

# Time series of Inland Surface Water Dataset in China (ISWDC) for 2000-2016 derived from MODIS archives

Shanlong Lu<sup>1</sup>, Jin Ma<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaoqi Ma<sup>1,3</sup>, Hailong Tang<sup>1,4</sup>, Hongli Zhao<sup>5</sup>, Muhammad Hasan Ali Baig<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Digital Earth Science, State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100094, China;

<sup>2</sup>College of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an 271018, China;

<sup>3</sup>School of Earth Sciences and Resources, China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China;

<sup>4</sup>College of Earth Science, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu 610059, China;

<sup>5</sup>State key Laboratory of Simulation and Regulation of Water Cycle in River Basin, China Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research, Beijing 100038, China;

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Geo-Information & Earth-Observation (IGEO), PMAS Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Rawalpindi 46300, Pakistan.

*Correspondence to:* Shanlong Lu ([lusl@radi.ac.cn](mailto:lusl@radi.ac.cn))

**Abstract.** The moderate spatial resolution and high temporal resolution of the MODIS imagery make it an ideal resource for time series surface water monitoring and mapping. We used MODIS MOD09Q1 surface reflectance archive images to create an Inland Surface Water Dataset in China (ISWDC), which maps water bodies larger than 0.0625 km<sup>2</sup> within the land mass of China for the period 2000–2016, with 8-day temporal and 250 m spatial resolution. We assessed the accuracy of the ISWDC by comparing with the national land cover derived surface water data and Global Surface Water (GSW) data. The results show that the ISWDC is closely correlated with the national reference data with coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) greater than 0.99 in 2000, 2005, and 2010, while the ISWDC possess very good consistency, very similar change dynamics, and similar spatial patterns in different regions with the GSW dataset. The ISWDC dataset can be used for studies on the inter-annual and seasonal variation of the surface water systems. It can also be used as reference data for verification of the other surface water dataset and as an input parameter for regional and global hydro-climatic models. The ISWDC data are available at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2616035>.

1

## 2 **1 Introduction**

3 Surface water is the most important source of water from planetary water resources available for the  
4 survival of both human and ecological systems (Lu and He, 2006). It is a key component of the  
5 hydrological cycle and the key factor affecting the sustainable development of human society and  
6 ecosystem. Both climate change and human activities have a role in affecting the surface water  
7 availability at a given area and time. In order to locate the position and examine the change in dynamics  
8 of the inland surface water, regional and global datasets have already been produced through remotely  
9 sensed data by various researchers (Carroll et al., 2009; Verpoorter et al., 2014; Feng et al., 2015; Klein  
10 et al., 2014; Tulbure et al., 2016), but these contemporary researches were limited to measuring  
11 long-term changes at high spatial and temporal resolution. Pekel et al. (2016) quantified the changes in  
12 global surface water (GSW) over the past 32 years (1984-2015) at 30-meters resolution by using the  
13 Landsat imagery. Klein et al. (2017) generated a 250 m daily global dataset of inland water bodies based  
14 on a combination of MODIS Terra and Aqua daily classifications. However, the temporal resolution of  
15 the former research is near monthly, and the latter research only produced datasets from 2013-2015 until  
16 now, while the entire MODIS archive back to July 2002 is still ongoing (Klein et al., 2017).

17 In China numerous regional case studies have been done and produced some surface water datasets  
18 but only in bits and pieces (Du et al., 2012; Lai et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2017). Their research mainly  
19 focused on lakes in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (Lu et al., 2017). Several research groups are focusing  
20 on lake water changes of this region and have produced decadal lake surface water datasets since the  
21 1960s (Song et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014, 2017; Wan et al., 2014, 2016). At the national scale, the  
22 national wetland remote sensing datasets in 1978, 1990, 2000 and 2008 (Niu et al., 2012), the national  
23 land cover datasets in 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2015 (Wu et al., 2017), and the national land use datasets  
24 in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015 (Liu et al., 2018) contain the inter-decadal or 5-year time scale

1 water surface dataset (Table 1). However, these datasets are available with limited temporal resolution  
2 and not freely and fully shared.

3 **Table 1. National and regional surface water related datasets of China**

Dataset	Author	Time series	Resolution
Lake water surface of Tibetan Plateau	Lu et al., 2017	8-days, 2000-2012	250m
Lake surface area of Tibetan Plateau	Song et al., 2013	1970s, 1990, 2000, 2003-2009, 2011	60m, 30m
Lake area of Tibetan Plateau	Zhang et al., 2014, 2017	1970s, 1990, 2000, 2010	15m, 30m
A lake dataset for the Tibetan Plateau	Wan et al., 2014, 2016	1960s, 2005, 2014	16m, 30m
China national wetland datasets	Niu et al., 2012	1978, 1990, 2000, 2008	30 m
China national land cover datasets	Wu et al., 2017	1990, 2000, 2010, and 2015	30 m
China national land use datasets	Liu et al., 2018	1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015	30m

