

Interactive comment on “Microzooplankton functional responses in the lab and in the field” by S. F. Sailley and E. T. Buitenhuis

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 3 May 2014

I read the paper together with the two comments posted by other two reviewers. I agree with most points made by the other two reviewers particularly Referee #1. I still want to point out that the dataset itself has some value. If one digs into the dataset and really does some serious analysis, she/he might be able to work out some good results, as the first referee pointed out.

I do not intend to repeat many of the points that the other two reviewers have already made. But one thing I want to emphasize is that an analysis on this kind of dataset deserves much more careful reanalysis than a simple regression model as in Buitenhuis et al. (Global Biogeochemical Cycles 2010).

Some grammar errors and incomplete sentences indicate that the authors were not

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



careful with the manuscript. For example, see p. 156, line 9: change 'compile' to 'compiled'. P. 157, line 2. What is the 'Another' for?

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 7, 149, 2014.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper