Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 3941–3961, 2023 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-3941-2023 © Author(s) 2023. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



A comprehensive and version-controlled database of glacial lake outburst floods in High Mountain Asia

Finu Shrestha¹, Jakob F. Steiner^{1,9}, Reeju Shrestha^{1,2}, Yathartha Dhungel^{1,3}, Sharad P. Joshi¹, Sam Inglis⁴, Arshad Ashraf⁵, Sher Wali⁶, Khwaja M. Walizada⁷, and Taigang Zhang^{8,10}

 ¹International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), 44700 Lalitpur, Nepal
²Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, School of Science, Kathmandu University, 45210 Dhulikhel, Nepal
³DPMM, Asian Institute of Technology, 12000 Pathum Thani, Thailand
⁴The ADM Capital Foundation (ADMCF), 999077 Hong Kong SAR, China
⁵Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, 44000 Islamabad, Pakistan
⁶Aga Khan Agency for Habitat, 44000 Islamabad, Pakistan
⁷Aga Khan Agency for Habitat, Kabul, Afghanistan
⁸College of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lanzhou University, 730000 Lanzhou, China
⁹Department of Geography and Regional Science, University of Graz, Heinrichstraße 36, 8010 Graz, Austria
¹⁰State Key Laboratory of Tibetan Plateau Earth System, Environment and Resources (TPESER), Institute of Tibetan Plateau, Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100864 Beijing, China

Correspondence: Finu Shrestha (finu.shrestha@icimod.org) and Jakob F. Steiner (jff.steiner@gmail.com)

Received: 15 November 2022 – Discussion started: 11 January 2023 Revised: 19 July 2023 – Accepted: 22 July 2023 – Published: 5 September 2023

Abstract. Glacial lake outburst floods (GLOFs) have been intensely investigated in High Mountain Asia (HMA) in recent years and are the most well-known hazard associated with the cryosphere. As glaciers recede and surrounding slopes become increasingly unstable, such events are expected to increase, although current evidence for an increase in events is ambiguous. Many studies have investigated individual events, and while several regional inventories exist, they either do not cover all types of GLOF or are geographically constrained. Further, downstream impacts are rarely discussed. Previous inventories have relied on academic sources and have not been combined with existing inventories of glaciers and lakes. In this study, we present the first comprehensive inventory of GLOFs in HMA, including details on the time of their occurrence, processes of lake formation and drainage involved, and downstream impacts. We document 697 individual GLOFs that occurred between 1833 and 2022. Of these, 23 % were recurring events from just three ephemeral ice-dammed lakes. In combination, the documented events resulted in 6906 fatalities of which 906 can be attributed to 24 individual GLOF events, which is 3 times higher than a previous assessment for the region. The integration of previous inventories of glaciers and lakes within this database will inform future assessments of potential drivers of GLOFs, allowing more robust projections to be developed. The database and future, updated versions are traceable and version-controlled and can be directly incorporated into further analysis. The database is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7271187 (Steiner and Shrestha, 2023), while the code including a development version is available on GitHub.

High Mountain Asia (HMA) has the largest expanse of glacier ice (\sim 95000 glaciers) beyond the two poles (Guillet et al., 2022) and also has a large number (~ 30000) of glacial lakes, covering $\sim 2000 \,\mathrm{km}^2$ (Wang et al., 2020). Glaciers in the region have retreated and lost mass between 0.06 and 0.4 m w.e. yr⁻¹ across all mountain ranges since the 1960s (Bhattacharya et al., 2021), this retreat often leading to the formation and rapid expansion of glacial lakes (Nie et al., 2017; Shugar et al., 2020; M. Zhang et al., 2021). Numerous lakes have previously resulted in glacial lake outburst floods (GLOFs) (Carrivick and Tweed, 2013; Nie et al., 2018; Song et al., 2016; Veh et al., 2019b). GLOFs have been recorded in various parts of the world for decades (Emmer et al., 2022; Veh et al., 2022), including the European Alps (Huss et al., 2007), the Andes (Iribarren Anacona et al., 2014), North America (Wilcox et al., 2014), and the Hindu Kush Himalaya (HKH) (Ives et al., 2010; Mool et al., 2001; Rounce et al., 2017). Numerous studies suggest that glacial lakes in HMA have grown in total area and number since the 1990s (Chen et al., 2021; Shugar et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2021a). An overall increase of 5.9 % in lake number and 6.8 ± 0.1 % in area was reported between 1990 and 2015 (Zheng et al., 2021a). Other studies find an increase by 2916 and 273.65 km² between 1990 and 2018 (Wang et al., 2020) and by 3342 and 220.64 km² between 2009 and 2017 (Chen et al., 2020). Results suggest that the total area increase has been driven by the expansion of proglacial moraine-dammed lakes (Zheng et al., 2021a; Nie et al., 2013; Gardelle et al., 2011). The number of proglacial lakes in contact with glaciers increased by 31.3 ± 0.3 % between 1990 and 2015 (Zheng et al., 2021a) and by 96.27 km^2 (57%) between 1990 and 2018 (Wang et al., 2020) in HMA.

Regional studies suggest that ongoing expansion of lakes (Gardelle et al., 2011; Shugar et al., 2020) is expected to create new hotspots of hazardous lakes (Furian et al., 2022; Linsbauer et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2022a; Zheng et al., 2021a), with implications for GLOF hazards and risk (Haeberli et al., 2016). Numerous processes have been previously identified as direct or indirect triggers of GLOFs. Dynamic slope movements (avalanches, rockfalls, or landslides) into a lake can rapidly displace lake water (Awal et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2004), similarly to glacier calving, creating a displacement wave that overtops the dam (Emmer and Cochachin, 2013; Westoby et al., 2014; Worni et al., 2014). Intense rainfall or ice melt leading to sudden increases in water levels also has the potential to strain dams (Allen et al., 2016; Cook et al., 2018; Worni et al., 2012). Seismic events can destabilize moraine dams, contributing to an eventual failure (Osti et al., 2011; Somos-Valenzuela et al., 2014; Westoby et al., 2014). Seepage, piping, and degradation of an ice-cored moraine can eventually lead to dam failure (Mool et al., 2001; Yamada and Sharma, 1993). Past global assessments indicated that GLOFs have caused more than 12 000 fatalities in the last century alone and caused significant damages to infrastructure and farmland (Carrivick and Tweed, 2016). With growing populations, settlements, and infrastructural development in downstream areas, the exposure of communities and structures downstream of these lakes is rising (Li et al., 2022). Timely GLOF risk reduction measures and implementation of risk reduction strategies have become increasingly crucial but remain challenging, especially in politically sensitive regions (Allen et al., 2019; Khanal et al., 2015).

Several studies have focused on the causes, mechanisms, and trends of GLOFs over the past few decades (Allen et al., 2016; Dwiwedi et al., 2000; Ives, 1986; Mool et al., 2001; Nie et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021c), and the number of individual studies, especially in HMA, has increased sharply over recent years (Emmer et al., 2022). A large number of these studies have focused on individual events. While some have attempted to collect information on GLOFs in HMA and have also made data accessible, they are often geographically constrained (Nie et al., 2018; T. Zhang et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021b) or focus on certain types of GLOFs (Veh et al., 2019a). A recent global study has bridged this gap (Veh et al., 2022). However, it does not cover impacts. Recent studies have noted that a large number of GLOF events were omitted from previous records as they remained unreported or were recorded in local media and had not been documented in scientific literature (Veh et al., 2022; Zheng et al., 2021b). Studies with more detailed information on individual events either do not have accessible inventories, focus on only a certain type of GLOF (Veh et al., 2019a), or do not include more information than the type of lake and location (Zheng et al., 2021b). Developing more comprehensive datasets is crucial (Emmer et al., 2022), and these have become increasingly necessary as research has shifted from an evaluation of GLOF hazards to risks, including transboundary dimensions (Zheng et al., 2021a). As information on individual events may be added in future (e.g. on triggers or direct and indirect impacts), and continuously more GLOFs are reported, a database where changes can be traced and new releases are possible in the future (Blischak et al., 2016) is crucial and has been successfully employed for cryosphere data (Welty et al., 2020). Recent studies of the cryosphere have also made immense progress in demonstrating the potential benefits of traceable datasets to the scientific community and establishing standards that need to be followed to make records accessible (Mankoff et al., 2021; Welty et al., 2020). Additionally, a database with a clear structure should also be accessible to non-academic stakeholders, who are not well versed in machine-readable data, as well as scientists who may want to couple it to other regional datasets.

In this study, we therefore attempt to (a) provide the first comprehensive dataset of GLOFs in HMA including their location, timing, associated processes, and downstream impacts; (b) complement records from scientific literature with a rigorous evaluation of local sources on previously unrecorded events; and (c) show the potential of making such a dataset fully accessible and interoperable to couple it to other geospatial datasets.

2 Methods and data

2.1 Compilation of GLOF data

Historical GLOF data were compiled by searching peerreviewed articles, news articles, book chapters, technical reports, and personal communication with the final cut-off date 30 June 2022. The database covers entries from 115 publications, including 83 peer-reviewed journal articles, 16 book chapters, and 16 technical reports. Additionally, online news articles (9) and social media posts (3) were assessed. Beyond this, events reported by anecdotal accounts from local sources were also included. These were collected during fieldwork in the respective affected localities by the authors.

