Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 3, C26–C29, 2010 www.earth-syst-sci-data-discuss.net/3/C26/2010/ © Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.





Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Measuring hydrodynamics and sediment transport processes in the Dee estuary" by R. Bolaños and A. Souza

R. Bolaños and A. Souza

rbol@pol.ac.uk

Received and published: 13 May 2010

General Comments I applaud the efforts of Bolaños and Souza to get these data published and made available to all. In a perfect system, this document would provide direct links to data set and serve as a complete set of metadata. However, I was disappointed to see that direct links to the data were not available, and there were no helpful hints about how to request these data from the BODC. The system for requesting data from BODC is clumsy. After messing around and cancelling my poor instrument choices (ADVs were not one of the options), I found that I could specify " POL Dee Experiment" in the Project field and reveal 5 records that appear to be a subsets of the March 2007 data. It would be much more convenient if this document provided specific BODC Series Reference Numbers with which users could request



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the data from BODC, or at least the complete list of Project Fields. (This seems like a good way to group diverse data sets like this one). Best of all would be a table with enable hyperlinks that would link to the data or jump to the data request page for that dataset. It does not look like all of the data described here are at BODC; only the 2007 data showed up in my searches. The BODC data curator said "The current meter data you selected is not yet fit for online release. It is currently being audited and the auditor has found some issues with the data. It should be available in a few weeks." If the data are not at BODC, other links or information on how to download the data should be provided; even just a note that the data are available from the researchers (rather than BODC) would be informative. It looks like some of the less commonplace data (e.g., ABS, LISST, ripple profiler) may not easily fit on the BODC site. These data are difficult to archive because the each data set is multidimensional and sometimes unique, but I would encourage the authors to make these available. However, the most critical recommendation is to ensure that this article is in synch with data that are actually available. The most important revisions to the article should ensure that the data described are accessible. AUTHORS: We have improved the description of the data accessibility, explicitly showing that the data can be obtained from the authors or a contact in BODC. Some more information about the methods, and instrumentation is also provided in the new version of the manuscript.

Comments organized by the ESSDD Review Guidelines This article is highly appropriate for the publication of a data set. These are the data are all new, and in some cases, the methods are new, or unique. There is a high potential of the data being useful in the future. Some of the methods and materials are described in sufficient detail, but in many cases, it is likely that a researcher would need more information in order to use the data. (I don't know this for sure, because I could not get access to the data; my request is pending.) I did not check to ensure that all of the references are there and correct; my only comment on references to other data sets is that the appropriate information for finding the data at BODC should be supplied in association with other data sets. As mentioned above, the authors have not provided BODC identifiers. The

ESSDD 3, C26–C29, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



data at BODC is apparently only a subset of the experimental data, and it will be a challenge to get all of the data listed here moved to BODC. AUTHORS: see previous comment.

There are not specific error estimates provided in the paper, and the methods for attributing uncertainty to these data are not always clear. On the other hand, some of these data could be checked for drift (e.g., before and after checks of conductivity sensors, ZSCAT measurements of the LISST), but these procedures are not mentioned. The data processing discussed by the authors is appropriate, but the description may not be detailed enough for researchers to use the processed data without more information. For example, the authors do not describe the frequency limits over which the ADV data is converted to wave statistics using the PUV methods. In most practical applications, a highâĂŘfrequency cutâĂŘoff is required to prevent biasing the significant wave height by instrument noise. Similar details are likely required for other data processing steps (for example, Fig. 3 shows ADV data before and after removal of spikes. ADV users might disagree with the criteria used to define spikes in this data set, and would want to know, for example, which mode was used (e.g., what is wrapaAR around speed for these data) and why the time series shown here does not look like a typical waveâARcurrent time series. Overall, it is great to have the existence of these data made public, and the more of it that becomes available, the better. I am certain that users will have specific questions about format, calibrations and processing, and specifics of instrument deployment that are not addressed in this paper. Maybe the electronic format will allow authors to supplement or enhance this document as the data are moved to BODC and released. AUTHORS: The error estimates of measurements might depend on the instrumentation, we have provided some more information on the manufacturers. The conductivity checks have been done following BODC protocol and drift of salinity and optics in LISST was negligible. The frequency limits in the PUV have been specified in the new version of the text. The method for despiking ADV has been tested by other authors, we acknowledge that the method can sometimes change some real data, but such changes are negligible, the method effectively

ESSDD 3, C26–C29, 2010

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



removes big spikes (like the one in fig 3) and it conserves the general properties of the time series. The time series in figure 3 is only an example of the despiking and is during low wave energy. All the intraburst time series were in general very clean and only a few spikes were found. We have changed the figure to include a time series of the difference between original raw and despiked series.

Specific Comments Would that the first sentence was true! Alas, monitoring and prediction only provide tools that may be used to aid in sustainable development. Suggest: "The capabilities of monitoring and prediction in the marine environment provides information that may allow sustainable development..." AUTHORS: the sentence has been corrected in the new version of the paper.

I suggest that the manufacturer and model number of the commercial instruments, and their operating frequencies be added. For example, if the ADVs are Sontek ADVâĂŘOcean operating at 1.5 KHz instruments with Hydra loggers, that information should be noted. It is great that the serial numbers are specified. The response range for OBS sensors and or a calibration formula should be included, if possible. AUTHORS: The manufacturers have been included in the paper and information about the OBS calibration has also been included.

Technical Corrections (suggested changes in italics; line numbers refer to PDF version). There are a number of minor grammatical quirks in the paper...an hour with an editor would improve the readability. Here are some examples from the Abstract. Line 6: The aim of the data collection is to improve... Line 7: Data includes information from the deployment Line 10: The data cover flood Line 13: The data, in raw and treated formats, are banked at AUTHORS: These and other grammatical errors have been corrected.

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 3, 79, 2010.

ESSDD 3, C26–C29, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

