Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 5, 281–299, 2012 www.earth-syst-sci-data-discuss.net/5/281/2012/ doi:10.5194/essdd-5-281-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Earth System Science Data (ESSD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ESSD if available.

Two weather radar time series of the altitude of the volcanic plume during the May 2011 eruption of Grímsvötn, Iceland

G. N. Petersen, H. Bjornsson, P. Arason, and S. von Löwis

Icelandic Meteorological Office, Reykjavík, Iceland

Received: 28 March 2012 - Accepted: 3 April 2012 - Published: 3 May 2012

Correspondence to: G. N. Petersen (gnp@vedur.is)

Published by Copernicus Publications.

Discussion Pa	ESSDD 5, 281–299, 2012							
iper Discussion	Time ser Grímsvö volcani altit G. N. Pete	Time series of the Grímsvötn 2011 volcanic plume altitude G. N. Petersen et al.						
Paper	Title Page							
-	Abstract	Instruments						
Disc	Data Provenar	Data Provenance & Structure						
ussio	Tables	Figures						
on Pape	I	▶1						
۲ 	•	► I						
	Back	Close						
iscussi	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc						
on P	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion						
aper	Interactive							
_								



Abstract

The eruption of Grímsvötn volcano in Iceland in 2011 lasted for a week, 21–28 May. The eruption was explosive and peaked during the first hours, with the eruption plume reaching 20–25 km altitude. The height of the plume was monitored every 5 min with a

⁵ C-band weather radar located at Keflavík International Airport and a mobile X-band radar, 257 km and 75 km distance from the volcano, respectively. In addition, photographs taken during the first half-hour of the eruption give information regarding the initial rise. Time series of the plume-top altitude were constructed from the radar observations. This paper presents the two independent radar time series. The series have
 ¹⁰ been cross validated and there is a good agreement between the time series. The echo top radar series of the altitude of the volcanic plume are publicly available from the Pangaea Data Publisher (http://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.778390).

1 Introduction

An explosive subglacial volcanic eruption started in the Grímsvötn caldera in southern ¹⁵ Iceland at or a few minutes before 19:00 UTC on 21 May 2011. The volcanic plume from the eruption was monitored using a C-band and an X-band weather radar, located at different distances from the volcano. In addition there were visual observations from the ground and air as well as a number of photographs of the plume. The strength of the eruption decreased rapidly and the plume was at or below 10 km altitude after 24 h.

The eruption was officially declared over on 28 May at 07:00 UTC. Grímsvötn is Iceland's most active volcano. Previously it has erupted twice in the last 15 yr, in December 1998 and November 2004 (Vogfjörd et al., 2005), and has during the past centuries had a frequency close to one eruption per decade. As the volcano is located beneath Vatnajökull icecap the eruptions are always explosive, with ash and other volcanic material being ejected into the atmosphere. The eruption in May 2011 was of short duration but caused some disruption to aviation in the region. The





winds advecting the ash from the crater were mainly northerly and northeasterly. There where short-time closures of the Keflavík International Airport in Iceland and airports in northern UK and northern Germany were also affected.

The purpose of this article is to present and describe time series of the altitude of the
volcanic plume, as measured by the two weather radars operating during the eruption.
While the time series from the C-band radar is continuous from 21–25 May and at a 5 min time resolution, the time series from the X-band radar is fragmented due to operational difficulties. In addition, a cross validation of the time series is presented. Series of photographs taken during the first half-hour of the eruption give further information
of the initial rise of the volcanic plume.

The structure of this paper is as follows: in Sect. 2 we describe the weather radars, their specifications and limitations. There is a short description of the photographs used to describe the rise in the first hour of the eruption in Sect. 3. The time series are presented in Sect. 4 and cross-validated in Sect. 5. Finally, concluding remarks follow in Sect. 6.

2 The weather radars: specifications and limitations

2.1 The Keflavík Radar

15

The weather radar at Keflavík International Airport in southwest Iceland was the only fixed-position operational weather radar in Iceland during the eruption. It is owned and
 operated by the Icelandic Meteorological Office (IMO). The specifications are described in detail in Arason et al. (2011), but here specifications pertinent to data from the Grímsvötn 2011 eruption are briefly summarised. The radar is an Ericsson C-band doppler radar located about 3 km north of the airport and 257 km from the Grímsvötn volcano (Fig. 1). The radar can monitor precipitation and precipitating clouds within a maximum range of 480 km, but the operational strategy is to make 240 km reflectivity scans and 120 km doppler scans. Each scan is made four times an hour. Previously,



the radar has been successfully used for monitoring six volcanic eruptions in Iceland (Larsen et al., 1992; Lacasse et al., 2004; Vogfjörd et al., 2005; Oddsson, 2007; Arason et al., 2011; Petersen et al., 2012). In case of a volcanic eruption within a radius of 240–480 km from the radar the strategy is to make 480 km reflectivity scans every five minutes. During the Grímsvötn 2011 eruption the first 480 km reflectivity scan was made at 19:48 UTC on 21 May. No doppler scans were made during the eruption period.

The half-power beam width is 0.9° and during scans the beam circles from an initial angle of 0.5°, increasing the elevation angle at the end of each circle to a maximum angle of 40° (Arason et al., 2011). This means that over Grímsvötn the beam width is 5.8 km and the altitude of the lowest beam is 6.2 km a.s.l. The partial beam blockage of the lowest elevation angle (0.5°) in the direction of Grímsvötn has been estimated to be below 20 %, using a 1 km digital elevation model (Crochet, 2009). The radar has therefore a fairly clear view of the eruption plume, as can be seen in Fig. 2a which shows the seven lowest elevation angles of the current scanning strategy and their height above sea level for a distance of up to 300 km. The half-power beam width of 0.9° results in an overlapping of the beams for the three lowest elevation angles, 0.5°, 0.9° and 1.3°.

2.2 The Mobile Radar

5

- ²⁰ During the eruption a mobile X-band radar was operated in S-Iceland. X-band radars operate at a shorter wavelength than C-band radars and are therefore more sensitive and can detect smaller particles. Furthermore X-band radars are small, can be portable and run on diesel engine power. The X-band radar operating in Iceland in 2011 is a Meteor 50DX radar (Selex Systems Integration GmbH) on Ioan from the Italian Civil Protection until IMO has its own mobile radar up and running. The radar is a compact
- Protection until IMO has its own mobile radar up and running. The radar is a compact weather radar on a trailer with a total weight of 2800 kg which makes it easy to move to favourable locations in case of an eruption. Table 1 contains specifications of the radar for operations during the eruption of Grímsvötn in 2011. The mobile radar was



up and running in Kirkjubæjarklaustur, S-Iceland (Fig. 1), at 03:27 UTC, 22 May or about 8.5 h after the eruption started. It was moved 500 m eastward, and 200 m closer to the volcano, between 17:00 and 18:00 UTC on 24 May to a location where it could be connected to mains power.

- Kirkjubæjarklaustur is located south of the volcano, in a region that experienced heavy ash fall. This resulted in extremely challenging environment for operating the radar. There were intermittent power generation problems during the first two days, while powered with a diesel engine, and difficult working conditions. Figure 3 shows a photograph of the mobile radar, taken in the field on 22 May at about 09:00 UTC
 when ash fall obscured all daylight. The problems with discontinuous power generation
- meant that the radar needed to be restarted a few times and this resulted unintentionally in slightly different scanning strategy on 22 May than from 23 May and onward, see Tables 1 and 2. However, as the strength of the eruption decreased rapidly, elevation angles 6.3–13.3° detected the plume-top on 22 May but elevation angles 1.6–6.1° from
- 15 23 May. Also, the altitude difference over Grímsvötn between the two sets of elevation angles is 300 m or less. Given the beam half-power width of 1.3°, or 1.7 km over Grímsvötn, we do not expect this difference to affect the results.

The view of the eruption site from Kirkjubæjarklaustur is obscured by Þórðarhyrna mountain (1668 m a.s.l.). As a result the lowest elevation angle beam (0.5° from 23 May)

is orographically blocked and the second lowest angle beam (1.6°) is estimated to be 40% blocked.

Figure 2b shows the 11 lowest elevation angles of the scanning strategy during the eruption and their height above sea level for a distance of up to 90 km. Note that due to the half-power beam width of 1.3° the three lowest elevation angles, 0.5° , 1.6° and 2.9° , overlap.

