., 2014). In some regions of the Gamburtsev Mountains, IRHs are also discontinuous over a portion of the ice column due to recent or former mega dunes and surface erosion by wind scour or sublimation (e.g. Arcone et al., 2012a, b; Das et al., 2013; Scambos et al., 2012; Siegert et al., 2003). Cavitte et al. (2016) show that the same structures also exist between DA and Vostok and between Vostok and EDC. These features also hamper the connection of the EDC and Vostok ice cores for additional IRHs in our study.

In DML the IRHs cannot be traced very far from the EDML drill site towards the ice-sheet margin before they are disrupted or disappear. We attribute this mainly to the onset of the faster ice flow and a changing flow mode (e.g., transition to higher basal sliding or plug flow) towards the ice streams, which causes a disrupted stratigraphy (Bingham et al., 2007; Karlsson et al., 2012; Leysinger Vieli et al., 2011; Rippin et al., 2003).

Another factor constraining the spatial extent of our age stratigraphy is the data availability, such as for the $\sim 900\,\mathrm{km}$ long gap between DF and DA that prevents connection of the DML and CEA regions. When this gap is closed, four deep drill sites and their age scales will be connected and a zonal section of the age stratigraphy through much of the EAIS exists. We therefore recommend a survey to cross this gap possibly through a joint community effort, such as the AntArchitecture framework.

5   Conclusions

We provide an age-depth stratigraphy of two separate regions of the EAIS. In DML the ages of the spatially most extensively traced IRHs are 38.1 ka and 74.2 ka. In the CEA region we trace IRHs with ages of 38.2 ka 48.3 ka, 90.2 ka, and 161.1 ka and use them to directly connect the EDC and Vostok drill sites and DA. The constraining factor for the spatial extent and age resolution of our stratigraphy is the continuity of the IRHs. In some regions of the Gamburtsev Mountains, traceability of IRHs is hampered by their indiscriminability with the RES system, because of steep slopes. In other parts of the Gamburtsev Mountains and between EDC, Vostok and DA, IRHs in some depth ranges are truncated by features indicative of past surface erosion. On large scales, our results indicate shallower IRHs on the plateau between DA, Vostok and EDC and deepening IRHs towards the ice-sheet margins and South Pole.

Our data set of traced IRHs with detailed uncertainties provides a valuable complement to other studies that mapped age stratigraphies of the EAIS (e.g., Leysinger Vieli et al., 2011; Cavitte et al., 2016). Establishing the age-depth stratigraphy for the whole EAIS, as aimed for by the AntArchitecture project, is achievable by combining radar data interpretations from different RES systems, the feasibility of which we demonstrated here and is demonstrated in Cavitte et al. (2016). We therefore suggest that the next steps towards achieving an age stratigraphy for the EAIS on a continental scale would be

– connecting the mapped IRHs from our study with those from previous studies

– including already existing data from other RES surveys, such as the most recent extensive Oldest-Ice reconnaissance surveys around EDC and DF, the AGAP-North survey, data collected around South Pole, and the surveys of the International Collaborative Exploration of the Cryosphere through Airborne Profiling (ICECAP) project for a more complete overview

– closing the gap between DF and DA to connect our two separate regions and facilitate their common interpretation and a direct comparison of age scales of the EDC, Vostok, DF and EDML ice cores.

Joining different data sets for different regions holds the potential to improve the age resolution determined by the number of IRHs, either by providing more crossing profiles to circumvent problematic regions, where many horizons are truncated, or complementing strength and weakness of different radar systems.

