



Supplement of

Natural gas supply from Russia derived from daily pipeline flow data and potential solutions for filling a shortage of Russian supply in the European Union (EU)

Chuanlong Zhou et al.

Correspondence to: Philippe Ciais (philippe.ciais@lsce.ipsl.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

1 Gas network simulation

2 Our gas network simulation is based on graph analysis algorithms, which considers countries as nodes, and pipeline 3 between counties as edges, as shown in the simulation network graph in Fig S1. We consider gas storage as an attribute 4 of each node. Note that Belgium and Luxembourg, Denmark and Sweden, Latvia and Estonia, were combined together 5 as BE-LU, DK-SE, and LV-EE, respectively, which is based on the ENTSO-G balancing zone divisions. The 6 simulation is constrained with the node mass balance Eq. (1), and also presented in Fig 1 (right) in the manuscript: 7 Total gas supply = Direct Supply (S) + Inflow(IF) + Storage Supply (SI)8 = Consumption (C) + Outflow(OF) + To Storage(SO) = Total gas consumption 9 (1) We assume that the mass balance of the gas supply sources is achieved daily for each node and edge. Therefore, the 10 11 simulation iteratively solves the gas supply source shares for each node and edge based on Eq. (2) and Eq. (3).

12
$$r_{c,i} = \frac{\text{total supply of source } i}{\text{total gas supply}} = \frac{S \times RS_{c,i} + SI \times r_{c,s,i} + \sum if_c \times r'_{c,if,i}}{S + SI + IF}$$
13 (2)

14
$$\mathbf{r}_{c,s,i} = \mathbf{o} \mathbf{f}_{c,if,i} = \mathbf{r}_{c,i} \tag{3}$$

Where $r_{c,i}$ is the overall supply share of source *i* in country *c*, $RS_{c,i}$ is the supply share of direct supply for source *i* in country *c*, $r_{c,s,i}$ is the share of source *i* from storage (*s*) in country *c*, if_c are the edges that have flow into country *c*, $r'_{c,if,i}$ is the supply share of source *i* for edges that have flow into country *c* from the previous iteration, and $of_{c,if,i}$ is the share of source *i* for edges that have flow out from country *c*.

The simulation stops until the convergence of all the nodes and edges, i.e. the differences for $r_{c,i}$ and $of_{c,if,i}$ between iterations are smaller than threshold value. Here we also assume that each county has no consumption preference of gas source, i.e., the consumption values from different sources are based on their supply shares.



24 Figure S1. Simulation network graph.

26 Sectoral splitting validation

- 27 ENTSO-G datasets provide a rough splitting for consumption sectors as distribution (DIS), which is considered as
- 28 heating and others sectors, and final consumer (FNC), which is considered as power and industrial sectors. However,
- the splitting is not available for all countries. On the other hand, Eurostat energy balance datasets (Eurostat, 2022a, b)
- 30 provide more detailed monthly gas consumption in detailed sectors, therefore, we use the variables from Eurostat to
- 31 split the consumption from ENTSO-G into five sectors: 1) FC_OTH_HH_E as household heating, 2) FC_OTH_CP_E
- 32 as public building heating, 3) TI_E as power sector, 4) FC_IND_E + FC_IND_NE as industrial, 5) IC_OBS- sum of
- the other four sectors as others. The Eurostat energy balance label definitions are shown in Table S1.

34 Table S1. Eurostat energy balance label definition.

FC_OTH_HH_E	Final consumption - other sectors - households - energy use
FC_OTH_CP_E	Final consumption - other sectors - commercial and public services - energy use
TI_E*	Transformation input - energy use
FC_IND_E	Final consumption - industry sector - energy use
FC_IND_NE	Final consumption - industry sector - non-energy use
IC_OBS	Inland consumption - observed

35 * Transformation input mainly includes the consumption of gas to other energy formats, i.e., electricity and heat.

36 We validate this splitting approach with those counties that have DIS and FNC data from ENTSO-G, including

37 Germany, France, Portugal, Italy, Romania, the UK, the Netherlands, Poland, Greece, and Belgium-Luxemburg. We

38 firstly split the total consumption from ENTSO-G into the five sectors with Eurostat as mentioned above. Then we

39 compare the original DIS values from ENTSO-G with the calculated DIS values from the Eurostat sectors (household

40 heating + public building heating + others). Similarly, compare the original FNC values from ENTSO-G with the

41 calculated FNC values from the Eurostat sectors (power sector + industrial). The compassion results are shown in Fig.

