



## Supplement of

# Indicators of Global Climate Change 2024: annual update of key indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence

Piers M. Forster et al.

Correspondence to: Piers M. Forster (p.m.forster@leeds.ac.uk)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

#### 1 S1. Context

2 The IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C (SR1.5), published in 2018, provided an assessment of the level of 3 human-induced warming and cumulative emissions to date (Allen et al., 2018) and the remaining carbon budget (Rogelj et al., 4 2018) to support the evidence base on how the world is progressing in terms of meeting aspects of the Paris Agreement. The 5 AR6 WGI Report, published in 2021, assessed past, current and future changes of these and other key global climate indicators, 6 as well as undertaking an assessment of the Earth's energy budget. It also updated its approach for estimating human-induced 7 warming and global warming level. In AR6 WGI and here, reaching a level of global warming is defined as the global surface 8 temperature change, averaged over a 20-year period, exceeding a particular level of global warming, e.g. 1.5°C global 9 warming. Given the current rates of change and the likelihood of reaching 1.5°C of global warming in the first half of the 10 2030s (Lee J.-Y. et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2023; Riahi et al., 2022), it is important to have robust, trusted, and also timely climate 11 indicators in the public domain to form an evidence base for effective science-based decision making.

#### 12 S2. Emissions

## 13

## 14 Table S1 The three GHG emissions estimates and their underlying datasets.

Estimate	Source	Datasets	Reference
WGIII update	CO <sub>2</sub> -FFI and CO <sub>2</sub> -LULUCF	GCB v2024	Friedlingstein et al., 2025
	CH4, N2O	PRIMAP Hist-TP v2.6.1	Gütschow et al., 2025
	UNFCCC F-gases	CIP v2025: Climate Indicators Project (this article), with underlying data from NOAA and AGAGE inversions (see Sect. 3 main manuscript)	Main paper; Lan et al., 2025; Dutton et al., 2024; Prinn et al., 2018
WGIII update + additional sources	CO <sub>2</sub> -FFI, CO <sub>2</sub> -LULUCF, CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O and UNFCCC F-gases	As for WGIII update	Friedlingstein et al., 2025, Gütschow et al., 2025, Lan

			et al., 2025; Dutton et al., 2024; Prinn et al., 2018
	Biomass fires (CH4 and N2O)	GFED v4.1	van der Werf et al., 2017
	ODS F-gases	CIP v2025: Climate Indicators Project (this article), with underlying data from NOAA and AGAGE inversions (see Sect. 3 main manuscript)	Lan et al., 2025; Dutton et al., 2024; Prinn et al., 2018
	Cement carbonation	GCB v2024	Friedlingstein et al., 2025
Inventory aligned	CO <sub>2</sub> -FFI, CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O and UNFCCC F-gases	PRIMAP Hist-CR v2.6.1	Gütschow et al., 2025
	CO <sub>2</sub> -LULUCF	Grassi NGHGI v2024	Grassi et al., 2024

#### 16 S2.1 Calculation of uncertainties and CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions in Section 2

17 We follow the same approach for estimating uncertainties and CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent emissions as in AR6: CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent emissions 18 were calculated using global warming potentials with a 100-year time horizon (GWP100 henceforth) from AR6 WGI Chap. 7 19 (Forster et al., 2021). Uncertainty ranges were based on a comparative assessment of available data and expert judgment, 20 corresponding to a 90 % confidence interval (Minx et al., 2021): ±8 % for CO<sub>2</sub>-FFI, ±70 % for CO<sub>2</sub>-LULUCF, ±30 % for CH<sub>4</sub> and F-gases, and  $\pm 60\%$  for N<sub>2</sub>O (note that the GCB assesses 1 standard deviation uncertainty for CO<sub>2</sub>-FFI as  $\pm 5\%$  and for 21 22 CO<sub>2</sub>-LULUCF as ±2.6 GtCO<sub>2</sub>; Friedlingstein et al., 2025). The total uncertainty was summed in quadrature, assuming 23 independence of estimates per species/source. Reflecting these uncertainties, AR6 WGIII reported emissions to two significant 24 figures only. Uncertainties in GWP100 metrics of roughly  $\pm 10$  % were not applied (Minx et al., 2021).

25

## 27 S3. Greenhouse gas concentrations

28 Naming conventions and details for Sect. 3 of the main paper and herein follow AR6 WGI Chapter 2 (Gulev et al., 2021).

Table S2 Annual mean concentrations of well-mixed greenhouse gases in 2023, 2022, 2019, 1850 and 1750. Except for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and

30 N<sub>2</sub>O, concentrations all are in parts per trillion by volume [ppt]. For halogenated gases, concentrations are stated for each gas, with

31 equivalents for HFCs, PFCs and Montreal gases given as the radiative equivalent of the most abundant gas in each category.

Greenhouse gas	1750	1850	2019	2023	2024
CO <sub>2</sub> [ppm]	278.4	285.6	410.1	419.4	422.8
CH4 [ppb]	729.2	807.6	1866.5	1922.1	1929.7
N <sub>2</sub> O [ppb]	270.1	272.1	332.1	336.9	337.9
NF <sub>3</sub>	0	0	2.1	3.1	3.4
SF <sub>6</sub>	0	0	10	11.4	11.8
SO <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	0	0	2.5	2.9	3
HFCs as HFC-134a-eq	0	0	237.1	302.7	321.4
HFC-134a	0	0	107.6	129.5	134.7
HFC-23	0	0	32.4	36.7	37.3
HFC-32	0	0	19.5	32.5	35.7
HFC-125	0	0	29.6	44.2	50.1
HFC-143a	0	0	24	31.1	3 2.7
HFC-152a	0	0	7.1	7.5	7.9
HFC-227ea	0	0	1.6	2.3	2.4
HFC-236fa	0	0	0.2	0.2	0.3
HFC-245fa	0	0	3.1	3.8	3.9
HFC-365mfc	0	0	1.1	1.2	1.1
HFC-43-10mee	0	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
PFCs as CF₄-eq	34.1	34.1	109.7	115.8	117.4
CF <sub>4</sub>	34	34	85.5	89.4	90.4
C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>6</sub>	0	0	4.8	5.2	5.3
C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>8</sub>	0	0	0.7	0.8	0.8
c-C <sub>4</sub> F <sub>8</sub>	0	0	1.8	2	2.1
n-C <sub>4</sub> F <sub>10</sub>	0	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
n-C <sub>5</sub> F <sub>12</sub>	0	0	0.1	0.2	0.2
n-C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>14</sub>	0	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
i-C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>14</sub>	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
C <sub>7</sub> F <sub>16</sub>	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
C <sub>8</sub> F <sub>18</sub>	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Montreal gases as CFC-12-eq	8.5	8.5	1031.9	1005	995.4
CFC-12	0	0	503	487.2	482.9

CFC-11	0	0	226.2	216.8	214.5
CFC-113	0	0	69.8	67.2	66.6
CFC-114	0	0	16	16	16
CFC-115	0	0	8.7	8.9	8.9
CFC-13	0	0	3.3	3.4	3.4
CFC-112	0	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
CFC-112a	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
CFC-113a	0	0	0.9	1.3	1.4
CFC-114a	0	0	1	1	1
HCFC-22	0	0	246.8	248.1	24
					4.9
HCFC-141b	0	0	24.4	24.6	24.5
HCFC-142b	0	0	22.3	21.5	21.2
HCFC-133a	0	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
HCFC-31	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
HCFC-124	0	0	1	0.9	0.9
CH3CCI3	0	0	1.6	0.9	0.8
CCl4	0	0	78	73.9	72.9
СНЗСІ	457	457	540.8	542.8	542.7
CH3Br	5.3	5.3	6.5	6.5	6.5
CH2Cl2	6.9	6.9	40.6	48.1	49.9
СНСІЗ	4.8	4.8	8.8	7.6	7.3
Halon-1211	0	0	3.3	2.9	2.8
Halon-1301	0	0	3.3	3.3	3. 3
Halon-2402	0	0	0.4	0.4	0.4

## 33 S4. Short-Lived Climate Forcers (SLCFs)

Table S3 Emissions of the major SLCFs in 1750, 2019, 2022, 2023 and 2024 from a combination of CEDS and GFED and CAMS for
 the 2024 trend. Provisional estimates used in Forster et al. (2023) and Forster et al. (2024) are shown. Emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>+SO<sub>4</sub> use SO<sub>2</sub>
 molecular weights. Emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> use NO<sub>2</sub> molecular weights. VOCs are for the total mass.

Compound	1750	2019 (WGI)	2019 (updated with CEDS v 2025_03- 18 and GFEDv4)	2022 (Forster et al., 2023)	2022 (updated with CEDS v 2025_03- 18 and GFEDv4)	2023 (Forster et al., 2024)	2023 (updated with CEDS v 2025_03- 18 and GFEDv4)	2024 (CEDS v 2025_03- 18 and GFEDv4)
----------	------	---------------	---	-----------------------------------	---	-----------------------------------	---	--

Sulphur dioxide $(SO_2) +$ sulphate $(SO_4^{2-})$	2.8	84.2	80.9	75.3	70.6	79.1	72.7	71.2
Black carbon (BC)	2.1	7.5	7.3	6.8	6.7	7.3	7.6	7.5
Organic carbon (OC)	15.5	34.2	33.0	25.8	25.6	40.7	41.0	36.1
Ammonia (NH3)	6.6	67.6	66.3	67.3	66.8	71.1	72.7	70.6
Oxides of nitrogen (NO <sub>x</sub> )	19.4	141.7	133.6	130.4	123.2	139.4	128.4	130.4
Volatile organic compound s (VOCs)	60.9	217.3	204.8	183.9	176.4	228.1	224.1	212.7
Carbon monoxide (CO)	348.4	853.8	816.1	686.4	665.4	917.5	896.0	845.3







40 Figure S1 Comparison of short-lived climate forcer emissions from Forster et al. (2024) (blue) and the most recent update of CEDS

and GFED through 2023, with 2024 trend from CAMS (orange). (Granier et al., 2019; Jalkanen et al. 2012, 2016; Johansson et al.,
2017).

## 44 S5. Effective radiative forcing (ERF)

#### 45 S5.1 Well-mixed greenhouse gas ERF methods

46 Radiative forcing (RF) from CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O use the simplified formulas from concentrations in Meinshausen et al. (2020). 47 derived from an updated functional fit to Etminan et al. (2016) line-by-line radiative transfer results. These formulas are, to 48 first order, logarithmic with CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and a square-root dependence for CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O, with additional corrections and radiative band overlaps between gases. RF is converted to ERF using scaling factors (1.05, 0.86 and 1.07 for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, 49 N<sub>2</sub>O respectively) that account for tropospheric and land-surface rapid adjustments (Smith et al., 2018a; Hodnebrog et al., 50 2020a). ERF from other GHGs is assumed to scale linearly with their concentration based on their radiative efficiencies 51 52 expressed in W m<sup>-2</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup> (Hodnebrog et al., 2020b, Smith et al., 2021b). A scaling factor translating RF to ERF is implemented 53 for CFC-11 (1.13) and CFC-12 (1.12) (Hodnebrog et al., 2020a), whereas no model evidence exists to treat ERF differently to 54 RF for other halogenated gases.

55

Relative uncertainties in the ERF for CO<sub>2</sub> ( $\pm$  12%), CH<sub>4</sub> ( $\pm$  20%) and N<sub>2</sub>O ( $\pm$  14%) are unchanged from AR6. These stem from a combination of spectroscopic uncertainties and uncertainties in the adjustment terms converting RF to ERF; uncertainties in the volume mixing concentrations themselves are assessed to be small (Sect. 2). Uncertainties in the ERF from halogenated gases are treated individually and are assessed as  $\pm$ 19% for gases with a lifetime of 5 or more years and  $\pm$ 26% for shorter lifetime gases. In AR6, a  $\pm$ 19% uncertainty was applied to the sum of the ERF from all halogenated gases. To maintain a consistent uncertainty range across the sum of ERF from halogenated gases with AR6, we inflate the uncertainty in each individual gas by a factor of 2.05. Uncertainties are applied by scaling the full ERF time series for each gas.

#### 63 S5.2 Aerosol ERF methods

Aerosol ERF is a combination of contributions from aerosol-radiation interactions (ERFari) and aerosol-cloud interactions(ERFaci).

#### 66 S5.2.1 Aerosol-radiation interactions

67 Contributions to ERFari are assumed to scale linearly with certain SLCF emissions in Sect. 3 (SO<sub>2</sub>, BC, OC, NH<sub>3</sub>, NOx and 68 VOC) or concentrations (CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and ozone-depleting halocarbons) of primary aerosols and chemically active precursor 69 species. The coefficients converting emissions or concentrations of each SLCF into ERF and its uncertainty come from Chapter 69 6 of AR6 WGI (Szopa et al., 2021), originally from CMIP6 AerChemMIP models (Thornhill et al., 2021a). We scale these 71 coefficients to reproduce the headline AR6 WGI ERFari assessment of -0.3 W m<sup>2</sup> from 1750 to 2005-2014. Uncertainties are

72 applied as a scale factor for each species and applied to the whole time series.

73

The inclusion of more species that affect ERFari differs from the AR6 WGI calculation of ERFari in Chapter 7, which only used SO<sub>2</sub>, BC, OC and NH<sub>3</sub> (Smith et al., 2021b). In the update, these four species remain the dominant aerosol and aerosol precursors. Additionally, these coefficients have changed slightly due to switching to CMIP6 era data. In AR6, the coefficients scaling emissions to ERF for SO<sub>2</sub>, BC, OC and NH<sub>3</sub> were provided by CMIP5-era models (Myhre et al., 2013a). The additional coefficients and slight changes to their magnitude had an imperceptible effect on the results but have been included to align with current best practice. This might be important in future years as NOx and VOC precursors might make up a larger fraction of ERFari.

#### 81 S5.2.2 Aerosol-cloud interactions

- 82 ERFaci is estimated by assuming a logarithmic relationship with the change in cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC)83 as
- 84

85 ERFaci = $\beta \log (1 + \Delta CDNC)$	(S1)
--	------

- 86
- 87  $\Delta CDNC = s_{SO2}\Delta E_{SO2} + s_{BC}\Delta E_{BC} + s_{OC}\Delta E_{OC}$ (S2)
- 88

where  $s_{SO2}$ ,  $s_{BC}$  and  $s_{OC}$  are sensitivities of the change in CDNC with the change in emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, BC and OC respectively ( $\Delta E$ ). This relationship is fit to estimates of ERFaci in 13 CMIP6 models contributing results to the piClim-histaer and histSSTpiAer experiments of RFMIP and AerChemMIP, respectively, to CMIP6 (Smith et al., 2024). The ERFaci in these 13 models is estimated using the Approximate Partial Radiative Perturbation (APRP) method (Taylor et al., 2007; Zelinka et al., 2014; Zelinka et al., 2023a).

94

The *s*<sub>SO2</sub>, *s*<sub>BC</sub> and *s*<sub>OC</sub> values from each model are combined into a kernel density estimate and sampled 100,000 times to provide a CMIP6-informed distribution of these parameters. To obtain  $\beta$  for each sample given (*s*<sub>SO2</sub>, *s*<sub>BC</sub>, *s*<sub>OC</sub>) a target ERFaci value for 1750 to 2005-2014 is drawn from the headline AR6 distribution of -1.0 [-1.7 to -0.3] W m<sup>-2</sup> and eq. (S1) rearranged. This follows a very similar procedure to AR6 and is based on Smith et al. (2021a) with three updates. Firstly, the relationships in eqs. (S1) and (S2) are slightly updated and simplified (Smith et al., 2024). Secondly, an additional two CMIP6 models have become available since the AR6 WG1 assessment which expands the sampling pool for coefficients from 11 to 13. Thirdly, a 101 slight error in computing ERFaci from APRP from the CMIP6 models in Smith et al. (2021a) has been corrected (Zelinka et

102 al., 2023b).