4 The most commonly used method of water extraction is based on water indices, such as the  
5 Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) (Gao, 1996; McFeeters, 1996; Rogers and Kearney, 2004),  
6 the Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI) (Xu, 2006), the Automated Water  
7 Extraction Index (AWEI) (Feyisa et al., 2014), and the Enhanced Water Index (EWI) (Wang et al.,  
8 2015). Furthermore, the single band threshold segmentation method (Li et al., 2012, Lu et al., 2017) and  
9 the multiband transformation method (Pekel et al., 2014) are also in practice. The key step for using  
10 these methods in extracting the water boundary is to determine the threshold value for segmentation.  
11 The existing threshold determination methods include human visual judgment (Huang et al., 2008; Li et  
12 al., 2012) and sample statistical analysis (Feyisa et al, 2014; Pekel etc., 2014; Pekel et al., 2016). The  
13 former relies on subjective experience, which causes the extraction results to be unstable, and thus  
14 difficult to apply on larger scales and to large volumes of data. Although the latter can get more  
15 accurate results through extensive sampling statistics, the use of a unified threshold for the whole image  
16 or whole region may produce large errors in the local area. To overcome these problems, various  
17 comprehensive classification methods are widely used. Verpoorter et al. (2014) combined the Principal

1 Component Analysis (PCA) and the Modified Brightness Index (MBI) to generate supervised classes,  
2 and to divide these into water and non-water regions by using the decision tree method. Pekel et al.  
3 (2016) proposed an expert system by synthetic use of a visual analytical spectral library, the NDVI  
4 index, HSV transformation results, and decision tree method. Khandelwal et al. (2017) introduced a  
5 global supervised classification based approach by defining initial spatial extents of each water body,  
6 using the global sample datasets, and incorporating all the spectral reflectance bands of the MODIS  
7 imagery. Use of supervised classification or decision tree method may improve the accuracy of the  
8 water surface boundary extraction, however it increases the difficulty and efficiency of the method at  
9 the same time. Zhang et al. (2017) proposed an automatic threshold determination method based on the  
10 LBV (L, the general radiance level; B, the visible–infrared radiation balance; V, the radiance variation  
11 vector between bands) transformation of Landsat 8 OLI surface reflectance images. It was verified as an  
12 accurate, simple, and robust method for surface water extraction. However, cloud pixels and  
13 atmospheric correction influences were not considered.

14 China has one of the highest densities of rivers and lakes in the world. There are more than 1500  
15 rivers with an area exceeding 1000 km<sup>2</sup> and 2928 lakes with an area larger than 1 km<sup>2</sup> which form a  
16 total surface water area of 91,020 km<sup>2</sup> (Ma et al. 2011). However, owing to the influence of climate,  
17 geography and landscape of the country, these surface water resources are unevenly distributed. They  
18 are found more in the South than in the North, and more in the East than in the West. With the  
19 development of the economy, the increase in the demand for industrial, agricultural and domestic water  
20 has placed great pressure to these surface water systems, especially during the irrigation and drought  
21 season (Gong et al., 2011; Barnett et al., 2015). Therefore, there is an urgent need for spatio-temporal  
22 continuous surface water datasets to support the efficient and robust management of water resources,  
23 and to investigate the relationship between the national surface water and the global climate and human  
24 activities. However, until now, full public sharing data products with moderate spatial resolution and  
25 near-daily temporal resolution are still lacking in China.

1 In order to address these limitations and to fulfill the need to develop a comprehensive  
2 spatio-temporal dataset, this paper presents the Inland Surface Water Dataset in China (ISWDC) during  
3 the period of 2000-2016 (and will be updated continuously for the subsequent years on zenodo  
4 platform), which is derived from the 8-day and 250 m spatial resolution MODIS MOD09Q1 product.  
5 After recalling the methodology used in surface water mapping from the MODIS MOD09Q1 as  
6 described by Lu et al. (2017), the precision and accuracy of the dataset are reported, including the cross  
7 comparison with the existing national and global datasets.

8

## 9 **2 Study area and data**


10 The inland water of this dataset refers to a water body larger than 0.0625 km<sup>2</sup> of the terrestrial land of  
11 China. The MODIS MOD09Q1 imagery was used to extract surface water  
12 (<https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/search/>). MOD09Q1 is a MODIS level 3 land surface  
13 reflectance product. It is an 8-day synthetic imagery of Band 1 (red band) and Band 2 (near-infrared  
14 band) with the spatial resolution of 250 m. In this study the near-infrared band is directly used to extract  
15 the surface water. There are 22 scenes covering the whole territory of China for every single date in a  
16 form of mosaic. For the complete temporal coverage from February 24, 2000 to December 26, 2016,  
17 total 16698 images were used. The SRTM (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) DEM data with 90 m  
18 spatial resolution is used as an ancillary data for surface water extraction, which is jointly operated by  
19 NASA-JPL (NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory) and NIMA (National Imagery and Mapping Agency  
20 (Slater et al., 2006).

