Climatological distribution of ocean acidification variables along the North American ocean margins
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Abstract. Climatologies, which depict mean fields of oceanographic variables on a regular geographic grid, and atlases, which provide graphical depictions of specific areas, play pivotal roles in comprehending the societal vulnerabilities linked to ocean acidification (OA). This significance is particularly pronounced in coastal regions where most economic activities, such as commercial and recreational fisheries and aquaculture industries, occur. In this paper, we unveil a comprehensive data product featuring coastal ocean acidification climatologies and atlases, encompassing the fugacity of carbon dioxide, pH on the total scale, total hydrogen ion content, free hydrogen ion content, carbonate ion content, aragonite saturation state, calcite saturation state, Revelle factor, total dissolved inorganic carbon content, and total alkalinity content. These variables are provided on 1° x 1° spatial grids at 14 standardized depth levels, ranging from the surface to a depth of 500 m, along the North American ocean margins, defined as the region between the coastline and a distance of 200 nautical miles (~370 km) offshore. The climatologies and atlases were developed using the World Ocean Atlas (WOA) gridding methods of the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) based on the recently released Coastal Ocean Data Analysis Product in North America (CODAP-NA), along with the 2021 update to the Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2 (GLODAPv2.2021) data product. The relevant variables were adjusted to the index year of 2010. The data product is available in NetCDF (https://doi.org/10.25921/g8pb-zy76, Jiang et al., 2022b) on the NOAA Ocean Carbon and Acidification Data System: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/oceans/ncei/ocads/metadata/0270962.html (last access: 15 July 2024). It is recommended to use the objectively analyzed mean fields (with “_an” suffix) for each variable. The atlases can be accessed at https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-acidification-data-system/synthesis/nacoastal.html (last access: 15 July 2024).
1 Introduction

The chemistry of the mildly alkaline ocean has been changing as a result of absorbing ~25% of the carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) released by human activities (Gruber et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2019; DeVries, 2022; Friedlingstein et al., 2023; Ma et al., 2023; Feely et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 2023; Richardson et al., 2023). This process, which is causing an increase in ocean acidity and a decrease in the substance content of carbonate ion (a building block for the shells and skeletal structures of many marine organisms), is commonly referred to as ocean acidification (OA) (Caldeira and Wickett, 2003; Feely et al., 2004; Orr et al., 2005; Doney et al., 2009; Gattuso and Hansson, 2011). Studies have shown that OA can negatively affect marine organisms that form shells and skeletons using calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$), with aragonite and calcite being the dominant mineral forms) and has the potential to significantly impact shellfish fisheries and aquaculture (Cooley and Doney, 2009; Andersson and Gledhill, 2013; Gattuso et al., 2015; Albright et al., 2016; Connell et al., 2018; Kawahata et al., 2019; Doney et al., 2020).

Despite occupying just 7% of the ocean surface area, coastal seas (<200 m deep near land) are among the most productive parts of the global ocean, accounting for 90% of the yield of global fisheries (Tickler et al., 2018). The coastal ocean also contains some of the richest biodiversity (80% of known species of marine fish; Cicin-Sain et al., 2002) and provides important ecosystem goods and services to billions of people in the form of food security, fishery and aquaculture industries, and recreational activities. These goods and services are worth more than USD 27.7 trillion annually, a number larger than the annual US gross domestic product (de Groot et al., 2012; Costanza et al., 2014; Kubiszewski et al., 2017). Thus, understanding the status of OA in the coastal ocean is critical to guide society’s OA mitigation and adaptation efforts.

In a recent study, 2 decades of discrete measurements of carbonate system parameters, oxygen, and nutrients from the North American ocean margins were compiled, quality controlled (QCed), and synthesized to generate a data product called the Coastal Ocean Data Analysis Product (CODAP-NA) (Jiang et al., 2021). The generated climatologies are available as NetCDF files, and the atlases are available as still images (JPEG). The produced climatologies and atlases provide a baseline for current OA conditions for use in assessing future changes along the North American ocean margins, enabling the identification of more vulnerable vs. potentially resilient regions. Additionally, the climatologies will facilitate regional model validation; allow users to plot the distribution of an OA variable in horizontal or vertical sections in different regions easily; and make it possible to extract values at specific longitude, latitude, and depth combinations. The atlas visualizations of these parameters will inform coastal enterprises, decision-makers and stakeholders, and the general public about the current status of OA in each region so as to provide actionable information for the coastal mitigation and adaptation efforts.

