Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 8, 1021–1060, 2015 www.earth-syst-sci-data-discuss.net/8/1021/2015/ doi:10.5194/essdd-8-1021-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Earth System Science Data (ESSD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ESSD if available.

CPLFD-GDPT5: high-resolution gridded daily precipitation and temperature dataset for two largest Polish river basins

T. Berezowski¹, M. Szcześniak¹, I. Kardel¹, R. Michałowski¹, T. Okruszko¹, A. Mezghani², and M. Piniewski^{1,3}

¹Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Nowoursynowska 166, 02-787 Warsaw, Poland

²Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Henrik Mohns Plass 1, 0313 Oslo, Norway

³Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

Received: 9 November 2015 – Accepted: 30 November 2015 – Published: 18 December 2015

Correspondence to: T. Berezowski (t.berezowski@levis.sggw.pl)

Published by Copernicus Publications.

Discussion Pa	ESS 8, 1021–1	SDD 060, 2015		
aper Discu:	High-resolution gridded daily precipitation and temperature dataset			
ssion Paper	T. Berezw	roski et al. Page		
	Abstract	Instruments		
Disc	Data Provenance & Structure			
cussion	Tables	Figures		
Pag	[◄	►I		
)er	•	•		
-	Back	Close		
Discussio	Full Scre	een / Esc ndly Version		
on P	Interactive Discussion			
aper				

Abstract

The CHASE-PL Forcing Data-Gridded Daily Precipitation and Temperature Dataset-5 km (CPLFD-GDPT5) consists of 1951–2013 daily minimum and maximum air temperatures and precipitation totals interpolated onto a 5 km grid based on daily meteoro-

- Iogical observations from Institute of Meteorology and Water Management (IMGW-PIB; Polish stations), Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD, German and Czech stations), ECAD and NOAA-NCDC (Slovak, Ukrainian and Belarus stations). The main purpose for constructing this product was the need for long-term aerial precipitation and temperature data for earth-system modelling, especially hydrological modelling. The spatial cover-
- age is the union of Vistula and Odra basin and Polish territory. The number of available meteorological stations for precipitation and temperature varies in time from about 100 for temperature and 300 for precipitation in 1950 up to about 180 for temperature and 700 for precipitation in 1990. The precipitation dataset was corrected for snowfall and rainfall under-catch with the Richter method. The interpolation methods were: kriging
- ¹⁵ with elevation as external drift for temperatures and indicator kriging combined with universal kriging for precipitation. The kriging cross-validation revealed low root mean squared errors expressed as a fraction of standard deviation (SD): 0.54 and 0.47 for minimum and maximum temperature, respectively and 0.79 for precipitation. The correlation scores were 0.84 for minimum temperatures, 0.88 for maximum temperatures
- and 0.65 for precipitation. The CPLFD-GDPT5 product is consistent with 1971–2000 climatic data published by IMGW-PIB. We also confirm good skill of the product for hydrological modelling by performing an application using the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) in the Vistula and Odra basins.

Link to the dataset:

²⁵ http://data.3tu.nl/repository/uuid:e939aec0-bdd1-440f-bd1e-c49ff10d0a07



1 Introduction

25

High resolution aerial precipitation and air temperature data is becoming more and more desired as input or verification data for distributed earth-system modelling. Certainly, one of the most demanding branch for these data is distributed hydrologi-

- ⁵ cal modelling. Rainfall–runoff models, e.g.: SWAT (Arnold et al., 1998), WetSpa (Liu and De Smedt, 2004) or TOPMODEL (Beven et al., 1995), rely on precipitation as a driver for hydrological processes. Similarly, integrated models, e.g. MIKE SHE (Abbott et al., 1986), HydroGeoSphere (Brunner and Simmons, 2012) or ParFLOW (Kollet and Maxwell, 2006), and channel and floodplain hydrodynamical models, e.g. LISFLOOD-
- ¹⁰ 2D (Bates and De Roo, 2000) can use precipitation data as a boundary condition. There are also numerous applications for precipitation in hydrological engineering, e.g., peak flow at a given return period or runoff coefficient estimations. Applicability of temperature data in hydrological modelling is also very important, although, less straightforward. Temperature is often used as a variable in sub-components of hydrological
- ¹⁵ models. Models like WetSpa, SWAT, SRM (Martinec, 1975) or HydroGeoSphere use temperature for snowmelt estimation with the degree-day model. Several models use air temperature and ancillary variables to estimate potential evapotranspiration (e.g. Hargreaves, 1975) or actual evapotranspiration (e.g. Wang et al., 2007). These models can be applied independently from hydrological models in order to generate evap-²⁰ otranspiration series but can be also implemented in the models source code (e.g.
- Hargreaves in SWAT).

Global datasets which include (sub-)daily gridded precipitation and temperature (Sheffield et al., 2006; Dee et al., 2011; Schamm et al., 2014; Weedon et al., 2014) are available in a range of spatial resolutions, with typically highest resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$, which is equivalent to $28 \text{ km} \times 28 \text{ km}$ at the equator and $28 \text{ km} \times 14 \text{ km}$ at the 60° N. Numerous applications of these datasets are found for large-scale hydrological modelling (Haddeland et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013; Abbaspour et al., 2015).



However, the aforementioned spatial resolution is often not high enough when the

study area is smaller than one grid cell of the data. For this reason local meteorological gridded datasets are continuously appearing, at countrywide or regional scale (Jones et al., 2009; Rauthe et al., 2013; Isotta et al., 2014; Keller et al., 2015). So far no high resolution gridded dataset exists neither for Vistula nor Odra basin nor for Polish