2.3 A comparison of the vertical detection limitations of the two radars

25

Table 2 shows a comparison of the altitudes of the lowest elevation angles of both radars. The volcanic plume rose to about 25 km in the initial phase of the eruption but



the maximum observed height after the mobile radar started operating was 20 km a.s.l. As described previously the lowest angle (0.5°) of the mobile radar was orographically blocked, but the next eight elevation angles spanned the range of plume altitudes from 2.5 km to 21.1 km a.s.l. and were sufficient to monitor the progress of the eruption. In contrast, due to the distance from the C-band radar to Grímsvötn the lowest level that the Keflavík radar could detect the plume was at 6.2 km and the six lowest elevation angles were sufficient to cover the range of plume altitudes observed during the eruption.

3 Photographs

¹⁰ The sky was clear over Grímsvötn when the eruption started in the early evening of 21 May. Several photographs were taken during the first half-hour of the eruption. Of particular interest are a series of photographs taken from Skeiðarársandur, 50 km south of Grímsvötn, for which we have been able to estimate a height scale. The first photo of the plume at 19:09 UTC shows the plume reaching about 6 km in altitude. From that and the subsequent photos the rise speed of the plume head is estimated as 10–25 m s⁻¹.

Figure 4 shows one of these photos, taken by Bolli Valgarðsson at 19:20 UTC, when the plume had reached over 14 km a.s.l. That evening the tropopause was observed at 8.9 km altitude in a Keflavík radiosonde, and Fig. 4 shows clearly how the plume spread horizontally when it entered the very stable air of the stratosphere.

20 4 The time series

Two time series have been constructed, from the detected echo tops of each radar. The echo top height is defined from the highest altitude where the threshold reflectivity is exceeded, with the threshold reflectivity applied for both radars set to $-20 \, \text{dBZ}$. A linear interpolation of the reflectivity value of the highest beam exceeding the threshold



and the reflectivity value of the beam above are used to estimate the echo top altitude (see Arason et al., 2011 for details).

Figure 5 shows the two radar time series during the first 53 h of the eruption as well as the initial rise of the plume estimated from photographs. The Keflavík radar was set to scan within 480 km radius from 19:48 UTC and the first detection of the eruption plume is therefore after the initial rise with echo top height of 14.9 km a.s.l. The mobile

radar became operational at 03:27 UTC on 22 May detecting the echo top at 11.7 km. As can be seen from Fig. 5 the availability of the data from the Keflavík radar is much higher than from the mobile radar, due to previously mentioned challenging operations of the mobile radar.

Due to the semi-discrete stepping of the radar detection of the plume top altitude, it can be difficult to get a clear picture of the height variations of the plume from the raw data. Figure 5 also shows a 30-min average of the plume-top altitude based on the echo top heights from both radars as well as estimates of the initial rise from photographs.

¹⁵ The figure shows more clearly that the plume-top height had large variations in time, often decreasing/increasing by several km over a short time period.

5 Cross-validation

To cross-validate the plume-top altitude data series from the two radars, synchronous observations were compared. The Keflavík and the mobile radar series include 587 and

- 168 values of altitude estimates, respectively. For all the 168 scans of the mobile radar, there exists a corresponding radar scan by the Keflavík radar within at least 2 min. For this comparison 2 min are considered synchronous. During 66 of these Keflavík scans, the plume was below minimum detection height. The remaining 102 cases of synchrounous independent plume-top altitude estimates were used for comparison.
- ²⁵ The comparison of these 102 synchronous plume-top altitude estimates is summarized in Table 3 and in Fig. 6. As can be seen in the figure the estimates are concentrated to the semi-discrete altitudes that arise as a result of the discrete elevation





angles of the radars. In Table 3 the data are categorized by the elevation angles of the Keflavík radar; 0.5°, 0.9°, 1.3° and 2.4°. For each of these four elevation angles the number of cases, range and mean values are shown for both radars. Furthermore, the mean difference between the altitude estimates is shown along with standard error.

The overall plume-top altitude mean difference between the two radar estimates is not significantly different from zero. The mean difference is about 80 m with an uncertainity of ±240 m.

A least squares line through the origin gives y = 1.026x, with a coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.67$, when x and y are the plume-top altitude estimates from the Keflavík and the mobile radar, respectively. The slope is not significantly different from unity, and using y = 1x also results in $R^2 = 0.67$.

The two radars, that have different characteristics, were located at very different distances from the volcano and with different sets of elevation angles resulting in different vertical resolution of the plume. Despite this the estimated plume-top altitudes are on average not significantly different.

