

---

**Woodland survey of  
Great Britain  
1971–2001**

C. M. Wood et al.

---

# Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

**C. M. Wood<sup>1</sup>, S. M. Smart<sup>1</sup>, and R. G. H. Bunce<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4AP, UK

<sup>2</sup>Estonian University of Life Sciences, Kreuzwaldi 1, 51014 Tartu, Estonia

Received: 10 February 2015 – Accepted: 13 February 2015 – Published: 25 February 2015

Correspondence to: C. M. Wood (clamw@ceh.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

The Woodland Survey of Great Britain is a unique dataset, consisting of a detailed range of ecological measurements at a national scale, covering a time span of 30 years. A set of 103 woods spread across Britain were first surveyed in 1971, which were again surveyed in 2000–2003 (for convenience referred to subsequently as the “2001 survey”). Standardised methods of describing the trees, shrubs, ground flora, soils and general habitats present were used for both sets of surveys. The sample of 1648 plots spread through 103 woodland sites located across Britain makes it probably the most extensive quantitative ecological woodland survey undertaken in Britain; it is also notable for the range of sites that have been re-visited after such a long interval. The dataset provides a unique opportunity to explore the effects of a range of potential drivers of woodland change that operated between 1971 and 2001. The dataset is available in four discrete parts, which have been assigned the following DOIs: doi:10.5285/4d93f9ac-68e3-49cf-8a41-4d02a7ead81a (Woodlands survey tree diameter data 1971–2001), doi:10.5285/d6409d40-58fe-4fa7-b7c8-71a105b965b4 (Woodlands survey site information 1971–2001), doi:10.5285/fb1e474d-456b-42a9-9a10-a02c35af10d2 (Woodlands survey soil data 1971–2001), doi:10.5285/2d023ce9-6dbe-4b4f-a0cd-34768e1455ae (Woodlands survey flora data 1971–2001).

## 1 Introduction

In 1971, a national survey of semi-natural woodlands in Great Britain was undertaken at the Nature Conservancy’s Research Station at Merlewood, Grange over Sands, Cumbria (a predecessor of the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology). The survey of 103 sites was planned by R. G. H. Bunce and M. W. Shaw (Bunce and Shaw, 1972; Hill et al., 1975; Bunce and Jeffers, 1979). The project at this time had the following objectives:

1. To develop an efficient user-orientated method of classifying semi-natural woodland ecosystems in Britain.

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2. To develop a complementary method of phytosociological classification for semi-natural woodlands.
3. To use or assist in the use of the classification in the fulfilment of the Nature Conservancy's aims and policies for wildlife conservation (Bunce and Shaw, 1973a).

5 Within the 103 woodland sites chosen, ecological information was recorded at the site level and in more detail from 16200 m<sup>2</sup> sample plots located at random within each site. From each of these plots the following data were collected; presence of vascular plants and bryophytes from five nested quadrat sizes, measurement of diameters at 1.3m (DBH – diameter at breast height) of all trees over 5 cm in diameter in the plot and of saplings and shrubs in specified quarters of the plot, site descriptions and soil samples. These data were collected from the 103 sites (1648 plots) by eight survey teams between July and September 1971.

15 In 2000, it was thought timely to revisit the 1971 survey. This time, the survey was focused on assessing the changes that had occurred within the woodland sites in the intervening 30 years, moving away from the original goals of the 1971 survey as outlined above. Fourteen sites were visited in 2000 as part of a pilot survey to assess the logistical and analytical implications of trying to carry out a re-survey (Smart et al., 2001). No surveys were carried out in 2001 because of the Foot and Mouth outbreak, but 56 sites were surveyed in summer 2002 and the remainder in 2003 by teams of consultant ecologists using exactly the same field methods as in 1971, as described below. 20 Prior to each survey a two-day training course was held at the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology to thoroughly prepare the surveyors with the detailed field protocols.

## 2 Survey sites

25 The 103 surveyed woodlands were chosen from a set of 2453 woodlands that had been part of a preliminary survey known as the “Steele” survey (Steele, 1968). This had begun in the late 1960s and was led by R. C. Steele, the head of the Nature

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Conservancy's woodland section. Standard recording cards were used, and the data provided background information for the Nature Conservation Review (Ratcliffe, 1977).

The sub-set of 103 was derived from the 2453 by Association Analysis (Williams and Lambert, 1959) and other numerical techniques that, at the time, were still novel and undergoing rapid development (Bunce and Shaw, 1973b; Hill et al., 1975; Bunce and Jeffers, 1979). These analyses put the woods into 103 groups according to the similarity of their plant species composition. The wood that was most typical of that group, was then selected for detailed survey. Site names and grid references are given in Table 1 (it should be noted that the majority of the sites are in private ownership and therefore permission from the landowner must be sought before any potential visit).

## 2.1 Site descriptions

The sites provide a representative sample of the geographic spread of woodland cover and the range of broadleaved/semi-natural woodland types. The sites also show a considerable physiographic variability in terms of rainfall, slope and aspect (Corney et al., 2004). The number of sites recorded in the 1971 survey from each of the 32 original ITE Land Classes in Britain (Bunce et al., 1990) was compared with the mean percentage area of broadleaved woodland, estimated from Countryside Survey 2000 data (Haines-Young et al., 2000), for each Land Class (Bunce et al., 1996). The comparison shows a good correspondence between national woodland area and the number of woodland survey sites recorded, with proportionally more surveyed woods from Land Classes with a high broadleaved woodland cover (Kirby et al., 2005).

In terms of woodland size, the woods surveyed range from 4 to 100 ha with a single outlier of 312 ha (Glen Beasdale Wood, Scotland). The mean size of the sample was 31.8 ha and the median 20.4 ha. The lower size cut off was determined by the minimum size (10 acres/4 ha) used in the original "Steele" survey (Steele, 1968).

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 2.2 Plot layout and descriptions

Sixteen plots were randomly positioned within each site in 1971 and the location of each was marked on a 1 : 25 000 map. Each plot was 14.1 m × 14.1 m (200 m<sup>2</sup>) (Fig. 2) and constructed as shown in Fig. 3, with one centre post and 4 corner posts, with a set of 4 strings tagged with markers at specified distances. The centre post had a right angled gauge affixed to the top, in order to orientate the plot at random. In the field, plots were located by pacing from the nearest relocatable feature. Data were then collected on ground flora, tree and shrub layers, soils and habitat characteristics for the plot as described below. A habitat sheet for the whole wood was also compiled.

In the 2001 survey, the original maps were used to find the same plot position from 1971 as accurately as possible. Analysis of the 1648 plot records taken in 1971 and 2001 described in Kirby et al. (2005) demonstrates that the records may be treated as paired data (i.e. relocation error was not significant). The advantage of paired data is that derived variables, such as species richness, can be reduced to differences for purposes of statistical testing. The total variation across time and sites will be less than if two completely random samples were collected in each year and the power of tests is thereby increased. Some relocation error was however inevitable given the limited information available.

## 3 Data collected

### 3.1 Site information, plot locations and information, slope and aspect

For both the whole woodland site, and for each of the 16 200 m<sup>2</sup> plots within, the presence and absence of a series of attributes were recorded. Attributes included management factors such as the presence of coppice or stumps, physiological factors such as the presence of rock or cliffs, habitat related factors such as the presence of rotting stumps or hollow trunks, aquatic habitats such as ponds, presence of buildings or open

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



habitats such as glades and rides, presence of epiphytes on trees, animals and birds, also boundary types and nearby land-use. A full list of habitats may be found in the 1971 field handbook (Shaw and Bunce, 1971) (supplied as supporting documentation with the datasets). The slope of each plot was measured in degrees using a hypsometer and the aspect of each plot was measured using a magnetic compass.