- ⁵ territory, except a partial cover by the CARPATCLIM project (Spinoni et al., 2015). The advantage of the regional datasets is their finer spatial resolution than in the global datasets, usually between 5 km × 5 km and 1 km × 1 km. Hence, they are better suitable for local-scale modelling (e.g. hydrological modelling) than the coarser-resolution global datasets (Berezowski et al., 2015a).
- ¹⁰ The mentioned above regional gridded dataset are constructed by interpolating observations from national meteorological networks. However, no clear guideline exists for selecting optimal method for spatial interpolation of meteorological variables. In general the geostatistical (kriging) and inverse distance weighted (IDW) methods are preferable for seasonal and daily rainfall interpolation over Thiessen polygons, poly-
- ¹⁵ nomial interpolation or other deterministic methods (Ly et al., 2013). An earlier study by Szcześniak and Piniewski (2015) showed that kriging interpolation of precipitation for several meso-scale basins in Poland outperformed IDW and Thiessen polygons in skill for hydrological modelling. Indeed, kriging is recently often used as the interpolation method for precipitation and air temperature with satisfactory results quantified by
- ²⁰ correlation coefficients or root mean squared errors (Carrera-Hernández and Gaskin, 2007; Hofstra et al., 2008; Ly et al., 2011; Herrera et al., 2012). Each of these studies, however, use different flavours of kriging, that can be: ordinary kriging (assumes constant mean), universal kriging (removal of trend based on the spatial coordinates), kriging with external drift (mean is dependent on external variable, e.g. elevation map),
- co-kriging (estimates a variable based on its values and values of other variables) and others. Again, selection of the most appropriate kriging method is variable dependent (by studying phenomena responsible for observations of the variable, e.g. relations with elevation) and case-study dependent (by investigating whether any trend is ob-



served at given spatial and temporal scale, e.g. seasonal and geographical relations with climate).

In this study we show the work-flow for constructing the CHASE-PL Forcing Data-Gridded Daily Precipitation and Temperature Dataset-5 km (CPLFD-GDPT5) product.

- ⁵ The CPLFD-GDPT5 product is aimed at providing input data for earth-system modelling, especially hydrological modelling. The work-flow description is accompanied by detailed verification of the product, consistency check of the product with long-term climatic maps and description of the product applicability. Objective of this study is to give transparent information about the CPLFD-GDPT5 details for users. We also believe that the presented herein work-flow can be a guideline for other regional mete-
- orological interpolation studies.

2 Data processing and methods

2.1 Temporal and spatial representation of the CPLFD-GDPT5

The temporal range for the CPLFD-GDPT5 product is from 1 January 1951 to 31 December 2013 in daily resolution, in total 23011 days. In the source data some records since 1940 were available, however, the network of meteorological stations was too sparse for reasonable interpolation results before 1951.

The spatial extent of the CPLFD-GDPT5 product is the union of Vistula and Odra basins and the Polish territory (Fig. 1). The spatial resolution is 5 km square grid. We used projected coordinate system PUWG 1992 (EPSG:2180), which has an advantage of being valid for our entire area of interest. The coordinate system PUWG 1992 has distortions varying longitudinally ranging from -70 cm km⁻¹ (western study area) to 90 cm km⁻¹ (eastern study area), which are negligible at 5 km grid resolution. Moreover, PUWG-1992 is easily re-projected to other coordinate system, because it is based on the Coordinate System System 200 cm km⁻² (CRS 80) allocated to the coordinate system, because it is based on the Coordinate System 200 cm km⁻² (CRS 80) allocate the system 200 cm km⁻¹ (western study area).

the Geodetic Reference System '80 (GRS 80) ellipsoid (GRS 80 is almost the same as the World Geodetic System '84 – WGS 84 ellipsoid).



2.2 Source data

We have compiled meteorological data from four databases:

- Institute of Meteorology and Water Management National Research Institute (IMGW-PIB) – Polish stations (N = 738);
- 5 2. Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD) German and Czech stations (N = 24);
 - European Climate Assessment and Dataset (ECAD) Slovak, Ukrainian and Belarus stations (N = 22);
 - 4. National Oceanic and Atmosphere Administration National Climatic Data Center (NOAA-NCDC) Slovak, Ukrainian and Belarus stations (N = 32).
- ¹⁰ The numbers of available meteorological station for precipitation and temperature (Figs. 2 and 3) exhibit similar trends. The number of stations increase from minimum in 1951 to reach the maximum around 1990 and then decrease until 2013 to reach the number similar as in 1980. The number of precipitation stations is almost four times higher than the number of temperature stations. Distribution of the meteorological sta-¹⁵ tions is presented in Fig. 4.

National meteorological administrations like IMGW-PIB and DWD are WMO members (WMO, 2015) and has standardised protocols for meteorological observations. Whereas, NOAA and ECAD data used in this paper comes as a redistribution of relevant national meteorological administrations (Project team ECAD, 2013; Menne et al.,

²⁰ 2012) which are also WMO members. All organizations from which we have compiled the data conduct quality control check for raw data before the data is made publicly available.

2.3 No-data filtering and quality check

25

IMGW-PIB measurement network is divided into three groups of stations depending on their order: "Synoptyczne" (En. Synoptic), "Klimatyczne" (En. Climatic) and "Opadowe"



(En. Precipitate). Precipitation data from the lowest order stations "Opadowe" do not distinguish between "Lack of precipitation" (or "0") and "No-data". Occurrence of the true "No-data" records is generally extremely rare, and clearly "Lack of precipitation" is incomparably more frequent. For this reason we have initially changed all "No-data"

- ⁵ records into 0 values for all "holes" whose duration was shorter than 2 months, whereas all "holes" longer than 2 months were left unchanged. This was based on a valid assumption that in the Polish climate, periods with no precipitation would never exceed 2 months. In the next step, for each case of a station with a "hole", we have computed precipitation totals in all neighbouring stations (20 km radius). Depending on the
- amount of precipitation registered in the neighbouring stations, we have either left the 0 values unchanged, or re-established former "No data" values. After carrying on these procedures, the mean percentage of 0 values in all stations belonging to the order "Opadowe" was equal to 52 %. In comparison, the respective numbers for two other orders of stations that were free of such problems ("Synoptyczne" and "Klimatyczne"), were equal to 51 and 55 %, respectively, which shows that the applied procedure did
- not alter the distribution of dry days in time series.

We have also removed suspicious values from the temperature time series. There were two cases of suspiciously high values of temperature at two stations, both with periods of these incorrect values no longer than a month. This data was removed from

the dataset. Possibly the errors may be attributed to the failure of the measuring device. In addition daily and monthly values of precipitation and maximum and minimum temperatures at IMGW-PIB stations were compared with climatic records for Poland to check for outlying values. Moreover several NOAA stations with suspiciously high values of precipitation with constantly repeating exact the same values were removed.

25 2.4 Rainfall and snowfall under-catch correction

Local precipitation measured in a rain gauge is not representative for aerial precipitation. This is due to various factors, e.g.: wind speed, rain gauge shielding, or precipitation type. Several models for correcting precipitation for under-catch were developed.