21 Two types of reference dataset are used for cross comparison. The first is a derived sub-dataset of  
22 surface water from China national 30 m land cover dataset of 2000, 2005 and 2010 (Liu et al., 2014;  
23 Wu et al. 2017). The second is the global surface water (GSW) at 30-meter resolution from 2000-2015  
24 produced by Pekel et al. (2016).

1

## 2 **3 Methods**

3 The threshold segmentation method proposed by Lu et al. (2017) which employs single band with  
4 one-by-one segmentation of water bodies is used to extract the surface water boundary, which includes  
5 four steps: interferences removal, preliminary water surface mapping, annual water surface mask  
6 acquisition, and water surface boundary extraction (Figure 1). In this study the last two steps of the  
7 method are updated and improved as in following sections 3.1 and 3.2.



8

9 **Figure 1. Flowchart of the water surface extraction method reference to Lu et al. (2017)**

### 10 **3.1 Annual water surface mask acquisition**

11 The water surface mask is a key input data for excluding land disturbance factors that affect the  
12 extraction of the water surface boundary. It is generated from the preliminary water surface mapping  
13 results based on the modified Otsu threshold method applied on the selected images having less cloud  
14 cover and better quality in each year (Lu et al., 2017). In order to eliminate error in water area  
15 information caused by the cloud and cloud shadow in this process, the determination probability ( $p$ )  
16 parameter is used based on the fact that the cloud and its shadow will not appear in the same position  
17 for several days. The equation is as follows,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \geq n \times p, D=1$$

where  $n$  is the number of the preliminary water surface mapping images,  $d_i$  is the pixel value of image  $i$ ,  $D$  is the pixel value of the annual water surface mask,  $p$  is the determination probability for identifying water pixel. In this study the reference images from 2013 to 2016 were selected and the determination probability ( $p$ ) was determined based on the same rule with Lu et al. (2017). Furthermore, the annual reference images and determination probability ( $p$ ) of 2000-2012 are directly used here because they were originally obtained based on the whole images of China (Table 2).

**Table 2. The images used for annual water surface mask generation and the determination probability each year**

Year	Selected 8-day image dates (DOY)	Determination probability ( $p$ )
2000	185、201、209、233、241、249、257、265、281、305	0.2
2001	185、193、201、233、241、249、257、265、273、281	0.2
2002	185、193、209、217、225、233、241、249、257、265	0.2
2003	177、193、201、209、217、233、249、257、265、289	0.3
2004	185、201、217、225、233、249、257、265、273、281	0.2
2005	209、217、225、233、241、249、257、265、273、281	0.2
2006	137、145、169、177、185、193、201、209	0.2
2007	185、193、201、209、217、225、233、241、257、265	0.3
2008	193、201、209、225、233、241、249、257、265、273	0.3
2009	129、137、153、169、185、193、201、233、241、249	0.3
2010	185、209、217、225、233、241、249、257、273、281	0.2
2011	161、169、177、185、201、209、217、225、233、265	0.2
2012	185、201、209、217、225、233、241、257、265、273	0.2
2013	185、193、201、209、217、225、233、249、257、281	0.2


2014	193、201、209、225、233、241、249、265、257、273	0.3
2015	201、209、217、241、249、257、265、273、281、289	0.2
2016	193、209、225、241、257、265、273、289、305	0.2

1

## 2 **3.2 Final water surface mapping**

3 Before determining the threshold value for each water body in the final step of the water surface  
4 extraction method (Lu et al., 2017), the average pixel value in the mask area is used to eliminate the  
5 influence of the land pixels. Although this way can improve the accuracy of water surface extraction,  
6 the average pixel value in different seasons will also be different. In order to optimize this process, 423  
7 samples of lake and river in different regions of the country are selected (Figure 2) to obtain a reference  
8 average pixel value in different seasons. Two images with fewer clouds are selected for each season in  
9 each year, and the average pixel values for spring, summer, and autumn are calculated based on the  
10 water body samples. They were used as the upper limit threshold for determining the pixel value range  
11 for the final step of water surface mapping. In the process of water turning into ice in winter, the pixel  
12 value of ice is higher than that of water, and it accounts for a large proportion. The average pixel value  
13 will cause the ice layer to be extracted as the water surface, the minimum pixel value of the samples are  
14 used as the upper limit threshold for water surface mapping in winter. Finally, based on the upper limit  
15 thresholds in different seasons each year, the final binary water surface images of different time period  
16 are obtained by using the modified Otsu threshold method again (Lu et al., 2017).