### 3.2 Vegetation data

Within the plot described in Fig. 2, the area within the first nest of the plot (2 m × 2 m) was searched for the presence of all vascular plants (monocots, dicots and ferns), including tree species. This procedure was repeated for each nest of the quadrat, increasing the size each time as shown in Fig. 2. In the final nest (the whole 200 m<sup>2</sup> plot), the percentage cover (to the nearest 5 %) of each species was estimated. In addition, the total cover of bryophytes was estimated from the entire plot, as was an overall estimate for litter, wood, rock, bare ground and standing water. Bryophytes and lichens were collected separately and specimens identified later in 1971; in the 2001 survey only a limited list of common bryophytes was recorded. Some species were recorded in 1971 as amalgamated taxa reflecting difficulties in their consistent separation, for example *Quercus robur* and *Q. petraea*. In the dataset, amalgamated taxon codes have been applied in order to remove the effect of recorders separating out such species to differing degrees.

### 3.3 Soil data

In both 1971 and 2001, soil samples were taken from every accessible plot in every woodland. A single composite soil sample was taken from each plot, at the centre of the vegetation quadrat, using a trowel. Samples (weighing approximately 1 kg) were taken to a depth of 15 cm and placed in a labelled plastic bag. On return to the laboratory, all soil samples were stored at 4 °C prior to processing and analyses. Soil samples from the 2001 survey were sieved using a 2 mm automatic sieving machine. A pH

Woodland survey of  
Great Britain  
1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



reading was taken on a representative fresh sub-sample from each soil sample before air-drying at 20 °C. Another sub-sample was then taken to determine loss-on-ignition, as a measure of soil organic matter content. Unless otherwise stated, soil pH values in the dataset are from the soil samples prior to air-drying (“fresh”).

5 All analyses were carried out under the supervision of the Environmental Chemistry Section at the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH), Merlewood, following standard methodologies (Allen, 1989) and quality control procedures, including the analyses of certified standard reference samples within batches.

10 During the 2001 survey, the same soil analysis protocols were used as in the 1971 survey but the equipment was different. Changes in analytical precision since 1971, due to modifications in technical equipment, could have influenced the significance of the results obtained from both pH and loss-on-ignition (LOI). Therefore repeat analyses of LOI on the 1971 samples and comparisons between fresh and air-dry soil samples from 1971 and 2001 were done to check the comparability of analytical methods between the two surveys. A representative number (ca. 20 %) of soil samples from 1971 were analysed for pH and LOI using the same procedures and equipment as for the 2001 survey. These results are included in the published dataset.

20 Soil group information is derived from data recorded in 1971. Information on soil moisture, texture, structure and colour for different horizons was recorded in the field. This information was translated into comparable Avery (Avery, 1980) soil codes in 2001.

### 3.4 Tree diameter

Trees, saplings and shrubs were recorded in the 200 m<sup>2</sup> plot, as described above. Decisions as to whether individuals are in the plot or not were based on the rooted base being 50 % or more within the plot.

25 For trees (stems of more than 5 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) of any species normally capable of attaining a treelike habit), the species and DBH of all stems in the whole plot greater than 5 cm were measured. Trees with multiple stems had each stem recorded separately. Standing dead trees were also measured and identified as such.

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Saplings (definition as for trees, but with a height of less than 130 cm and with a DBH less than 5 cm) were recorded only in quarters 1 and 3 of the plot (see Fig. 2). The same measurements as for trees were made. Shrubs, like saplings were also only recorded in quarters 1 and 3, and again the same measurements were taken. Shrubs were defined as species including hazel, blackthorn, *Viburnum* spp. and juniper.

#### 4 Data quality

The 1971 datasets were transferred from the original field sheets to spreadsheets prior to the 2001 surveys. The 1971 data were double-punched and then checked and corrected to produce a final validated copy. In the 2001 surveys, the consultant surveyors were asked to ensure that all data were corrected and validated prior to transfer in electronic form to CEH. Initial standard validation checks included plot and site counts to ensure no duplicate numbering and hence double counting of plots.