In Poland an empirical model proposed by Chomicz (1976) is often used. The Chomicz (1976) model considers only liquid precipitation and has parameters available only within Polish borders. Hence, we had to used another model, that is valid internationally and accounts for both solid and liquid precipitation. We have chosen the Richter (1995) model for correcting snowfall and rainfall under-catch, which is recognized by WMO (Goodison et al., 1998). The Rainfall and snowfall under-catch correction is applied in the following steps:

1. Mean daily air temperature [°C] is calculated for all precipitation stations, as: $\bar{t} = (\hat{t}_n + \hat{t}_x)/2$. The \hat{t}_n and \hat{t}_x are the minimum and maximum daily temperatures [°C] obtained from our CPLFD-GDPT5 product (see Sect. 2.5.1). For the calculations we have used \hat{t}_n and \hat{t}_x values from a grid cell containing respective meteorological precipitation station. We could not use the average temperatures measured at the stations because the latter were not recorded systematically at the majority of the stations. Neither, we did not want to use a blended approach (use measured temperature if available, otherwise use interpolated), because this would be harmful for calculation consistency.

- 2. Measured precipitation is classified based on mean daily temperature, as:
 - a. snow if $\hat{t} < 1.0$ °C,
 - b. mixed snow and rain if $\hat{t} \ge 1.0 \degree C \land \hat{t} < 2.0 \degree C$,
- c. or rain if $\hat{t} \ge 2.0$ °C.

10

15

20

25

3. The corrected precipitation [mm] is calculated based on Richter (1995) formulae as $\tilde{p} = bp^{e}$, where *p* is the measured precipitation total [mm], *b* is the coefficient [–] for the influence of wind exposition of the measurements site, and *e* is a seasonally varying empiric coefficient [–] for the precipitation type (snow, mixed snow and rain, or rain). Range of *b* and *e* values used in this paper is available in Richter (1995). The values of *b* were set as for "medium shielding" for all stations apart from those in the mountains or close to the coast, where *b* were set as for "low



shielding" (Fig. 5). The rationale behind assigning different values of b for different location lays in the fact that wind speed is generally higher in mountains and at the seaside than in the lowlands.

2.5 Interpolation

- Our meteorological observations with spatial coordinates after pre-processing steps described above (Sect. 2.2–2.4) were interpolated with two different kriging methods. Minimum and maximum temperatures were interpolated with the kriging with external drift and precipitation with universal kriging. The exponential variogram model was used in each case with the variogram parameters estimated automatically for each daily kriging with the weighted least squares fit (Pebesma, 2014). The block kriging
- approach was used with the block size equal to the output square grid size, i.e., 5 km. Computations were conducted in the R software (R Core Team, 2015) with the "gstat" package (Pebesma, 2004).

2.5.1 Temperature kriging

¹⁵ Both minimum and maximum temperatures were interpolated in the same way. Kriging with external drift was used in order to account for the temperature variability with elevation. This approach was used in other similar studies (e.g. Hattermann et al., 2005; Haylock et al., 2008). The external drift variable was elevation [m] obtained from the SRTM DEM aggregated to 5 km grid. In order to remove the "No-data" values from the SRTM data the elevation of seas and oceans was relabelled to 0.0 m.

2.5.2 Precipitation kriging

25

Precipitation was interpolated using a two-step approach combining the universal kriging of the precipitation data (first step) with the indicator kriging of the precipitation occurrence data (second step). This approach was selected due to giving good results with a similar problem (e.g. Herrera et al., 2012). Universal kriging was chosen because



the trend was not removed from the precipitation data beforehand. Indicator kriging was applied on binary data in order to allow reducing the smoothing effect of around zero value zones. The daily precipitation totals p in each station were reclassified to binary according to:

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < 0.1 \text{ mm} \\ 1 & \text{if } p \ge 0.1 \text{ mm} \end{cases}$$

5

10

15

The 0.1 mm threshold value was used as it reflects the error due to rain gauges which is measured by ISO standards. As a result of the indicator kriging a raster of real values ranging between 0 and 1 was obtained, these values represent probabilities of a day being wet i.e. precipitation being equal to 0.1 mm or higher, or "wet day probabilities". This raster was used to mask the very small precipitation totals obtained from the universal kriging of precipitation data (first step). The mask was applied if the indicator kriging interpolation value was smaller than a threshold. Usually a value of 0.5 is selected as the threshold because it represent the 50 % probability, but in our case better results were obtained with a smaller threshold, equal to 0.1. In the final step any negative precipitation values (if still present) were changed to 0.

2.5.3 Cross-validation

For each daily interpolation a cross validation was performed for all stations, i.e., each station was removed from the sample one at a time and the remaining stations were used to predict the value of the missing station.

- The cross-validation was conducted in both temporal and spatial scale. In the temporal scale the errors were calculated for each day from all stations having data on this day. Due to a high number of records in the temporal scale we present the results in form of a descriptive statistics table. In the spatial scale the errors are calculated for each station from a station's all available daily values. The number of records in the
- ²⁵ spatial scale calculation is equal to the number of meteorological stations used, hence, we present the result in form of maps.



The interpolation errors were quantified using two functions: (1) the Pearson's correlation coefficient (ρ [–]) and (2) the root mean squared error normalized to standard deviation of the observed data [–]:

$$\text{RMSEsd} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Y_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2}}}{\sigma_{Y}}$$

- ⁵ where *Y* and \hat{Y} are respectively the observed and interpolated values of a given variable (precipitation or temperature), *N* is the number of observations (number of stations in the spatial approach or number of days in the temporal approach) and σ_Y is the standard deviation of observations. The ρ values shows the collinearity of the observed and interpolated data and the RMSEsd values show the interpolation error as a fraction of
- ¹⁰ the observations standard deviation. Note that ρ and RMSEsd can not be calculated for days with no observed precipitation (i.e. precipitation in all stations is 0.0 mm) because the standard deviation is 0.0 mm (N = 154). Because of that these days were excluded from the cross-validation analysis.

3 Validation

20

3.1 Cross-validation of precipitation

The daily ρ and RMSEsd statistics for precipitation shows that 75% of ρ values are higher than 0.47 and RMSEsd values are lower than 0.93, respectively (Table 1). Median ρ is 0.65 and median RMSEsd is 0.79. Majority of the RMSEsd values are not exceeding one standard deviation and nearly all ρ values are positive.