42 S2. The good r2 and low differences indicate that our approach provides good qualities of the splitting for DIS and

43 FNC, which implies our splitting approach for the five sectors would be reasonable.



Figure S2. Comparisons between the original values and the estimated values based on Eurostat for the distribution (DIS)
 and final consumer (FNC).

48 Heating reduction

- 49 The detailed approach of the reduction capacity estimation based on empirical temperature-gas-consumption (TGC)
- 50 curves is presented in section 2.3.1 of our manuscript. Here, we presented the plots for TGC curves fittings and
- 51 reduction estimations of each country, as shown in Fig S3 for the household heating on weekdays, Fig S4 for the
- 52 household heating on weekends, and Fig S5 for the public buildings, respectively.



54 Figure S3. Reduction based on TGC for household heating weekdays. The figure shows a moderate scenario adopt a 1 °C lower 55 critical temperature and the lower 30th percentile of the TGS curve.



Figure S4. Reduction based on TGC for household heating weekends. The figure shows a moderate scenario adopt a 1 °C lower
 critical temperature and the lower 50th percentile of the TGS curve.



Figure S5. Reduction based on TGC for public building heating. The figure shows a moderate scenario adopt a 2 °C lower
 critical temperature and the lower 30th percentile of the TGS curve.

63 **Power sector**

64 We estimate that gas can be saved in the power sector by substituting it with coal, nuclear, and biomass. We analyze the diurnal capacities (75% and 95% as moderate and severe cases) for those alternative electricity sources in each 65 country as shown in Fig S6. The extra capacities from alternative sources will be used to replace the electricity 66 67 generated by gas-fired power plants. Then the substituted electricity from ENTSO-E is converted to gas form as 68 ENTSO-G considering gas-fired power plant efficiency. We estimate the average efficiencies of gas-fired power plants 69 in each country by calculating the overall correlations between gas consumed in the FNC (gas form, from ENTSO-G) 70 and gas-powered electricity generated (electricity form, from ENTSO-E), as shown in Table S2. The slopes are used 71 as average efficiencies, and we also limit the efficiencies from 0.4 to 0.6.





Figure S6. Diel capacities (75% and 95%) for coal, gas, nuclear, and biomass based on ENTSO-E electricity production
 from 2019 to 2021. Those capacities will be used for gas reduction capacities estimations.

75 Table S2. Linear regression between gas (MWh) used in power sector (from EUGasSC) and the electricity (MWh) 76 generated with gas power plant (from ENTSO-E).

	$r2^{1}$	Slope ¹	Efficiency ²
AT	0.87	1.72	0.6
BG	0.75	0.15	0.4
CZ	0.11	0.19	0.4
DE	0.45	0.29	0.4
GR	0.69	0.65	0.6
ES	0.52	0.5	0.5
FI	0.27	0.66	0.6
FR	0.8	1.17	0.6
HR	0.4	0.11	0.4
UK	0.64	0.7	0.6
HU	0.33	0.17	0.4
IE	0.13	0.36	0.4
IT	0.66	0.52	0.52
LT	0.09	0.04	0.4
NL	0.62	0.71	0.6
PL	0.51	0.72	0.6
РТ	0.68	0.74	0.6
RO	0.66	0.84	0.6
SI	0.52	0.91	0.6
SK	0.01	0.02	0.4
BE-LU	0.71	1.06	0.6
DK-SE	0.41	0.51	0.51
LV-FF	0	0	0.4

The EUGasSC dataset might systematically underestimate the gas consumption in the power sector if the slope is large than 0.6 with a good r^2 . Smaller r^2 with the lower slope ceases might indicate bad estimations of the power sector from

gas power plant efficiency if all the gas consumption from EUGasSC were fully used for electricity generations.

80 than 0.6 with a81 EUGasSC.

 2 We use the slope as the average gas power efficiency with the limits from 0.4 to 0.6.

83

77

84 Increased supply from import and EU production

- 85 We evaluated the potential increases of LNG imports, pipeline imports, and EU production within the EU27&UK
- 86 were estimated based on the BP world energy report (Bp, 2022). The estimation methods are discussed in the
- 87 manuscript (section **2.3.3**).