As part of the quality assurance process for the ground flora data, six sites were visited by a different set of surveyors and eight plots at each site recorded within two weeks of the main survey. A mixed model ANOVA showed no overall difference in species richness between the different surveyors (Kirby et al., 2005). Some plot relocation error was inevitable given the limited information available and the nature of the original maps. A method was developed to measure whether the 2001 record for a plot was more similar to the record for that plot in 1971 than another (randomly chosen) position from 1971. This approach was tested on the 14 pilot resurvey sites (Smart et al., 2001). All the sites showed higher similarity between plots as a result of the search for the 1971 plot location, and for nine sites there was significantly higher similarity. The same analysis was carried out for all the remaining sites. Overall at 97 sites (out of 103) mean similarity was greater between “relocated” plot pairs compared to random-pair comparison; for 59 sites the difference was significantly greater. The data have therefore been improved through the identification of the original plot locations. There is still a need for caution in interpreting the explanatory power of plot level variables

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





because of the possible confounding of plot relocation error and change over time. Small differences between years in plot location, for example, from an open patch to a more shaded patch could result in lower species richness and higher woody basal area being recorded for that plot. However, given the size of the dataset, individual plot errors due to this factor are likely to be balanced out over the whole sample.

It is important to note that there were some marked differences in the date of surveys between 1971 and the 2001 surveys, with most sites being recorded earlier in the year in 2001. This is likely to influence the recorded presence or abundance of vernal species in particular, with more species generally detectable in the late April–July period (Sykes and Horrill, 1979; Sykes et al., 1983; Kirby et al., 1986) than much later surveys. More species records would therefore be expected from the 2001 surveys. However, this might be offset to some extent by the effect of earlier springs as a consequence of climate change (Sparks and Smithers, 2002; Sparks and Collinson, 2003).

## 5 Data availability

All of the datasets are available from the CEH Environmental Information Data Centre Gateway (<https://gateway.ceh.ac.uk>) and via the following links: <http://doi.org/10.5285/4d93f9ac-68e3-49cf-8a41-4d02a7ead81a>, <http://doi.org/10.5285/d6409d40-58fe-4fa7-b7c8-71a105b965b4>, <http://doi.org/10.5285/fb1e474d-456b-42a9-9a10-a02c35af10d2>, <http://doi.org/10.5285/2d023ce9-6dbe-4b4f-a0cd-34768e1455ae> (Kirby et al., 2013a, b, c and d). Datasets are provided under the terms of the Open Government Licence (<http://eidchub.ceh.ac.uk/administration-folder/tools/ceh-standard-licence-texts/ceh-open-government-licence/plain>, <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/>).

Users of the datasets will find the following documents useful: “Long-term ecological change in British woodland (1971–2001)” (Kirby et al., 2005), “Woodlands Survey

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



of Great Britain 1971–2001: dataset documentation” (Smart et al., 2013) (both supplied as supporting information with the datasets), “The effect of landscape-scale environmental drivers on the vegetation composition of British woodlands” (Corney et al., 2004) and the Site Reports, written by the Site Surveyors (2003).

## 6 Conclusions

The countryside of Great Britain and its woods has changed considerably over the last 50 years, for a variety of reasons. Some change has been gradual and can be attributed to factors such as evolving farming and forestry practices, climate change and atmospheric pollution. These have driven gradual responses in the composition and structure of woods. Other woods have undergone sudden change, in response to drivers such as the Dutch elm disease outbreak of the late 1960s and 1970s or the 1987 storm in south-east England.

The Woodland Survey of Great Britain thus provides a rare opportunity to explore the effects of a range of potential drivers of woodland change that operated between 1971 and 2001. It is a unique dataset, consisting of a detailed range of ecological measurements at a national scale, covering a time span of over 30 years. It is also notable for the range of sites that have been revisited after such a long interval.