1  
2  
3  
4  
5

**Figure 2. The boundary of China, the accuracy assessment and the upper limit threshold calculation samples for surface water extraction. NW: Northwest China, SW: Southwest China, SC: South China, CC: Central China; NC: North China, NE: Northeast China, EC: East China.**


## 1 **4 Accuracy assessment**

### 2 **4.1 Comparison with the national land cover dataset**

3 Based on the 30 m resolution national land cover dataset of 2000, 2005, and 2010, 511 samples from  
4 lakes and rivers spreading out across the country are selected as ground truth data (Figure 2), including  
5 11 very large water bodies with areas larger than 1000 km<sup>2</sup>, 12 large water bodies with areas larger than  
6 500 km<sup>2</sup> and less than 1000 km<sup>2</sup>, 29 medium sized water bodies with area larger than 100 km<sup>2</sup> and less  
7 than 500 km<sup>2</sup>, and 459 smaller water bodies with areas less than 100 km<sup>2</sup>. They were compared with the  
8 maximum ISWDC in the corresponding years.

9 The results show that the ISWDC are highly consistent with the reference land cover derived surface  
10 water data. The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) in 2000, 2005 and 2010 are found to be 0.9974, 0.992,  
11 and 0.9932, respectively as shown in Figure 3. The confusion matrix analysis results show that the  
12 average user accuracy is 91.13%, the average producer accuracy is 88.95%, and the average Kappa  
13 coefficient is 0.88 in three years (Table 3).

14 As the national land cover data in 2000, 2005, 2010 are based on 30 m Landsat images that mainly  
15 obtained in summer season. The water surface in these datasets can be equated with annual maximum  
16 water surface results. So we compared them with our maximum ISWDC of corresponding year. The  
17 calculated  $R^2$  is based on the area of different size of water bodies. The larger the  $R^2$ , the better the  
18 consistency and the smaller the area error between the two datasets. Furthermore, the results of  
19 confusion matrix are equivalent to pixel scale analysis although it is not as intuitive as visual contrast.



1  
2 **Figure 3. Comparison of the total area of surface water body samples with different size (< 100 km<sup>2</sup>, 100-500 km<sup>2</sup>, 500-1000**  
3 **km<sup>2</sup>, >1000 km<sup>2</sup>) between ISWDC and the National land cover derived surface water data.**

4 **Table 3. Accuracy analysis samples in different region and the accuracy evaluation results**


Sample regions	Sample water bodies				
	Very large	Large	Medium	Small	Total
North China (NC)	1	1	1	73	76
Northeast China (NE)	1	2	2	21	26
East China (EC)	2	1	3	34	40
Southwest China (SW)	2	3	5	75	85
Northwest China (NW)	2	2	13	166	183
Central China (CC)	2	1	2	46	51
South China (SC)	1	2	3	44	50
Average user accuracy	96.14	94.75	93.69	79.96	91.13
Average producer accuracy	92.64	88.87	92.69	81.60	88.95
Average Kappa coefficient	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.72	0.88

1


## 2 4.2 Assessment against the global surface water dataset

3 The time series of annual ISWDC and GSW permanent water bodies with an area larger than 0.0625  
4 km<sup>2</sup> of the whole of China from 2000-2015 were also compared. The results show that the two datasets  
5 possess very good consistency ( $R^2=0.6532$ ) (Figure 4a) and very similar change dynamics (Figure 4b).

6 The annual ISWDC and GSW permanent water bodies in 2015 also indicate similar spatial patterns in  
7 different regions (Figure 5). For the lake groups in central Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, the comparison  
8 between ISWDC obtained from MODIS and Landsat derived GSW indicated a closer pattern between  
9 the two results (Figure 5a). For the rivers and lakes interlaced with Poyang Lake region, in addition to  
10 the narrow width of the river and some small water bodies, the coincidence between the two datasets is  
11 also very high (Figure 5b). The over-extracted water (red regions in Figure 5) on the margins for large  
12 water bodies like Siling Co, Namco, Poyang Lake, and some of the wide rivers, and the under-extracted  
13 slender rivers and small water bodies (green regions in Figure 5), for the ISWDC dataset, are mainly  
14 caused by the mixed pixel effects due to relatively coarse spatial resolution of the MODIS images.





15 (a)



16 (b)

17 **Figure 4. Comparison of the time series annual ISWDC and GSW permanent water bodies of the whole of China from 2000-2015.**

18 (a) is the correlation analysis result, (b) is the change trend comparison result.



3 **Figure 5. Comparison of permanent water bodies derived from ISWDC and GSW over the sites of the central Qinghai-Tibetan**  
 4 **Plateau (a) and Poyang Lake region (b).**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24


## **5 Applications and data availability**

### **5.1 Time series of surface water dataset applications**

Time series of surface water dataset can be used to analyze the inter-annual and seasonal variation characteristics of surface water area, including inter-annual variation trend, abrupt change time, intra-annual hydrological process monitoring etc. (Huang et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2018). Similarly, it can also be used as cross-validation reference data for global surface water datasets with a similar spatial resolution (Klein et al., 2017), and as a key input parameter for regional and global hydro-climatic model calibration and evaluation (Khan et al., 2011; Stacke and Hagemann, 2012).