6   Data availability

The IRH depths presented in this study are published on the World Data Center platform PANGAEA: https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.895528

Author contributions. **Anna Winter** selected and compiled the data sets, dated the IRHs, transferred IRHs between the data sets, traced IRHs in the AGAP-South data, interpreted the data, created the figures and wrote the manuscript. **Daniel Steinhage** collected the AWI data and is responsible for storage, quality control and IRH tracing in the AWI data. **Timothy T. Creyts** provided the AGAP-South data and strongly supported writing and discussing the manuscript. **Thomas Kleiner** assisted the data interpretation by performing ice-flow modeling studies and contributed to writing and discussing the manuscript. **Olaf Eisen** designed and coordinated the study and contributed to writing and discussing the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Acknowledgements. This publication was generated in the frame of Beyond EPICA - Oldest Ice (BE-OI). The project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 730258 (BE-OI CSA). It has received funding from the Swiss State Secretariate for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number 16.0144. It is furthermore supported by national partners and funding agencies in Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, The Netherlands and the United Kingdom. Logistic support is mainly provided by AWI, BAS, ENEA and IPEV. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of the European Union funding agency, the Swiss Government or other national funding bodies. AWI RES profiles in the CEA region were supported by PNRA/IPEV, RAE, CHINARE and NIPR. A considerable part of this survey was acquired during the International Polar Year as part of the project TASTE-IDEA. We thank the logistics field team from various nations and flight crews for support during those expeditions. T.T.C. was supported by the US NSF grant PLR-1643970. The authors would like to thank Emerson E&P Software, Emerson Automation Solutions, for providing licenses in the scope of the Emerson Academic Program. We would like to thank Martin Siegert, Marie Cavitte and an anonymous referee for their comments and Thomas Blunier for handling our submission. This is BE-OI publication number xxx.

References

Arcone, S. A., Jacobel, R., and Hamilton, G.: Unconformable stratigraphy in East Antarctica: Part I. Large firn cosets, recrystallized growth, and model evidence for intensified accumulation, Journal of Glaciology, 58, 240–252, https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JoJ11J044, 2012a.

Arcone, S. A., Jacobel, R., and Hamilton, G.: Unconformable stratigraphy in East Antarctica: Part II. Englacial cosets and recrystallized layers, Journal of Glaciology, 58, 253–264, https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JoG11J045, 2012b.

Bazin, L., Landais, A., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Toyé Mahamadou Kele, H., Veres, D., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Ritz, C., Capron, E., Lipenkov, V., et al.: An optimized multi-proxy, multi-site Antarctic ice and gas orbital chronology (AICC2012): 120-800 ka, Climate of the Past, 9, 1715–1731, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1715-2013, 2013.

Bell, R. E., Ferraccioli, F., Creyts, T. T., Braaten, D., Corr, H., Das, I., Damaske, D., Frearson, N., Jordan, T., Rose, K., Studinger, M., and Wolovick, M.: Widespread persistent thickening of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet by freezing from the base, Science, 331, 1592–1595, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200109, 2011.

Bingham, R. G., Siegert, M. J., Young, D. A., and Blankenship, D. D.: Organized flow from the South Pole to the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf: An assessment of balance velocities in interior East Antarctica using radio echo sounding data, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000556, 2007.

Brook, E. J., Wolff, E., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Steig, E. J., et al.: The future of ice coring: international partnerships in ice core sciences (IPICS), PAGES news, 14, 6–10, 2006.

Cavitte, M. G., Blankenship, D. D., Young, D. A., Schroeder, D. M., Parrenin, F., Lemeur, E., Macgregor, J. A., and Siegert, M. J.: Deep radiostratigraphy of the East Antarctic plateau: connecting the Dome C and Vostok ice core sites, Journal of Glaciology, pp. 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.11, 2016.

Cavitte, M. G. P., Parrenin, F., Ritz, C., Young, D. A., Blankenship, D. D., Frezzotti, M., and Roberts, J. L.: Stable accumulation patterns around Dome C, East Antarctica, over the last glacial cycle, The Cryosphere Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2017-71, 2017.

Clarke, T. S., Liu, C., Lord, N. E., and Bentley, C. R.: Evidence for a recently abandoned shear margin adjacent to ice stream B2, Antarctica, from ice-penetrating radar measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 105, 13 409–13 422, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900037, 2000.