*Author contributions.* C. M. Wood prepared the manuscript with significant contributions from all co-authors, and is the current database manager for the Land Use Research Group at CEH Lancaster. S. M. Smart managed the survey in 2001 and has since carried out a wide range of analyses using the datasets described. R. G. H. Bunce designed the experiment (along with M. W. Shaw), ran the project in 1971 and made substantial contributions to the 2001 survey.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank H. I. J. Black who managed the woodland soil analysis in 2001, assisted by G. Havelock. We also thank the land owners and agents who gave permission to conduct surveys on their land. Without their cooperation this project would not have been possible. Particular thanks also go to: the funders (1971) The Nature Conservancy, (2001) Countryside Council for Wales, Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, English

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Instruments](#)[Data Provenance & Structure](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Nature, the Forestry Commission, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Scottish Natural Heritage, the Woodland Trust; the 2001 steering group S. Bailley, M. Crick, J. Goodwin, K. Holl, D. Jackson, G. Patterson, S. Pryor, M. Smith, R. Smithers, A. Stott, I. Strachan; R. Isted and A. Wagstaff who ran the contract for the 2001 resurvey; the surveyors and support staff (1971):  
 5 R. Bunce, C. Barr, W. Bowen, A. H. F. Brown, A. D. Horrill, J. M. Sykes, N. E. Barber, P. A. Bassett, S. Daggitt, J. C. Holmes, I. P. Howes, T. J. Moss, G. K. A. Reynolds, P. L. Rye, C. Sargent, C. Smith, D. J. Taylor, P. Wilkins (2001) R. and F. Bunce, R. Cowan, L. Edey, H. Ford, T. Goucher, R. Hadden, V. Howard, M. King, K. Krowther, B. Lascelles, M. Prosser, J. Smith, H. Wallace, B. Wheeler, P. Wilson, S. M. Wilson, A. Scott, C. Hallam, D. Abel, G. Havelock, L. Maskell,  
 10 M. Rossall, N. Ray, D. Singleton, C. Wood.

## References

- Allen, S. E. (Ed.): Chemical Analysis of Ecological Materials, 2nd Edn., Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1989.
- Avery, B. W.: Soil Classification for England and Wales [Higher Categories], Technical Monograph, Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1980.
- 15 Bunce, R. G. H. and Jeffers, J.: British woodlands in a European context, in: ITE Symposium No 8. Nature of Tree and Woodland Resources, edited by: Last, F. T. and Gardiner, A. S., ITE, Huntingdon, 1979.
- Bunce, R. G. H. and Shaw, M. W.: Classifying woodlands for nature conservation, Forestry and British Timber, 1, 23–25, 1972.
- 20 Bunce, R. G. H. and Shaw, M. W.: National survey of semi-natural woodlands, in: Merlewood Research Station Report for 1970–72, The Nature Conservancy, 1973a.
- Bunce, R. G. H. and Shaw, M. W.: A standardised method for ecological survey, J. Environ. Manage., 1, 239–258, 1973b.
- 25 Bunce, R. G. H., Barr, C. J., Clarke, R. T., Howard, D. C., Lane, A. M. J.: ITE Land Classification of Great Britain 1990, NERC-Environmental Information Data Centre, doi:10.5285/ab320e08-faf5-48e1-9ec9-77a213d2907f, 1990.
- Bunce, R. G. H., Barr, C. J., Clarke, R. T., Howard, D. C., and Lane, A. M. J.: ITE Merlewood land classification of Great Britain, J. Biogeogr., 23, 625–634, 1996.

