Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2017-110-RC2, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Estimating the thickness of unconsolidated coastal aquifers along the global coastline" by Daniel Zamrsky et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 19 May 2018

I think Zamskry et al provide a useful global hydrogeology dataset that if the significant suggestions that reviewers have, could be a worthwhile contribution to ESSD.

I first read the paper to gather my thoughts and then read the comments of reviewer RC1. just to be efficient rather than re-iterating verbosely, I will first say that i largely agree with many of the overall and specific comments of RC1 and hope the authors can and will address of all these comments.

I add a few additional suggestions:

the anchor points are important but hard to know how to interpret - i suggest possibly adding a graph of distance of anchor point to shoreline (histogram or boxplot against lithology might also be interesting) - what is controlling this distance?

C1

similarly, I found the 'four different estimation methods' important but hard to visualise and interpret - could these be shown on a seperate graphic or labeled seperately on Figure 1? Also, these methods are fine mathematically but i was struck by the question: is there not coastal erosion or geomorphology theory/model/observations that would help determine which method is most likely or better. I am thinking of bedrock fluvial environments where there is well recognized theory/model/observations that predict river concavity, elevation etc. is there anything similar for coastal erosion?

I also wonder if the authors could analyse and report where the coastal aquifer thickness is zero or effectively zero (<5 m or some other cutoff?). it would be interesting to groundtruth these results against remote sensed information of exposed bedrock if possible.

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2017-110, 2018.