103

## 104 S5.3 Ozone ERF methods

105 In AR6 WGI Chapter 7, the ozone ERF is derived from CMIP6 model-based estimates (Skeie et al., 2020) from 1850 to 2014, 106 to infer the sensitivity of ozone RF to emissions of NOx, VOC and CO, concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and ozone-depleting 107 halogens, and global mean surface temperature (GMST) anomaly. These factors can be found in Table 7.SM.3 of AR6. In 108 CMIP6, experimental results that vary CO and VOC emissions separately are not available, so individual contributions from 109 CO and VOC to the CO+VOC total are based on their fractional contributions from ACCMIP (CMIP5-era) models in 110 Stevenson et al. (2013). To compute the ozone ERF we thus use the radiative efficiencies for ozone ERF and the scale factor 111 to CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and ozone-depleting halogens concentration changes discussed in the paper and CO, NMVOC and NOx emission changes discussed in the paper. For the global mean temperature contribution to ozone forcing (in terms of W  $m^{-2} K^{-1}$ ), we 112 113 use the model responses to ozone forcing per degree warming in chemistry-enabled models in abrupt-4xCO2 experiments 114 (Thornhill et al., 2021b), and apply this factor to the observed GMST anomaly from Sect. 7. Following AR6, we do not 115 differentiate between stratospheric and tropospheric ozone, and we also assume that ERF is the same as RF as there is limited 116 model evidence to suggest otherwise.

117

## 118 **S5.4 ERF from land use change and irrigation**

119 In Forster et al., (2024), ERF from land use and irrigation was scaled with cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from AFOLU from 1750, 120 as the IPCC AR6 assessment from Ghimire et al. (2014) did not extend beyond 2005, and the IPCC assessment used cumulative 121 AFOLU CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to estimate land use and irrigation ERF from 2005 to 2019. In IGCC 2024, we use land use transitions 122 from the Land Use Harmonization v2 (LUH2) dataset (Hurtt et al., 2020) updated for the Global Carbon Budget (GCB) 2024 123 (Chini et al., 2021; Friedlingstein et al., 2025) using cropland and grazing land data from HYDE3.4 (Klein Goldewijk et al., 124 2017) that itself merges the latest FAO state-level data with MapBiomas satellite-based estimates for Brazil and Indonesia 125 (Souza et al., 2020) and another recent estimate for China (Yu et al., 2022). This constitutes an update to the Ghimire et al. 126 (2014) data that used LUH1, using the satellite-derived albedo-related parameters of Ouyang et al. (2022) and providing data 127 for 1750-2023, which we extrapolate one year to 2024. The resulting global ERF is scaled by a factor of 1.28 to recover the 128 Ghimire et al. (2014) surface reflectance assessment of -0.15 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2005 relative to 1750 to ensure consistency with the 129 AR6. For irrigation, we assume the forcing scales with the area of land irrigated. We create a timeseries of this irrigated land 130 area, using FAOSTAT (FAO, 2024) from 1961-2022, and Angelakis et al. (2021) to extend back to 1750. Angelakis et al. 131 (2021) provide data points from 1900 in their Figure 21, and give a value for 1800 in the text. We assume the 1800 value (8 132 megahectares as compared to 354 megahectares in 2022) applies to 1750 and apply a cubic spline fit to produce a time series 133 of irrigated area from 1750 to 1961 which is scaled to match the 1961 FAOSTAT irrigated area. The irrigated area in 2019 is 134 assumed to result in the AR6 assessment of irrigation forcing of -0.05 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2019 (having its roots in Sherwood et al., 135 2018), and irrigation forcing is linear with irrigated area relative to this benchmark. We note that since the publication of AR6, 136 more Earth System models incorporating transient historical irrigation are available (Yao et al., 2025), and could be used to 137 provide an assessment of the ERF of irrigation in future.

#### 138 **S5.5 ERF from other anthropogenic forcers**

Minor categories of anthropogenic forcers include contributions from land use and land use change other than via GHG emissions, aviation contrails and contrail-induced cirrus, stratospheric water vapour from methane oxidation, and light absorbing particles on snow and ice.

142

The methodology to estimate ERF from land use and land-use change, including irrigation, has been updated to be more consistent with AR6 (Sect. 5) We anchor the 1750-2019 assessment to be the same as AR6 at -0.15 [-0.25 to -0.05] W m<sup>-2</sup> for the ERF from surface albedo changes and -0.05 [-0.10 to +0.05] W m<sup>-2</sup> for irrigation under this updated methodology.

Stratospheric water vapour from methane oxidation was assessed to be  $0.05 [0.00 \text{ to } 0.10] \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in AR6 for 1750-2019, and is assumed to change linearly with changes in methane concentration.

148

The ERF from light absorbing particles on snow and ice (LAPSI) is assumed to scale with emissions of black carbon. As in AR6, the contribution from brown carbon is assumed to be negligible. We align the coefficient that converts BC emissions to ERF from LAPSI to match the 0.08 [0.00 to 0.18] W m<sup>-2</sup> assessment in AR6 for 1750-2019.

152

To estimate ERF from aviation contrails and contrail-induced cirrus in AR6, emissions of NOx from the aviation sector in CEDS were scaled to reproduce an ERF of 0.0574 [0.019 to 0.098] W m<sup>-2</sup> for 1750-2018 as assessed in Lee D. S. et al. (2021). We more closely follow the original methods of Lee D. S. et al. (2021) in this update to base our ERF estimates as closely as possible on aviation activity data. The Lee D.S. et al. (2021) ERF time series is extended to 2022 based on aviation fuel consumption from the International Energy Agency's (IEA) World Oil Statistics (2024). For 2023 and 2024, we use fuel consumption data from the International Air Transport Association (IATA, 2024).

## 159 S5.6 Methods for estimating natural forcing

160 Natural forcing is composed of solar irradiance and volcanic eruptions.

#### 161 S5.6.1 Solar irradiance

162 The method to compute solar forcing is unchanged from AR6, using a composite time series prepared for PMIP4 (Jungclaus 163 et al., 2017) and CMIP6 (Matthes et al., 2017). The headline assessment of solar ERF is based on the most recent solar cycle 164 (2009-2019), which is unchanged from AR6. Solar ERF estimates are computed relative to complete solar cycles encompassing 165 the full "pre-industrial" period where proxy data exists (6754 BCE to 1745 CE). The CMIP7 solar forcing time series for the 166 historical period (1850–2023) is now available (Funke et al., 2024). However, it has changed from the CMIP6 time series and 167 does not seamlessly transition to the pre-1850 period including the last several thousand years, nor does it extend forward to 168 include 2024. In future editions of IGCC, the CMIP7 solar forcing product could be used if the data spans the appropriate 169 periods.

#### 170 **S5.6.2 Volcanic**

171 Volcanic ERF consists of contributions from stratospheric sulphate aerosol optical depth (sAOD; a negative forcing) and 172 stratospheric water vapour (sWV, a positive forcing). The sAOD time series (at a nominal wavelength of 550 nm) is constructed 173 from a combination of four datasets which have temporal overlap. We use ice-core deposition data from HolVol v1.0 (Sigl et 174 al., 2022) for 9500 BCE to 1749 CE. For 1750 to 2023 we use the CMIP7 volcanic sAOD dataset (Durack et al., 2025) using 175 the 550 nm spectral band, which is an update from IGCC 2023 that used the CMIP6 volcanic sAOD dataset. There is a seamless 176 transition between HolVol and CMIP7 in 1750, so no blending of datasets was required. In previous editions of IGCC, we 177 used the Global Space-based Stratospheric Aerosol Climatology (GloSSAC) product of sAOD which provided data until the 178 penultimate year (Thomason et al., 2018), however, with the availability of the CMIP7 dataset to 2023, GloSSAC is not 179 required directly in this year's IGCC. Additionally, the CMIP7 dataset incorporates GloSSAC from 1979 to 2023. For 2024, 180 we use the Ozone Mapping and Profiling Limb Profiler (OMPS LP) Level 3 aerosol optical depth at 745 nm, which is scaled 181 to achieve the same time mean sAOD as GloSSAC v2.22 (Kovilakam et al., 2020) in the overlapping 2013-2023 period as a 182 single Ångstrom exponent is not suggested for this conversion. The 745 nm band from OMPS-LP is used as this is reported to 183 be more stable than the bands closer to 550 nm from OMPS LP (Taha et al., 2021). For comparison we estimate the 550 nm 184 sAOD from GloSSAC v2.22 using the 525 nm band and an Ångstrom exponent of -2.33, and we find good correspondence to 185 the CMIP7 sAOD time series for the 1979-2023 common period. Therefore, while GloSSAC is not used directly this year, it 186 is used as an anchor and reference for both the CMIP7 and OMPS-LP datasets. sAOD is converted to a radiative effect using 187 a scaling factor of -20 ± 5 as in AR6 (Smith et al., 2021b) that is representative of CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. ERF is calculated 11

188 with reference to the change in this radiative effect since "pre-industrial", defined as the mean of all available years before

189 1750 CE. In other words, the mean of the pre-1750 period is defined as zero forcing.

190

191 The January 2022 eruption of Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (HTHH) was an exceptional episode in that it emitted large 192 amounts of water vapour into the stratosphere (Millán et al., 2022; Sellitto et al., 2022). Jenkins et al. (2023) determined the HTHH eruption increased volcanic ERF in 2022 by +0.12 W m<sup>-2</sup> due to sWV. In IGCC 2024 we update this value from 193 194 Jenkins et al. (2023), which used an idealised injection of water vapour, to use direct satellite retrievals of water vapour from 195 the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) data on board the Aura platform. Using the MLS data in place of the Jenkins et al. (2023) spatial distribution, we update the 2022 volcanic sWV ERF to +0.14 W m<sup>-2</sup>, and find that in the MLS data the stratospheric 196 197 water vapour plume persists into 2023 (+0.18 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and 2024 (+0.15 W m<sup>-2</sup>) (Fig. S2). These water vapour forcings are 198 calculated in a similar fashion to in Jenkins et al. (2023), by implementing the stratospheric water vapour in 2022, 2023 and 199 2024 from MLS against a climatology derived from MLS using the 2004-2021 years. The instantaneous radiative forcing at 200 the tropopause is calculated using the SOCRATES radiative transfer model (Edwards and Slingo, 1996), against a background 201 climatology (atmospheric temperatures, humidity, cloud profiles, ozone profiles, surface albedo and surface temperature) taken 202 from ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020). From the CMIP7 and GloSSAC data the peak addition of stratospheric 203 aerosols was around 0.007 optical depth units in the global mean, resulting in a peak volcanic aerosol forcing of -0.14 W m<sup>-2</sup> 204 in mid-2022 relative to the pre-HTHH baseline that decayed away with an e-folding lifetime of around 18 months. We conclude 205 using this analysis that the net HTHH ERF from both sAOD and sWV was positive (but small) in 2022, 2023 and 2024, and attempting to back out HTHH from other small eruptions gives a best estimate ERF for HTHH in isolation of +0.03 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 206 2022, +0.10 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2023 and +0.10 W m<sup>-2</sup> in 2024. This is in contrast to other studies that assess a net negative (Gupta et 207 208 al., 2025) or zero (Schoeberl et al., 2024) impact of HTHH, using different methods.



Figure S2 Volcanic effective radiative forcing from 1975 to present relative to the pre-1750 baseline. The impact of including stratospheric water vapour from Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (green) can be observed in 2022, 2023 and 2024 by increasing the net volcanic ERF above the background level (purple).

214

#### 215 S6. Earth energy imbalance

While changes in EEI have been effectively monitored at the top of the atmosphere by satellites since the mid-2000s, we rely on estimates of OHC change to determine the absolute magnitude of EEI and its evolution on inter-annual to multi-decadal time series. The AR6 assessment of ocean heat content change for the 0–2000 m layer was based on global annual mean time series from five ocean heat content datasets: IAP (Cheng et al., 2017), Domingues et al. (2008), EN4 (Good et al., 2013), JMA (Ishii et al., 2017) and NCEI (Levitus et al., 2012). Four of these datasets routinely provide updated OHC time series for the BAMS State of the Climate report, and all are used for the GCOS Earth heat inventory (von Schuckmann et al., 2020, 2023a) 13

222 and the annual WMO State of the Global Climate report. The uncertainty assessment for the 0–2000 m layer used the ensemble 223 method described by Palmer et al. (2021) that separately accounts for parametric (referred to in the plots below as "mapping 224 uncertainty") and structural uncertainty. The OHC change >2000 m and associated uncertainty were assessed based on trend 225 analysis of the available hydrographic data following Purkey and Johnson (2010). All five of the datasets used for the 0-226 2000 m OHC assessment are now updated at least annually and should in principle support an AR6 assessment time series 227 update within the first few months of each year. There is potential to increase the observational ensemble used in the assessment 228 by supplementing this set with additional data products that are also available annually for future updates. A full propagation 229 of uncertainties across all heat inventory components depends on the specific choice of time period, and different estimates 230 are not directly comparable. Therefore, we take a simple pragmatic approach, using the total ocean heat content uncertainty as 231 a proxy for the total uncertainty, since this term is 2 orders of magnitude larger than the other terms (Forster et al., 2021). To 232 provide estimates of the EEI up to the year 2024, we scale up the values of OHC change in 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024 to 233 reflect the  $\sim 90\%$  contribution of the ocean to changes in the Earth heat inventory. The EEI is then simply computed as the difference in global energy inventory over each period, converted to units of watts per square metre (W m<sup>-2</sup>) using the surface 234 235 area of the Earth and the elapsed time. The uncertainties in the global energy inventory for the end-point years are assumed to 236 be independent and added in quadrature, following the approach used in AR6 (Forster et al., 2021). Estimates of EEI should 237 also account for the other elements of the Earth heat inventory, i.e. the atmospheric warming, the latent heat of global ice loss 238 and heating of the continental land surface (Forster et al., 2021; Cuesta-Valero et al., 2021, 2023a; Steiner et al., 2020; Nitzbon 239 et al., 2022a; Vanderkelen et al., 2020; Adusumilli et al., 2022). Some of these components of the Earth heat inventory are 240 routinely updated by a community-based initiative reported in von Schuckmann et al. (2020, 2023a). However, in the absence 241 of annual updates to all heat inventory components, a pragmatic approach is to use recent OHC change as a proxy for EEI, 242 scaling the value up as required based on historical partitioning between Earth system components.

243



245

Figure S3 (Left) Ocean heat content timeseries for the 0-700 m layer with the estimate of structural uncertainty indicated by the grey shaded region and expressed as a 90% confidence interval (*very likely* range) in units of Zetta Joules (1 ZJ =  $10^{21}$  Joules). (Right) Individual estimates of the mapping uncertainty with the ensemble mapping uncertainty (the maximum across all available estimates) shown by the dotted line and grey shaded region. Mapping uncertainty is expressed as a 90% confidence interval (*very*  $V_{24}$ 

250 *likely* range) in units of Zetta Joules (1  $ZJ = 10^{21}$  Joules).



251

252 Figure S4 As Figure S3 but for ocean heat content in the 700-2000 m layer.

#### 253 S7. Global surface temperature

254 Surface temperature information on land and sea is available with low latency through WMO distribution channels, with 255 monthly station data from a substantial number of stations reported within a few days of the end of the month. Sea-surface 256 temperature data from ships and buoys are gathered from the Global Telecommunication System with a short delay. These are 257 consolidated into global data sets by a number of institutions, making it feasible to report GMST updates within a few weeks 258 of the end of the period of interest. The number of reporting locations on land with near-real time data available for reporting 259 for the most recent periods is typically less than that available for historical data, as not all observation sites report recent data 260 reliably, but this lower observation density only slightly increases the uncertainty in estimates of recent annual GMST 261 compared with the past 20-30 years (Trewin et al., 2021).

262

The GMST assessment in AR6 was largely based on four datasets: HadCRUT5 (Morice et al., 2021), Berkeley Earth (Rohde and Hausfather, 2020), NOAAGlobalTemp - Interim (Vose et al., 2021) and Kadow et al. (2020). The four GMST datasets were chosen by virtue of being quasi globally complete, having data back to 1850, using the most recent generation of SST analyses and using analysed (rather than climatological) values over sea ice. The first two of these are routinely updated

267 operationally, with data for each year becoming available in the first few weeks of the following year. NOAAGlobalTemp -268 Interim was not updated operationally at the time AR6 was published but became NOAA's main operational GMST dataset 269 (under the name NOAAGlobalTemp 5.1) as of January 2023. All three datasets are updated and published monthly. The dataset 270 by Kadow et al. is updated on an ad hoc basis by the authors (Kadow et al., 2025). A fifth data set, China - Mean Surface 271 Temperature (China-MST) (Sun et al., 2021), which meets all the GMST dataset criteria except for treatment of sea ice areas, 272 is used both in AR6 and here for global temperatures over land areas only. Although the version of the Kadow et al. (2020) 273 dataset reported in that paper used HadCRUT4 as its base, the version used in AR6 and subsequently used HadCRUT5 as its 274 base (Kadow et al., 2025)..