The median of daily RMSEsd values aggregated in years is negatively correlated (-0.72) with the number of available stations (Fig. 7), sharply decreasing as the number of stations increases and reaching an equilibrium in 1980s. This suggests that the



kriging errors are dependent on the density of the observation network. We also found that the interpolation results before 1960 are associated with higher uncertainty (Fig. 7).

When considering the RMSEsd calculated spatially for all stations the results show a clear pattern of higher errors at the edge of the interpolation area, particularly in Be-

⁵ Iarus, Ukraine and Slovakia (Fig. 6). Notably, most of the stations with high errors come from other sources than IMGW-PIB. Indeed, stations at the edge of the interpolation area, which are managed by IMGW-PIB or DWD do not show the higher errors (cf. NE and W boundary). The median RMSEsd in the spatial scale is 0.50. Analogous situation is obtained for ρ spatial pattern in meteorological station (Fig. 6) with the median ρ equals to 0.87.

A similar interpolation study was conducted by Ly et al. (2011). In their study the error was quantified by RMSE not normalized to standard deviation, thus, the units were mm. After recalculation of our RMSEsd back to RMSE the values show similar ranges as in Ly et al. (2011), with interquartile range 0.5–2.5 mm and 97.5 percentile of 5.5 mm suggesting that our errors are within an acceptable range.

3.2 Cross-validation of temperature

15

20

The statistics of daily RMSEsd shows the median equal to 0.54 for the minimum temperature and 0.47 for maximum temperature (Table 1). Nearly all the RMSEsd values in both cases do not exceed one standard deviation and the ρ values are positive. The daily ρ statistics for minimum and maximum temperature show that 75% of ρ values

are above 0.77 for minimum temperature and above 0.86 for maximum temperature. The median of ρ is 0.84 for the minimum temperature and 0.88 for the maximum temperature.

The median of daily RMSEsd values for minimum temperature aggregated in years is not correlated (0.00) with the number of available stations (Fig. 10). The RMSEsd is reaching a minimum in 1970s. Since then it is however gradually increasing (at a small rate), which does not seem to be related to changes in the number of available stations. The situation is only slightly different for the maximum temperatures (Fig. 11), for



which correlation with the number of stations is weak (0.22). Although the lowest RM-SEsd can be observed for the 1960s, since then a gradual increase (again, at a small rate and) can be observed. It should also be noted that the range of RMSEsd is not very wide, in general (0.48–0.6 for the minimum temperature and 0.42–0.5, neglecting
one outlier, for the maximum temperature). Overall, it seems that our kriging errors for temperature are not dependent on the density of the observation network. However,

as in the case of precipitation interpolation the results before 1960 are associated with higher uncertainties.

When analysing the RMSEsd results calculated spatially for all stations the minimum temperature shows a rather uniformly distributed values with a few outliers located at the boundary of the interpolation area, mostly in the mountains (southern border, Fig. 8). Analogous situation is observed for maximum temperatures (Fig. 9). The median RMSEsd in spatial scale is equal to 0.17 for minimum temperature and 0.10 for maximum temperature. Analogous situation is observed for ρ spatial pattern for meteorological station with the median ρ equal to 0.99 both for minimum and maximum temperature.

A similar interpolation exercise was conducted by Carrera-Hernández and Gaskin (2007). In their study the error was quantified by ρ^2 . Ranges of ρ^2 obtained in their study were, for the maximum temperature: 0.73–0.88 and for the minimum temper-

ature: 0.68–0.96. These results are very similar to ours (after recalculating ρ to ρ^2), suggesting good quality of the gridded temperature dataset.

4 Consistency with climatic data

The precipitation and minimum and maximum temperature gridded products were analysed for consistency with long term climatic data. Since the majority of our product spatial coverage is in Poland we have used long term climatic maps from Polish meteorological service (IMGW-PIB) for comparison. The IMGW-PIB maps were developed for the period 1971–2000 and include: precipitation totals, 5% minimum temperature



and 95% maximum temperature (IMGW-PIB, 2015). For purpose of the comparison analysis we have constructed analogous maps from a 1971–2000 subset of CPLFD-GDPT5 by: (1) averaging the annual precipitation totals, (2) calculating 5% quantile from the daily minimum temperatures, (3) calculating 95% quantile from the daily max-⁵ imum temperatures.

This comparison analysis have several limitations. Both products were constructed by different interpolation methods and using data from different collection of meteorological stations. Another limitation in this comparison is that input data were subjected to different preprocessing steps, of which the most important is the different rainfall and snowfall under-catch correction. Due to these limitations we do not except to have an ideal match between our and IMGW-PIB climatic data. However, we expect that ranges and diversity of climatic data would present similar spatial patterns if our gridded product was constructed properly.

10

The latitudinal precipitation totals pattern with 750 mm in the north, decrease in centre and maximum in the south was well preserved by CPLFD-GDPT5 product (Fig. 12). Range of precipitation totals in CPLFD-GDPT5 in Poland (1971–2000) was from 552 to1402 mm, whereas, for the IMGW-PIB the range was from 450–500 to 1250–1300 mm. The central regions with the lowest (450–500 mm) precipitation were overestimated in CPLFD-GDPT5. Similarly, CPLFD-GDPT5 overestimates by about

²⁰ 100 mm the highest precipitations in the central-southern region. We believe this discrepancy is due to rainfall and snowfall under-catch correction used in our study, which assigns higher correction factors to snowfall than to rainfall.

The longitudinal 5 % minimum temperatures pattern with -6 °C in the east, -12 °C in the west and the minimum (-13 °C) in the central-south was well preserved by CPLFD-

²⁵ GDPT5 (Fig. 13). Range of 5 % minimum temperatures in CPLFD-GDPT5 in Poland (1971–2000) was from –15.1 to –5.8 °C, whereas, for the IMGW-PIB the range was from –14 to –13 to –5 to –6 °C. We do not identify any substantial difference in the temperature patterns in both data sources except an island of –6 to –7 °C located in the central-eastern region that was not present in CPLFD-GDPT5. We believe that this and



other, smaller discrepancies are a result of methodological differences in constructing of both data sources, especially the use of different meteorological stations data sets.

The complex latitudinal pattern in the north, the longitudinal pattern in the centre and south and the elevation dependent pattern in south (Sudeten and Carpathian Moun-

- tains) for 95 % maximum temperatures was well preserved by CPLFD-GDPT5 (Fig. 14). Range of 95 % maximum temperatures in CPLFD-GDPT5 in Poland (1971–2000) was from 15.7 to 28.0 °C, whereas, for the IMGW-PIB the range was from 18–19 to 27–28 °C. Again, we do not identify any substantial difference in the temperature patterns in both data sources. However, CPLFD-GDPT5 underestimates the temperature in the peaks of Carpathian Mountains by about 2 °C. More clutter is also observed in our product in entire region, especially in the south (mountains). We believe that these discrepancies are a result, as in the previous cases, of methodological differences in
- constructing of both data sources, especially the use of high resolution and detailed elevation data in our study, including differences in the available stations used.

15 5 Applicability

20

This product was developed with the purpose of its further (re)use for earth-system modelling, and in particular for hydrological modelling and climate impact studies. Spatial resolution (5 km) of CPLFD-GDPT5 ensures that it will be useful not only for regional studies, where generally lower resolution data would be appropriate, but also for modelling in local scale, where high spatial resolution is necessary to capture the variability.

As an example application of CPLFD-GDPT5 for hydrological modelling, we have set up, calibrated and validated the SWAT model for the Vistula and Odra basins (Piniewski et al., 2015). SWAT is a process-based, semi-distributed, continuous-time hydrological model that simulates the movement of water, sediment and nutrients on a catchment scale with a daily time step (Arnold et al., 1998). Apart from the CPLFD-GDPT5 precipitation and temperatures that are major input data, the SWAT setup of the Vistula



and Odra basins uses various spatial input data such as topography, hydrography, land cover and soils, all with quite complex parametrisations. Figure 15a shows spatial variability of mean annual potential evapotranspiration (PET) calculated in SWAT using the Hargreaves (1975) method, relying on our minimum and maximum temperature data. In

- the Hargreaves method PET is proportional to mean temperature (approximated by the arithmetic mean of minimum and maximum temperature) and the difference between maximum and minimum temperature (being a proxy of solar radiation). Spatial pattern in simulated PET not surprisingly follows largely the pattern of maximum temperature (Fig. 14).
- PET constitutes an upper bound for actual evapotranspiration, which is another variable simulated in SWAT, crucial for the process of model calibration, typically performed using measured discharge data. Figure 15b and c shows two examples of calibration plots illustrating simulated (95 percent prediction uncertainty band and one simulation with the highest value of objective function) and measured daily stream flow from
- the Vistula and Odra SWAT model developed in Piniewski et al. (2015). The gauges were selected to demonstrate high simulation skill of CPLFD-GDPT5 across a range of scales: the Odra catchment upstream of Gozdowice has 110 000 km², while the Drweca river upstream of Rodzone has 1700 km². Both the positive visual inspection of the hydrograph and the high values of objective functions (e.g. coefficient of determina-
- tion equal to 0.81 and 0.71, respectively, and percent bias of 1.4 and -5.8%, respectively) confirm the usefulness and the quality of the developed interpolation product. Piniewski et al. (2015) also showed that precipitation station density (a proxy for kriging error) is positively correlated with the values of goodness-of-fit measures across a set of 80 calibration catchments. Therefore, it is recommended that station density should a station density should be a station density of the developed interpolation.
- ²⁵ be always checked prior to the direct use of CPLFD-GDPT5 for hydrological modelling applications in a given study area.

CPLFD-GDPT5 could also be well-suited as an observation-based reference dataset for bias correction of GCM/RCM climate projections, in the same way as the WFD-ERA40 served as the reference for bias correction at the global scale using the ISI-MIP



approach (Hempel et al., 2013) or as the SPAIN02 dataset (Herrera et al., 2015) served for bias correction of EURO-CORDEX models at the regional scale (Casanueva et al., 2015). The potential for further use of bias corrected climate projections (in combination with other requested data sets) in model impact studies is huge and includes such fields as water, agriculture, biomass, coastal infrastructure, and health (Warszawski et al., 2014).

6 Data access

10

The CPLFD-GDPT5 product is available in NetCDF and GeoTIFF formats. The gridded structure of the data and the NetCDF and GeoTIFF data format ensure that it will be easily processed in GIS and data analysis software (e.g. R for both NetCDF and GeoTIFF; list of NetCDF manipulation software: http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/ netcdf/software.html). We provide some example R scripts that allow to read the data and conduct some basic processing (Supplement).

The data are publicly available in the 3TU.Datacentrum repository under the doi:10.4121/uuid:e939aec0-bdd1-440f-bd1e-c49ff10d0a07 (Berezowski et al., 2015b). The NetCDF files naming convention is *Variable*For*TimeStep*.nc. Every NetCDF file is accompanied by an additional description in a *.txt file and follows the CF-1.0 convention. *TimeStep* can be: Days, Months or Years. *Variable* can be: Tmin/Tmax for minimum/maximum air temperature [°C] or Preci for precipitation [kg m⁻²]. Each daily grid for precipitation or temperature is also stored as a separate GeoTIFF file. The naming convention for GeoTIFF is: *prefix*YYYYMMDD.tif, with *prefix* being: "pre" for precipita-

tion, "tmin" for minimum temperature and "tmax" for maximum temperature; whereas, YYYYMMDD is the date format.



7 Conclusions

We have constructed a 5 km gridded product of daily precipitation, minimum and maximum air temperature intended primarily for use as input data for local and regional scale modelling. The spatial extent of the product is the union of Odra and Vistula basins and the border of Poland. The input data for interpolation originates from meteorological stations managed by many organizations. The stations are located in central Europe (western Belarus, northern Czech Republic, eastern Germany, western

- Ukraine, entire Poland and northern Slovakia). Various preprocessing steps were introduced in order to filter missing data and correct the precipitation for under-catch.
- The quality of the product is assessed by cross-validation procedure conducted parallel with the kriging interpolation. The cross validation shows high correlations and root mean squared errors lower than one standard deviation of the observations in all three interpolated variables. However, some particular stations located at the border of the study area show slightly higher errors and lower correlations. We also evaluated
- the consistency of our products with climatic data provided by Polish meteorological organization (IMGW-PIB). The consistency check confirms the high quality of the products, with only small differences resulting from different methodologies and number of selected stations across the region. Finally, we show an example application of the gridded product for hydrological modelling in SWAT. The results show very good dis-
- ²⁰ charge modelling efficiency in both a small and a large catchment, which shows that the dataset serves its purpose across a wide range of scales. The high resolution gridded data set will certainly add value when used as reference in bias-adjustment of regional climate model results (e.g. EURO-CORDEX within the CORDEX Initiative) by providing more reliable climate projections for Poland. The dataset is provided in GeoTIFF and
- NetCDF format in order to provide ease of access for most of the modelling community. Nonetheless, we provide sample R scripts for managing the data in a Supplement.