6 Conclusions

15

20

Although the eruption of Grímsvötn in May 2011 was of short duration it still caused some disruptions of air traffic in northern Europe and emphasised the importance of improving monitoring of explosive volcanic plumes as well as of transport and dispersion of ash and other volcanic material in the atmosphere.

The paper describes two independent time series of the altitude of the volcanic plume during the eruption as observed with a C-band weather radar and an X-band mobile radar located 257 km and 75 km from the volcano, respectively. The two time series compare favorably, the C-band series is more complete while the X-band series has slightly higher vertical resolution.

There are gaps in the data from the mobile radar, mainly due to the very difficult operating conditions. Clearly when applying mobile radars for eruption monitoring it is

Discussion Pa	ESSDD 5, 281–299, 2012								
per Discussion	Time series of the Grímsvötn 2011 volcanic plume altitude G. N. Petersen et al.								
Paper	Title	Page							
-	Abstract	Instruments							
Discu	Data Provenar	Data Provenance & Structure							
JSSIO	Tables	Figures							
n Pape	I	۶I							
<u>n</u>	•	Þ							
	Back	Close							
iscussi	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc							
on P	Printer-friendly Version								
aper	Interactive	Interactive Discussion							

beneficial to have pre-designated observational locations with the needed infrastructure in place for quick initiation of operation. Locating the radar outside of the thickest ash cloud would ease operations although that may not always be possible. The difficulties related to the operations of the mobile radar emphasise the need for auxiliary
operational systems outside of the affected area, such as the Keflavík radar. Although the vertical resolution of the data is coarser than from a mobile system located closer to the erupting volcano, the operation is easy and the data provide vital information on the eruption.

This was the first time a mobile radar was available for volcanic eruption plume monitoring in Iceland and the eruption was therefore the first real test of its usefulness for this purpose. The data from the radar was very useful, however it is clear that for future eruptions changing the scanning strategy to increase the vertical resolution may yield improved information on the structure of the plume. Figures 2 and 5 show that though the mobile radar used eight elevation angles to detect the eruption plume, that results

- in only a small addition to the vertical resolution given by the Keflavík radar, using six elevation angles for monitoring of the plume. While the main purpose of the Keflavík radar is weather monitoring and therefore the scanning strategy is rather strict, the purpose of the mobile radar is solely volcanic plume monitoring and the scanning strategy is therefore more flexible. Adding elevation angles to the mobile radar scans and sub-
- sequently decreasing the time resolution would improve volcanic plume monitoring. In such a scenario the fixed radar would give an estimate of the height of the volcanic plume 12 times an hour (every 5 min), with an uncertainty of 2–3 km, for eruption of the size of the Grímsvötn 2011 eruption, while the mobile radar would 4–6 times an hour supply higher spatial resolution data of the eruption plume. This would result in
- not only better estimates of the plume altitude but would also give higher resolution volume data. As X-band radars are able to detect smaller particles than C-band radars, higher resolution volume data could potentially give information about the concentration and size distribution of particles, which is important for downstream dispersion analysis and forecasts.





Acknowledgements. The team operating the mobile radar during the eruption consisted of Geirfinnur S. Sigurðsson, Þorgils Ingvarsson and Þórarinn H. Harðarson. In addition we would like to thank Geirfinnur S. Sigurðsson for providing a photograph of the X-band radar in action, and together with Bolli Pálmason for valuable discussions and assistance. The photographs of the initial rise of the plume were provided by Bolli Valgarðsson and Ingólfur Bruun.

5 the inital rise of the plume were provided by Bolli Valgarðsson and Ingólfur Bruun.

References

15

- Arason, P., Petersen, G. N., and Bjornsson, H.: Observations of the altitude of the volcanic plume during the eruption of Eyjafjallajökull, April–May 2010, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 3, 9–17, doi:10.5194/essd-3-9-2011, 2011. 283, 284, 287
- ¹⁰ Crochet, P.: Enhancing radar estimates of precipitation over complex terrain using information derived from an orographic precipitation model, J. Hydrol., 377, 417–433, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.038, 2009. 284
 - Lacasse, C., Karlsdóttir, S., Larsen, G., Soosalu, H., Rose, W. I., and Ernst, G. G. J.: Weather radar observations of the Hekla 2000 eruption cloud, Iceland, Bull. Volcanol., 66, 457–473, doi:10.1007/s00445-003-0329-3, 2004. 284
 - Larsen, G., Vilmundardóttir, E., and Þorkelsson, B.: Heklugosið 1991: Gjóskufallið og gjóskulagið frá fyrsta degi gossins (The Hekla eruption of 1991 – The tephra fall), Náttúrufræðingurinn, 61, 159–176, 1992. 284