Correctly identifying historical events is challenging. It is highly likely that previous estimates of GLOF numbers have underestimated actual occurrences, due to a lack of accessible reports as well as many GLOFs that occurred in remote areas with no discernible impacts on livelihoods or infrastructure (Veh et al., 2022; Zheng et al., 2021b). In this study, we have included events previously reported in scientific literature as well as reports from regional media or records from local civil society organizations and citizens. This expanded list of sources allows inherent uncertainties to be reduced in each single one of them. Reported cases from scientific literature may be biased towards research catchments where scientists have a good grasp of historic cases, but these cases provide good evidence for individual GLOF characteristics. For GLOFs in HMA, this is the case for a few wellstudied examples like Merzbacher Lake (Kingslake and Ng, 2013) or Kyagar (Round et al., 2017). News reports tend to focus on GLOFs with considerable effects on critical infrastructure or human life and, in these cases, provide valuable data on impacts. Satellite imagery covers events irrespective of their impact or research interest but provides limited evidence for events that happened before the end of the 20th century. Local knowledge provides insights that any of the above sources may have missed, but information may have become less accurate as memory fades or while it is being passed on between generations. Beyond the added number of recorded events, reliance on this expanded source list introduces an inherent risk of misidentification, which must be accounted for. When debris flows or even pluvial floods reach mountain settlements, they are often identified as GLOFs, without proof of their source. This happened, for example, after the Chamoli rockslide (Shugar et al., 2021) and regularly happens when debris flows occur in the Upper Indus Basin. Verification of the source is, therefore, essential. If satellite imagery is available, we verified whether the typical v-shaped moraine breach, as well as deposits, is visible (Zheng et al., 2021b). If available, we checked rapid lake area changes or exposed lake beds that were clearly visible. For historic events, we ascertained whether a GLOF is technically possible (i.e. if there are lakes in the upstream). High-resolution 15 cm HD Maxar satellite images (available through ArcMap) were consulted to confirm doubtful events. For events that were previously not reported and for which dates are uncertain (e.g. if only visible from satellite imagery), we also provide the date and unique identifier of the earliest satellite imagery that holds evidence of the GLOF. Being able to rely on a variety of independent sources and check with stakeholders involved in hazard response in affected regions, we are able to corroborate between multiple sources. As a result, we discarded numerous previous events as unlikely or not verifiable in a separate table (/Database/-GLOFs/HMAGLOFDB removed.csv) and did not include new events where we could not confirm local reports with satellite imagery or records from field visits.

We also record GLOFs that cannot be directly associated with a glacier, either because it is not clear from the source or satellite imagery which glacier upstream feeds into the lake or because there is no adjacent glacier in any of the available inventories.

A number of regional delineations exist for HMA, including the one following RGI (Randolph Glacier Inventory; Pfeffer et al., 2014), the HiMAP report (Hindu Kush Himalayan Monitoring and Assessment Programme; Bolch et al., 2019), an outline favoured by scientists focusing on the Tibetan Plateau (Nie et al., 2017), and the outline of the HKH by ICIMOD (International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development). None of these agree with each other, making comparisons of regional statistics very difficult. All our GLOFs are consequently georeferenced, and the respective area codes for the RGI and HiMAP inventory are provided to allow aggregation. Discussing regional patterns is crucial when communicating data to policy makers, who may only feel responsible for a certain area within HMA. In the paper, we follow the HiMAP delineation but bin together all subregions of the Tien Shan (inventory OBJECT IDs 1-4) to "Tien Shan"; Pamir and Alay (5-7) to "Pamir"; West (10) and Central (11) Himalaya to "Himalaya West/Central"; the Western Kunlun Shan (13) and the Karakoram (9) to "Karakoram"; Nyainqentanglha (18), Gangdise Shan (17), Hengduan Shan (20), and Eastern Himalaya (12) to "Himalaya East/Hengduan Shan"; and all remaining subregions on the Tibetan Plateau and its fringes (14-16, 19, 21, 22) to "Tibet".

2.2 Data structure and recorded variables

The database provides access to data files as well as code used to process data. All recorded GLOF events are stored in a single *.csv file (/Database/GLOFs/H-MAGLOFDB.csv); events that are doubtful or definitely not a GLOF are stored separately (/Database/GLOFs/H-MAGLOFDB_removed.csv). A separate metadata file in machine- and human-readable YAML (.yml) format is pro-

vided as HMAGLOFDB_Metadata.yml, providing details on all data files and variables in the database. An overview of all recorded database variables is provided in Table 1. Each event has a unique numerical identifier, starting at 1. Where available, the date of the event is provided up to the day of the event. Many GLOFs result in high flows or in some cases even repeated drainage over a number of days. In this case only the last day or day of peak flood is reported, whichever can be ascertained. When the exact year of the event is not known, we provide a range or a latest possible point in time based on what the original source reported (Year approx) or when it was first visible on satellite imagery. For events we present here for the first time, but where an exact year is not recorded, we provide imagery IDs for Landsat images that allowed us to constrain the time of occurrence (Sat_evidence). Local names of lakes and glaciers are provided if available; these should however be used with caution for definite identification as often multiple names (or spellings) exist, and sometimes different lakes are called by the same name. Where available, the coordinates of the GLOF's source as well as the final impact location downstream are provided as these coordinates provide the potential to prepare hazard zonation maps and allow for studies investigating the actual reach of events and their impacts on downstream infrastructure, livelihoods, or ecosystems in order to analyse and evaluate associated risks. While coordinates for the source are relatively easy to establish and generally somewhere within the outline of a present or past lake, the final impact location is more difficult. We provide coordinates for the most downstream location where the flood was observed due to high flow or any recorded local impact (indicated in Impact_type as Observation) or, if such information is not given, the furthest location where any deposits are visible from Maxar satellite imagery (Deposit). For the latter case, it is hence likely that the flood reach estimate is conservative and has reached much further during the actual event. Elevation data are taken from the original source for the event and, if they are not provided, extracted from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) DEM, via the known coordinates. The type of lake (*Lake_type*), namely whether it is dammed by a moraine or ice or situated on bedrock or on top of (supraglacial) or inside a glacier (water pocket), is recorded, allowing for a distinction of patterns according to the source. We also record whether the GLOF is potentially of transboundary nature (Transboundary), i.e. whether the potential flow path would eventually cross a national border. It does not allow for a statement whether the GLOF has actually crossed the border and, irrespective of the date of the event, assumes national borders from 2022 as given. This information is of importance as transboundary climate risks have received increased attention, including GLOFs and other mass flows (Allen et al., 2022; Steiner et al., 2023). Location data including the country, province, river basin, and mountain region in which the source of the GLOF is located are inherently also provided via the coordi-

nates; however providing these data here allows for a fast aggregation of relevant data for stakeholders not familiar with GIS (geographic information system) data.

Information related to the GLOF event, i.e. the initial driver that caused the lake to form (Driver_lake) and the GLOF to occur (Driver_GLOF) and what mechanism was at play during the drainage event (Mechanism), offers important insights for both regional assessments of climate drivers of these events and indications for dedicated numerical modelling studies investigating drainage events. They are generally subject to great uncertainty or are simply not known at all (in both cases we refer to the variable as *unknown*) and often only known when the site of the lake was visited right after an event. Information on lake area (Area), volume drained during the flood (Volume), and flood discharge (Discharge_water and Discharge_solid) is provided from the original sources. This information is crucial for the planning, designing, and implementation of large-scale projects like hydroelectric power plants and other types of infrastructure, in order to ensure sustainable development. These values have sometimes been obtained by measurement and sometimes by rough estimate, and uncertainties likely vary across sites and are only rarely quantified (Kingslake and Ng, 2013; Veh et al., 2023). We finally report a variety of information on impacts on livelihoods and infrastructure aimed to conceptualize flood-induced coping mechanisms, enhance livelihood security, and foster self-reliance toward economic stability. This information is presented in quantitative as well as qualitative formats, enabling the database to be read by machines for regional assessments, while retaining information that may not be readily quantifiable. Information on fatalities is categorized by gender and disabilities, as disasters impact women and those with disabilities differently than men (see Zaidi and Fordham, 2021), and the Sendai framework on disaster risk reduction explicitly mentions the necessity of collecting "disaggregated data, including by sex, age and disability, as well as on easily accessible, up-to-date, comprehensible, science-based, non-sensitive risk information, complemented by traditional knowledge" (UNISDR, 2015). Differences in vulnerabilities have also been acknowledged in HMA (Resurrección et al., 2019), but studies in the domain of disaster risk reduction investigating gender dimensions remain rare (Halvorson, 2002; Thapa and Pathranarakul, 2019). These data are important for addressing gender inequality, cultural beliefs, and socio-economic factors, as well as advocating for the integration of gender perspectives into disaster risk management efforts. The lack of depth of data, i.e. when not more information is known than a total number of people killed, also provides evidence for data collection gaps that need to be addressed in future assessments. Impacts are also quantified through the total number of individual houses destroyed or damaged and any other infrastructure like roads or bridges impacted (Infra), hydropower infrastructure damaged or destroyed (Hydropower), agricultural land covered in deposits (Agriculture), and large livestock killed (*Livestock*). For the few cases for which records on total economic damage in monetary units exist, they are recorded separately (*Econ_damage*). Contrary to information on total fatalities, these data are less comprehensively recorded and remain likely incomplete at the time of writing. They provide however a potential indication for regional loss and damage assessments. Sources (scientific, media, oral) of all events are provided as full citations (*Ref_scientific_full*) or links to the newspaper sources (*Ref_other*).