275

276 In 2023, there was a significant version change to NOAAGlobalTemp (now version 6.0.0). This is the current operational 277 version and is used in this paper. This uses a new artificial neural network approach to reconstruct temperatures over land 278 (Huang et al., 2022) in place of the empirical orthogonal teleconnection approach used in version 5.1. This change has little 279 impact on long-term trends at global scale since the low-frequency component in the land surface air temperature (LSAT) reconstruction has not changed, but has a substantial impact on spatial and short-term temporal variability. Version 6.0.0 shows 280 281 approximately 0.01 °C less warming from 1850-1900 to recent time periods (such as 2013-2022) than version 5.1, principally 282 due to differences in the early part of the 1850-1900 baseline period. A new version of the ERSST sea surface temperature 283 analysis (v6) has been developed (Huang et al., 2025), but as of March 2025, NOAAGlobalTemp 6.0.0 still uses ERSSTv5 as 284 its SST component.

285

To date, all four GMST datasets remain supported, and those version changes which have occurred since AR6 have not had a material impact on long-term temperature changes, but it is likely that more substantive version changes will occur to one or more over time, potentially leading to differences from the AR6. Version changes to date since AR6 have resulted in the warming from 1850-1900 to 2011-2020, 2010-2019 and 2001-2020 each being 0.01 °C greater in the most recent dataset versions than that reported in AR6.

291

A new version of the China-MST dataset (v3.0) has been developed and is used as part of the land component of the assessment of this paper. The land component of this uses the C-LSAT 2.1 dataset (Xu et al., 2025). Compared with earlier versions, C-LSAT 2.1 has substantially more stations and a substantially greater areal data coverage, as well as new homogenisation methods. China-MST v3.0 shows more warming over the common 1850-1900 to 2014-2023 period than the v2.0 version used in 2023 and earlier, with warming of 1.61 °C in v3.0 compared with 1.53 °C in v2.0. This change brings China-MST into closer alignment with the other four datasets although it still shows the least amount of warming of the five.

The key differences between the AR6 datasets and those used in the annual WMO and BAMS State of the Climate reports are that WMO and BAMS also incorporate reanalyses (ERA5 and JRA-3Q, which superseded JRA-55 during 2024). These reports also include the GISTEMP (Lenssen et al., 2019) dataset (excluded by AR6 because it starts in 1880) but do not include the dataset by Kadow et al. (as that is not updated operationally).

303

304 The GMST values used in AR6 were calculated from the gridded data sets produced by the data providers, using a consistent 305 methodology - calculating the mean anomaly for each of the northern and southern hemisphere as a latitude-weighted mean of 306 available gridpoint values, then defining the global mean anomaly as the mean of the two hemispheric values. (This is 307 equivalent to the method used by the Met Office Hadley Centre to report global values from HadCRUT5). The values thus 308 calculated may differ from those reported by the data providers themselves, due to different averaging methodologies. 309 Although the difference is less pronounced in the AR6 datasets than in earlier generations of datasets, there are more gridpoints 310 with missing data in the Southern Hemisphere than the Northern (particularly before an observation network was established 311 on Antarctica in the 1950s), and using hemispheric means ensures that the two hemispheres are equally weighted.

312

The uncertainty assessment in AR6 combines the spread of the individual datasets with uncertainties derived from ensembles for HadCRUT5 and an earlier version of NOAAGlobalTemp, with the other two datasets assumed to have the same uncertainty as HadCRUT5. HadCRUT5 is the only one of the datasets for which regularly updated ensembles are currently produced, limiting the extent to which uncertainty assessments can be regularly updated from those used in AR6. In this update it was assumed that the width of the confidence interval for each individual dataset was the same as that used in AR6.

318

A number of new global temperature products have been published in the last year (e.g. Chan et al., 2024; Calvert, 2024). It is anticipated that there will be a review over the coming year of the datasets used in global temperature assessment, both in forthcoming versions of this publication (and ultimately the IPCC AR7) and in WMO reporting.

- 322
- 323 2023 and 2024 temperature anomalies
- 324



Figure S5 Global surface air temperature (GSAT) interannual anomalies from CMIP6 models (plain coloured dots) at anthropogenically-forced global warming (ANT\_GWL) equal to 1.36°C as a function of ENSO estimated by Oct-Dec mean of SST(y-axis) and AMV (x-axis). Larger dots stand for 2022, 2023 and 2024 observed values from ERSSTv5 for the modes of variability and from WMO consolidated values for GSAT. SST Anomalies for the modes of variability are calculated from the residual of SST obtained after removing the modelled forced response estimated as model ensemble mean.

While comprehensive syntheses have yet to be published, we provide a preliminary estimate of the contribution of different factors by combining the results of recent studies of their respective forcings using the FaIR climate model (Leach et al., 2021)

(Figure S6). We calculate the residuals of 0.18 °C and 0.26 °C for 2023 and 2024, respectively, relative to an expectation of smoothly rising temperatures calculated over the period prior through to the end of 2022 using a locally linear regression with a bandwidth of 20 years (WMO, 2025). This is not a full attribution study; the results are rather presented with associated caveats to provide a possible explanation of the anomalous temperatures in 2023 and 2024 compared to prior years. This analysis is similar to that presented in the WMO 2024 State of the climate report, published earlier this year (https://wmo.int/publication-series/state-of-global-climate-2024, accessed, 7 June 2025).



343 Figure S6 Assessed contributions to 2023 and 2024 global surface temperature residuals relative to the expected warming approach

344 described above. The combined bar shows the combination of individual contributions, with uncertainties added in quadrature.
345 Note that aerosol-related factors reflect declines in aerosol emissions that were previously masking warming. Actual residuals are
346 shown in green.

347

The impact of ENSO on the temperatures can be estimated in multiple ways. A linear 348 349 regression of the annual mean relative anomaly on the Feb/Mar Niño 3.4 index suggests an impact of -0.07 °C, 0.01 °C and 0.13 °C for 2022, 2023 and 2024 respectively (95% CI. ±0.13 °C). 350 351 It is important to note that the uncertainties in the ENSO response estimated here also 352 incorporate other sources of unforced internal (modes of variability in other basins like 353 AMV), and potentially some forced variability, and the bar in Figure S6 is labelled "El Niño 354 and variability" to reflect this. While some results from pre-industrial control climate 355 model simulations (Raghuraman et al., 2024) suggest a transition from triple-dip La Niña 356 to El Niño can produce an anomalous jump of up to 0.25 °C in the year of transition, but it 357 is unclear how to apply this to 2023 since one would need to condition the effect on the 358 ENSO change that was actually experienced.

359

360 The IMO regulation change in 2020 led to a quick reduction of about 8.4 TgSO<sub>2</sub>/yr and a step change of radiative forcing between 0.08 and 0.14 Wm<sup>-2</sup>, estimated from a review of seven studies to-date: Gettelman et al, 2024; Jordan and Henry, 2024; 361 362 Ouaglia and Visoni, 2024; Yoshioka et al, 2024; Yuan et al, 2024; Watson-Parris et al, 2024; Skeie et al, 2024. An eighth study (Hansen et al., 2025) finds a much higher forcing value of 0.5 Wm<sup>-2</sup>. The median temperature impacts across these different 363 364 forcing estimates were calculated as 0.03 °C (95% CI of 0.02 °C to 0.14 °C) in 2023 and 0.04 °C (0.02 °C to 0.15 °C) in 2024 365 using FaIR, with the Hansen et al. forcing estimate responsible for the high upper bound. The latter is partly taken into account 366 in SSP scenarios used in the above-documented probability of occurrence of the 2024 event based on CMIP6 (Szopa et al., 367 2021).

368

Chinese sulphate aerosol emissions have fallen sharply from their peak in 2006 (38 TgSO2/yr), down 39% by 2014 (23 TgSO2/yr), and an additional 56% through 2022 (10 TgSO2/yr) (CEDS 2024). On its own this would lead to additional radiative forcing of 0.14 Wm<sup>-2</sup> and a warming of 0.06 °C ( $\pm$ 0.04 °C) in 2023 compared to a world where East Asian harmful aerosol emissions remained at 2006 levels, based on FaIR calculations performed here. But some of this decline will have 22

already affected the long-term trends, and so the anomaly in 2023 and 2024 relative to 2020 is only 0.01 °C (calculated using

374 FaIR).

375

The HTHH event added both SO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor to the stratosphere (up to 56 km in altitude). SO<sub>2</sub> was rapidly oxidized in sulphate aerosols, whose effects dominated the radiative forcing for the first two years after the eruption, and so the net radiative forcing at the tropopause was likely negative: the combination of HTHH and subsequent volcanism resulted in -0.04 Wm<sup>-2</sup> and -0.15 Wm<sup>-2</sup> in 2022 and 2023 respectively (Schoeberl et al., 2024), implying a temperature impact of -0.02 °C (-0.01 °C to -0.03 °C) calculated using FaIR.

#### 382

Solar cycle 25 was both slightly earlier and slightly stronger than prior expectations, and the impact of the Total Solar Irradiance (TSI) anomaly of 0.97 Wm<sup>-2</sup> in 2023 relative to the mean of the prior 20 years is a radiative forcing of approximately 0.17 Wm<sup>-2</sup> and an estimated global mean surface temperatures impact of 0.03 °C (0.01 °C to 0.05 °C) in 2023 and 0.04 °C (0.02 °C to 0.07 °C) in 2024 calculated using FaIR.

387

In total, the additional radiative forcings in recent years and consequent warming combined with the impact of ENSO come close to explaining the residuals taking into account the uncertainties, though more so in 2024 than in 2023. While the effects of ENSO and other modes of internal variability (especially in the Atlantic where 2023 was extreme (Guinaldo et al., 2025)), changes in the solar cycle, and volcanism are likely to subside, the additional warming unmasked by declining shipping and East Asian aerosol emissions will persist.

#### 393 S8 Human-induced global warming

## 394 S8.1 Estimates of global surface temperature: GMST and GSAT in attributed warming assessments

AR6 WGI (Chap. 2 Cross-Chap. Box 2.3, Gulev et al., 2021) described how global mean surface air temperature (GSAT), as is typically diagnosed from climate models, is physically distinct from the global mean surface temperature (GMST) estimated from observations, which generally combine measurements of near-surface temperature over land , with measurements of sea surface temperature over the ocean. Gulev et al. (2021) assessed with high confidence that long-term trends in the two indicators differ by less than 10%. However, based on conflicting lines of evidence from climate models and direct observations, the former showing stronger warming of GSAT compared to GMST, the latter tending to show the opposite,

401 there is low confidence in the sign of the difference in trends. Therefore, with medium confidence, in AR6 WGI Chap. 3 402 (Eyring et al., 2021), the best estimates and likely ranges for attributable warming expressed in terms of GMST were assessed 403 to be equal to those for GSAT, which means that the AR6 attributable warming assessment does not distinguish between 404 GMST and GSAT. As such, while WGI Chap. 3 (Eyring et al., 2021) treated estimates of attributable warming in GSAT and 405 GMST from the literature together without any rescaling, we note that climate-model-based estimates of attributable warming 406 in GSAT are expected to be systematically higher than corresponding estimates of attributable warming in GMST (see e.g. 407 Cowtan et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2018; Beusch et al., 2020; Gillett et al., 2021). Therefore, given an opportunity to update 408 these analyses from AR6, it is more consistent and more comparable with observations of GMST to report attributable changes 409 in GMST using all three methods (described in Sect. S7). The SR1.5 assessment of attributable warming was given in terms 410 of GMST, which is continued here. Therefore, in line with Sect. 7, AR6 WGI, and SR1.5, we adopt GMST as the estimate of 411 global surface temperature. Findings are presented in Figs. S7, S9 and S9 and Tables S4 and S5.

## 412 **S8.2** Methods to estimate human-induced warming

413 Both SR1.5 and AR6 drew on evidence from a range of literature for their assessments of human-induced warming, before 414 selecting results from a smaller subset to produce a quantified estimate. While both the SR1.5 and AR6 assessments used the 415 latest Global Warming Index (GWI) results (Haustein et al., 2017), AR6 also incorporated results from two other methods, 416 regularised optimal fingerprinting (ROF) (as in Gillett et al., 2021) and kriging for climate change (KCC) (as in Ribes et al., 417 2021). In AR6, all three methods gave results consistent not only with each other but also results from AR6 WGI Chap. 7 (see 418 WGI Chap. 7 Supplementary Material (Smith et al., 2021b), Fig. 3.8 of AR6 WGI Chap. 3 (Evring et al., 2021), and Figs. S7, 419 S8 and S9). Note that the results from Chap. 7 were not included in the AR6 WGI final calculation because they were not 420 statistically independent of other methods. Of the methods used, two (Gillett et al., 2021; Ribes et al., 2021) relied on CMIP6 421 DAMIP (Gillett et al., 2016) simulations which ended in 2020 and hence require modifications to update to the most recent 422 years. The other two methods (Haustein et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2021b) are fully updatable and can also be made consistent 423 with other aspects of the AR6 assessment and methods. The three methods used in the final assessment of contributions to 424 warming in AR6 are used again with revisions for this annual update and are presented here along with any updates to their 425 approaches.

#### 426 S8.2.1 Global Warming Index

Introduced in Otto et al. (2015), and refined with full uncertainty assessment in Haustein et al. (2017), the Global Warming Index (GWI) quantifies anthropogenic warming by using an established "multi-fingerprinting" approach to decompose total warming into its various components; preliminary anthropogenic and natural warming time series are first estimated from radiative forcings, and a multivariate linear regression is then taken between these preliminary GMST contributions and observed GMST, with the best fit providing the attributed anthropogenic and natural contributions to warming. As such, the GWI attribution method is directly tied to observations and therefore the resulting central estimate for human-induced warming has a relatively small dependence on the size of the uncertainties in climate sensitivity and forcing.

434

435 Substantive annual updates to the GWI assessment depend on annual updates for effective radiative forcings (ERFs) and 436 observed temperature (GMST), both of which are provided as a part of this update (Sects. 5 and 7 respectively). The remaining 437 inputs to the GWI assessment are updated at the less-frequent CMIP cadence; however, these contributions only weakly 438 influence the GWI results. Further, by recomputing a "historical-only" GWI time series based only on data up to a given year, 439 it can be shown that GWI is relatively insensitive to end-date or short-term fluctuations in observed GMST, minimising 440 potential confusion about the current level of warming, such as the perception of a hiatus or acceleration (see AR6 WGI 441 Chapter 3 Cross-Chapter Box 3.1, Eyring et al., 2021), due to short-term internal variability. This, combined with the 442 conceptual simplicity of the method, makes the GWI a relatively transparent and robust method for attributing anthropogenic 443 warming and well-suited to providing reliable annual updates.

444

445 Where the GWI method previously separated warming contributions into two components, "anthropogenic" and "natural", and 446 independently attributed them, this update further separates and independently attributes contributions within the 447 Anthropogenic component, adopting the groupings from AR6: "well-mixed greenhouse gases", "other human forcings" and 448 "natural forcings". The climate response model used to estimate (pre-regression) warming from radiative forcing is updated 449 from the AR5 Impulse Response model (AR5-IR; from AR5 Chapter 8 Supplement (Myhre et al., 2013b)) used in Haustein et 450 al. (2017) to the Finite-amplitude Impulse Response model (FaIR; Leach et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2018b; Millar et al., 2017), 451 which was used in SR1.5 and AR6; climate response uncertainty is included by using around 30 sets of parameters that 452 correspond to FaIR emulating the CMIP6 ensemble, as provided in Leach et al. (2021). The updated historical ERF input to 453 FaIR is given in Sect. 5, with uncertainty accounted for using a representative 1000-member probabilistic ensemble. Observed 454 GMST and its uncertainty are provided by the 200-member ensemble of the annually updated HadCRUT5 (Morice et al., 2021; 455 see Sect. 7). Uncertainty from internal variability is accounted for by using between 100-200 realisations of internal variability 456 sampled from the CMIP6 piControl simulations (Nicholls et al., 2021). Since some CMIP6 models may have unrealistically 457 high decadal variability, our estimates of uncertainty may be conservative (Erving et al., 2021). Here, to partly address this, 458 piControl timeseries are first filtered, removing simulations that drift by more than 0.15 °C per decade or exhibit unrealistic 459 variability amplitudes. The parameters for FaIR (given in Leach et al., 2021) are tuned to GSAT outputs from CMIP6; the 460 outputs from FaIR are not rescaled to account for the difference between GSAT and GMST in the tuning since any rescaling

461 would be immediately and completely regressed out in the next step of the attribution process; this lack of rescaling is 462 additionally broadly consistent with the AR6 assessment which concluded with medium confidence that GSAT and GMST 463 are representative of each other – see Sect. S8.1. In future, FaIR could be re-tuned to GMST estimates from CMIP6 in addition 464 to GSAT outputs to examine potential differences in the response that cannot be accounted for through a linear rescaling, 465 though differences in the final attribution results from such a study are expected to be minimal; the regression onto HadCRUT5 466 provides the strongest constraint.

467

468 Producing the GWI ensemble with  $\sim$ 1 billion members is computationally expensive; therefore an ensemble with  $\sim$ 20 million 469 members is randomly subsampled to obtain results, and repeated three times. Uncertainty converges at this scale, and repeat 470 random samplings at the same scale lead to variation in the results of on the order of 0.01 °C.

471

472 Compared to Forster et al. (2024), the GWI calculation remains the same, differing only by (i) using ERFs and observed 473 temperatures updated to 2024, and (ii) averaging results across three random ~20 million member sub-samplings instead of ~6 474 million member sub-samplings (an increase enabled by computing cluster upgrades).

#### 475 **S8.2.2 Kriging for climate change**

476 The kriging for climate change method was originally introduced by Ribes et al. (2021), and subsequently extended in Oasmi 477 and Ribes (2022), to attribute past warming and constrain temperature projections over the 21st century. This statistical method 478 is very similar to ensemble Kalman filtering or kriging. In the original publication (Ribes et al., 2021), a subset of 22 CMIP6 479 models was considered. For each of them, a statistical procedure was applied to estimate the warming induced by GHG, ANT 480 (temporal smoothing procedure) or NAT (using an Energy Balance Model) forcings, respectively. This subset of models was 481 subsequently used to form an a priori distribution (in a Bayesian sense) of past attributable warming. Then the posterior 482 distribution of past attributable warming given observations was derived. This application was based on HadCRUT4-CW 483 GMST observations (Cowtan and Way, 2014), inflated by 6% to account for the assessment at that time of stronger warming 484 of GSAT relative to GMST.

485

Results from this calculation were quoted in Eyring et al. (2021). The update made here uses the same subset of 22 CMIP6 models. However, HadCRUT5 observations are used, instead of previous datasets, over an extended 1850-2024 period. Consistent with the AR6 assessment about GMST to GSAT warming ratio, no scaling correction is applied; i.e. the global mean value from HadCRUT5 is assumed to be representative of GSAT changes (see Sect. S8.1). As it relies on available CMIP6 simulations, this update assumes that the world has followed a SSP2-4.5 pathway since 2015. Emissions in the SSP 491 scenarios are similar in the period up until 2024 and close to those which have occurred (e.g. Chen et al., 2021); therefore this 492 is a reasonable approximation. Future updates with this method will incorporate new observations. In parallel, we will try to 493 replace the CMIP6 models by emulators, thus allowing the latest available estimates of radiative forcings to be considered, 494 instead of the SSP2-4.5 scenario.

## 495 S8.2.3 Regularized optimal fingerprinting

496 Optimal fingerprinting is the name given to optimal regression-based approaches to attribution, in which observed anomalies 497 are regressed onto the simulated response to individual forcings from climate models, with the regression coefficients used to infer attributable contributions to observed changes (e.g. Allen and Stott, 2003; Eyring et al., 2021). Ribes et al. (2013) 498 499 proposed an improved version of the standard total least squares regression, known as regularised optimal fingerprinting, which 500 exhibited improved accuracy in perfect model tests. Gillett et al. (2021) applied this approach to regress observed 5-year mean 501 observed GMST onto the simulated response to individual forcings from the DAMIP simulations (Gillett et al., 2016) of 13 502 CMIP6 models. In order to ensure a like-for-like comparison, Gillett et al. (2021) regressed observations of GMST, derived 503 from gridded non-infilled near-surface air temperature over land and sea ice, and sea surface temperature over oceans, onto 504 GMST derived from CMIP6 model output in the same way (Cowtan et al., 2015). However, since globally complete GSAT is 505 usually used in the climate impact literature which served as a basis for global warming goals, Gillett et al. (2021) used 506 regression coefficients to infer attributable warming in globally complete GSAT.

507

508 Gillett et al. (2021) used CMIP6 DAMIP simulations which generally finished in 2020 and therefore cannot directly be used 509 to infer attributable warming in subsequent years. However, some modelling centres ran single-forcing DAMIP simulations 510 into the future under the SSP2-4.5 scenario (Gillett et al., 2016). Data from concatenated historical and ssp245, hist-nat and 511 ssp245-nat, and hist-GHG and ssp245-GHG were taken from CanESM5 (50, 10, 10), IPSL-CM6A-LR (11, 10, 6) and 512 MIROC6 (3, 50, 50), where numbers in brackets indicate the respective ensemble sizes. Our approach assumes that observed 513 drivers have evolved as in the SSP2-4.5 scenario over the period since 2015, which is a reasonable assumption to the present 514 (e.g. Chen et al., 2021). As in Gillett et al. (2021), internal variability was estimated from intra-ensemble anomalies. Whereas 515 the Gillett et al. (2021) results assessed by Eyring et al. (2021) were based on HadCRUT4, this dataset is no longer being 516 updated, and therefore we use the non-infilled version of HadCRUT5 here (Morice et al., 2021). As shown by Gillett et al. 517 (2021), using HadCRUT5 in place of HadCRUT4 results in a 7% increase in the best estimate of anthropogenic warming for 518 2010-2019, as a result of the difference in warming between the two observation datasets. Gillett et al. (2021) regressed 34 5-519 year means of GMST over the period 1850-2019 onto simulated GMST over the same period. Here we extend the analysis 520 using 35 5-year means, with the latter based on observations from January 2020 to December 2024 and the model output

- 521 masked in the same way. In order to be consistent with the Global Warming Index and kriging for climate change approaches
- described above, and for comparison with GMST observations, we primarily report attributable warming in globally complete
- 523 GMST here, rather than GSAT (see Sect. S8.1). Calculated anthropogenic warming in GSAT in 2010-2019 computed using
- 524 HadCRUT5 with this approach of 1.16 (1.04-1.29) °C can be compared with the same quantity reported in Gillett et al. (2021)
- 525 (their Supplementary Table 1) of 1.18 (1.09-1.27) °C, indicating good consistency.
- 526

527 The method described above is easily updatable into the future using the same set of simulations, simply by updating

528 observations to a later date and masking model output accordingly. As in the KCC method, a caveat to this approach is that it 529 relies on SSP2-4.5 simulations from which actual anthropogenic forcing might be expected to gradually diverge and from 530 which actual natural forcing could rapidly diverge, for example, were a major volcanic eruption to occur.

531

Table S4 Estimates of global mean surface air temperature (GSAT) warming attributable to multiple influences (in °C) relative to the 1850–1900 baseline period. Values are given as the median, with the 5-95 percentile range in brackets, provided to 0.01°C precision. GSAT results here are only provided for regularised optimal fingerprinting (ROF) because the GSAT results for the other attribution methods (the Global Warming Index (GWI) and kriging for climate change (KCC)) are identical to the GMST results for those methods.

variable	2010-2019 (decade average)	2014-2023 (decade average)	2017 (trend-based)	2023 (trend-based)
Human-induced	1.18 (1.05 to 1.32)	1.35 (1.19 to 1.50)	1.22 (1.09 to 1.36)	1.51 (1.33 to 1.69)
Well-mixed greenhouse gases Other human	1.46 (1.25 to 1.68)	1.60 (1.37 to 1.84)	1.51 (1.29 to 1.74)	1.72 (1.47 to 1.98)
forcings	-0.26 (-0.46 to -0.07)	-0.25 (-0.44 to -0.06)	-0.27 (-0.48 to -0.07)	-0.23 (-0.41 to -0.05)
Natural	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.05)	0.01 (-0.03 to 0.05)	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.05)	0.01 (-0.04 to 0.05)

537

## 538 S8.3 Results from each Attribution Method

Results for each attribution method, including headline results and timeseries, are available in csv form in the Climate Indicator
 repository: https://github.com/ClimateIndicator/anthropogenic-warming-assessment/.

- 542 Table S5 Estimates of global mean surface temperature (GMST) warming attributable to multiple influences (in °C) relative to the
- 543 1850-1900 baseline period, provided for each warming attribution method and the overall multi-method assessment. Values for
- 544 individual attribution methods are given as the median, with the 5-95 percentile range in brackets, provided to 0.01 °C precision. Values for the assessment are calculated as defined in Sect. S8.4 and given as best estimates with likely ranges in brackets.

Variable	Method	2010-2019 (decade average)	2015-2024 (decade average)	2017 (single year annual mean )	2024 (single year annual mean )	2017 (single year trend- based)	2024 (single year trend- based)
Human-	GWI	1.07 (0.95 to 1.17)	1.19 (1.06 to 1.31 )	1 1.13 (1.01 to 1.24)	1.30 (1.15 to 1.43	1.12 (0.99 to ) 1.23 )	1.31 (1.16 to 1.43)
induced	KCC	1.06 (0.93 to 1.19)	1.20 (1.05 to 1.34)	1.13 (0.99 to 1.26)	1.32 (1.16 to 1.48)	1.12 (0.98 to 1.25)	1.32 (1.16 to 1.47)
	ROF	1.13 (1.00 to 1.25)	1.28 (1.13 to 1.43)	1.20 (1.04 to 1.35)	1.50 (1.19 to 1.80)	1.16 (1.03 to 1.30)	1.44 (1.27 to 1.61)
	Assessment	1.09 (0.9 to 1.3)	1.22 (1.0 to 1.5)	1.15 (0.9 to 1.4)	1.37 (1.1 to 1.8)	1.13 (0.9 to 1.3)	1.36 (1.1 to 1.7)
Well-mixed	GWI	1.29 (1.00 to 1.56)	1.39 (1.08 to 1.68)	1.34 (1.04 to 1.62)	1.49 (1.15 to 1.80)	1.34 (1.04 to 1.61)	1.49 (1.15 to 1.79)
greenhouse	KCC	1.50 (1.17 to 1.80)	1.61 (1.25 to 1.95)	1.56 (1.21 to 1.88)	1.72 (1.33 to 2.08)	1.56 (1.21 to 1.88)	1.72 (1.33 to 2.08)
gases	ROF	1.41 (1.20 to 1.61)	1.53 (1.31 to 1.76)	1.47 (1.24 to 1.69)	1.66 (1.41 to 1.92)	1.45 (1.24 to 1.67)	1.65 (1.41 to 1.89)
	Assessment	1.40 (1.0 to 1.9)	1.51 (1.0 to 2.0)	1.46 (1.0 to 1.9)	1.62 (1.1 to 2.1)	1.45 (1.0 to 1.9)	1.62 (1.1 to 2.1)
Other	GWI	-0.22 (-0.48 to 0.04)	-0.19 (-0.46 to 0.07)	-0.21 (-0.46 to 0.05)	-0.18 (-0.48 to 0.09)	-0.22 (-0.48 to 0.05)	-0.17 (-0.45 to 0.10)
human foucings	KCC	-0.43 (-0.72 to -0.14)	-0.42 (-0.72 to -0.11)	-0.43 (-0.72 to -0.13)	-0.40 (-0.71 to -0.08)	-0.43 (-0.72 to -0.13)	-0.40 (-0.72 to -0.08)
Jorcings	ROF	-0.26 (-0.45 to -0.07)	-0.24 (-0.43 to -0.06)	-0.25 (-0.46 to -0.05)	-0.19 (-0.42 to 0.04)	-0.27 (-0.47 to -0.07)	-0.22 (-0.40 to -0.05)
	Assessment	-0.30 (-0.8 to 0.1 )	-0.28 (-0.8 to 0.1)	-0.29 (-0.8 to 0.1)	-0.26 (-0.8 to 0.1)	-0.31 (-0.8 to 0.1)	-0.26 (-0.8 to 0.1)
Natural	GWI	0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)	0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)	0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)	0.09 (0.02 to 0.16)	0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)	0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)
	KCC	0.06 (0.04 to 0.08)	0.05 (0.04 to 0.07)	0.06 (0.04 to 0.08)	0.04 (0.03 to 0.06)	0.06 (0.04 to 0.08)	0.04 (0.03 to 0.06)
	ROF	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.05)	0.01 (-0.03 to 0.05)	0.01 (-0.03 to 0.05)	0.00 (-0.04 to 0.05)	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.05)	0.01 (-0.04 to 0.05)
	Assessment	0.05 (-0.1 to 0.2)	0.05 (-0.1 to 0.2)	0.05 (-0.1 to 0.2)	0.04 (-0.1 to 0.2)	0.05 (-0.1 to 0.2)	0.04 (-0.1 to 0.2)



#### Validation of updated lines of evidence for assessing contributions to observed warming

550 Figure S7 Assessed contributions to observed warming and supporting lines of evidence; see AR6 WG1 Fig. 3.8. The shaded bands 551 show the assessed likely ranges of temperature change, relative to the 1850-1900 baseline, attributable to total anthropogenic 552 influence (Ant), well-mixed greenhouse gases (GHGs), other human forcings (OHFs), and natural forcings (Nat). The left of each 553 pair of bands depicts the results quoted from AR6, and the right of each pair of bands depicts a repeat calculation for the same 554 period as the IPCC assessment, using the revised datasets and methods, to validate the updated assessment of attributable warming. 555 Panel (a) presents decade-average warming as used in AR6, with results quoted from AR6 WGI Chapter 3 on the left and the repeat 556 assessment on the right. The solid horizontal bar in each band shows the best estimate for each warming component; if no best 557 estimate was provided, it was retrospectively calculated using the AR6 method and depicted using a horizontal dotted line to facilitate 558 comparison. In AR6, Global Warming Index results were reported as GMST, kriging for climate change results were calculated as 559 GMST and scaled by 1.06 for reporting as GSAT, and regularised optimal fingerprinting was reported as GSAT; for the repeat, all 560 methods are reported in terms of GMST (see Sect. S8.1 for discussion). Panel (b) presents single-year warming as used in SR1.5, 561 with results quoted from SR1.5 Chapter 1 on the left (which was based only on the Global Warming Index) and the repeat assessment 562 on the right, which now includes all of the attribution methods and the multi-method assessment approach used in AR6, as discussed 563 in Sect. S8.4. Both bars are reported in GMST. No assessment was provided for components other than Ant in SR1.5.



## Timeseries for each attribution method used in the assessment of contributions to observed warming

565

564

566 Figure S8 Time series for each attribution method used in the updated assessment of warming contributions, expressed in terms of 567 global mean surface temperature (GMST). Coloured plumes correspond to warming contributions broken down by natural forcings 568 (Nat), well-mixed greenhouse gases (GHGs) and other human forcings (OHFs). Total human-induced warming (Ant) is therefore 569 the sum of contributions from GHG and OHF. The plume range is given by the 5-95% range of the Global Warming Index (GWI), 570 with the GWI best estimate given by the solid lines. The dashed line presents the best estimate from the kriging for climate change 571 (KCC) method, and the dotted line presents the best estimate from the regularised optimal fingerprinting (ROF) method. GWI and 572 KCC are given as annual values based on infilled GMST from HadCRUT5; ROF is given as annual values of globally complete 573 GMST. The CMIP6 pre-industrial control (piControl) simulations are used as a proxy for multiple samplings of internal variability 574 and are used to account for attribution uncertainty resulting from internal variability in the GWI method (see Sect. S8.2.1).