Oddsson, B.: The Grímsvötn eruption in 2004: Dispersal and total mass of tephra and comparison with plume transport models, Master's thesis, University of Iceland, 2007. 284

Ison with plume transport models, Master's thesis, University of Iceland, 2007. 284 Petersen, G. N., Bjornsson, H., and Arason, P.: The impact of the atmosphere on the Eyjafjallajökull 2010 eruption plume, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00U07, doi:10.1029/2011JD016762, 2012. 284

Vogfjörd, K. S., Jakobsdóttir, S. S., Gudmundsson, G. B., Roberts, M. J., Ágústsson, K., Arason,

P., Geirsson, H., Karlsdóttir, S., Hjaltadóttir, S., Ólafsdóttir, U., Thorbjarnardóttir, B., Skaftadóttir, T., Sturkell, E., Jónasdóttir, E. B., Hafsteinsson, G., Sveinbjörnsson, H., Stefánsson, R., and Jónsson, T. V.: Forecasting and monitoring a subglacial eruption in Iceland, EOS Trans. AGU., 86, 245–248, doi:10.1029/2005EO260001, 2005. 282, 284



Table 1. Specifications of the mobile weather radar during the eruption of Grímsvötn in 2011.

Туре	X-band Meteor 50DX (9.4 GHz)
Duration of operation	22 May 04:00 UTC–25 May 14:00 UTC
Location	Kirkjubæjarklaustur, 63°46′30″ N, 17°57′49″ W
Antenna type	XDP15, parabolic, prime focus reflector
Reflector diameter	1.8 m
Height of antenna	47 m a.s.l.
Peak transmitted power	75 kW
Pulse duration	2 μs, but 0.45 μs on 23 May, 02:23–12:45 UTC
Wavelength	3.2 cm
Pulse repetition frequency	550 Hz, but 1200 Hz on 23 May, 02:23–12:45 UTC
Operational range	120 km
Range step	0.2 km
Minimum gain of antenna	42.5 dBZ
Duration of reflectivity scans	20 s per elevation angle, but 15 s on 23 May, 02:23–12:45 UTC
Duration of beam raising	5 s per elevation angle
Half-power beam width	1.3°
Polarization	Horizontal and vertical
Angle position accuracy	±0.1°
Scanning speed	3 rpm, but 4 rpm on 23 May, 02:23–12:45 UTC
Elevation angles reflectivity scans,	0.7°, 1.8°, 3.1°, 4.6°, 6.3°, 8.3°, 10.6°, 13.2°,
on 22 May	16.2°, 19.7°, 23.8°, 28.4°, 33.8° and 40.0°
Elevation angles reflectivity scans,	0.5°, 1.6°, 2.9°, 4.4°, 6.1°, 8.1°, 10.4°, 13.1°,
from 23 May	16.1°, 19.6°, 23.7°, 28.4°, 33.8° and 40.0°
Reflectivity threshold (echo top)	-20 dBZ
Data managing software	Rainbow [®] 5



СС <u>()</u> ву

Keflavík radar										
Elevation angles (°) Altitude (km)				0.5 6.2	0.9 8.0	1.3 9.9	2.4 14.9		3.5 19.9	4.5 24.4
Mobile radar 22 May 2011										
Elevation angles (°) Altitude (km)	0.7* 1.3	1.8 2.7	3.1 4.4	4.6 6.4	6.3 8.6	8.3 11.2	10.6 14.2	13.2 17.5	16.2 21.3	19.7 25.6
Mobile radar	23–25 May 2011									
Elevation angles (°) Altitude (km)	0.5 [*] 1.0	1.6 2.5	2.9 4.2	4.4 6.1	6.1 8.3	8.1 10.9	10.4 13.9	13.1 17.3	16.1 21.1	19.6 25.4

Table 2. Elevation angles and altitudes (km a.s.l.) of the radar-beam midpoints at the lowest levels over Grímsvötn volcano.

* Note that the lowest elevation angle of the mobile radar was orographically blocked in the direction of Grímsvötn volcano.