2 Technical approach and methodology

Climatologies and atlases for a total of 10 OA variables, namely $f$CO$_2$, pH$_T$, [H$^+$)$_{local}$, [H$^+$]$_{free}$, [CO$_3^{2-}$], $\Omega_{arag}$, $\Omega_{calc}$, RF, DIC, and TA, along with temperature and salinity, were created in the ocean margins of North America (Table 1). Input data came primarily from the QCed cruise data from CODAP-NA, which contains discrete measurements throughout the water column of the coastal region (Jiang et al., 2021), and the 2021 update to the Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAPv2.2021), which includes discrete measurements from the open ocean (Lauvset et al., 2021), both spanning from the ocean surface to the seafloor. The relevant carbonate system variables were indexed to the year of 2010 based on the algorithms developed by Carter et al. (2021). Specifically, “ESPER_Mixed” was used to estimate the delta dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) differences between the sampling year and 2010. For more details regarding this temporal adjustment, refer to Jiang et al. (2023). The carbonate system calculations were conducted using a Julia version (CO2System.jl v2.0.5, Humphreys et al., 2022) of the CO2SYS program (Lewis and Wallace, 1998), with the dissociation constants for carbonic acid of Lueker et al. (2000), the bisulfate (HSO$_3^-$) of Dickson (1990), the hydrofluoric acid (HF) of Perez and Fraga (1987), and the total borate equations of Lee et al. (2010) as recommended by Jiang et al. (2022a). When more than two carbonate variable measurements were available for a profile, the variables used for carbonate system calculations were chosen based on the preference order of DIC > TA > pH. This preference order corresponds to the frequency of availability of these measurement types in the underlying data products and is therefore chosen on the basis of maximizing the consistency of the underlying calculations. It has been noted that the stated uncertainty in seawater pH measurements is often low enough...
to result in reduced uncertainties in calculations using this variable compared to the other variables, but we nevertheless use this ordering to ensure our underlying calculations are maximally consistent and because of lingering issues with the accuracy of pH at depth (Carter et al., 2023).

\[ [\text{H}^+]_{\text{total}} \text{ and } [\text{H}^+]_{\text{free}} \text{ (unit: } 10^{-9} \text{ mol kg}^{-1} \text{) were directly calculated from a Julia version of the CO2SYS (CO2System.jl). Because pH is on a logarithmic scale, it was not gridded directly. Instead, its corresponding } [\text{H}^+]_{\text{total}} \text{ was gridded, and the gridded pH values were then calculated using the definition of pH (Eq. 1).} \]

\[
\text{pH}_T = -\log_{10}[\text{H}^+]_{\text{total}}
\]

Note that, in Eq. (1), the unit for \([\text{H}^+]_{\text{total}}\) is moles per kilogram. The saturation state of carbonate minerals (unitless) is defined as follows:

\[
\Omega = \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}][\text{CO}_2^{-3}]}{K'_{sp}},
\]

where \(\Omega\) is the saturation state (\(\Omega > 1\) favors precipitation, and \(\Omega < 1\) favors dissolution), and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) and \([\text{CO}_2^{-3}]\) are the calcium and carbonate ion contents, respectively (units: \(\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\)). \(K'_{sp}\) is the apparent solubility product of the calcium carbonate minerals (e.g., aragonite or calcite). \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) in seawater was assumed to be conservative with salinity according to Millero (1995). The Revelle factor (RF) quantifies the buffer capacity for the seawater carbonate system, defined by Revelle and Suess (1957) as the ratio of the fractional change in \(f\text{CO}_2\) to the fractional change in DIC, with TA being constant. A higher RF indicates a smaller DIC change (in terms of the amount of carbon the ocean absorbs) for a specific change in sea surface \(f\text{CO}_2\). A higher RF also suggests that a given addition of DIC will have a larger impact on \(f\text{CO}_2\), implying that the seawater is less well-buffered (Broecker et al., 1979).

For all OA variables, the data were vertically interpolated onto 14 standardized depth levels – 0, 10, 20, 30, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, and 500 m – before they were horizontally gridded. These standardized depth levels are the same as those used in the GLODAPv2 gridded data product (Lauvset et al., 2016), as originally chosen by Levitus and Boyer (1994). Vertical interpolation was performed using the four-point Reiniger–Ross interpolation approach when the data permitted it; otherwise, a three-point Lagrangian interpolation was used, with linear interpolation serving as a last resort (Reiniger and Ross, 1968).

The algorithm used to produce horizontally gridded data at specified standard depths in the ocean is based on the objective analysis technique, originally developed for atmospheric variables by Barnes (1964) and subsequently adapted for oceanographic applications (non-continuous globally due to land and ocean bottom) by Levitus (1982). The technique adjusts a first-guess field (best estimate) at the center of a 1° × 1° grid box by aggregating distance-weighted influences from all grid boxes within a predetermined radius of influence. The initial first-guess fields for each of these variables on global 1° × 1° grids at all depth levels were calculated using the Empirical Seawater Property Estimation Routines (ESPERs) algorithms (Carter et al., 2021) based on the WOA 2018 data for salinity (Zweng et al., 2018), temperature (Locarnini et al., 2018), and dissolved oxygen (Garcia et al., 2018).

Each grid box within the radius of influence, including the grid box being analyzed, contributes to this adjustment based on two factors: (a) the difference between the statistical mean of all observations (vertically interpolated from observations if necessary) and its first-guess field and (b) the distance between this grid box and the focal grid box. Refer to Reagan et al. (2023) and Boyer et al. (2005) for more details. The radius of influence was determined by physical
Table 1. Variables included in this data product. All of them are reported at in situ temperature and pressure. The leftmost column shows the abbreviations used in the NetCDF files.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full variable name</th>
<th>Measured or calculated</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fCO2</td>
<td>Fugacity of carbon dioxide</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>µatm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHT</td>
<td>pH on total scale</td>
<td>measured*</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htotal</td>
<td>Total hydrogen ion content</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>nmol kg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hfree</td>
<td>Free hydrogen ion content</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>nmol kg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO3</td>
<td>Carbonate ion content</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>µmol kg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OmegaA</td>
<td>Aragonite saturation state</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OmegaC</td>
<td>Calcite saturation state</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>Revelle factor</td>
<td>calculated</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>Total dissolved inorganic carbon content</td>
<td>measured*</td>
<td>µmol kg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Total alkalinity content</td>
<td>measured*</td>
<td>µmol kg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Water temperature</td>
<td>measured</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Salinity (Practical Salinity Scale of 1978)</td>
<td>measured</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These variables served as the basis for calculating the remaining variables based on the preference order of DIC > TA > pH. They were not always measured, particularly for pH. Additionally, both DIC and pH were adjusted to the year 2010, and TA could also be a calculated value in rare cases where only DIC and pH were available.

Table 2. Gridding parameters for each variable within this data product. The leftmost column shows the abbreviations used in the NetCDF files.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full name</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_an</td>
<td>Objectively analyzed mean</td>
<td>The result of the objective analysis after incorporating distance-weighted influences from all grid boxes within the radius of influence (recommended)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_mn</td>
<td>Statistical mean</td>
<td>The average of all depth-interpolated data values that pass quality control checks within the grid box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_dd</td>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>The count of quality-controlled observations within the grid box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_sd</td>
<td>Standard deviations</td>
<td>The spread of each variable that passes quality control checks within the grid box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_se</td>
<td>Standard errors</td>
<td>The errors as defined in Levitus et al. (2012) within the grid box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_gp</td>
<td>Number of grid squares</td>
<td>The number of grid boxes that contain a statistical mean value within the radius of influence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oceanic factors such as the Rossby radius. Applying a three-pass analysis method pioneered by Barnes (1964), influence radii of 446, 669, and 892 km were utilized for this analysis, covering approximately four latitude–longitude lengths at the Equator (roughly 446 km, equivalent to approximately two Rossby radii of deformation) to eight latitude–longitude lengths in specific regions. This objective analysis method filters out shorter wavelengths (representative of noise in the mean field) to retain larger wavelength features (representative of general characteristics of the area).

In addition to the objectively analyzed means (recommended), other gridding parameters, e.g., statistical mean, number of observations, standard deviation, and standard error, were also generated and made available as part of this product (Jiang et al., 2022b). See Table 2 for their definitions. While these climatologies offer extensive spatial and vertical coverage, they provide only a static view of OA conditions referenced to 2010. Insufficient observational data precluded the delineation of seasonal or sub-annual variations. Furthermore, the data used for generating these climatologies were primarily collected during the summer and fall seasons. The atlases of this product were produced using the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) software (Wessel et al., 2019). Refer to Figs. 1 and 2 for examples.

3 Data availability

The produced climatologies (gridded data at standard depth levels) are available in NetCDF through NCEI’s archive (NCEI accession no. 0270962, https://doi.org/10.25921/g8pb-zy76, Jiang et al., 2022b): https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/oceans/ncei/ocads/metadata/0270962.html.
Their corresponding atlases (plotted color maps based on the gridded data) of these variables at 14 standardized depth levels from the surface to 500 m are accessible through a web interface: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ocean-carbon-acidification-data-system/synthesis/nacoastal.html (last access: 15 July 2024).

4 Summary

In this study, we utilized the World Ocean Atlas (WOA) gridding methodologies from NOAA’s National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) to generate a data product featuring coastal ocean acidification climatologies and atlases for North American ocean margins on 1°×1° grids from the surface to 500 m. This product showcases 10 OA variables across 14 standardized depth levels: 0, 10, 20, 30, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, and 500 m. The OA variables comprise the fugacity of carbon dioxide, pH on the total scale, total hydrogen ion content, free hydrogen ion content, carbonate ion content, aragonite saturation state, calcite saturation state, Revelle factor, total dissolved inorganic carbon and total alkalinity content, as well as temperature and salinity. The basis for this work is the recently released CODAP-NA, which encompasses 3391 oceanographic profiles from 61 research cruises, including 18 341 DIC measurements and 18 351 TA measurements, along with GLODAPv2.2021. All variables were adjusted to the year of 2010 before the gridding process.
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