For example, based on the ISWDC from 2000-2016, the annual variation of surface water in China can be obtained by superimposing all the 8-day time series water surface area data of each year. Figure 6 shows that the surface water area began to increase in early March and increased gradually in spring and summer. After reaching its peak in autumn, it then began to decrease gradually. The annual variation of surface water area in different regions can also be portrayed by calculating the multi-year average of every 8-day data. Figure 7 shows that the surface water area of Southwest China (SW) and Northwest China (NW) is very large and inter-seasonally it varies greatly than the surface water area of other regions. Surface water area in Northeast China (NE) began to increase rapidly in spring. It reached a peak in May and decreased slightly in June-July. After reaching its maximum in August-September, it began to decline again in October. In North China (NC), surface water area is relatively small, but the change still shows some seasonality. There is a significant increase in summer and autumn, but the range of increase and decrease is relatively small. Surface water area in Central China (CC) and Eastern China (EC) varies steadily during the year. It reaches its maximum in summer and begins to decrease gradually in late summer and early autumn. Surface water area in South China (SC) was relatively stable throughout the year.

1 Furthermore, the spatial distributions of surface water can clearly be depicted by means of multi-year  
 2 average analysis. The results in Table 4 show that surface water of inland China is mainly distributed in  
 3 western China, accounting for 49.13% of the total surface water area, with 29.88% in the Southwest  
 4 China (SW) and 19.25% in the Northwest China (NW), followed by the Central China (CC) and East  
 5 China (EC), which accounted for 8.13% and 24.78% of the total surface water area, respectively. The  
 6 North China (NC), Northeast China (NE) and South China (SC) account for the other 17.96% of the  
 7 national surface water area.



8  
 9 **Figure 6. Annual change of total water area during the period of 2000-2016.**




Figure 7. The 8-day surface water area in different regions of China from 2000 to 2016. NE: Northeast China, NC: North China, EC: East China, SC: South China, CC: Central China, NW: Northwest China, SW: Southwest China.

Table 4. The average distribution of surface water area in inland China from 2000-2016

Regions	Area(km <sup>2</sup> )	Area percentage (%)
North China (NC)	6250.6	6.11
Northeast China (NE)	8991.3	8.79
East China (EC)	25342.3	24.78
Central China (CC)	9313.4	8.13
South China (SC)	3126.0	3.06
Southwest China (SW)	30548.6	29.88
Northwest China (NW)	19680.2	19.25
Total	103252.3	100.00

## 5.2 Data availability

The ISWDC dataset is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. The data may be



1 downloaded from the data repository Zenodo at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2616035> (Lu et al., 2019).  
2 In each 8-day surface water image, the pixel values of 1 and 0 represent the water and the background  
3 respectively. The 8-day data in each month can be used to calculate the monthly water occurrence and  
4 all the 8-day data in each year can be used to calculate the yearly water occurrence, by summing up all  
5 the surface water images together in corresponding time periods. The vector datasets of the 8-day  
6 surface water boundaries extracted from the raster data products can also be obtained through the same  
7 link.

8

## 9 **6. Discussion and conclusions**

10 In this study, the 8-day 250-meter resolution surface water dataset of inland China (ISWDC) from 2000  
11 to 2016 has been introduced. It is a fully public sharing data product with prominent features of long  
12 time series, moderate spatial resolution and high temporal resolution. The ISWDC is a valuable basic  
13 data source for the analysis of dynamic changes of surface water in China in the past 20 years.

14 The results have been validated based on the 2000, 2005 and 2010 national land cover derived  
15 surface water data and show high accuracy. The average user accuracy is 91.13%, the average producer  
16 accuracy is 88.95%, and the average Kappa coefficient is 0.88 for these three years. Furthermore, a  
17 comparison with the GWS service underlines the reliability of temporal processes and spatial  
18 distribution. In terms of temporal variation, the ISWDC and the GWS possess excellent consistency and  
19 very similar change dynamics during the whole time period, which simply shows that both datasets are  
20 highly correlated. For the spatial distribution characteristics, the ISWDC in 2015 has similar spatial  
21 patterns in different regions to that of the GSW dataset, especially for larger water bodies, such as lakes,  
22 water reservoirs and wide rivers.

23 The advantage of the ISWDC dataset is its high level of revealing the spatio-temporal variability of  
24 inland surface water. Based on this dataset, the spatial distribution characteristics and temporal variation

1 processes of surface water can be described through the multi-year average spatial statistics and annual  
2 data overlapping analysis. In addition, the dataset can also be used as a cross-validation reference data  
3 for other global surface water datasets, and a key input parameter for regional and global hydro-climatic  
4 models.

5 However influenced by the algorithm design and the used data sources the results have certain  
6 limitations. First of all, as for other surface water datasets derived from multi-spectral sensors, it only  
7 includes open water surfaces, while water bodies which are covered by vegetation are not captured.  
8 Secondly, as ISWDC only uses MODIS MOD09Q1 near-infrared band for water surface extraction,  
9 thus the accuracy of datasets depends mainly on the quality of the original 8-day synthetic images.  
10 When there exist clouds exist in the water distribution region of the synthetic image at a certain time,  
11 the cloud covered water surface will not be extracted which causes underestimation for extracting water  
12 bodies. In addition, the reference images used to produce the annual water surface mask will also affect  
13 the accuracy of the final results. For example, if the selected image does not contain the information of  
14 the actual maximum water surface occurrence in that year, it may lead to the exclusion of that part of  
15 the water pixels which lies outside the mask. Finally, because of the small difference of reflectance  
16 between the ice-water mixing boundary in autumn and spring, the accuracy of water surface area  
17 extraction will be limited in these two seasons.

18 Although the water surface extraction method designed in this study is aimed at extracting water  
19 surface information from the MODIS MOD09Q1 images, its core process is automatic thresholding for  
20 estimation of water bodies one by one. Therefore, this method is also applicable to traditional water  
21 body indices, such as NDWI, MNDWI and AWEI, or to other water surface information based on  
22 enhanced thematic data. In the future, while continuing to extend the existing datasets from 2017 to now  
23 by using this method, the 30-meter GWS dataset in China will be extended. At the same time, the  
24 national 10-meter spatial resolution water surface dataset based on Sentinel-2 imagery will be produced.  
25 After the national scale datasets are completed, the corresponding global scale datasets are also

1 expected.

2

3 **Author contributions.** SL supervised the downloading and processing of satellite images and designed the  
4 methodology. JM contributed to downloading, processing satellite images, and extracting the surface water data  
5 (ISWDC). XM extracted the reference surface water data from the national land cover datasets and analyzed the  
6 accuracy of the ISWDC. HT extracted the Global Surface Water (GSW) from the Google Earth Engine platform. HL  
7 made contribution for manuscript structure design and revision. MHAB optimized article structure, figures and English  
8 grammar. All authors have read and approved the final paper.

9

10 **Acknowledgements.** We thank the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFC0405802,  
11 2016YFC0503507-03), the Key Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91637209), the project  
12 of China geological survey (DD20160106), and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of  
13 Sciences (XDA19070201) for financial support. We thank NASA EOSDIS LAADS DAAC platform  
14 (<https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/>) and NASA-JPL and NIMA for providing the MODIS and SRTM datasets.  
15 We also thank JRC and Google Earth Engine (<https://earthengine.google.com>) for providing the Global Surface Water  
16 (GSW) dataset.

17

## 18 **References**

19 Barnett, J., Rogers, S., Webber, M., Finlayson, B., and Wang, M.: Transfer project cannot meet China's water needs,  
20 *Nature*, 527, 295–297, <https://doi.org/10.1038/527295a>, 2015.

21 Carroll, M.L., Townshend, J.R., DiMiceli, C.M., Noojipady, P., and Sohlberg, R.A.: A new global raster water mask at  
22 250 m resolution, *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 2, 291–308, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17538940902951401>,  
23 2009.

24 Du, Z., Bin, L., Ling, F., Li, W., Tian, W., Wang, H., Gui, Y., Sun, B., and Zhang, X.: Estimating surface water area  
25 changes using time-series Landsat data in the Qingjiang River Basin, China, *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 6,

1 3609, <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.6.063609>, 2012.

2 Feng, M., Sexton, J.O., Channan, S., and Townshend, J.R.: A global, high-resolution (30-m) inland water body dataset  
3 for 2000: first results of a topographic–spectral classification algorithm, *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 1–21,  
4 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2015.1026420>, 2015.

5 Feyisa, G.L., Meilby, H., Fensholt, R. and Proud, S. R.: Automated Water Extraction Index: A new technique for  
6 surface water mapping using Landsat imagery, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 140, 23–35,  
7 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.08.029>, 2014.

8 Gao, B.: NDWI A Normalized Difference Water Index for Remote Sensing of Vegetation Liquid Water From Space,  
9 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 266, 257–266, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(96\)00067-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(96)00067-3), 1996.

10 Gong, P., Yin, Y., and Yu, C.: China: Invest Wisely in Sustainable Water Use, *Science*, 331, 1264–1265,  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.331.6022.1264-b>, 2011.

12 Huang, C., Chen, Y., Zhang, S., and Wu, J.: Detecting, extracting, and monitoring surface water from space using  
13 optical sensors: A review, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 56, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018RG000598>, 2018.

14 Huang, H., Zhao, P., Chen, Z., and Guo, W.: Research on the method of extracting water body information from  
15 ASTER remote sensing image, *Remote Sensing Technology and Application*, 23, 525–528,  
16 <https://doi.org/10.11873/j.issn.1004-0323.2008.5.525>, 2008.

17 Khan, S.I., Hong, Y., Wang, J., Yilmaz, K.K., Gourley, J.J., Adler, R.F., Brakenridge, G.R. Habib, S., and Irwin, D.:  
18 Satellite Remote Sensing and Hydrologic Modeling for Flood Inundation Mapping in Lake Victoria Basin:  
19 Implications for Hydrologic Prediction in Ungauged Basins, *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND*  
20 *REMOTE SENSING*, 49, 85–95, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2010.2057513>, 2011.

21 Khandelwal, A., Karpatne, A., Marlier, M.E., Kim, J., Lettenmaier, D.P., and Kumar, V.: An approach for global  
22 monitoring of surface water extent variations in reservoirs using MODIS data, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 202,  
23 113–128, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.05.039>, 2017.

24 Klein, I., Dietz, A.J., Gessner, U., Galayeva, A., Myrzakhmetov, A., and Kuenzer, C.: Evaluation of seasonal water  
25 body extents in Central Asia over the past 27 years derived from medium-resolution remote sensing data,  
26 *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 26, 335–349,  
27 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2013.08.004>, 2014.

- 1 Klein, I., Gessner, U., Dietz, A.J., and Kuenzer, C.: Global WaterPack – A 250 m resolution dataset revealing the daily  
2 dynamics of global inland water bodies, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 198, 345–362,  
3 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.045>, 2017.
- 4 Lai, Y., Qiu, Y., Fu, W., and Shi, L.: Monitoring and analysis of surface water in Kashgar region based on TM imagery  
5 in last 10 years, *Remote Sensing Information*, 28, 50–57, <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-3177.2013.03.009>,  
6 2013.
- 7 Li, X., Xiao, J., Li, F., Xiao, R., Xu, W., and Wang, L.: Remote Sensing monitoring of the Qinghai Lake based on EOS  
8 /MODIS data in recent 10 years, *Journal of Natural Resources*, 22, 1962–1970,  
9 <http://www.jnr.ac.cn/CN/10.11849/zrzyxb.2012.11.015>, 2012.
- 10 Liu, J., Kuang, W., Zhang, Z., Xu, X., Qin, Y., Ning, J., Zhou, W., Zhang, S., Li, R., Yan, C., Wu, S., Shi, X., Jiang, N.,  
11 Yu, D., Pan, X., and Chi, W.: Spatiotemporal characteristics, patterns and causes of land use changes in China since  
12 the late 1980s, *ACTA GEOGRAPHICA SINICA*, 69, 3–14, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-014-1082-6>, 2014.
- 13 Liu, J., Jia, N., Kuang, W., Xu, X., Zhang, S., Yan, C., Li, R., Wu, S., Hu, Y., Du, G., Chi, W., Pan, T., and Ning, J.:  
14 Spatio-temporal patterns and characteristics of land-use change in China during 2010-2015, *ACTA*  
15 *GEOGRAPHICA SINICA*, 73, 789–802, <https://doi.org/10.11821/dlxb201805001>, 2018.
- 16 Lu, G., and He, H.: View of global hydrological cycle, *Advances in water science*, 17, 419–424, 2006.
- 17 Lu, S., Ma, J., Ma, X., Tang, H., Zhao, H., and Ali Bai Hasan, M.: Time series of Inland Surface Water Dataset in  
18 China (ISWDC) [Dataset], Zenodo, <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2616035>, 2019.
- 19 Lu, S., Jia, L., Zhang, L., Wei, Y., Baig, M., Zhai, Z., Ment, J., Li, X., and Zhang, G.: Lake water surface mapping in  
20 the Tibetan Plateau using the MODIS MOD09Q1 product, *Remote Sensing Letters*, 8, 224–233,  
21 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2016.1260178>, 2017.
- 22 Luo, C., Xu, C., Cao, Y., and Tong, L.: Monitoring of water surface area in Lake Qinghai from 1974 to 2016, *Journal*  
23 *of Lake Sciences*, 29, 1245–1253, <https://doi.org/10.18307/2017.0523>, 2017.
- 24 Ma, R., Yang, G., Duan, H., Jiang, J., Wang, S., Feng, X., Li, A., Kong, F., Xue, B., Wu, J., and Li, S.: China's lakes at  
25 present: Number, area and spatial distribution, *Science China Earth Sciences*, 394–401,  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-010-4052-6>, 2011.
- 27 McFeeters, S.K.: The use of the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) in the delineation of open water features,

1 International Journal of Remote Sensing, 17, 1425–1432, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01431169608948714>, 1996.

2 Niu, Z., Zhang, H., Wang, X., Yao, W., Zhou, D., Zhao, K., Zhao, H., Li, N., Huang, H., Li, C., Yang, J., Liu, C., Liu,  
3 S., Wang, L., Li, Z., Yang, Z., Qiao, F., Zheng, Y., Chen, Y., Sheng, Y., Gao, X., Zhu, W., Wang, W., Wang, H., Weng,  
4 Y., Zhuang, D., Liu, J., Luo, Z., Cheng, X., Guo, Z., and Gong, P.: Mapping Wetland Changes in China between  
5 1978 and 2008, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 57, 1400–1411, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-012-5093-3>, 2012.