Clough, J. W.: Radio-echo sounding: reflections from internal layers in ice sheets, Journal of Glaciology, 18, 3–14, https://doi.org/10.3189/S002214300002147X, 1977.

Conway, H., Catania, G., Raymond, C., Gades, A., Scambos, T., and Engelhardt, H.: Switch of flow direction in an Antarctic ice stream, Nature, 419, 465, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01081, 2002.

Cook, C. P., Van De Flierdt, T., Williams, T., Hemming, S. R., Iwai, M., Kobayashi, M., Jimenez-Espejo, F. J., Escutia, C., González, J. J., Khim, B.-K., et al.: Dynamic behaviour of the East Antarctic ice sheet during Pliocene warmth, Nature Geoscience, 6, 765, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1889, 2013.

Das, I., Bell, R. E., Scambos, T. A., Wolovick, M., Creyts, T. T., Studinger, M., Frearson, N., Nicolas, J. P., Lenaerts, J. T., and Van Den Broeke, M. R.: Influence of persistent wind scour on the surface mass balance of Antarctica, Nature Geoscience, 6, 367–371, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1766, 2013.

Dome Fuji Ice Core Project Members: Kawamura, K., Abe-Ouchi, A., Motoyama, H., Ageta, Y., Aoki, S., Azuma, N., Fujii, Y., Fujita, K., Fujita, S., Fukui, K., et al.: State dependence of cli-

matic instability over the past 720,000 years from Antarctic ice cores and climate modeling, Science advances, 3, e1600 446, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600446, 2017.

Eisen, O., Wilhelms, F., Steinhage, D., and Schwander, J.: Improved method to determine radio-echo sounding reflector depths from ice-core profiles of permittivity and conductivity, Journal of Glaciology, 52, 299–310, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828674, 2006.

Eisen, O., Hamann, I., Kipfstuhl, S., Steinhage, D., and Wilhelms, F.: Direct evidence for continuous radar reflector originating from changes in crystal-orientation fabric, The Cryosphere, 1, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-1-1-2007, 2007.

EPICA community members: Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core, Nature, 429, 623–628, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02599, 2004.

Fahnestock, M., Abdalati, W., Joughin, I., Brozena, J., and Gogineni, P.: High geothermal heat flow, basal melt, and the origin of rapid ice flow in central Greenland, Science, 294, 2338–2342, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065370, 2001.

Fischer, H., Severinghaus, J., Brook, E., Wolff, E., Albert, M., Alemany, O., Arthern, R., Bentley, C., Blankenship, D., Chappellaz, J., et al.: Where to find 1.5 million year old ice for the IPICS "Oldest Ice" ice core, Climate of the Past, 9, 2489–2505, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-2489-2013, 2013.

Fretwell, P., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Bamber, J., Barrand, N., Bell, R., Bianchi, C., Bingham, R., Blankenship, D., Casassa, G., et al.: Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 7, https://doi.org/10.5194/tcd-6-4305-2012, 2013.

Frezzotti, M., Pourchet, M., Flora, O., Gandolfi, S., Gay, M., Urbini, S., Vincent, C., Becagli, S., Gragnani, R., Proposito, M., et al.: New estimations of precipitation and surface sublimation in East Antarctica from snow accumulation measurements, Climate Dynamics, 23, 803–813, 2004.

Frezzotti, M., Pourchet, M., Flora, O., Gandolfi, S., Gay, M., Urbini, S., Vincent, C., Becagli, S., Gragnani, R., Proposito, M., et al.: Spatial and temporal variability of snow accumulation in East Antarctica from traverse data, Journal of Glaciology, 51, 113–124, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756505781829502, 2005.

Frezzotti, M., Urbini, S., Proposito, M., Scarchilli, C., and Gandolfi, S.: Spatial and temporal variability of surface mass balance near Talos Dome, East Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000638, 2007.

