Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 8, C283–C299, 2015 www.earth-syst-sci-data-discuss.net/8/C283/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.





8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "A global satellite assisted precipitation climatology" by C. Funk et al.

C. Funk et al.

chris@geog.ucsb.edu

Received and published: 2 September 2015

Anonymous Referee 1 Received and published: 8 July 2015

Summary: The authors provide a technical overview and performance evaluation of a new global precipitation climatology derived using in situ climate normals, satellite data, physiographic variables, and a novel interpolation technique. The product is an important contribution for climate analysis in data sparse regions, both because it offers what appears to be a superior precipitation climatology relative to other widely used products and because it forms the foundation for the CHIRP and CHIRPS precipitation monitoring systems. Many researchers now employ CHIRP/S for studies of climate and hydrology in tropical regions, and this paper provides useful documentation on the





process used to generate the underlying climatology.

The paper is clearly presented and includes all essential detail for potential users of the data product. I believe that it can be published in final form after only minor revision. That said, I suggest that the paper would benefit from additional figures (with associated supporting text) that address key performance indicators and product interpretation. Proposed additional figures include: 1. A map of the final product! It seems odd to read a paper that introduces a precipitation climatology and never see what the dataset looks like. It might be appropriate to show difference maps with CRU or World-clim as well, though it might be more informative to show those differences for specific regions rather than at global scale.

2. Spatial comparisons of CHPclim performance with that of CRU and Worldclim in selected focus countries. For example, a map of bias (or MAE, or temporal correlation) at evaluation stations in Ethiopia during the rainy season would enrich the authors' claims that CHPclim offers its greatest advantages over complex terrain and data limited regions.

-- Response: This is a great suggestion, we have added three figures

8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



between the current Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 that addresses this request. These figures focus on Ethiopia, because we have just completed a rainfall gridding workshop at the Ethiopian meteorological agency, and hence have a good validation data set based on 208 independent gauge observations. More heuristic than quantitative, we focus in this example on describing some specific instances where the satellite information provides valuable information about precipitation gradients in data sparse regions.

4. As a corollary to 3, it would also be interesting to see maps or scatterplots that show how CHPclim differs from other products in estimated precipitation at very high elevations—i.e., elevations higher than most or all available stations. It won't be possible to evaluate performance in these zones, but simply characterizing the difference is important for hydrological applications and trend analysis.

- Response: This is a good suggestion, but rather than scatterplots we simply provide a heuristic discussion. The story that emerged from our analysis suggested that it was more about the relationship between elevation and precipitation gradients. Without explicit knowledge about the specific station distributions used in the other climatologies, this is the most 8, C283-C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



we can do at present we feel without greatly expanding the length and complexity of the current study.

Minor comments / typos: p. 405, line 1: I only see four satellite products on this list, but the text states there were five. The "fifth predictor" introduced in line 16 is an average of two satellite products and is not an independent product.

request that this be changed to "Monthly means of four satellite products". -----

p. 405, line 20: slope is listed twice ______ Response: Thanks, we are requesting that the first slope be removed. ______

p. 411, line 16: please explain why the thin plate spline would fail in this regard.

PLEASE SEE OUR ATTACHED PDF WHICH HAS OUR REQUESTED CHANGES.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.earth-syst-sci-data-discuss.net/8/C283/2015/essdd-8-C283-2015supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 8, 401, 2015.

ESSDD

8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment





Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 2. Figure 2. Best predictor, by model region, with station locations.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 3. Figure 3. Percent of variance explained by cross-validated moving window regression.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 4. Figure 4. Percent of variance explained by cross-validated inverse distance weighting.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 5. Figure 5. Percent standard error explained by cross-validation.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 6. Figure 6. CHPclim monthly means for January, April, July and October. While CHPclim is global, we show 50°S-50°N images to facilitate visualization.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 7. Figure 7. Mean absolute error time series [mmmonth -1].

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 8. Figure 8. Spatial R2 time series.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Fig. 9. Figure 9. Differences in annual total precipitation for CHPclim, the 0.25° GPCC M V2015 climatology, the 0.17° CRU CL v2.0, and the 0.042° version 1.4 release 3 Worldclim climatology.

ESSDD

8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion







8, C283-C299, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Fig. 10. Figure 10. Total annual rainfall, elevation, NDVI and LST for Ethiopia. Rainfall totals are from the Ethiopian National Meteorological Agency (NMA), CHPclim, the GPCC M V2015 climatology, the CRU CL

8, C283–C299, 2015

Interactive Comment



Fig. 11. Figure 11. Total annual NMA rainfall, elevation and MBE maps based on the NMA minus CHPclim, the NMA minus GPCC, the NMA minus CRU and the NMA minus Worldclim.

Discussion Paper

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion







Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Fig. 12. Figure 12. The top panels show transects of total annual rainfall at 7°N and 10°N. Also shown are transects of elevation in meters divided by 5 and annual mean NDVI, multiplied by 1500. The bottom pan



Fig. 13. Figure 13. Schema of CHG analysis and prediction activities.

ESSDD 8, C283–C299, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