The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/essdd-8-1021-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. Support of the project CHASE-PL (Climate change impact assessment for selected sectors in Poland) of the Polish–Norwegian Research Programme operated by the National Centre for Research and Development (NCBiR) under the Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2009–2014 in the frame of Project Contract No. Pol-Nor/200799/90/2014 is gratefully acknowledged. We also acknowledge Institute of Meteorology and Water Management-National Research Institute (IMGW-PIB), Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD), European Climate Assessment and Dataset (ECAD) and National Oceanic and Atmosphere Administration-National Cli-

¹⁰ matic Data Center (NOAA-NCDC) for providing meteorological data.

References

20

- Abbaspour, K., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H., and Klove, B.: A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model, J. Hydrol., 524, 733–752, 2015. 1023
- ¹⁵ Abbott, M., Bathurst, J., Cunge, J., O'Connell, P., and Rasmussen, J.: An introduction to the European Hydrological System - Systeme Hydrologique Europeen, "SHE", 2: Structure of a physically-based, distributed modelling system, J. Hydrol., 87, 61–77, 1986. 1023
 - Arnold, J. G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R. S., and Williams, J. R.: Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment Part I: Model development, J. Am. Water Resour. As., 34, 73–89, 1998. 1023, 1035
 - Bates, P. and De Roo, A.: A simple raster-based model for flood inundation simulation, J. Hydrol., 236, 54–77, 2000. 1023

Berezowski, T., Chormański, J., and Batelaan, O.: Skill of remote sensing snow products for distributed runoff prediction, J. Hydrol., 524, 718–732, 2015a. 1024

Berezowski, T., Szcześniak, M., Kardel, I., Michałowski, R., and Piniewski, M.: CHASE-PL Forcing Data – Gridded Daily Precipitation and Temperature Dataset (CPLFD-GDPT5), Dataset on 3TU.Datacentrum, doi:10.4121/uuid:e939aec0-bdd1-440f-bd1e-c49ff10d0a07, 2015b. 1037



Beven, K., Lamb, R., Quinn, P., Romanowicz, R., and Freer, J.: TOPMODEL, in: Computer Models of Watershed Hydrology, edited by: Singh, V. P., Water Resources Publication, Colorado, pp. 627–668, 1995. 1023

Brunner, P. and Simmons, C. T.: HydroGeoSphere: a fully integrated, physically based hydrological model, Ground Water, 50, 170–176, 2012. 1023

Carrera-Hernández, J. and Gaskin, S.: Spatio temporal analysis of daily precipitation and temperature in the Basin of Mexico, J. Hydrol., 336, 231–249, 2007. 1024, 1033

5

- Casanueva, A., Kotlarski, S., Herrera, S., Fernández, J., Gutiérrez, J., Boberg, F., Colette, A., Christensen, O., Goergen, K., Jacob, D., Keuler, K., Nikulin, G., Teichmann, C.,
- ¹⁰ and Vautard, R.: Daily precipitation statistics in a EURO-CORDEX RCM ensemble: added value of raw and bias-corrected high-resolution simulations, Clim. Dynam., 1–19, doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2865-x, 2015. 1037

Chomicz, K.: Factual precipitation in Poland (1931–1960), Prz. Geof., 21, 19–25, 1976 (in Polish). 1028

- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Holm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P.,
- Tavolato, C., Thepaut, J.-N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 553–597, 2011. 1023
 - Goodison, B., Louie, P., and Yang, D.: WMO Solid Precipitation Measurement Intercomparison, World Meteorological Organization (WMO), Final Report, 1998. 1028
- ²⁵ Haddeland, I., Clark, D. B., Franssen, W., Ludwig, F., Vos, F., Arnell, N. W., Bertrand, N., Best, M., Folwell, S., Gerten, D., Gomes, S., Gosling, S. N., Hagemann, S., Hanasaki, N., Harding, R., Heinke, J., Kabat, P., Koirala, S., Oki, T., Polcher, J., Stacke, T., Viterbo, P., Weedon, G. P., and Yeh, P.: Multimodel estimate of the global terrestrial water balance: setup and first results, J. Hydrometeorol., 12, 869–884, 2011. 1023
- Hargreaves, G.: Moisture availability and crop prodution., T. ASAE, 18, 980–984, 1975. 1023, 1036



1041

- Hattermann, F., Wattenbach, M., Krysanova, V., and Wechsung, F.: Runoff simulations on the macroscale with the ecohydrological model SWIM in the Elbe catchment validation and uncertainty analysis, Hydrol. Process., 19, 693–714, 2005. 1029
- Haylock, M. R., Hofstra, N., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Klok, E. J., Jones, P. D., and New, M.: A European daily high-resolution gridded data set of surface temperature and precipitation
- European daily high-resolution gridded data set of surface temperature and precipitation for 1950–2006, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D20119, doi:10.1029/2008JD010201, 2008.
 1029
 - Hempel, S., Frieler, K., Warszawski, L., Schewe, J., and Piontek, F.: A trend-preserving bias correction – the ISI-MIP approach, Earth Syst. Dynam., 4, 219–236, doi:10.5194/esd-4-219-2013, 2013. 1037
- Herrera, S., Gutiérrez, J. M., Ancell, R., Pons, M. R., Frias, M. D., and Fernandez, J.: Development and analysis of a 50-year high-resolution daily gridded precipitation dataset over Spain (Spain02), Int. J. Climatol., 32, 74–85, 2012. 1024, 1029

10

Herrera, S., Fernández, J., and Gutiérrez, J. M.: Update of the Spain02 gridded observational