#### Timeseries for each attribution method used in the assessment of contributions to observed warming



576

577 Figure S9 Time series for each attribution method used in the updated assessment of warming contributions, expressed in terms of 578 global mean surface temperature (GMST). Coloured plumes are given for both 17-83% and 5-95% ranges and correspond to 579 warming contributions to observed warming broken down by natural forcings (Nat), well-mixed greenhouse gases (GHGs) and other 580 human forcings (OHFs). Total warming (Tot) is the total attributable warming and therefore the sum of contributions from GHG, 581 OHF and Nat. Observation data from (infilled) HadCRUT5 are presented with 9-95% uncertainty bars. Panel (a) presents results 582 from the Global Warming Index method (Sect. S8.2.1); the CMIP6 pre-industrial control (piControl) simulations are used as a proxy 583 for multiple samplings of internal variability and used to account for uncertainty in the attribution resulting from internal variability 584 (see Sect. S8.2.1). Panel (b) presents results from the kriging for climate change methods (Sect. S8.2.2). Panel (c) presents results 585 from regularised optimal fingerprinting (Sect. S8.2.3), with the time series for Tot being approximated by the sum of the Ant and 586 Nat medians; note that this is different from GWI and KCC, where Tot is a directly attributed quantity.



588 Figure S10 A repeat of Fig. 9 depicting changes to the assessed levels of warming since the sixth assessment cycle. Updated assessed 589 contributions to observed warming relative to 1850-1900; see AR6 WGI SPM.2. Results for all time periods in this figure are 590 calculated using updated datasets and methods. To show how these updates have affected the previous assessments, the 2010-2019 591 average assessed results repeat the AR6 2010–2019 assessment, and the 2017 assessed results repeat the SR1.5 2017 assessment. The 592 2015-2024 average and 2024 results are this year's updated assessments for AR6 and SR1.5, respectively. For each double bar, the 593 lighter and darker shading refers to the earlier and later period, respectively. Panel (a) shows updated observed global warming 594 from Sect. 7, expressed as total global mean surface temperature (GMST), due to both anthropogenic and natural influences. 595 Whiskers give the "very likely" range. Panels (b) and (c) show updated assessed contributions to warming, expressed as global mean 596 surface temperature (GMST), from natural forcings and total human-induced forcings, which in turn consist of contributions from 597 well-mixed greenhouse gases and other human forcings . Whiskers give the "likely" range.

## 599 S8.4 Updated IPCC assessment approach of attributed global warming

## 600 S8.4.1 Updated estimate using the AR6 WGI methodology

601 Factoring in results from each of the three attribution methods (see Sect. S8.2), AR6 WGI Chap. 3 (Evring et al., 2021) defined 602 the likely range for each warming component as the smallest 0.1 °C precision range that enveloped the 5th to 95th percentile 603 ranges of each method. In addition, a best estimate was provided for the human-induced (Ant) warming component, calculated 604 as the mean of the 50th percentile values for each method. Best estimates were not provided in AR6 for the other components 605 (well-mixed greenhouse gases (GHGs), other human forcings (OHFs) and natural forcings (Nat)), with their values in AR6 606 WGI Fig. SPM.2(b) simply being given as the midpoint between the lower and upper bound of the *likely* range and therefore 607 not directly comparable with the central values given for human-induced and observed warming. In order to make a meaningful 608 and consistent comparison, and provide insight into interannual changes, an improvement is made in this update: the multi-609 method-mean best-estimate approach is extended for all warming components.

610

611 Note that in IPCC assessments, *likely* statements typically correspond to 66–100% probability, whereas very likely statements correspond to 90–100% probability. Despite deriving the overall multi-method uncertainty ranges from the 5-95<sup>th</sup> percentile 612 613 ranges for each method, the overall uncertainty was conservatively assessed in AR6 to be *likely* rather than very likely, which 614 noted that the methods may "underestimate the importance of the structural limitations of climate models, which probably do 615 not represent all possible sources of internal variability; use too simple climate models, which may underestimate the role of 616 internal variability; or underestimate model uncertainty, especially when using model ensembles of limited size and inter-617 dependent models, for example through common errors in forcings across models" (Evring et al., 2021). We maintain this 618 choice of likely in these updates. The likely confidence of the AR6 assessment is also consistent with the likely confidence 619 given in SR1.5 assessment - see Supplement Sect. S8.4.2.

## 620 S8.4.2 Updated estimate using the SR1.5 methodology applied to the AR6 WGI datasets

While a variety of literature was drawn upon for the assessment of human-induced warming in SR1.5 Chap. 1 (Allen et al., 2018), only one method, the Global Warming Index (GWI), was used to provide a quantitative assessment of the 2017, "current", level of human-induced warming. The latest results for this method were provided by Haustein et al. (2017), who gave a central estimate for human-induced warming in 2017 of 1.01 °C with a 5 %–95 % range of (0.87 to 1.22 °C). SR1.5 then accounted for methodological uncertainty by rounding this value to 0.1 °C precision for its final assessment of 1.0 °C and 626 assessing the 0.8 to 1.2 °C range as a *likely* range. No assessment of the contributions from other components was provided 627 due to limitations in the GWI approach at the time.

628

629 While it is possible to continue the SR1.5 assessment approach of using a single method (GWI) rounded to 0.1 °C precision, 630 for the purpose of providing annual updates this is insufficient; (i) 0.1 °C precision is too coarse to capture meaningful inter-631 annual changes to the level of current warming, (ii) using different selections of methods prevents meaningful comparison 632 between the results for decadal mean and current single-year warming calculations, and (iii) using the mean of multiple 633 methods increases the robustness of the results. These points are simultaneously addressed in this update by adopting the latest 634 multi-method assessment approach, as established in WGI AR6, for both the AR6 decadal mean warming update and the 635 SR1.5 current single-year warming update. Further, where SR1.5 only provided an assessment for human-induced warming, 636 updates in available attribution methods since SR1.5 mean that it is now also possible to provide a fully consistent assessment 637 for all warming components. T his update reports values in Table 6b of the main paper for the current single-year attributable 638 (as discussed in Sect. 8.1), with a comparison to results for warming calculated using the full SR1.5 trend-based definition 639 the current single-year attributable warming calculated using the simple annual mean definition provided in Table 640 S5.



643 Figure S11 Anthropogenic warming period definitions adopted in the IPCC sixth assessment cvcle. A single sampled time series of 644 anthropogenic warming is shown in red (in this case from the GWI method - see Sect. S8.2.1). Annual-mean warming is given by 645 the annual values of the GWI time series. The AR6 decade-average warming is given by the average of the 10 most recent annual-646 mean anthropogenic warming values; this is depicted by the dashed green line with shading between this and the red annual-mean 647 values. The decade-average value for 2015–2024 is given by the green dot. SR1.5 trend-based warming is given by the end point 648 of the linear trend line through the 15 most recent annual-mean anthropogenic warming values; this is depicted by the dashed blue 649 line with shading between this and the red annual-mean values; the trend-based value for 2024 is given by the blue dot. Reference 650 observations of GMST are provided from HadCRUT5, with 5 %-95 % uncertainty range. In practice, the annual-mean, trend-651 based, and decade-average calculations are applied at the level of the individual ensemble members for each of the three attribution 652 methods: percentiles of those ensemble results provide central estimates and uncertainty ranges for each method, and the multi-653 method assessment combines those into the final assessment results with uncertainty (as described in Sect. S8.4). For reference, the 654 GWI results for 2024 (provided in Sect. 8.3) are annotated in the figure.

#### 655 **S8.5 Rate of human-induced warming**

#### 656 S8.5.1 SR1.5 and AR6 definitions of warming rate

As in previous years' assessments we use recent IPCC assessments' definitions of anthropogenic warming rate. These follow

two approaches, both of which rely to some extent on expert judgment. In SR1.5 a number of separate studies were considered,

with each study defining the rate of warming in a separate way. The SR1.5 assessment concluded that the rate of increase of anthropogenic warming in 2017 was 0.2 °C per decade, with a likely range spanning 0.1 °C to 0.3 °C per decade. In AR6 WGI the rate of anthropogenic warming utilised three methods (GWI, KCC, ROF; see definitions in Section S8.2) with the rate defined as the linear trend in the preceding decade of attributed anthropogenic warming. While best-estimate trends reported in AR6 were all higher than in SR1.5's assessment, Eyring et al. (2021) concluded that there was insufficient evidence to change the SR1.5 assessed anthropogenic warming trend in the AR6 WGI report, which therefore remained at 0.2 °C per decade (with a likely range spanning 0.1 °C to 0.3 °C per decade).

#### 666 S8.5.2 Methods

Following AR6's definition, the rate of warming is defined here as the rolling 10-year linear trend in attributed anthropogenic warming, calculated using ordinary least-squares linear regression. Note that, as with the level of anthropogenic warming, this decadal approach means the rate of warming in a given year is the trend centred on the preceding decade (i.e. it is 5 years out of date). Each of the three attribution methods used to calculate the level of warming are again used here to estimate separate anthropogenic warming rates.

672

Note that only the GWI methodology relies on the updated historical forcing timeseries presented in Sect. 5, with the other two methods (ROF and KCC) relying on CMIP6 SSP2-4.5 simulations, which are increasingly out of date (see Sect. S8.2). Very recent changes in anthropogenic forcing, for example desulphurisation of shipping fuels or the impact of COVID-19, may therefore not be captured fully in the decade-average trend. Further, the anthropogenic forcing record used for attributing warming contains small contributions from biomass burning in the natural environment, because of difficulty separating this in estimates of anthropogenic aerosol emissions. It is not expected that either of these effects substantially bias the globallyaveraged rate of warming estimated here.

#### 680 S8.5.3 Results

Individual warming rate attributions are revised upwards slightly from previous years (see Table 7 in main text). For the decade 2015–2024 relative to 2014–2023, KCC increased by 0.01 °C/decade , GWI increased by 0.02 °C/decade, while ROF increased by 0.04 °C/decade. This increase in the ROF method's rate principally reflects the fact that the methodology, based on a linear regression of CMIP ensemble member's historical (plus SSP scenario projection to present day) warming onto observed GMST anomaly, is strongly influenced by residual internal variability that remains in the anthropogenic warming signal due to the limitations in size of the CMIP ensemble, particularly given that the number of CMIP ensemble members

- 687 which project ensemble members forward to 2024 is reduced compared to those running the full historical experiment.
- 688

Table S6 Estimates of the rate of anthropogenic warming (in °C per decade), provided for each warming attribution method and the overall multi-method assessment. Values for individual attribution methods are calculated as defined in Sect. S8.5 (least squares fit through most recent 10-year period), with best estimates provided as the median, with the 5-95 percentile range in brackets, provided to 0.01 °C precision.

Variable	Method	2010-2019 AR6 Quote	2010-2019 Repeat	2015-2024
Rate of human- induced	GWI	0.23 [0.19 to 0.35]	0.25 [0.20 to 0.31 ] GMST	0.26 [0.19 to 0.31 ] GMST
warming	KCC	0.23 [0.19 to 0.29]	0.26 [0.24 to 0.32] GMST	0.27 [0.24 to 0.32] GMST
	ROF	0.35 [0.30 to 0.41]	0.27 [0.17 to 0.38] GMST	0.42 [0.25 to 0.59] GMST
	Assessment	0.2 [0.1 to 0.3]	0.26 [0.2 to 0.4]	0.27 [0.2 to 0.4]

693

- 694 695
- 696

## 697 S9. Remaining carbon budget

The remaining carbon budget is tabulated below for all decimals between 1.5 °C and 2 °C. In Table S7 we present results directly comparable to Table 8 of the main paper for an expanded range of probabilities and temperature limits. In Table S8 we average the non-CO<sub>2</sub> impacts as estimated by MAGICC (as in other tables) and the simple climate model FaIR. We also include an uncertainty of 0.19 °C in the post-net zero warming (ZEC) in Table S8. This corresponds to the "default update" in Lamboll et al. (2023), using the updated values for recent temperatures and emissions.

## 703 Table S7 remaining carbon budgets. This is an expanded version of Table 8 in the main paper.

Temperature (°C)	Estimated rem	Estimated remaining carbon budgets from the beginning of 2025 base year (GtCO <sub>2</sub> )								
Avoidance probability (TCRE uncertainty	10%	17%	33%	50%	67%	83%	90%			

only):							
1.5	460	320	200	130	80	30	10
1.6	820	620	420	310	240	160	130
1.7	1190	910	640	490	390	290	250
1.8	1550	1200	860	680	550	430	370
1.9	1920	1500	1090	860	710	560	480
2	2290	1790	1310	1050	870	690	600

## 705 Table S8 remaining carbon budgets, including uncertainty in ZEC and averaging results from MAGICC and FaIR for

**non-CO<sub>2</sub> warming.** 

Temperature (°C)	Estimated remaining carbon budgets from the beginning of 2025 base year (GtCO <sub>2</sub> )								
Avoidance probability (TCRE and ZEC uncertainty):	10%	17%	33%	50%	67%	83%	90%		
1.5	980	710	400	180	10	-220	-370		
1.6	1280	950	600	360	180	-50	-180		
1.7	1580	1210	800	550	350	120	-20		
1.8	1910	1470	1010	730	510	280	140		
1.9	2240	1740	1220	910	680	430	290		
2	2570	2010	1430	1090	840	580	440		

709 Estimating the remaining carbon budget (RCB) requires an estimate of future non-CO<sub>2</sub> warming. The latter estimate is derived 710 from the emissions trajectories as modelled by internally consistent emissions scenarios. While RCB estimates are for CO<sub>2</sub> 711 emissions only, the consideration of non-CO<sub>2</sub> warming implies that assumptions are also made about reductions in other 712 anthropogenic forcers (Rogelj and Lamboll, 2024). These reductions have to be kept in mind, as a shortfall in non-CO<sub>2</sub> 713 greenhouse gas emissions would result in a smaller RCB estimate. For instance, as reported in Rogelj and Lamboll (2024), the 714 estimate of RCBs consistent with limiting warming to 1.5 °C assumes a median reduction in CH4 emissions between 2020 and 715 2050 of 51% (while the interquartile range across available scenarios is 47–60%), a 22% reduction between 2020 and 2050 in 716 N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (interguartile range: 7–35%), and a 78% reduction between 2020 and 2050 in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions (interguartile 717 range: 74-78%). Assumed reductions consistent with other levels of warming are reproduced from Rogelj and Lamboll (2024) 718 and provided in Table S9. The estimates reported in Table 8 of the main paper are based on the median non-CO<sub>2</sub> emission 719 reductions. Falling short of achieving the assumed non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions reductions would further reduce the 720 RCB. Sulphur dioxide emissions are more tightly co-controlled with CO<sub>2</sub> reduction because of the phase-out of unabated fossil 721 fuel combustion and air pollution control measures (Rogeli et al., 2014a, 2014b). A shortfall in their reductions would therefore 722 be less conceivable in a net-zero CO<sub>2</sub> world.

Table S9 Non-CO<sub>2</sub> reductions implied in Remaining Carbon Budget (RCB) estimates, adapted from Rogelj and Lamboll (2024).
 Values represent the changes in non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions between 2020 and 2050 consistent with the RCB estimates for 1.5°C, 1.7°C and
 2.0°C. The median changes are the default and marked in light blue. Any deviation from this median assumption results in an
 increase or decrease of the RCB estimate.

Temperature level for which RCB was estimated	Percentile	Implied non-CO <sub>2</sub> change between 2020 and 2050 [%]		
		CH4	N <sub>2</sub> O	SO <sub>2</sub>
1.5°C	10 <sup>th</sup>	-69	-47	-80
	25 <sup>th</sup>	-60	-35	-78
	50 <sup>th</sup>	-51	-22	-78
	75 <sup>th</sup>	-47	-7	-74
	90 <sup>th</sup>	-39	+2	-66
1.7°C	10 <sup>th</sup>	-62	-42	-78
	25 <sup>th</sup>	-53	-30	-76

	50 <sup>th</sup>	-44	-18	-73
	75 <sup>th</sup>	-39	-3	-68
	90 <sup>th</sup>	-31	+6	-60
2.0°C	10 <sup>th</sup>	-51	-35	-75
	25 <sup>th</sup>	-43	-23	-72
	50 <sup>th</sup>	-34	-11	-66
	75 <sup>th</sup>	-27	+2	-59
	90 <sup>th</sup>	-20	+12	-51

#### 728 S10. Examples of climate and weather extremes: maximum temperature over land

## 729 Land average annual maximum temperature (TXx) - Methods

730 The choice of datasets for the analysis of land average TXx is based on a trade-off between record length, data availability, 731 near real-time updates, and long-term support. As the indicator averages over all available land grid points, the spatial coverage 732 should be high to obtain a meaningful average, which further limits the choice of datasets. The HadEX3 dataset (Dunn et al., 733 2020), which is used for Fig. 11.2 in Seneviratne et al. (2021), is static and does not cover years after 2018. We therefore 734 additionally include the Berkeley Earth Surface Temperature dataset (building off Rohde et al., 2013) and the fifth-generation 735 ECMWF atmospheric reanalysis of the global climate (ERA5; Hersbach et al., 2020). Berkeley Earth data currently enable an 736 analysis of annual indices up to 2023, while ERA5 covers the whole of 2024 as it is updated daily with a latency of about 5 d 737 (and the final release occurs after 2–3 months).

738

For HadEX3, we select the years 1961–2018, to exclude years with insufficient data coverage, and require at least 90 % temporal completeness, thus applying the same criteria as for Fig. 11.2 in Seneviratne et al. (2021). Berkeley Earth provides daily maximum temperatures, and we require more than 99 % data availability for each individual year and grid point, such that years with more than 4 missing days are removed. Based on this criterion, Berkeley Earth covers at least 95 % of the global land area from 1955 onwards. ERA5, on the other hand, has full spatio-temporal coverage by design, and hence the entire currently available period of 1950–2024 is used. The annual maximum temperature is then computed for each grid point, and a global area-weighted average is calculated for all grid points with at least 90 % temporal completeness in the respective available period (1955–2023 and 1961–2018 for Berkeley Earth and HadEX3, while ERA5 is again not affected by this criterion). We thus enforce high data availability to adequately calculate global land averaged TXx across all three datasets, but their coverage is not identical, which introduces minor deviations in the estimated global land averages. The resulting TXx time series are then computed as anomalies with respect to a baseline period of 1961–1990. Note that the Berkeley Earth daily maximum data has been updated, with changes of several °C for individual grid points and days. These changes partially compensate such that the TXx estimates for individual years differ less than 0.1 °C.

752

To express the TXx as anomalies with respect to 1850–1900, we add an offset to all three datasets. The offset is based on the Berkeley Earth data and is derived from the linear regression of land mean TXx to the annual mean global mean air temperature over the period 1955–2020. The offset is then calculated as the slope of the linear regression times the global mean temperature difference between the reference periods 1850–1900 and 1961–1990 (see Fig. S12). The updated Berkeley Earth data led to a change in offset of 0.01°C compared to Forster et al. (2024).



759

761 Figure S12 Calculation of relationship between land mean annual maximum temperature (TXx) and global mean temperature. This 762 is used to determine the TXx offset between 1850–1900 and 1961–1990. A linear regression of TXx as a function of global mean 763 temperature from Berkeley Earth is fitted to data from 1955–2020. The TXx offset of 0.51 °C is then obtained by multiplying the 764 slope of the linear regression (1.23 °C/°C) with the global mean temperature difference between 1850–1900 and 1961–1990 (0.42°C).

#### 765 References

Adusumilli, S., Straneo, F., Hendricks, S., Korosov, A., Lavergne, T., Lawrence, I., Marzeion, B., Otosaka, I., Schweiger, A., 766 767 Shepherd, A., Slater, D., Slater, T., Timmermanns, M.-L., and Zemp, M.: GCOS EHI 1960-2020 Cryosphere Heat Content, 768 https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS EHI 1960-2020 CRHC, 2022.

- Allen, M. R. and Stott, P. A.: Estimating signal amplitudes in optimal fingerprinting, part I: theory, Climate Dynamics, 21,
  477–491, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-003-0313-9, 2003.
- Allen, M. R., O. P. Dube, W. Solecki, F. Aragón-Durand, W. Cramer, S. Humphreys, M. Kainuma, J. Kala, N. Mahowald, Y.
- 772 Mulugetta, R. Perez, M. Wairiu, and K. Zickfeld, 2018: Framing and Context. In: Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special
- 773 Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission
- pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and
- efforts to eradicate poverty [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W.
- 776 Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnov, T. Mavcock,
- M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 49-92,
   https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157940.003, 2018.
- 779 Beusch, L., Gudmundsson, L., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Emulating Earth system model temperatures with MESMER: from global
- mean temperature trajectories to grid-point-level realizations on land, Earth Syst. Dynam., 11, 139–159,
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-11-139-2020">https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-11-139-2020</a>, 2020.
- 782 Chen, D., M. Rojas, B. H. Samset, K. Cobb, A. Diongue Niang, P. Edwards, S. Emori, S. H. Faria, E. Hawkins, P. Hope, P.
- 783 Huybrechts, M. Meinshausen, S. K. Mustafa, G.-K. Plattner, and A.-M. Tréguier, 2021: Framing, Context, and Methods. In
- 784 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 785 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N.
- 786 Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield,
- O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
   pp. 147–286, https://doi.org/:10.1017/9781009157896.003, 2021.
- Cheng, L., Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J., Boyer, T., Abraham, J., and Zhu, J.: Improved estimates of ocean heat content from 1960 to 2015, Sci. Adv., 3, e1601545, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601545, 2017.
- 791 Cowtan, K. and Way, R. G.: Coverage bias in the HadCRUT4 temperature series and its impact on recent temperature trends,
- 792 Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 140, 1935–1944, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2297</u>, 2014.
- 793 Cowtan, K., Hausfather, Z., Hawkins, E., Jacobs, P., Mann, M. E., Miller, S. K., Steinman, B. A., Stolpe, M. B., and Way, R.
- G.: Robust comparison of climate models with observations using blended land air and ocean sea surface temperatures,
- 795 Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 6526–6534, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064888, 2015.
- 796 Cuesta-Valero, F. J., García-García, A., Beltrami, H., González-Rouco, J. F., and García-Bustamante, E.: Long-term global
- ground heat flux and continental heat storage from geothermal data, Clim. Past, 17, 451–468, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-</u>
- 798 <u>451-2021</u>, 2021.

- 799 Cuesta-Valero, F. J., Beltrami, H., García-García, A., Krinner, G., Langer, M., MacDougall, A. H., Nitzbon, J., Peng, J., von
- 800 Schuckmann, K., Seneviratne, S. I., Smith, N., Thiery, W., Vanderkelen, I., and Wu, T.: Continental heat storage: Contributions
- from ground, inland waters, and permafrost thawing, Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2022-
- 802 32, 2023.
- 803 Deng, Z., Ciais, P., Tzompa-Sosa, Z. A., Saunois, M., Qiu, C., Tan, C., Sun, T., Ke, P., Cui, Y., Tanaka, K., Lin, X., Thompson,
- 804 R. L., Tian, H., Yao, Y., Huang, Y., Lauerwald, R., Jain, A. K., Xu, X., Bastos, A., Sitch, S., Palmer, P. I., Lauvaux, T.,
- d'Aspremont, A., Giron, C., Benoit, A., Poulter, B., Chang, J., Petrescu, A. M. R., Davis, S. J., Liu, Z., Grassi, G., Albergel,
- 806 C., Tubiello, F. N., Perugini, L., Peters, W., and Chevallier, F.: Comparing national greenhouse gas budgets reported in
- UNFCCC inventories against atmospheric inversions, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14, 1639–1675, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-</u>
  1639-2022, 2022.
- 809 Dessler, A. E., Schoeberl, M. R., Wang, T., Davis, S. M., Rosenlof, K. H., and Vernier, J.-P.: Variations of stratospheric water
- 810 vapor over the past three decades, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 12588-12598, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021712, 2014.
- 811 Dhomse, S. S., Mann, G. W., Antuña Marrero, J. C., Shallcross, S. E., Chipperfield, M. P., Carslaw, K. S., Marshall, L.,
- 812 Abraham, N. L., and Johnson, C. E.: Evaluating the simulated radiative forcings, aerosol properties, and stratospheric
- warmings from the 1963 Mt Agung, 1982 El Chichón, and 1991 Mt Pinatubo volcanic aerosol clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
  20, 13627–13654, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13627-2020, 2020.
- 815 Domingues, C. M., Church, J. A., White, N. J., Gleckler, P. J., Wijffels, S. E., Barker, P. M., and Dunn, J. R.: Improved 816 estimates of upper-ocean warming and multi-decadal sea-level rise. Nature. 453. 1090-1093. 817 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07080, 2008.
- 818 Dunn, R. J. H., Alexander, L. V., Donat, M. G., Zhang, X., Bador, M., Herold, N., et al. Development of an updated global land
- in situ-based dataset of temperature and precipitation extremes: HadEX3, J.Geophys. Res.-Atmos.,125, e2019JD032263,
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD032263R</u>, 2020.
- 821 Durack, P. J., Naik, V., Nicholls, Z., O'Rourke, E., Turner, B., Buontempo, C., Brookshaw, A., Goddard, C., MacIntosh, C.,
- Hewitt, H., & Dunne, J. P. .: Earth system forcing for CMIP7 and beyond (1.1). Zenodo.
  https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15469219. 2025.
- 824 Dutton, G.S., B. D. Hall, S.A. Montzka, J. D. Nance, S. D. Clingan, K. M. Petersen, Combined Atmospheric
- 825 Chloroflurocarbon-12 Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Halocarbons Sampling Network, 1977-2024, Version:
- 826 2024-03-07, https://doi.org/10.15138/PJ63-H440, 2024.
- 827 Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P.: Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide: 828 methane radiative А significant revision of the forcing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 12614-12623, 829 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071930, 2016.

- 830 Eyring, V., N. P. Gillett, K.M. Achuta Rao, R. Barimalala, M. Barreiro Parrillo, N. Bellouin, C. Cassou, P. J. Durack, Y.
- 831 Kosaka, S. McGregor, S. Min, O. Morgenstern, and Y. Sun: Human Influence on the Climate System. In Climate Change
- 832 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
- 833 Panel on Climate Change[Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L.
- 834 Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu,
- and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 423–552,
- 836 <u>http://doi:10.1017/9781009157896.005</u>, 2021.
- 837 Forster, P., T. Storelvmo, K. Armour, W. Collins, J.-L. Dufresne, D. Frame, D.J. Lunt, T. Mauritsen, M.D. Palmer, M.
- 838 Watanabe, M. Wild, and H. Zhang, 2021: The Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity. In Climate
- 839 Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 840 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N.
- 841 Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield,
- 842 O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
- 843 pp. 923–1054, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.009</u>, 2021.
- 844 Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Walsh, T., Lamb, W. F., Lamboll, R., Hauser, M., Ribes, A., Rosen, D., Gillett, N., Palmer, M.
- 845 D., Rogelj, J., von Schuckmann, K., Seneviratne, S. I., Trewin, B., Zhang, X., Allen, M., Andrew, R., Birt, A., Borger, A.,
- 846 Boyer, T., Broersma, J. A., Cheng, L., Dentener, F., Friedlingstein, P., Gutiérrez, J. M., Gütschow, J., Hall, B., Ishii, M.,
- 847 Jenkins, S., Lan, X., Lee, J.-Y., Morice, C., Kadow, C., Kennedy, J., Killick, R., Minx, J. C., Naik, V., Peters, G. P., Pirani,
- A., Pongratz, J., Schleussner, C.-F., Szopa, S., Thorne, P., Rohde, R., Rojas Corradi, M., Schumacher, D., Vose, R., Zickfeld,
- 849 K., Masson-Delmotte, V., and Zhai, P.: Indicators of Global Climate Change 2022: annual update of large-scale indicators of
- the state of the climate system and human influence, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 2295–2327, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-
- 851 <u>2295-2023</u>, 2023.
- Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., Le Quéré, C., Li, H., Luijkx, I.
- 853 T., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Schwingshackl, C., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B.,
- Alin, S. R., Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Bellouin, N., Berghoff, C. F., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Cadule, P.,
- 855 Campbell, K., Chamberlain, M. A., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Colligan, T., Decayeux, J., Djeutchouang, L. M.,
- 856 Dou, X., Duran Rojas, C., Enyo, K., Evans, W., Fay, A. R., Feely, R. A., Ford, D. J., Foster, A., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M.,
- 857 Gkritzalis, T., Grassi, G., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Gürses, Ö., Harris, I., Hefner, M., Heinke, J., Hurtt, G. C., Iida, Y., Ilyina,
- 858 T., Jacobson, A. R., Jain, A. K., Jarníková, T., Jersild, A., Jiang, F., Jin, Z., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., Klein Goldewijk, K.,
- 859 Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J. I., Lan, X., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Liu, Z., Liu, J., Ma, L., Maksyutov, S., Marland, G., Mayot,
- 860 N., McGuire, P. C., Metzl, N., Monacci, N. M., Morgan, E. J., Nakaoka, S.-I., Neill, C., Niwa, Y., Nützel, T., Olivier, L., Ono,

- 861 T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Qin, Z., Resplandy, L., Roobaert, A., Rosan, T. M., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Smallman, T.
- L., Smith, S. M., Sospedra-Alfonso, R., Steinhoff, T., Sun, Q., Sutton, A. J., Séférian, R., Takao, S., Tatebe, H., Tian, H.,
- 863 Tilbrook, B., Torres, O., Tourigny, E., Tsujino, H., Tubiello, F., van der Werf, G., Wanninkhof, R., Wang, X., Yang, D., Yang,
- 864 X., Yu, Z., Yuan, W., Yue, X., Zaehle, S., Zeng, N., and Zeng, J.: Global Carbon Budget 2024, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 17, 965–
- 865 1039, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-17-965-2025</u>, 2025.
- 866 Fueglistaler, S. and Haynes, P. H.: Control of interannual and longer-term variability of stratospheric water vapor, J. Geophys.
- 867 Res., 110, D24108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006019, 2005.
- 868 Gettelman, A., Christensen, M. A., Diamond, M. S., Gryspeerdt, E., Manshausen, P., Sieir, P., Watson-Parris, D., Yang, M.,
- 869 Yoshioka, M., and Yuan, T.: Has Reducing Ship Emissions Brought Forward Global Warming?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2024.
- 870 Gillett, N. P., Shiogama, H., Funke, B., Hegerl, G., Knutti, R., Matthes, K., Santer, B. D., Stone, D., and Tebaldi, C.: The
- 871 Detection and Attribution Model Intercomparison Project (DAMIP v1.0) contribution to CMIP6, Geosci. Model. Dev., 9,
- 872 3685–3697, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3685-2016</u>, 2016.
- 873 Gillett, N.P., Kirchmeier-Young, M., Ribes, A., Shiogama, H., Hegerl, G.C., Knutti, R., Gastineau, G., John, J.G., Li, L.,
- Nazarenko, L., Rosenbloom, N., Seland, Ø., Wu, T., Yukimoto, S., and Ziehn, T.: Constraining human contributions to
  observed warming since the pre-industrial period, Nat. Clim. Chang., 11, 207–212, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00965-
- 876 <u>9</u>, 2021.
- 877 Good, S. A., Martin, M. J., and Rayner, N. A.: EN4: Quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly
- objective analyses with uncertainty estimates, THE EN4 DATA SET, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 118, 6704–6716,
  <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009067">https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009067</a>, 2013.
- Grassi, G., Federici, S., Abad-Vinas, R., Korosuo, A., and Rossi, S.: LULUCF data based on National GHG inventories
  (NGHGI DB), Zenodo [data set], <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7190601</u>, 2022.
- Gulev, S. K., P. W. Thorne, J. Ahn, F. J. Dentener, C. M. Domingues, S. Gerland, D. Gong, D. S. Kaufman, H. C. Nnamchi,
- J. Quaas, J.A. Rivera, S. Sathyendranath, S.L. Smith, B. Trewin, K. von Schuckmann, and R. S. Vose: Changing State of the
- 884 Climate System. In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth
- 885 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change[Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors,
- 886 C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K.
- 887 Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- and New York, NY, USA, pp. 287–422, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.004</u>, 2021.