Discussion Pa	ES 5, 281–2	ESSDD 5, 281–299, 2012						
per Discussion	Time ser Grímsvo volcani alti G. N. Pete	Time series of the Grímsvötn 2011 volcanic plume altitude G. N. Petersen et al.						
n Paper	Title	Page						
-	Abstract	Instruments						
Disc	Data Provenar	nce & Structure						
ussion	Tables	Figures						
Pap	I	۶I						
er	•	F						
	Back	Close						
iscuss	Full Scr	een / Esc						
sion P	ndly Version							
aper	Interactive	Discussion						

(cc)

() BY

Discussion Pa	ES 5, 281–2	ESSDD 5, 281–299, 2012						
iper Discussion	Time ser Grímsv volcani alti G. N. Pete	Time series of the Grímsvötn 2011 volcanic plume altitude G. N. Petersen et al.						
Paper	Title	Title Page						
—	Abstract	Instruments						
Disc	Data Provena	Data Provenance & Structure						
ussic	Tables	Figures						
on Pape	I	۶I						
Ϋ́Υ	•	Þ						
	Back	Close						
)iscus:	Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc						
sion P	Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version						
aper	Interactive	Interactive Discussion						

(ŧ

BY

(cc)

Table 3. Comparison of synchronous estimates of the plume-top altitude by the two radars. Range and mean values are in km a.s.l.

Keflavík clusters		Ν	Keflavík radar		Mobile radar		Mean difference
Angle	Range		Range	Mean	Range	Mean	±std. error
0.5°	<7	12	5.7–6.5	6.15	2.5–10.3	6.15	0.00 ± 0.52
0.9°	7–8.5	6	7.2–7.9	7.70	2.5–7.7	5.53	-2.17 ± 0.69
1.3°	8.5–12	60	9.1–10.7	9.91	6.3–14.1	9.64	-0.28 ± 0.23
2.4°	12–17	24	14.4–15.1	14.81	9.4–19.7	16.38	$+1.56 \pm 0.70$
>2.4°	>17	0	-	_	-	-	-
All data		102	5.7–15.1	10.49	2.5–19.7	10.57	$+0.08 \pm 0.24$



Fig. 1. A map of Iceland and the location of the stationary weather radar at Keflavík airport and the mobile weather radar in Kirkjubæjarklaustur. The radars are 257 and 75 km from Grímsvötn volcano, respectively.





Fig. 2. Left: a range-height diagram of the altitude (km a.s.l.) as a function of distance from the weather radars (km), for the lowest elevation angles of the scanning strategy during the eruption. Right: a histogram of the plume-top altitudes (km a.s.l.) observed by the radars. The location of Grímsvötn is marked with a black triangle. (a) Range-height diagram and histogram of altitude estimates from the C-band Keflavík weather radar. The seven lowest elevation angles $(0.5-6.0^{\circ})$ are shown. (b) Range-height diagram and histogram of altitude estimates from the X-band mobile weather radar located close to Kirkjubæjarklaustur. The eleven lowest elevation angles $(0.5-23.8^{\circ})$ are shown. Note that the lowest elevation angle is blocked by the Pórðarhyrna mountain, marked by a gray triangle.





Fig. 3. The X-band mobile radar during very difficult operating conditions. Intense ash-fall caused very low visibility and darkness. Photo Geirfinnur S. Sigurðsson, 22 May 2011 at 09:00 UTC.





Fig. 4. The initial Grímsvötn eruption plume seen from Skeiðarársandur, 50 km south of the volcano. Approximate altitude scale at the distance of Grímsvötn (Gr) on the left, and the tropopause (Tr) at this time was at about 8.9 km. Photo Bolli Valgarðsson, 21 May 2011 at 19:20 UTC.





Fig. 5. The time series of the 5-min detected plume-top altitude (km a.s.l.) during the first 53 h of the eruption. Altitude estimates are from the C-band weather radar (blue) and the X-band mobile radar (red), as well as the initial rise of the plume estimated from photographs (green). The altitude of the tropopause, observed by Keflavík radiosondes is shown at about 9 km a.s.l. (gray). The lower gray line represents the altitude of the Grímsvötn caldera. A 30-min average plume-top altitude of all the estimates is shown by the blue curve.





Fig. 6. Comparison of synchronous plume-top altitude estimates by the two radars. The circles show mean values of clusters for the Keflavík radar elevation angles, see Table 3.



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion