

Interactive comment on “Autonomous seawater $p\text{CO}_2$ and pH time series from 40 surface buoys and the emergence of anthropogenic trends” by Adrienne J. Sutton et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 10 December 2018

Major comment Dr. Sutton and colleagues presented a readily accessible data product of autonomous $p\text{CO}_2$ and pH time series from 40 surface buoys from 2004 in open ocean, coastal and coral reef sites, that exhibit extensive daily and interannual variability. Using a time of trend emergence methodology, they estimated the length of time for an anthropogenic trends in oceanic $p\text{CO}_2$ and pH to emerge from natural variability in the 40 time series. Only at two time series datasets (WHOTS and Stratus), surface oceanic $p\text{CO}_2$ significantly increased. However, pH time series data are too short to estimate long-term anthropogenic trends. In addition, description of pH sensor isn't detailed, compared from $p\text{CO}_2$ sensor [Sutton et al., 2014b]. I cannot confirm post-calibrated and quality-controlled pH data (at NCEI data archive) through comparison

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



with in-situ calibration, discrete samples and so on, because pH sensor performance was often limited by biofouling [Bresnahan Jr et al., 2014]. After revising the manuscript to address this comment and the specific comments below, I would support publication of the author's submission.

Minor comments Figure 1 I think that only locations and names of 40 fixed moored time series station map is convenient for readers.

Line 22, Page 7 How long is it necessary for pH time series to determine a robust estimate of IAV?

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2018-114>, 2018.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