- dataset for EURO-CORDEX evaluation: assessing the effect of the interpolation methodology, Int. J. Climatol., doi:10.1002/joc.4391, 2015. 1037
 - Hofstra, N., Haylock, M., New, M., Jones, P., and Frei, C.: Comparison of six methods for the interpolation of daily, European climate data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D21110, doi:10.1029/2008JD010100, 2008. 1024
- IMGW-PIB: Climatic maps for Poland, available at: http://www.imgw.pl/klimat/, accessed with the following options: Tab = "Wielolecie 1971-200", Sezon/Rok = "Rok"; (1) for precipitaion: Wybierz element = "Suma opadu"; (2) for minimum temperature: Wybierz element = "Temperatura minimalna"; (3) for maximum temperature: Wybierz element = "Temperatura maksymalna", last access: 1 October 2015. 1034, 1057, 1058, 1059
- Isotta, F. A., Frei, C., Weilguni, V., Percec Tadic, M., Lassegues, P., Rudolf, B., Pavan, V., Cacciamani, C., Antolini, G., Ratto, S. M., Munari, M., Micheletti, S., Bonati, V., Lussana, C., Ronchi, C., Panettieri, E., Marigo, G., and Vertacnik, G.: The climate of daily precipitation in the Alps: development and analysis of a high-resolution grid dataset from pan-Alpine raingauge data, Int. J. Climatol., 34, 1657–1675, 2014. 1024
- ³⁰ Jones, D. A., Wang, W., and Fawcett, R.: High-quality spatial climate data-sets for Australia, Aust. Meteorol. Oceanogr. J., 58, 233–248, 2009. 1024
 - Keller, V. D. J., Tanguy, M., Prosdocimi, I., Terry, J. A., Hitt, O., Cole, S. J., Fry, M., Morris, D. G., and Dixon, H.: CEH-GEAR: 1 km resolution daily and monthly areal rainfall es-



timates for the UK for hydrological and other applications, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 7, 143–155, doi:10.5194/essd-7-143-2015, 2015. 1024

Kollet, S. J. and Maxwell, R. M.: Integrated surface-groundwater flow modeling: A free-surface overland flow boundary condition in a parallel groundwater flow model, Adv. Water Resour.,

⁵ 29, 945–958, 2006. 1023

- Li, L., Ngongondo, C. S., Xu, C.-Y., and Gong, L.: Comparison of the global TRMM and WFD precipitation datasets in driving a large-scale hydrological model in Southern Africa, Hydrol. Res., 10, 770–788, doi:10.2166/nh.2012.175, 2013. 1023
- Liu, Y. B. and De Smedt, F.: WetSpa Extension, A GIS-based Hydrologic Model for Flood Pre-
- diction and Watershed Management, Department of Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering, Vrije Universiteit, Brussel, 2004. 1023
 - Ly, S., Charles, C., and Degré, A.: Geostatistical interpolation of daily rainfall at catchment scale: the use of several variogram models in the Ourthe and Ambleve catchments, Belgium, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 2259–2274, doi:10.5194/hess-15-2259-2011, 2011. 1024, 1032
- Ly, S., Charles, C., and Degré, A.: Different methods for spatial interpolation of rainfall data for operational hydrology and hydrological modeling at watershed scale: a review, Biotechnol. Agron. Soc., 17, 392–406, 2013. 1024
 - Martinec, J.: Snowmelt runoff model for stream flow forecasts, Nord. Hydrol., 6, 145–154, 1975. 1023
- Menne, M. J., Durre, I., Vose, R. S., Gleason, B. E., and Houston, T. G.: An overview of the global historical climatology network-daily database, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 29, 897–910, 2012. 1026
 - Pebesma, E. J.: Multivariable geostatistics in S: the gstat package, Comput. Geosci., 30, 683–691, 2004. 1029
- Pebesma, E. J.: gstat User's Manual, Dept. of Physical Geography, Utrecht University, P. O. Box 80.115, 3508 TC, Utrecht, The Netherlands, gstat 2.5.1 edn., available at: http://www.gstat. org/gstat.pdf (last access: 16 December 2015), 2014. 1029
 - Piniewski, M., Szcześsniak, M., Kardel, I., Berezowski, T., Okruszko, T., Srinivasan, R., Schuler, D. V., and Kundzewicz, Z.: Natural streamfow simulation for two largest river basins
- in Poland at high spatial and temporal resolution, Water Resour. Res., in review, 2015. 1035, 1036



1043

- Project team ECAD: European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D), Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD), Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute KNMI, The Netherlands, 2013. 1026
- R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for
- Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, available at: https://www.R-project.org/, last access: 16 December 2015. 1029
 - Rauthe, M., Steiner, H., Riediger, U., Mazurkiewicz, A., and Gratzki, A.: A Central European precipitation climatology Part I: Generation and validation of a high-resolution gridded daily data set (HYRAS), Meteorol. Z., 22, 235–256, 2013. 1024
- Richter, D.: Ergebnisse methodischer Untersuchungen zur Korrektur des systematischen Messfehlers des Hellmannniederschlagsmessers, vol. 194, Berichte des Deutschen Wetterdienstes, Offenbach am Main, 1995. 1028, 1050
 - Schamm, K., Ziese, M., Becker, A., Finger, P., Meyer-Christoffer, A., Schneider, U., Schröder, M., and Stender, P.: Global gridded precipitation over land: a description of the
- new GPCC First Guess Daily product, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 6, 49–60, doi:10.5194/essd-6-49-2014, 2014. 1023
 - Sheffield, J., Goteti, G., and Wood, E. F.: Development of a 50-year high-resolution global dataset of meteorological forcings for land surface modeling, J. Climate, 19, 3088–3111, 2006. 1023
- Spinoni, J., Szalai, S., Szentimrey, T., Lakatos, M., Bihari, Z., Nagy, A., Nemeth, A., Kovacs, T., Mihic, D., Dacic, M., Petrovic, P., Krzic, A., Hiebl, J., Auer, I., Milkovic, J., Stepanek, P., Zahradnicek, P., Kilar, P., Limanowka, D., Pyrc, R., Cheval, S., Birsan, M.-V., Dumitrescu, A., Deak, G., Matei, M., Antolovic, I., Nejedlik, P., Stastny, P., Kajaba, P., Bochnicek, O., Galo, D., Mikulova, K., Nabyvanets, Y., Skrynyk, O., Krakovska, S., Gnatiuk, N., Tolasz, R., Antofie, T.,
- ²⁵ and Vogt, J.: Climate of the Carpathian Region in the period 1961–2010: climatologies and trends of 10 variables, Int. J. Climatol., 35, 1322–1341, 2015. 1024
 - Szcześniak, M. and Piniewski, M.: Improvement of hydrological simulations by applying daily precipitation interpolation schemes in meso-scale catchments, Water, 7, 747–779, 2015. 1024
- Wang, K., Wang, P., Li, Z., Cribb, M., and Sparrow, M.: A simple method to estimate actual evapotranspiration from a combination of net radiation, vegetation index, and temperature, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D15107, doi:10.1029/2006JD008351, 2007. 1023