- 889 Granier, C., Darras, S., Denier van der Gon, H., Doubalova, J., Elguindi, N., Galle, B., Gauss, M., Guevara, M., Jalkanen, J.-
- P., Kuenen, J., Liousse, C., Quack, B., Simpson, D., and Sindelarova, K.: The Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service
  global and regional emissions (April 2019 version), https://doi.org/10.24380/D0BN-KX16, n.d. 2019.
- 6 Gütschow, J., and Busch, D., and Pflüger, M.: The PRIMAP-hist national historical emissions time series v2.6.1 (1750-2023)
- 893 (2.6.1), Zenodo [data set] <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15016289</u>, 2025.
- Jalkanen, J.-P., Johansson, L., Kukkonen, J., Brink, A., Kalli, J., and Stipa, T.: Extension of an assessment model of ship traffic
- exhaust emissions for particulate matter and carbon monoxide, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 2641-2659,
- 896 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2641-2012</u>, 2012.
- Jalkanen, J.-P., Johansson, L., and Kukkonen, J.: A comprehensive inventory of ship traffic exhaust emissions in the European
  sea areas in 2011, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 71–84, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-71-2016, 2016.
- Johansson, L., Jalkanen, J.-P., and Kukkonen, J.: Global assessment of shipping emissions in 2015 on a high spatial and
- temporal resolution, Atmospheric Environment, 167, 403–415, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.042</u>, 2017.
- Haustein, K., Allen, M. R., Forster, P. M., Otto, F. E. L., Mitchell, D. M., Matthews, H. D., and Frame, D. J.: A real-time
  Global Warming Index, Sci Rep, 7, 15417, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14828-5, 2017.
- Hersbach H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P. et al.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Quat. Jour. R. Met. Soc., 146:1999–2049,
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803">https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803</a>, 2020.
- 905 Hodnebrog, Ø., Myhre, G., Kramer, R. J., Shine, K. P., Andrews, T., Faluvegi, G., Kasoar, M., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J.-F.,
- 906 Mülmenstädt, J., Olivié, D., Samset, B. H., Shindell, D., Smith, C. J., Takemura, T., and Voulgarakis, A.: The effect of rapid
- adjustments to halocarbons and N2O on radiative forcing, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci., 3, 43, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-</u>
  00150-x, 2020a.
- Hodnebrog, Ø., Aamaas, B., Fuglestvedt, J. S., Marston, G., Myhre, G., Nielsen, C. J., et al.: Updated global warming potentials
  and radiative efficiencies of halocarbons and other weak atmospheric absorbers, Rev. Geophys., 58, e2019RG000691,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000691, 2020b.
- 912 Hurst, D. F., Oltmans, S. J., Vömel, H., Rosenlof, K. H., Davis, S. M., Ray, E. A., Hall, E. G., and Jordan, A. F.: Stratospheric
- water vapor trends over Boulder, Colorado: Analysis of the 30 year Boulder record, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116,
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015065, 2011.
- 915 Huang, B., Yin, X., Menne, M., Vose, R., and Zhang, H.M.: Improvements to the land surface air temperature reconstruction
- in NOAA GlobalTemp: An artificial neural network approach, Artificial Intelligence for the Earth Systems, 1, 3,
   https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/aies/1/4/AIES-D-22-0032.1.xml, 2020.
- 918 IATA: Global Outlook for Air Transport: Times of Turbulence, IATA, <u>http://www.iata.org/en/iata-</u> 919 repository/publications/economic-reports/global-outlook-for-air-transport-december-2024/, 2024.

- IEA: World oil statistics (Edition 2024), IEA Oil Information Statistics (database), <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/558987b9-en</u>, 2024
  (accessed on 24 April 2024).
- 922 Ishii, M., Fukuda, Y., Hirahara, S., Yasui, S., Suzuki, T., and Sato, K.: Accuracy of Global Upper Ocean Heat Content
- Estimation Expected from Present Observational Data Sets, SOLA, 13, 163–167, <u>https://doi.org/10.2151/sola.2017-030</u>, 2017.
- Jenkins, S., Smith, C., Allen, M., and Grainger, R.: Tonga eruption increases chance of temporary surface temperature anomaly above 1.5 °C, Nature Clim, Chang., 13, 127–129, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01568-2, 2023.
- Joshi, M. M. and Jones, G. S.: The climatic effects of the direct injection of water vapour into the stratosphere by large volcanic
  eruptions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6109–6118, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-6109-2009</u>, 2009.
- Jungclaus, J. H., Bard, E., Baroni, M., Braconnot, P., Cao, J., Chini, L. P., Egorova, T., Evans, M., González-Rouco, J. F.,
- 929 Goosse, H., Hurtt, G. C., Joos, F., Kaplan, J. O., Khodri, M., Klein Goldewijk, K., Krivova, N., LeGrande, A. N., Lorenz, S.
- 930 J., Luterbacher, J., Man, W., Maycock, A. C., Meinshausen, M., Moberg, A., Muscheler, R., Nehrbass-Ahles, C., Otto-
- 931 Bliesner, B. I., Phipps, S. J., Pongratz, J., Rozanov, E., Schmidt, G. A., Schmidt, H., Schmutz, W., Schurer, A., Shapiro, A. I.,
- 932 Sigl, M., Smerdon, J. E., Solanki, S. K., Timmreck, C., Toohey, M., Usoskin, I. G., Wagner, S., Wu, C.-J., Yeo, K. L.,
- 933 Zanchettin, D., Zhang, Q., and Zorita, E.: The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6 Part 3: The last millennium, scientific objective,
- and experimental design for the PMIP4 past1000 simulations, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 4005–4033,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-4005-2017, 2017.
- Kadow, C., Hall, D. M., and Ulbrich, U.: Artificial intelligence reconstructs missing climate information, Nat. Geosci., 13,
  408–413, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0582-5, 2020.
- 938 Kadow, C., Plésiat, É., and Lenssen, N.: Annual update of Climate Reconstruction AI (CRAI) infilled HadCRUT5 of near-
- surface temperature change 1850 to 2024 [Data set]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15622091, 2025.
- 940 Keeble, J., Hassler, B., Banerjee, A., Checa-Garcia, R., Chiodo, G., Davis, S., Eyring, V., Griffiths, P. T., Morgenstern, O.,
- 941 Nowack, P., Zeng, G., Zhang, J., Bodeker, G., Burrows, S., Cameron-Smith, P., Cugnet, D., Danek, C., Deushi, M., Horowitz,
- L. W., Kubin, A., Li, L., Lohmann, G., Michou, M., Mills, M. J., Nabat, P., Olivié, D., Park, S., Seland, Ø., Stoll, J., Wieners,
- 943 K.-H., and Wu, T.: Evaluating stratospheric ozone and water vapour changes in CMIP6 models from 1850 to 2100, Atmos.
- 944 Chem. Phys., 21, 5015–5061, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-5015-2021</u>, 2021.
- 945 Kovilakam, M., Thomason, L. W., Ernest, N., Rieger, L., Bourassa, A., and Millán, L.: The Global Space-based Stratospheric
- 946 Aerosol Climatology (version 2.0): 1979–2018, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 2607–2634, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2607-
- 947 <u>2020</u>, 2020.
- 948 Lan, X., Tans, P. and Thoning, K.W.: Trends in globally-averaged CO<sub>2</sub> determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory
- 949 measurements, Version Monday, 14-Apr-2025 09:08:57 MDT <u>https://doi.org/10.15138/9N0H-ZH07</u>, 2025.

- 950 Leach, N. J., Jenkins, S., Nicholls, Z., Smith, C. J., Lynch, J., Cain, M., Walsh, T., Wu, B., Tsutsui, J., and Allen, M. R.:
- FaIRv2.0.0: a generalized impulse response model for climate uncertainty and future scenario exploration, Geosci. Model
  Dev., 14, 3007–3036, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3007-2021, 2021.
- Lee, D. S., Fahey, D. W., Skowron, A., Allen, M. R., Burkhardt, U., Chen, Q., Doherty, S. J., Freeman, S., Forster, P. M.,
- 954 Fuglestvedt, J., Gettelman, A., León, R. R. D., Lim, L. L., Lund, M. T., Millar, R. J., Owen, B., Penner, J. E., Pitari, G., Prather,
- 955 M. J., Sausen, R., and Wilcox, L. J.: The contribution of global aviation to anthropogenic climate forcing for 2000 to 2018.
- 956 Atmos. Environ., 244, 117834, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117834, 2021.
- 957 Lee, J.-Y., J. Marotzke, G. Bala, L. Cao, S. Corti, J.P. Dunne, F. Engelbrecht, E. Fischer, J.C. Fyfe, C. Jones, A. Maycock, J.
- 958 Mutemi, O. Ndiaye, S. Panickal, and T. Zhou: Future Global Climate: Scenario-Based Projections and Near-Term Information.
- 959 In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 960 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change[Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N.
- 961 Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield,
- 962 O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
- 963 pp. 553–672,<u>https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.006</u>, 2021.
- 964 Lee, H., K. Calvin, D. Dasgupta, G. Krinner, A. Mukherji, P. Thorne, C. Trisos, J. Romero, P. Aldunce, K. Barrett, G. Blanco,
- 965 W.W.L. Cheung, S.L. Connors, F. Denton, A. Diongue-Niang, D. Dodman, M. Garschagen, O. Geden, B. Hayward, C. Jones,
- 966 F. Jotzo, T. Krug, R. Lasco, J.-Y. Lee, V. Masson-Delmotte, M. Meinshausen, K. Mintenbeck, A. Mokssit, F.E.L. Otto, M.
- 967 Pathak, A. Pirani, E. Poloczanska, H.-O. Pörtner, A. Revi, D.C. Roberts, J. Rov, A.C. Ruane, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, R. Slade,
- 968 A. Slangen, Y. Sokona, A.A. Sörensson, M. Tignor, D. van Vuuren, Y.-M. Wei, H. Winkler, P. Zhai, and Z. Zommers:
- 969 Synthesis Report of the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6): Summary for Policymakers. Intergovernmental Panel on 970 Climate Change [accepted], available at https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr/, 2023.
- Lenssen, N. J. L., Schmidt, G. A., Hansen, J. E., Menne, M. J., Persin, A., Ruedy, R., and Zyss, D.: Improvements in the
  GISTEMP Uncertainty Model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 124, 6307–6326, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029522, 2019.
- 973 Levitus, S., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Baranova, O. K., Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Reagan, J. R.,
- 974 Seidov, D., Yarosh, E. S., and Zweng, M. M.: World ocean heat content and thermosteric sea level change (0-2000 m), 1955-
- 975 2010, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051106</u>, 2012.
- 976 Matthes, K., Funke, B., Andersson, M. E., Barnard, L., Beer, J., Charbonneau, P., Clilverd, M. A., Dudok de Wit, T.,
- 977 Haberreiter, M., Hendry, A., Jackman, C. H., Kretzschmar, M., Kruschke, T., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Marsh, D. R.,
- 978 Maycock, A. C., Misios, S., Rodger, C. J., Scaife, A. A., Seppälä, A., Shangguan, M., Sinnhuber, M., Tourpali, K., Usoskin,
- 979 I., van de Kamp, M., Verronen, P. T., and Versick, S.: Solar forcing for CMIP6 (v3.2), Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2247–2302,
- 980 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2247-2017, 2017.

- 981 Meinshausen, M., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Lewis, J., Gidden, M. J., Vogel, E., Freund, M., Beyerle, U., Gessner, C., Nauels, A.,
- 982 Bauer, N., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., John, A., Krummel, P. B., Luderer, G., Meinshausen, N., Montzka, S. A., Rayner, P.
- J., Reimann, S., Smith, S. J., van den Berg, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., and Wang, R. H. J.: The shared socioeconomic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 3571–3605,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3571-2020, 2020.
- 986 Millán, L., Santee, M. L., Lambert, A., Livesey, N. J., Werner, F., Schwartz, M. J., Pumphrey, H. C., Manney, G. L., Wang,
- 987 Y., Su, H., Wu, L., Read, W. G., and Froidevaux, L.: The Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai Hydration of the Stratosphere,

988 Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL099381, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099381, 2022.

- 989 Millar, R. J., Nicholls, Z. R., Friedlingstein, P., and Allen, M. R.: A modified impulse-response representation of the global
- near-surface air temperature and atmospheric concentration response to carbon dioxide emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17,
   7213–7228, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7213-2017, 2017.
- 992 Minx, J. C., Lamb, W. F., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Crippa, M., Döbbeling, N., Forster, P. M., Guizzardi, D., Olivier,
- J., Peters, G. P., Pongratz, J., Reisinger, A., Rigby, M., Saunois, M., Smith, S. J., Solazzo, E., and Tian, H.: A comprehensive
- and synthetic dataset for global, regional, and national greenhouse gas emissions by sector 1970-2018 with an extension to
- 995 2019, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 5213–5252, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-5213-2021</u>, 2021.
- 996 Morice, C. P., Kennedy, J. J., Rayner, N. A., Winn, J. P., Hogan, E., Killick, R. E., Dunn, R. J. H., Osborn, T. J., Jones, P. D.,
- and Simpson, I. R.: An Updated Assessment of Near-Surface Temperature Change From 1850: The HadCRUT5 Data Set, J.
  Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 126, e2019JD032361, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD032361</u>, 2021.
- 999 Myhre, G., Samset, B. H., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T. K., Bian, H., Bellouin, N., Chin, M., Diehl, T.,
- 1000 Easter, R. C., Feichter, J., Ghan, S. J., Hauglustaine, D., Iversen, T., Kinne, S., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Lin, G., Liu, X.,
- 1001 Lund, M. T., Luo, G., Ma, X., van Noije, T., Penner, J. E., Rasch, P. J., Ruiz, A., Seland, Ø., Skeie, R. B., Stier, P., Takemura,
- 1002 T., Tsigaridis, K., Wang, P., Wang, Z., Xu, L., Yu, H., Yu, F., Yoon, J.-H., Zhang, K., Zhang, H., and Zhou, C.: Radiative
- 1003 forcing of the direct aerosol effect from AeroCom Phase II simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 1853–1877, 1004 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-1853-2013, 2013a.
- 1005 Myhre, G., D. Shindell, F.-M. Bréon, W. Collins, J. Fuglestvedt, J. Huang, D. Koch, J.-F. Lamarque, D. Lee, B. Mendoza, T.
- 1006 Nakajima, A. Robock, G. Stephens, T. Takemura and H. Zhang: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate
- 1007 Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
- 1008 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J.
- 1009 Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- 1010 and New York, NY, USA, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018</u>, 2013b.

- Nicholls Z., Lewis J., Makin M., et al. Regionally aggregated, stitched and de-drifted CMIP-climate data, processed with
   netCDF-SCM v2.0.0. Geosci Data J., 8, 154–198, https://doi.org/10.1002/gdj3.113, 2021.
- 1013 Nitzbon, J., Krinner, G., Langer, M.: GCOS EHI 1960-2020 Permafrost Heat Content, World Data Center for Climate (WDCC)
  1014 at DKRZ, https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS EHI 1960-2020 PHC, 2022b.
- Otto, F. E. L., Frame, D. J., Otto, A., and Allen, M. R.: Embracing uncertainty in climate change policy, Nature Clim. Chang.,
  5, 917–920, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2716, 2015.
- 1017 Palmer, M. D., Domingues, C. M., Slangen, A. B. A., and Dias, F. B.: An ensemble approach to quantify global mean sea-
- level rise over the 20th century from tide gauge reconstructions, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 044043, <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-</u>
  9326/abdaec, 2021.
- 1020 Purkey, S.G. and Johnson, G.C., Warming of Global Abyssal and Deep Southern Ocean Waters between the 1990s and 2000s: 1021 Contributions to Global Heat and Sea Level Rise Budgets, J. Climate. 23. 6336-6351. 1022 https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3682.1, 2010.
- 1023 Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Arduini, J., Arnold, T., DeWitt, H. L., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., Gasore, J., Harth, C. M.,
- 1024 Hermansen, O., Kim, J., Krummel, P. B., Li, S., Loh, Z. M., Lunder, C. R., Maione, M., Manning, A. J., Miller, B. R.,
- 1025 Mitrevski, B., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Park, S., Reimann, S., Rigby, M., Saito, T., Salameh, P. K., Schmidt, R., Simmonds,
- P. G., Steele, L. P., Vollmer, M. K., Wang, R. H., Yao, B., Yokouchi, Y., Young, D., and Zhou, L.: History of chemically and
  radiatively important atmospheric gases from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE), Earth Syst.
  Sci. Data, 10, 985–1018, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-985-2018, 2018.
- 1029 Qasmi, S. and Ribes, A.: Reducing uncertainty in local temperature projections, Sci. Adv., 8, eabo6872,
   1030 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abo6872</u>, 2022.
- 1031 Riahi, K., Schaeffer, J. Arango, K. Calvin, C. Guivarch, T. Hasegawa, K. Jiang, E. Kriegler, R. Matthews, G.P. Peters, A. Rao,
- 1032 S. Robertson, A.M. Sebbit, J. Steinberger, M. Tavoni, D.P. van Vuuren, 2022: Mitigation pathways compatible with long-term
- 1033 goals. In IPCC, 2022: Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth
- 1034 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R.
- 1035 van Diemen, D. McCollum, M. Pathak, S. Some, P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, J. Malley,
- 1036 (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157926.005,
- 1037 2022.
- 1038 Ribes, A., Planton, S., and Terray, L.: Application of regularised optimal fingerprinting to attribution. Part I: method, properties
- 1039 and idealised analysis, Clim. Dyn., 41, 2817–2836, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1735-7, 2013.
- 1040 Ribes, A., Qasmi, S., and Gillett, N. P.: Making climate projections conditional on historical observations, Sci. Adv., 7, 1041 eabc0671, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciady.abc0671, 2021.