6 Pekel, J., Vancutsem, C., Bastin, L., Clerici, M., Vanbogaert, E., Bartholome, E., and Defourny, P.: A near real-time  
7 water surface detection method based on HSV transformation of MODIS multi-spectral time series data, *Remote  
8 Sensing of Environment*, 140, 704–716, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.10.008>, 2014.

9 Pekel, J.F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N., and Belward, A.S.: High-resolution mapping of global surface water and its  
10 long-term changes, *Nature*, 540, 418–422, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20584>, 2016.

11 Rogers, A.S., and Kearney, M.S.: Reducing signature variability in unmixing coastal marsh Thematic Mapper scenes  
12 using spectral indices, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 20, 2317–2335,  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160310001618103>, 2004.

14 Song, C., Huang, B., Ke, L., and Richards, K.S.: Remote sensing of alpine lake water environment changes on the  
15 Tibetan Plateau and surroundings: A review, *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 92, 26–37,  
16 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2014.03.001>, 2014.

17 Stacke, T., and Hagemann, S.: Development and evaluation of a global dynamical wetlands extent scheme, *Hydrology  
18 and Earth System Sciences*, 16, 2915–2933, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-2915-2012>, 2012.

19 Tulbure, M.G., Broich, M., Stehman, S.V., and Kommareddy, A.: Surface water extent dynamics from three decades of  
20 seasonally continuous Landsat time series at subcontinental scale in a semi-arid region, *Remote Sensing  
21 Environment*, 178, 142–157, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.034>, 2016.

22 Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., and Tranvik, L.: Automated mapping of water bodies using Landsat multispectral data,  
23 *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 10, 1037–1050, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2012.10.1037>, 2012.

24 Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekell, D.A., and Tranvik, L.J.: A global inventory of lakes based on high-resolution  
25 satellite imagery, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 6396–6402, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060641>, 2014.

26 Wan, W., Xiao, P., Feng, X., Li, H., Ma, R., Duan, H., and Zhao, L.: Monitoring lake changes of Qinghai-Tibetan  
27 Plateau over the past 30 years using satellite remote sensing data, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 59, 1021–1035,

1 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-014-0128-6>, 2014.

2 Wan, W., Long, D., Hong, Y., Ma, Y., Yuan, Y., Xiao ,P., Duan, H., Han, Z., and Gu, X.: A lake dataset for the Tibetan  
3 Plateau from the 1960s, 2005, and 2014, *Scientific data*, 3, 160039, <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.39>, 2016.

4 Wang, J., Sheng, Y. and Tong, T.S.D.: Monitoring decadal lake dynamics across the Yangtze Basin downstream of  
5 Three Gorges Dam, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 152, 251–269, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.06.004>,  
6 2014.

7 Wang, S., Baig, M. H. A., Zhang, L., Jiang, H., Ji, Y., Zhao, H., and Tian, J.: A Simple Enhanced Water Index (EWI)  
8 for Percent Surface Water Estimation Using Landsat Data, *Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and*  
9 *Remote Sensing*, *IEEE Journal of*, 8, 90–97, <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2014.2387196>, 2015. Wang, X., Xie, S.,  
10 Zhang, X., Chen, C., Guo, H., Du, J., and Duan, Z.: A robust Multi-Band Water Index (MBWI) for automated  
11 extraction of surface water from Landsat 8 OLI imagery, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and*  
12 *Geoinformation*, 68, 73–91, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2018.01.018>, 2018.

13 Wu, B., Bao, A., Chen, J., Huang, J., Li, A., Liu, C., Ma, R., Wang, Z., Yan, C., Yu, X., Zeng, Y., and Zhang L.: Land  
14 cover in China, Beijing: Science Press, in Chinese, 2017.

15 Xing, L., Tang, X., Wang, H., Fan, W., and Wang, G.: Monitoring monthly surface water dynamics of Dongting Lake  
16 using Sentinel-1 data at 10 m, *PeerJ*, 6, e4992, <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4992>, 2018.

17 Xu, H.Q.: Modification of normalized difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely  
18 sensed imagery, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27, 3025–3033,  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600589179>, 2006.

20 Zhang, G., Yao T., Xie, H., Zhang, K., and Zhu, F.: Lakes’ State and Abundance across the Tibetan Plateau, *Chinese*  
21 *Science Bulletin*, 59, 3010–3021, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-014-0258-x>, 2014.

22 Zhang, G., Li, J., and Zheng, G.: Lake-area mapping in the Tibetan Plateau: an evaluation of data and methods,  
23 *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 38, 742–772, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2016.1271478>, 2017.

24 Zhang, T., Ren, H., Qin, Q., Zhang, C., and Sun, Y.: Surface Water Extraction From Landsat 8 OLI Imagery Using the  
25 LBV Transformation, *IEEE JOURNAL OF SELECTED TOPICS IN APPLIED EARTH OBSERVATIONS AND*  
26 *REMOTE SENSING*, 10, 4417–4429, <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2017.2719029>, 2017.