88

Figure S7. Estimated boosted capacities for EU production, LNG and Pipeline imports based on historical maximum
 capacity from 2010 to 2020 and growth rate in 2020.

92 Extra CO₂ emission

93 We estimate the extra CO₂ that will be emitted by replacing gas-fired power plants with coal-fired power plants based

94 on average efficiencies and emission factors from the US EPA report (https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-

12/documents/power plants 2017 industrial profile updated 2020.pdf). We assume that the average efficiency for 95

96 gas-fired power plants is 0.5, the reported emission factor for gas-fired power plants is 898 pound CO₂/MWh, and the

97 reported emission factor for coal-fired power plants is 2180 pound CO₂/MWh. Then the extra CO₂ emission can be

- 98 calculated as:
- 99

$$Extra CO_2 = gas_{replaced} \times gas_{efficiency} \times (coal_{emission} - gas_{emssion})$$

(4)

100

101

102 Table S2. Remaining gaps in the Russia-dependent countries with redistribution from Russian-independent countries using 103 the current network and assuming bi-directional transmission network possibilities.

Regions	Remaining gap without redistribution (TWh) ¹	Remaining gap with redistribution using current network (TWh)	Remaining gap with redistribution using bi-directional network (TWh)
Germany	348.9	295.8	137.4
Italy	309.4	285.1	265.5
Hungary	147.9	145.0	122.9
Poland	120.2	119.1	117.1
Austria	138.9	131.4	101.8
Baltic	36.1	33.0	32.9
Other	77.8	84.9	66.6
Total	1179.2	1094.2	844.1

104

¹ The remaining gaps shown in this table are evaluated with upper bound capacities for heating, power generations,

105 and imports.

CommondateDate of the rowcountryCountry of the rowEUGasSC*TOTALTotal gas consumptionRUGas supply from Russia importsLNGGas supply from LNG importsPROGas supply from EU productionAZGas supply from Azerbaijan imports	
countryCountry of the rowEUGasSC*TOTALTotal gas consumptionRUGas supply from Russia importsLNGGas supply from LNG importsPROGas supply from EU productionAZGas supply from Azerbaijan imports	
EUGasSC* TOTAL Total gas consumption RU Gas supply from Russia imports LNG Gas supply from LNG imports PRO Gas supply from EU production AZ Gas supply from Azerbaijan imports	
RUGas supply from Russia importsLNGGas supply from LNG importsPROGas supply from EU productionAZGas supply from Azerbaijan importsDZCas supply from Alerria imports	
LNGGas supply from LNG importsPROGas supply from EU productionAZGas supply from Azerbaijan importsDZCas supply from Alerria imports	
PROGas supply from EU productionAZGas supply from Azerbaijan importsDZCas supply from Alerria imports	
AZ Gas supply from Azerbaijan imports	
DZ Cas suggly from Algoria imports	
Gas supply from Algeria imports	
NO Gas supply from Norway imports	
RS Gas supply from Serbia imports	
LY Gas supply from Libya imports	
TR Gas supply from Turkey imports	
RU_from_storage Gas supply from stored Russia imports	
LNG_from_storage Gas supply from stored LNG imports	
PRO_from_storage Gas supply from stored EU production	
AZ_from_storage Gas supply from stored Azerbaijan imports	
DZ_from_storage Gas supply from stored Algeria imports	
NO_from_storage Gas supply from stored Norway imports	
RS_from_storage Gas supply from stored Serbia imports	
LY_from_storage Gas supply from stored Libya imports	
TR_from_storage Gas supply from stored Turkey imports	
house_heating Gas consumption in household heating	
public_heating Gas consumption in public building heating	
others Gas consumption in others sector	
industrial Gas consumption in industrial sector	
power Gas consumption in power generation	
EUGasRP* publich_building Capacity from reducing public building heating	
household Capacity from reducing household heating	
coal Capacity from increasing power generation by coal	
biomass Capacity from increasing power generation by biomass	
nuclear Capacity from increasing power generation by nuclear	

107 Table S3. Descriptions of column headers and units of EUGasSC and EUGasRP.

108

* Units are KWh.

References

- BP: Statistical Review of World Energy [dataset], 2022.
- Eurostat: Supply, transformation and consumption of gas [dataset], 2022a. Eurostat: Supply, transformation and consumption of gas monthly data [dataset], 2022b.