- Richardson, M., Cowtan, K., and Millar, R. J.: Global temperature definition affects achievement of long-term climate goals,
  Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 054004, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aab305, 2018.
- 1044 Rogelj, J., Schaeffer, M., Meinshausen, M., Shindell, D. T., Hare, W., Klimont, Z., Velders, G. J., Amann, M., and
- Schellnhuber, H. J.: Disentangling the effects of CO2 and short-lived climate forcer mitigation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA,
  111 (46), 16325–16330, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415631111, 2014a.
- 1047 Rogelj, J., D. Shindell, K. Jiang, S. Fifita, P. Forster, V. Ginzburg, C. Handa, H. Kheshgi, S. Kobayashi, E. Kriegler, L.
- 1048 Mundaca, R. Séférian, and M. V. Vilariño: Mitigation Pathways Compatible with 1.5°C in the Context of Sustainable
- 1049 Development. In: Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-
- 1050 industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to
- 1051 the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O.
- 1052 Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B. R. Matthews,
- 1053 Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M. I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,
- 1054 Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 93-174, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157940.004</u>, 2018.
- 1055 Rogelj, J., Rao, S., McCollum, D. L., Pachauri, S., Klimont, Z., Krey, V., and Riahi, K: Air-pollution emission ranges 1056 representative concentration pathways, Nature Clim. 4 consistent with the Chang., (6), 446-450. 1057 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2178, 2014b.
- 1058 Rogelj, J., Lamboll, R.D.: Substantial reductions in non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions reductions implied by IPCC estimates
- 1059 of the remaining carbon budget. Communications Earth Environ 5, 35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01168-8</u>, 2024.
- Rohde, R., Muller, R., Jacobsen, R., Perlmutter, S., Rosenfeld, A., Wurtele, J., Curry, J., Wickham, C., and Mosher, S.:
  Berkeley Earth temperature averaging process, Geoinfor. Geostat.: An Overview, 1, <u>https://doi.org/10.4172/2327-</u>
  4581.1000103, 2013.
- Rohde, R. A. and Hausfather, Z.: The Berkeley Earth Land/Ocean Temperature Record, Earth Sys. Sci. Data, 12, 3469–3479,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3469-2020, 2020.
- 1065 Sellitto, P., Podglajen, A., Belhadji, R., Boichu, M., Carboni, E., Cuesta, J., Duchamp, C., Kloss, C., Siddans, R., Bègue, N.,
- 1066 Blarel, L., Jegou, F., Khaykin, S., Renard, J.B., Legras, B.: The unexpected radiative impact of the Hunga Tonga eruption of
- 1067 15th January 2022, Commun. Earth. Environ., 3, 288, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00618-z, 2022.
- 1068 von Schuckmann, K., Cheng, L., Palmer, M. D., Hansen, J., Tassone, C., Aich, V., Adusumilli, S., Beltrami, H., Boyer, T.,
- 1069 Cuesta-Valero, F. J., Desbruyères, D., Domingues, C., García-García, A., Gentine, P., Gilson, J., Gorfer, M., Haimberger, L.,
- 1070 Ishii, M., Johnson, G. C., Killick, R., King, B. A., Kirchengast, G., Kolodziejczyk, N., Lyman, J., Marzeion, B., Mayer, M.,
- 1071 Monier, M., Monselesan, D. P., Purkey, S., Roemmich, D., Schweiger, A., Seneviratne, S. I., Shepherd, A., Slater, D. A.,

- 1072 Steiner, A. K., Straneo, F., Timmermans, M.-L., and Wijffels, S. E.: Heat stored in the Earth system: where does the energy 1073 go?, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 2013–2041, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2013-2020, 2020.
- 1074 von Schuckmann, K., Minière, A., Gues, F., Cuesta-Valero, F. J., Kirchengast, G., Adusumilli, S., Straneo, F., Ablain, M.,
- 1075 Allan, R. P., Barker, P. M., Beltrami, H., Blazquez, A., Boyer, T., Cheng, L., Church, J., Desbruyeres, D., Dolman, H.,
- 1076 Domingues, C. M., García-García, A., Giglio, D., Gilson, J. E., Gorfer, M., Haimberger, L., Hakuba, M. Z., Hendricks, S.,
- 1077 Hosoda, S., Johnson, G. C., Killick, R., King, B., Kolodziejczyk, N., Korosov, A., Krinner, G., Kuusela, M., Landerer, F. W.,
- 1078 Langer, M., Lavergne, T., Lawrence, I., Li, Y., Lyman, J., Marti, F., Marzeion, B., Mayer, M., MacDougall, A. H., McDougall,
- 1079 T., Monselesan, D. P., Nitzbon, J., Otosaka, I., Peng, J., Purkey, S., Roemmich, D., Sato, K., Sato, K., Savita, A., Schweiger,
- 1080 A., Shepherd, A., Seneviratne, S. I., Simons, L., Slater, D. A., Slater, T., Steiner, A. K., Suga, T., Szekely, T., Thiery, W.,
- 1081 Timmermans, M.-L., Vanderkelen, I., Wjiffels, S. E., Wu, T., and Zemp, M.: Heat stored in the Earth system 1960–2020:
- 1082 where does the energy go?, Earth System Science Data, 15, 1675–1709, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-1675-2023</u>, 2023.
- 1083 Sigl, M., Toohey, M., McConnell, J. R., Cole-Dai, J., and Severi, M.: Volcanic stratospheric sulfur injections and aerosol
- 1084 optical depth during the Holocene (past 11\,500 years) from a bipolar ice-core array, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14, 3167–3196,

1085 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-3167-2022, 2022.

- 1086 Skeie, R. B., Myhre, G., Hodnebrog, Ø., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Deushi, M., Hegglin, M. I., Horowitz, L. W., Kramer, R. J.,
- Michou, M., Mills, M. J., Olivié, D. J. L., Connor, F. M. O., Paynter, D., Samset, B. H., Sellar, A., Shindell, D., Takemura, T.,
  Tilmes, S., and Wu, T.: Historical total ozone radiative forcing derived from CMIP6 simulations, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci., 3, 32,
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-00131-0, 2020.
- 1090 Smith, C. J., Kramer, R. J., Myhre, G., Forster, P. M., Soden, B. J., Andrews, T., Boucher, O., Faluvegi, G., Fläschner, D.,
- 1091 Hodnebrog, Ø., Kasoar, M., Kharin, V., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Mülmenstädt, J., Olivié, D., Richardson, T., Samset,
- 1092 B. H., Shindell, D., Stier, P., Takemura, T., Voulgarakis, A., and Watson-Parris, D.: Understanding Rapid Adjustments to
- 1093 Diverse Forcing Agents, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 12,023-12,031, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079826, 2018a.
- Smith, C. J., Forster, P. M., Allen, M., Leach, N., Millar, R. J., Passerello, G. A., and Regayre, L. A.: FAIR v1.3: A simple
  emissions-based impulse response and carbon cycle model, Geoscientific Model Development, 11, 2273–2297,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2273-2018, 2018b.
- 1097 Smith, C. J., Harris, G. R., Palmer, M. D., Bellouin, N., Collins, W., Myhre, G., Schulz, M., Golaz, J.-C., Ringer, M.,
- 1098 Storelvmo, T., and Forster, P. M.: Energy Budget Constraints on the Time History of Aerosol Forcing and Climate Sensitivity,
- 1099 Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126, e2020JD033622, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033622, 2021a.
- 1100 Smith, C., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Armour, K., Collins, W., Forster, P., Meinshausen, M., Palmer, M. D., and Watanabe, M.: The
- 1101 Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity Supplementary Material, in: Climate Change 2021: The
- 1102 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on

- 1103 Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen,
- 1104 Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T.,
- 1105 Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., 2021b.
- 1106 Smith, C., Cummins, D. P., Fredriksen, H.-B., Nicholls, Z., Meinshausen, M., Allen, M., Jenkins, S., Leach, N., Mathison, C.,
- 1107 and Partanen, A.-I.: fair-calibrate v1.4.1: calibration, constraining, and validation of the FaIR simple climate model for reliable
- 1108 future climate projections, Geosci. Model Dev., 17, 8569–8592, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-17-8569-2024</u>, 2024.
- 1109 Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren, S. B.,
- 1110 Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. A., Doherty, R. M., Zeng, G., van
- 1111 Noije, T. P. C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. A., Strode, S. A., Horowitz, L., Lee, Y. H., Szopa,
- 1112 S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley, A., Bowman, K. W., Wild, O., and Archibald,
- 1113 A.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and attribution to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate
- Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3063–3085, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013</u>,
  2013.
- 1116 Steiner, A. K., Ladstädter, F., Randel, W. J., Maycock, A. C., Fu, Q., Claud, C., Gleisner, H., Haimberger, L., Ho, S.-P.,
- 1117 Keckhut, P., Leblanc, T., Mears, C., Polvani, L. M., Santer, B. D., Schmidt, T., Sofieva, V., Wing, R., and Zou, C.-Z.: Observed
- 1118 Temperature Changes in the Troposphere and Stratosphere from 1979 to 2018, J. Climate, 33, 8165–8194, 1119 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0998.1, 2020.
- 1120 Sun, W., Li, Q., Huang, B., Cheng, J., Song, Z., Li, H., Dong, W., Zhai, P., and Jones, P.: The Assessment of Global Surface
- 1121 Temperature Change from 1850s: The C-LSAT2.0 Ensemble and the CMST-Interim Datasets, Advances in Atmospheric
- 1122 Sciences, 38, 875–888, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-021-1012-3, 2021.
- 1123 Szopa, S., V. Naik, B. Adhikary, P. Artaxo, T. Berntsen, W.D. Collins, S. Fuzzi, L. Gallardo, A. Kiendler-Scharr, Z. Klimont,
- H. Liao, N. Unger, and P. Zanis: Short-Lived Climate Forcers. In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis.
- 1125 Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-
- 1126 Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K.
- 1127 Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge
- 1128 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 817–922,
  1129 https://doi:10.1017/9781009157896.008, 2021.
- 1130 Taha, G., Loughman, R., Zhu, T., Thomason, L., Kar, J., Rieger, L., and Bourassa, A.: OMPS LP Version 2.0 multi-wavelength
- aerosol extinction coefficient retrieval algorithm, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 1015–1036, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-1015-</u>
  2021, 2021.

- 1133 Taylor, K. E., Crucifix, M., Braconnot, P., Hewitt, C. D., Doutriaux, C., Broccoli, A. J., Mitchell, J. F. B., and Webb, M. J.:
- Estimating Shortwave Radiative Forcing and Response in Climate Models, J. Climate, 20, 2530–2543,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI4143.1, 2007.
- Thomason, L. W., Ernest, N., Millán, L., Rieger, L., Bourassa, A., Vernier, J.-P., Manney, G., Luo, B., Arfeuille, F., and Peter,
  T.: A global space-based stratospheric aerosol climatology: 1979–2016, Earth Syst. Sci. Dat., 10, 469–492,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-469-2018, 2018.
- 1139 Thornhill, G. D., Collins, W. J., Kramer, R. J., Olivié, D., Skeie, R. B., O'Connor, F. M., Abraham, N. L., Checa-Garcia, R.,
- 1140 Bauer, S. E., Deushi, M., Emmons, L. K., Forster, P. M., Horowitz, L. W., Johnson, B., Keeble, J., Lamarque, J.-F., Michou,
- 1141 M., Mills, M. J., Mulcahy, J. P., Myhre, G., Nabat, P., Naik, V., Oshima, N., Schulz, M., Smith, C. J., Takemura, T., Tilmes,
- 1142 S., Wu, T., Zeng, G., and Zhang, J.: Effective radiative forcing from emissions of reactive gases and aerosols a multi-model
- 1143 comparison, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 853–874, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-853-2021</u>, 2021a.
- 1144 Thornhill, G., Collins, W., Olivié, D., Skeie, R. B., Archibald, A., Bauer, S., Checa-Garcia, R., Fiedler, S., Folberth, G.,
- 1145 Gjermundsen, A., Horowitz, L., Lamarque, J.-F., Michou, M., Mulcahy, J., Nabat, P., Naik, V., O'Connor, F. M., Paulot, F.,
- 1146 Schulz, M., Scott, C. E., Séférian, R., Smith, C., Takemura, T., Tilmes, S., Tsigaridis, K., and Weber, J.: Climate-driven
- chemistry and aerosol feedbacks in CMIP6 Earth system models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 1105–1126,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1105-2021, 2021b.
- Toohey, M. and Sigl, M.: Volcanic stratospheric sulfur injections and aerosol optical depth from 500\,BCE to 1900\,CE, Earth
  Syst. Sci. Data, 9, 809–831, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-809-2017, 2017.
- Trewin, B., Cazenave, A., Howell, S., Huss, M., Isensee, K., Palmer, M. D., Tarasova, O., and Vermeulen, A.: Headline
  Indicators for Global Climate Monitoring, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 102, E20–E37,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0196.1, 2021.
- Vanderkelen, I., van Lipzig, N. P. M., Lawrence, D. M., Droppers, B., Golub, M., Gosling, S. N., Janssen, A. B. G., Marcé,
  R., Schmied, H. M., Perroud, M., Pierson, D., Pokhrel, Y., Satoh, Y., Schewe, J., Seneviratne, S. I., Stepanenko, V. M., Tan,
- 1156 Z., Woolway, R. I., and Thiery, W.: Global Heat Uptake by Inland Waters, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL087867,
- 1157 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087867</u>, 2020.
- 1158 Vose, R. S., Huang, B., Yin, X., Arndt, D., Easterling, D. R., Lawrimore, J. H., Menne, M. J., Sanchez-Lugo, A., and Zhang,
- 1159 H. M.: Implementing Full Spatial Coverage in NOAA's Global Temperature Analysis, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48,
- 1160 e2020GL090873, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090873, 2021.
- Watson-Parris, D., Christensen, M. W., Laurenson, A., Clewley, D., Gryspeerdt, E., and Stier, P.: Shipping regulations lead to
   large reduction in cloud perturbations, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 119, e2206885119,
- 1163 <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2206885119</u>, 2022.

- 1164 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T. T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B. M., Mu, M., van Marle, M. J. E.,
- Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Yokelson, R. J., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016, Earth
  System Science Data, 9, 697–720, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-697-2017, 2017.
- World Meteorological Organization (WMO), 2025. State of the Global Climate 2024. WMO-No. 1368. World Meteorological
   Organization, https://library.wmo.int/idurl/4/69455, accessed 27 April 2025, 2025.
- 1169 Yuan, T., Song, H., Wood, R., Wang, C., Oreopoulos, L., Platnick, S. E., Von Hippel, S., Meyer, K., Light, S., and Wilcox,
- 1170 E.: Global reduction in ship-tracks from sulfur regulations for shipping fuel, Sci. Adv., 8, eabn7988,
- 1171 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abn7988</u>, 2022.
- 1172 Zelinka, M. D., Andrews, T., Forster, P. M., and Taylor, K. E.: Quantifying components of aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions
- 1173 in climate models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 7599–7615, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021710, 2014.
- 1174 Zelinka, M. D., Smith, C. J., Qin, Y., and Taylor, K. E.: Aerosol Effective Radiative Forcings in CMIP Models, EGUsphere,
- 1175 <u>https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/23/8879/2023</u>, 2023.
- 1176
- 1177
- 1178