Fujita, S., Maeno, H., Uratsuka, S., Furukawa, T., Mae, S., Fujii, Y., and Watanabe, O.: Nature of radio echo layering in the Antarctic ice sheet detected by a two-frequency experiment, Journal of Geophysical Research, 104, 13 013–13 024, 1999.

Fujita, S., Matsuoka, T., Ishida, T., Matsuoka, K., and Mae, S.: The Physics of Ice Core Records. Chap. A summary of the complex dielectric permittivity of ice in the megahertz range and its application for radar sounding of polar ice sheets, Hokkaido University Press, Sapporo, Japan, 185–212, 2000.

Gogineni, S., Chuah, T., Allen, C., Jkzek, K., and Moore, R. K.: Instruments and Methods: An improved coherent radar depth sounder, Journal of Glaciology, 44, 659–669, https://doi.org/10.3198/1998JoG44-148-643-652, 1998.

Gudmandsen, P.: Layer echoes in polar ice sheets, Journal of Glaciology, 15, 95–101, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000034304, 1975.

Gulick, S. P., Shevenell, A. E., Montelli, A., Fernandez, R., Smith, C., Warny, S., Bohaty, S. M., Sjunneskog, C., Leventer, A., Frederick, B., et al.: Initiation and long-term instability of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet, Nature, 552, 225, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25026, 2017.

Harrison, C.: Radio echo sounding of horizontal layers in ice, Journal of glaciology, 12, 383–397, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000031804, 1973.

Hindmarsh, R. C., Leysinger Vieli, G. J., and Parrenin, F.: A large-scale numerical model for computing isochrone geometry, Annals of Glaciology, 50, 130–140, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756409789097450, 2009.

Holschuh, N., Christianson, K., and Anandakrishnan, S.: Power loss in dipping internal reflectors, imaged using ice-penetrating radar, Annals of Glaciology, 55, 49–56, https://doi.org/10.3189/2014AoG67A005, 2014.

Huybrechts, P., Rybak, O., Steinhage, D., and Pattyn, F.: Past and present accumulation rate reconstruction along the Dome Fuji–Kohnen radio-echo sounding profile, Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica, Annals of glaciology, 50, 112–120, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756409789097513, 2009.

Jacobel, R., Scambos, T., Nereson, N., and Raymond, C.: Changes in the margin of Ice Stream C, Antarctica, Journal of Glaciology, 46, 102–110, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756500781833485, 2000.

Jacobel, R. W. and Hodge, S. M.: Radar internal layers from the Greenland summit, Geophysical Research Letters, 22, 587–590, https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL00110, 1995.

Karlsson, N. B., Rippin, D. M., Bingham, R. G., and Vaughan, D. G.: A 'continuity-index' for assessing ice-sheet dynamics from radar-sounded internal layers, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 335, 88–94, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.04.034, 2012.

Karlsson, N. B., Binder, T., Eagles, G., Helm, V., Pattyn, F., Van Liefferinge, B., and Eisen, O.: Glaciological characteristics in the Dome Fuji region and new assessment for "Oldest Ice", The Cryosphere, 12, 2413–2424, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-2413-2018, 2018.

Kawamura, K., Parrenin, F., Lisiecki, L., Uemura, R., Vimeux, F., Severinghaus, J. P., Hutterli, M. A., Nakazawa, T., Aoki, S., Jouzel, J., et al.: Northern Hemisphere forcing of climatic cycles in Antarctica over the past 360,000 years, Nature, 448, 912–916, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06015, http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v448/n7156/suppinfo/nature06015.html, 2007.

Koutnik, M. R., Fudge, T., Conway, H., Waddington, E. D., Neumann, T. A., Cuffey, K. M., Buizert, C., and Taylor, K. C.: Holocene accumulation and ice flow near the West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide ice core site, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 121, 907–924, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003668, 2016.

Leysinger Vieli, G. J., Hindmarsh, R. C., Siegert, M. J., and Bo, S.: Time-dependence of the spatial pattern of accumulation rate in East Antarctica deduced from isochronic radar layers using a 3-D numerical ice flow model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JF001785, 2011.

Lohoefener, A.: Design and development of a multi-channel radar depth sounder, Ph.D. thesis, University of Kansas, 2006.

MacGregor, J. A., Matsuoka, K., Koutnik, M. R., Waddington, E. D., Studinger, M., and Winebrenner, D. P.: Millennially averaged accumulation rates for the Vostok Subglacial Lake region inferred from deep internal layers, Annals of Glaciology, 50, 25–34, 2009.

MacGregor, J. A., Fahnestock, M. A., Catania, G. A., Paden, J. D., Prasad Gogineni, S., Young, S. K., Rybarski, S. C., Mabrey, A. N., Wagman, B. M., and Morlighem, M.: Radiostratigraphy and age structure of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120, 212–241, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003215, 2015a.

MacGregor, J. A., Li, J., Paden, J. D., Catania, G. A., Clow, G. D., Fahnestock, M. A., Prasad Gogineni, S., Grimm, R. E., Morlighem, M., Nandi, S., et al.: Radar attenuation and temperature within the Greenland Ice Sheet, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120, 983–1008, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003418, 2015b.

MacGregor, J. A., Colgan, W. T., Fahnestock, M. A., Morlighem, M., Catania, G. A., Paden, J. D., and Gogineni, S. P.: Holocene deceleration of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Science, 351, 590–593, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab1702, 2016a.

MacGregor, J. A., Fahnestock, M. A., Catania, G. A., Aschwanden, A., Clow, G. D., Colgan, W. T., Gogineni, S. P., Morlighem, M., Nowicki, S. M., Paden, J. D., et al.: A synthesis of the basal thermal state of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 121, 1328–1350, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003803, 2016b.

Millar, D.: Radio-echo layering in polar ice sheets and past volcanic activity, Letters to Nature, 292, 441–443, https://doi.org/10.1038/292441a0, 1981.

NEEM community members: Eemian interglacial reconstructed from a Greenland folded ice core, Nature, 493, 489, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11789, 2013.

Neumann, T., Conway, H., Price, S., Waddington, E., Catania, G., and Morse, D.: Holocene accumulation and ice sheet dynamics in central West Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000764, 2008.

Nixdorf, U., Steinhage, D., Meyer, U., Hempel, L., Jenett, M., Wachs, P., and Miller, H.: The newly developed airborne radio-echo sounding system of the AWI as a glaciological tool, Annals of Glaciology, 29, 231–238, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756499781821346, 1999.

Oerter, H., Graf, W., Meyer, H., and Wilhelms, F.: The EPICA ice core from Dronning Maud Land: first results from stable-isotope measurements, Annals of Glaciology, 39, 307–312, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781814032, 2004.

Paren, J. and Robin, G. d. Q.: Internal reflections in polar ice sheets, Journal of Glaciology, 14, 251–259, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000021730, 1975.

Parrenin, F., Remy, F., Ritz, C., Siegert, M. J., and Jouzel, J.: New modeling of the Vostok ice flow line and implication for the glaciological chronology of the Vostok ice core, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004561, 2004.

Parrenin, F., Cavitte, M. G., Blankenship, D. D., Chappellaz, J., Fischer, H., Gagliardini, O., Masson-Delmotte, V., Passalacqua, O.,

Ritz, C., Roberts, J., Siegert, M. J., and Young, D. A.: Is there 1.5 million-year old ice near Dome C, Antarctica?, The Cryosphere Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2017-69, 2017.

Peters, M. E., Blankenship, D. D., and Morse, D. L.: Analysis techniques for coherent airborne radar sounding: Application to West Antarctic ice streams, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 110, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JB003222, 2005.

Raymond, C. F.: Deformation in the vicinity of ice divides, Journal of Glaciology, 29, 357–373, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000030288, 1983.

Rippin, D. M., Siegert, M. J., and Bamber, J. L.: The englacial stratigraphy of Wilkes Land, East Antarctica, as revealed by internal radio-echo sounding layering, and its relationship with balance velocities, Annals of Glaciology, 36, 189–196, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816356, 2003.

Ritz, C., Edwards, T. L., Durand, G., Payne, A. J., Peyaud, V., and Hindmarsh, R. C.: Potential sea-level rise from Antarctic ice-sheet instability constrained by observations, Nature, 528, 115, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16147, 2015.

Ruth, U., Barnola, J.-M., Beer, J., Bigler, M., Blunier, T., Castellano, E., Fischer, H., Fundel, F., Huybrechts, P., Kaufmann, P., et al.: " EDML1": a chronology for the EPICA deep ice core from Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, over the last 150 000 years, Climate of the Past, 3, 475–484, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-3-475-2007, 2007.

Scambos, T. A., Frezzotti, M., Haran, T., Bohlander, J., Lenaerts, J., Van Den Broeke, M., Jezek, K., Long, D., Urbini, S., Farness, K., et al.: Extent of low-accumulation 'wind glaze' areas on the East Antarctic plateau: implications for continental ice mass balance, Journal of glaciology, 58, 633–647, https://doi.org/0.3189/2012JoG11J232, 2012.

Siegert, M. J.: Glacial–interglacial variations in central East Antarctic ice accumulation rates, Quaternary Science Reviews, 22, 741–750, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(02)00191-9, 2003.

Siegert, M. J. and Payne, A. J.: Past rates of accumulation in central West Antarctica, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020290, 2004.

Siegert, M. J., Hodgkins, R., and Dowdeswell, J. A.: A chronology for the Dome C deep ice-core site through radio-echo layer Correlation with the Vostok Ice Core, Antarctica, Geophysical Research Letters, 25, 1019–1022, https://doi.org/10.1029/98GL00718, 1998a.

Siegert, M. J., Hodgkinst, R., and Dowdeswell, J. A.: Internal radio-echo layering at Vostok station, Antarctica, as an independent stratigraphie control on the ice-core record, Annals of Glaciology, 27, 360–364, https://doi.org/10.3189/1998AoG27-1-360-364, 1998b.

Siegert, M. J., Hindmarsh, R. C., and Hamilton, G. S.: Evidence for a large surface ablation zone in central East Antarctica during the last Ice Age, Quaternary Research, 59, 114–121, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-5894(02)00014-5, 2003.

Siegert, M. J., Welch, B., Morse, D., Vieli, A., Blankenship, D. D., Joughin, I., King, E. C., Gwendolyn, J.-M., Payne, A. J., and Jacobel, R.: Ice flow direction change in interior West Antarctica, Science, 305, 1948–1951, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1101072, 2004.

Siegert, M. J., Pokar, M., Dowdeswell, J. A., and Benham, T.: Radio-echo layering in West Antarctica: a spreadsheet dataset, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms: The Journal of the

British Geomorphological Research Group, 30, 1583–1591, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1238, 2005.

Steinhage, D.: Beiträge aus geophysikalischen Messungen in Dronning Maud Land, Antarktis, zur Auffindung eines optimalen Bohrpunktes für eine Eiskerntiefbohrung= Contributions of geophysical measurements in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, locating an optimal drill site for a deep ice core drilling, Berichte zur Polar-und Meeresforschung (Reports on Polar and Marine Research), 384, 2001.

Steinhage, D., Nixdorf, U., Meyer, U., and Miller, H.: Subglacial topography and internal structure of central and western Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, determined from airborne radio echo sounding, Journal of Applied Geophysics, 47, 183–189, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-9851(01)00063-5, 2001.

Steinhage, D., Kipfstuhl, S., Nixdorf, U., and Miller, H.: Internal structure of the ice sheet between Kohnen station and Dome Fuji, Antarctica, revealed by airborne radio-echo sounding, Annals of Glaciology, 54, 163–167, https://doi.org/10.3189/2013AoG64A113, 2013.