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Instruments](#)[Data Provenance & Structure](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Corney, P. M., Le Duc, M. G., Smart, S. M., Kirby, K. J., Bunce, R. G. H., and Marrs, R. H.: The effect of landscape-scale environmental drivers on the vegetation composition of British woodlands, *Biol. Conserv.*, 120, 491–505, doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2004.03.022, 2004.
- Haines-Young, R. H., Barr, C. J., Black, H. I. J., Briggs, D. J., Bunce, R. G. H., Clarke, R. T., Cooper, A., Dawson, F. H., Firbank, L. G., Fuller, R. M., Furse, M. T., Gillespie, M. K., Hill, R., Hornung, M., Howard, D. C., McCann, T., Morecroft, M. D., Petit, S., Sier, A. R. J., Smart, S. M., Smith, G. M., Stott, A. P., Stuart, R. C., and Watkins, J. W.: Accounting for Nature: Assessing Habitats in the UK Countryside, DETR, London, 2000.
- Hill, M. O., Bunce, R. G. H., and Shaw, M. W.: Indicator species analysis, a divisive polythetic method of classification, and its application to a survey of native pinewoods in Scotland, *J. Ecol.*, 63, 597–613, 1975.
- Kirby, K., Bines, T., Burn, A., Mackintosh, J., Pitkin, P., and Smith, I.: Seasonal and observer differences in vascular plant records from British woodlands, *J. Ecol.*, 74, 123–131, 1986.
- Kirby, K., Smart, S., Black, H., Bunce, R., Corney, P., and Smithers, R.: Long-Term Ecological Change in British Woodland (1971–2001), English Nature Research Reports, 2005.
- Kirby, K. J., Smart, S. M., Black, H. I. J., Bunce, R. G. H., Corney, P. M., Smithers, R. J., and Shaw, M. W.: Woodlands survey tree diameter data 1971–2001, doi:10.5285/4d93f9ac-68e3-49cf-8a41-4d02a7ead81a, 2013a.
- Kirby, K. J., Smart, S. M., Black, H. I. J., Bunce, R. G. H., Corney, P. M., Smithers, R. J., and Shaw, M. W.: Woodlands survey site information 1971–2001, doi:10.5285/d6409d40-58fe-4fa7-b7c8-71a105b965b4, 2013b.
- Kirby, K. J., Smart, S. M., Black, H. I. J., Bunce, R. G. H., Corney, P. M., Smithers, R. J., and Shaw, M. W.: Woodlands Survey Soil Data 1971–2001, doi:10.5285/fb1e474d-456b-42a9-9a10-a02c35af10d2, 2013c.
- Kirby, K. J., Smart, S. M., Black, H. I. J., Bunce, R. G. H., Corney, P. M., Smithers, R. J., and Shaw, M. W.: Woodlands Survey Flora Data 1971–2001, doi:10.5285/2d023ce9-6dbe-4b4f-a0cd-34768e1455ae, 2013d.
- Ratcliffe, D. A.: A Nature Conservation Review: the Selection of Biological Sites of National Importance to Nature Conservation in Britain, Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- Shaw, M. W. and Bunce, R. G. H.: National Woodlands Classification 1971 Handbook of Field Methods, Merlewood Research Station, 1971.

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Instruments](#)[Data Provenance & Structure](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Site Surveyors: Long Term Ecological Change in British Woodland (1971–2001). Site Reports from the 103 Sites Surveyed in the Nature Conservancy “Bunce 1971” Woodland Survey and Resurveyed in 2001–2003, edited by: Rossall, M., 2003.

Smart, S. M., Bunce, R. G. H., Black, H. I. J., Ray, N., Bunce, F., Kirby, K., Watson, R., and Singleton, D.: Measuring Long Term Change in Biodiversity in British Woodlands (1971–2000) – a Pilot Re-Survey of 14 Sites from the ITE/NCC “Bunce” Woodland Survey and Two from Native Pinewoods, final report, English Nature, 56 pp. + Appendices, 2001.

Smart, S. M., Kirby, K., Corney, P., and Wood, C. M.: Woodlands Survey of Great Britain 1971–2001: Dataset Documentation, 2013.

Sparks, T. and Collinson, N.: Wildlife starts to adapt to a warming climate, *Biologist*, 50, 273–276, 2003.

Sparks, T. and Smithers, R.: Is spring getting earlier?, *Weather*, 57, 157–166, 2002.

Steele, R. C.: National Survey of Woodlands, reprinted from *Society for the Promotion of Nature Reserves Handbook*, reprinted from *Society for the Promotion of Nature Reserves Handbook*, Lincoln, 1968.

Sykes, J. M. and Horrill, A. D.: Survey Methods Within Woodland, contract HF3/03/107, Nature Conservancy Council, Peterborough, 1979.

Sykes, J., Horrill, A., and Mountford, M.: Use of visual cover assessments as quantitative estimators of some British woodland taxa, *J. Ecol.*, 71, 437–450, 1983.

Williams, W. and Lambert, J. M.: Multivariate methods in plant ecology: I. Association-analysis in plant communities, *J. Ecol.*, 47, 83–101, 1959.

