

- 1 Discrete absolute dating — *reported 1 σ
if not reported, 3.4% (^{14}C), 9.1% (OSL), 13.1% (TL) relative 1 σ*
- ! Surface age = 0 ka BP: uncertainty due to bottom age analytics and difficulty in defining surface level
- 2 Indirect dating based on discrete absolute ages — *topmost (bottommost) of target under(over)lying stratigraphic unit: 10% relative 1 σ
general mean (bulk) age of under(over)lying unit: 20% relative 1 σ
assumed equal to regional dated markers: 30% relative 1 σ*
- 3 Continuous age model (e.g., polynomial, bayesian) — *reported 1 σ uncertainty envelope
if not reported, uncertainty is the quadrature of the uncertainties of bracketing dated levels*
- 4 Correlation (MS, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$) — *not supported by absolute dating: ± 6 kyr absolute 1 σ
supported by absolute dating: ± 3 kyr absolute 1 σ*
- 5 Correlation (pedostratigraphy, applies only to loess) — *correlation to regionally defined loess-paleosol chronology: ± 9 kyr absolute 1 σ*
- Correlation (AICC2012, GICC05) — *polar ice cores are correlated to the AICC2012 and GICC05 chronologies for Antarctic and Greenland cores, respectively: uncertainty as reported in these reference chronologies*