Studinger, M., Bell, R. E., Karner, G. D., Tikku, A. A., Holt, J. W., Morse, D. L., Richter, T. G., Kempf, S. D., Peters, M. E., Blankenship, D. D., et al.: Ice cover, landscape setting, and geological framework of Lake Vostok, East Antarctica, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 205, 195–210, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(02)01041-5, 2003.

Sutter, J., Thoma, M., and Lohmann, G.: Integration of passive tracers in a three-dimensional ice sheet model, in: Towards an Interdisciplinary Approach in Earth System Science, pp. 161–170, Springer, 2015.

Sutter, J., Grosfeld, K., Karlsson, N. B., Kleiner, T., and Eisen, O.: Oldest Ice in Antarctica – the 3d continental ice sheet modelling perspective, Geophysical Research Abstracts, EGU General Assembly, 2018.

Svensson, A., Bigler, M., Blunier, T., Clausen, H., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Fujita, S., Goto-Azuma, K., Johnsen, S., Kawamura, K., et al.: Direct linking of Greenland and Antarctic ice cores at the Toba eruption (74 ka BP), Climate of the Past, 9, 749, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-749-2013, 2013.

Urbini, S., Frezzotti, M., Gandolfi, S., Vincent, C., Scarchilli, C., Vittuari, L., and Fily, M.: Historical behaviour of Dome C and Talos Dome (East Antarctica) as investigated by snow accumulation and ice velocity measurements, Global and Planetary Change, 60, 576–588, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.08.002, 2008.

Van Liefferinge, B. and Pattyn, F.: Using ice-flow models to evaluate potential sites of million year-old ice in Antarctica, Climate of the Past, 9, 2335–2345, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-2335-2013, 2013.

Van Liefferinge, B., Pattyn, F., Cavitte, M. G., Karlsson, N. B., Young, D. A., Sutter, J., and Eisen, O.: Promising Oldest Ice sites in East Antarctica based on thermodynamical modelling, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2017-276, 2018.

Veres, D., Bazin, L., Landais, A., Toyé Mahamadou Kele, H., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Blayo, E., Blunier, T., Capron, E., et al.: The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years, Climate of the Past, 9, 1733–1748, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1733-2013, 2013.

Waddington, E. D., Neumann, T. A., Koutnik, M. R., Marshall, H.-P., and Morse, D. L.: Inference of accumulation-rate patterns from deep layers in glaciers and ice sheets, Journal of Glaciology, 53, 694–712, https://doi.org/10.3189/002214307784409351, 2007.

Weertman, J.: Sliding-no sliding zone effect and age determination of ice cores, Quaternary Research, 6, 203–207, 1976.

Whillans, I. M.: Radio-echo layers and the recent stability of the West Antarctic ice sheet, Nature, 264, 152, 1976.

Winter, A., Steinhage, D., Arnold, E. J., Blankenship, D. D., Cavitte, M. G., Corr, H. F., Paden, J. D., Urbini, S., Young, D. A., and Eisen, O.: Comparison of measurements from different radio-echo sounding systems and synchronization with the ice core at Dome C, Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 11, 653–668, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-653-2017, 2017.

Young, D. A., Roberts, J. L., Ritz, C., Frezzotti, M., Quartini, E., Cavitte, M. G., Tozer, C. R., Steinhage, D., Urbini, S., Corr, H. F., et al.: High-resolution boundary conditions of an old ice target near Dome C, Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 11, 1897, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1897-2017, 2017.

Zwally, H. J., Giovinetto, M. B., Beckley, M. A., and Saba, J. L.: Antarctic and Greenland drainage systems, GSFC cryospheric sciences laboratory, Available at icesat4. gsfc. nasa. gov/cryo_data/ant_grn_drainage_systems. php. Accessed March, 1, 2015, 2012.