**Table 1.** List of the 103 woodland sites.

Site Code	Site	OSGB Easting	OSGB Northing	Site Code	Site	OSGB Easting	OSGB Northing
1	Waverley Wood	4355	2710	53	Bubney wood	3509	3420
2	Pickreed Wood	5503	1266	54	Newclose Wood	3392	5015
3	Greenaleigh Plantation	2955	1479	55	Carmel Wood	2594	2162
4	Reins Wood	4567	4850	56	Den of Alyth Wood	3230	7487
5	Love's Copse	4274	1735	57	Pinkney Bank Wood	4704	5142
6	Longleat Woods	3790	1432	58	Coed Gelli-draws	3058	1885
7	Compton Wood	3537	1570	59	Gartfairn Wood	2434	6896
8	Say's Copse and Smalladine Copse	4724	2435	60	Eaves wood	3468	4762
9	Hawthorn Dene	4435	5458	61	Longclose Wood	4135	5560
10	Kitesgrove, Juniperhall and Home woods; Big Ashes and Stockings Plantations	4715	1880	62	Winster Wood	3410	4930
11	Old Park Wood	5011	3267	63	Riding Mill Wood	4013	5612
12	Midger Wood and Back Common	3797	1895	64	Rottenbutts Wood	3670	4890
13	Austy Wood	4170	2627	65	Great Plantation	3183	1431
14	Birds Marsh	3918	1756	66	Glan Morlies	2403	2114
15	Beck Hole Scar	4823	5022	67	Eden Gorge Wood	3527	5425
16	Ashampstead Common	4582	1750	68	Blane Wood	2507	6851
17	Ashberry Wood	4569	4851	69	Newton House Wood	4885	5040
18	Fridd Wood	3157	2947	70	Over Dale Wood	4847	5140
19	Lower Wetmoor	3742	1877	71	Morse's Grove	3685	2137
20	Wellhanger Copse	4870	1147	72	Hall Brow	3348	4885
21	Sapperton South Wood and Pickworth Wood	5030	3340	73	Great Knott	3334	4918
22	Park Wood	3703	1321	74	Glen Beasdale Wood	1708	7847
23	Betty Daw's Wood	3698	2283	75	Ceunant Dulyrn	2757	3683
24	Hill wood	3782	1574	76	Coille Coire Chuilc	2327	7281
25	Papworth Wood	5291	2629	77	Dounduff Wood	2975	8486
26	Loocombe Wood	3668	1512	78	Allt-yr-Hebog	2685	2440
27	Rivey Wood	5565	2478	79	Warren Wood	5245	1294
28	Spital	4683	3484	80	Hoad's Wood	5643	1187
29	Medmenham wood	4810	1845	81	Wern-fawr Wood	2588	2239
30	Piddles Wood	3795	1130	82	Blakeneyhill Wood	3658	2087
31	Balsham	5588	2496	83	Tynron	2825	5924
32	Hoddesdonpark Wood	5353	2085	84	Wellington Wood	3513	4546
33	Docksight Wood	5013	3158	85	Allt-ddu and Dol-y-garneidd wood	2715	2973
34	Luns Hill Wood	3539	1307	86	Dinas Wood	2783	2467
35	Whitbarrow Wood	3436	4870	87	Coedcochion Wood	2916	3694
36	Pike Gill Wood	3610	4668	88	Leith Hill Place Wood; Farmhouse, Slittens and Hooks Copse	5137	1427
37	Birks Brow	3410	4920	89	Allt Blairn Eigan	2384	2256
38	Craighall Gorge	3178	7490	90	Houndtor Wood	2770	804
39	Haverigg Holme	3264	4915	91	Chiddingly Wood	5347	1320
40	Mill wood	3455	8504	92	Gelli-hir Wood	2563	1927
41	Coed Y Wenault	2649	3531	93	Llangibby Park Wood	3360	1972
42	Callender	3150	8367	94	Bradenham Wood; The Coppice	4835	1975
43	Seatoller Wood	3239	5131	95	Priestfield	4153	5568
44	New Laund and High Wood	3653	4468	96	Garreg-goch-isaf wood	2540	2185
45	Sliding Braes	4148	5569	97	Afon Sylgen wood	2315	2332
46	White Cliff Wood	4711	5185	98	Glen Orchill Wood	3335	8516
47	Corrieshalloch Gorge	2205	8780	99	Dulwich wood	5340	1725
48	Hensol Wood	3052	1802	100	Nettlebedcommon Wood	4700	1875
49	Pen-yr-at Wood	1884	2338	101	Oakers	3808	916
50	Garroch Wood	2595	5822	102	Lower Nut Hurst wood	4105	2970
51	Cil-Hen-Ros	2188	2215	103	Normanton Down gorse	4121	1414
52	Allt Penarth Wood	2648	2407				