Warszawski, L., Frieler, K., Huber, V., Piontek, F., Serdeczny, O., and Schewe, J.: The inter-**Discussion** Paper sectoral impact model intercomparison project (ISI-MIP): project framework, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 3228-3232, 2014. 1037

Weedon, G. P., Balsamo, G., Bellouin, N., Gomes, S., Best, M. J., and Viterbo, P.: The WFDEI

- meteorological forcing data set: WATCH Forcing Data methodology applied to ERA-Interim 5 reanalysis data, Water Resour. Res., 50, 7505–7514, 2014. 1023
 - WMO: National Meteorological or Hydrometeorological Services of Members, available at: https://www.wmo.int/pages/members/members en.html, last access: 16 December 2015. 1026

ESS	ESSDD		
8, 1021–1	8, 1021–1060, 2015		
High-resolution gridded daily precipitation and temperature dataset			
T. Berezw	T. Berezwoski et al.		
litle	Title Page		
Abstract	Instruments		
Data Provenar	nce & Structure		
Tables	Figures		
14	۶I		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for the kriging cross-validation results for precipitation, minimum
temperature and maximum temperature. " $\rho < 0$ " denotes percentage of ρ values lower than
zero. "RMSEsd > 1" denotes percentage of RMSEsd values higher than one.

	Precipitation		Minimum temperature		Maximum temperature	
	ρ	RMSEsd	ρ	RMSEsd	ρ	RMSEsd
Minimum	-0.08	0.22	-2.00×10^{-3}	0.14	-0.10	0.17
1st quartile	0.47	0.64	0.77	0.46	0.85	0.41
Median	0.65	0.79	0.84	0.54	0.88	0.47
3rd quartile	0.78	0.93	0.89	0.63	0.91	0.53
Maximum	0.98	1.37	0.99	1.03	0.99	1.13
<i>ρ</i> < 0	1.6%	_	4 × 10 ⁻³ %	-	4 × 10 ⁻³ %	_
RMSEsd > 1	_	14.2%	-	3×10^{-4} %	_	4×10^{-4} %





Figure 1. The spatial extent for the CPLFD-GDPT5 temperature and precipitation products. Countries are labelled with black national codes. The Odra and Vistula basins are labelled in grey.





Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion



Figure 3. Number of meteorological stations for temperature observations per year from 1951 to 2013.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Back

Discussion Paper

Close



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of meteorological stations for temperature and precipitation used for interpolation of the CPLFD-GDPT5 product.





Figure 5. The Richter (1995) parameter b value groups for different for precipitation stations.





Figure 6. Precipitation ρ (top) and RMSEsd (bottom) values calculated for stations in the period 1951–2013. National borders (black lines) are labelled with country codes.





Figure 7. Annual RMSEsd median (blue) and number of available stations per year (pink) for precipitation in the period 1951–2013. The daily results, also summarized in Table 1, were used for calculating the annual medians.





Figure 8. Minimum temperature ρ (top) and RMSEsd (bottom) values calculated for stations in the period 1951–2013. National borders (black lines) are labelled with country codes.





Figure 9. Maximum temperature ρ (top) and RMSEsd (bottom) values calculated for stations in the period 1951–2013. National borders (black lines) are labelled with country codes.





Figure 10. Annual RMSEsd median (blue) and number of available stations per year (pink) for minimum temperature in the period 1951–2013. The daily results, also summarized in Table 1, were used for calculating the annual medians.





Figure 11. Annual RMSEsd median (blue) and number of available stations per year (pink) for maximum temperature in the period 1951–2013. The daily results, also summarized in Table 1, were used for calculating the annual medians.





Figure 12. Comparison of CPLFD-GDPT5 precipitation totals contours with IMGW-PIB precipitation totals map for the 1971–2000 period. Contours are in 50 mm intervals. The IMGW-PIB map indicates also major rivers (blue lines) and major cities (labelled black circles). The IMGW-PIB map is adapted from the Climatic Maps of Poland (IMGW-PIB, 2015).

ES 8, 1021–	ESSDD 8, 1021–1060, 2015		
High-re gridde precipita temperate	High-resolution gridded daily precipitation and temperature dataset		
T. Berezv	T. Berezwoski et al.		
Title	Title Page		
Abstract	Instruments		
Data Provena	nce & Structure		
Tables	Figures		
14	۶I		
•	Þ		
Back	Close		
Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion			
6			

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Figure 13. Comparison of CPLFD-GDPT5 5 % minimum temperatures contours with IMGW-PIB 5 % minimum temperatures map for the 1971–2000 period. Contours are in 1 °C intervals. The IMGW-PIB map indicates also major rivers (blue lines) and major cities (labelled black circles). The IMGW-PIB map is adapted from the Climatic Maps of Poland (IMGW-PIB, 2015).

ESSDD 8, 1021–1060, 2015			
High-resolution gridded daily precipitation and temperature dataset			
T. Berezv	T. Berezwoski et al.		
Title	Title Page		
Abstract	Instruments		
Data Provena	Data Provenance & Structure		
Tables	Figures		
[◄	۶I		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Figure 14. Comparison of CPLFD-GDPT5 95 % maximum temperatures contours with IMGW-PIB 95 % maximum temperatures map for the 1971–2000 period. Contours are in 1 °C intervals. The IMGW-PIB map indicates also major rivers (blue lines) and major cities (labelled black circles). The IMGW-PIB map is adapted from the Climatic Maps of Poland (IMGW-PIB, 2015).





Figure 15. Example application of CPLFD-GDPT5 in the hydrological model SWAT of the Vistula and Odra basins. (a) Mean annual potential evapotranspiration calculated using the Hargreaves method based on daily minimum and maximum temperature data. (b) Simulated and observed daily stream flow on the Odra river at Gozdowice gauging station. (c) Simulated and observed daily stream flow on the river Drweca at Rodzone gauging station. Green band denotes 95 percent prediction uncertainty, blue and red lines denote observed and simulated (best solution) flows, respectively.