# ESSDD

8, 259–277, 2015

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#) [Instruments](#)

[Data Provenance & Structure](#)

[Tables](#) [Figures](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

[Back](#) [Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



**Table 2.** Summary of data collected.

Data Category	1971 survey	2001 survey
Ground flora	Species present in the plot % cover/ abundance estimates Bryophyte collection	As 1971 except that only most common bryophytes recorded
Trees	DBH (diameter at breast height) and species recorded from all four quadrants	As 1971
Shrubs and saplings	DBH and species recorded from diagonally opposite quarters	As 1971
Seedlings	Included with the ground flora records	As 1971
Plot description and habitats	Tick list of features (broad categories): Tree management Regeneration Dead trees Epiphytes Rock habitats Aquatic habitats Open habitats Human elements Vegetation structure Animal signs	As 1971
Soil data	Tick list description from small pit and augur boring in the centre of the plot – to determine soil type Composite soil sample from top 10–15 cm	Composite soil sample from top 10–15 cm
Whole wood description	Tick list of features (broad categories). As for plot, plus adjacent land use and boundary type	As 1971, plus surveyors were asked to make a summary report for the whole site (Site Surveyors, 2003)

**ESSDD**

8, 259–277, 2015

**Woodland survey of  
Great Britain  
1971–2001**

C. M. Wood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Instruments](#)[Data Provenance & Structure](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 3.** Summary of datasets available.

Dataset	DOI	Description
Site information Woodlands_Survey_Site_Information_1971_2001	doi:10.5285/d6409d40-58fe-4fa7-b7c8-71a105b965b4	Slope. Aspect. Locations. Descriptions. Habitat categories.
Vegetation Data Woodlands_Survey_Flora_Data_1971_2001	doi:10.5285/2d023ce9-6dbe-4b4f-a0cd-34768e1455ae	Vascular plants. Bryophytes. Lichens.
Soil Data Woodlands_Survey_Soil_Data_1971_2001	doi:10.5285/fb1e474d-456b-42a9-9a10-a02c35af10d2	pH and Loss on ignition (Soil or organic matter), soil group
Tree diameter Woodlands_Survey_Tree_Diameter_Data_1971_2001	doi:10.5285/4d93f9ac-68e3-49cf-8a41-4d02a7ead81a	Trees – diameter at breast height (DBH)




# ESSDD

8, 259–277, 2015

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.



**Figure 1.** Map of woodland survey site locations across Great Britain.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.



Distance string position from centre - 1/2 diagonal:

$$Q1 = 4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ quadrat } (2 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ m}) = 1.42 \text{ m diagonal}$$

$$Q2 = 25 \text{ m}^2 \text{ (} 5.00 \times 5.00 \text{ m)} = 3.54 \text{ m}$$

$$Q3 = 50 \text{ m}^2 \text{ (} 7.07 \times 7.07 \text{ m)} = 5.00 \text{ m}$$

$$Q4 = 100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ (} 10.00 \times 10.00 \text{ m)} = 7.07 \text{ m}$$

$$Q5 = 200 \text{ m}^2 \text{ (} 14.14 \times 14.14 \text{ m)} = 10.00 \text{ m}$$

*Not to scale*

**Figure 2.** Layout of vegetation plot.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




# ESSDD

8, 259–277, 2015

## Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001

C. M. Wood et al.



**Figure 3.** Plot construction.

Title Page

Abstract

Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