GLOFs that have been found in other sources but were found not to be associated with lake drainage or breach are stored in a separate *.csv file (HMAGLOFDB_removed.csv) with the same format as described above. The file has an additional column that explains the reason for exclusion (*Removal_reason*). It also contains a certainty column (*Certainty*) that differentiates between cases where we are certain it is not a GLOF and cases where we cannot ascertain if it was one.

The database was developed keeping in mind interoperability with other datasets, enabling future local and regional risk assessments, as well as investigations on how the occurrence of GLOFs can be explained on a regional, rather than an individual level. Multiple datasets related to the cryosphere are readily accessible, including historic glacier outlines (Pfeffer, 2017; Soheb et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2023), elevation change (Brun et al., 2017; Hugonnet et al., 2021), glacial lakes (Chen et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020), and permafrost extents (Obu, 2021). These datasets can be easily combined with the GLOF inventory for comprehensive analysis. Beyond the coordinates of lakes and downstream impact, which allow for a combination with other spatial data, we also provide the GLIMS ID of the glacier (G_ID) feeding into the lake that resulted in a GLOF as well as the ID of glacial lakes (GL_ID) as recorded in lake inventories.

Future events will be updated directly in the development version of the database on GitHub on a rolling basis, where additions to the database will be visible as soon as they are updated. Each year when new events are reported, they will undergo a detailed quality check, including the documentation of information on impacts or processes that may become available weeks or months after the event through fieldwork or detailed investigations. A new version of the database will be published under the same database DOI, ensuring accurate and up-to-date information.

3 Results

Our database includes 697 individual GLOF events (Figs. 1, 2), recorded between 1833 (with 4 historic events before that date, where any validation of processes is virtually impossible) and 2022 (Fig. 3). A total of 7 % (46 of 697) of the reported GLOFs here have not been documented in any previous study. Conversely, 101 previously reported GLOFs were

removed based on new evidence to the contrary (17) or a lack of strong evidence (84) for it being a GLOF.

28.4% of the events in the database were recorded in China, 24.7% in Kyrgyzstan, 21% in Pakistan, 8.5% in India, 7.6% in Nepal, 4.9% in Kazakhstan, 2.9% in Bhutan, 1.6% in Tajikistan, and 0.6% in Afghanistan. Following the RGI delineation, 30% of the GLOFs were recorded in the Karakoram, 18% in the Eastern Himalaya, and 29% in the Western Tien Shan. Due to different choices in delineations, this looks considerably different for the HiMAP outlines where 28% are in the Karakoram, 17% in the Northern/Western Tien Shan, 14% in the Central Himalaya, and 10% in the Eastern Himalaya, outlining the sensitivity of statements regarding mountain ranges to the choice of delineation product.

For 325 events (47%) we know the month of occurrence, and, of these, 74% took place between June and August (Fig. 3b). Only 3% of all GLOFs were recorded between November and March. For 275 events (39%), the day of the GLOF is known, potentially allowing for an analysis of prevailing weather patterns preceding the outburst.

The mean elevation of individual lakes associated with a GLOF was 4598 m a.s.l. (336; min = 2562 m a.s.l., max = 5982 m a.s.l.). For the GLOFs where impacts were recorded (459; 66%), the mean elevation difference between the lake and the lowest recorded impact was 1161 m a.s.l. (min = 19 m a.s.l., max = 4431 m a.s.l.).

A total of 47% of GLOFs originated from morainedammed lakes, 34 % from ice-dammed lakes, and 10 % from supraglacial lakes (Fig. 1). Other types occurred infrequently, and particular glaciers were associated with repeated flood events, including englacial outbursts from water pockets, either deep in the ice or superficially around crevasses (3%); outbursts from bedrock lakes in periglacial terrain (1%); and outbursts from landslide dammed lakes that formed downstream of glaciers (0.4%). Multiple events in the Bagrot subbasin in the Upper Indus, as well as in the Ala Archa basin in Kazakhstan, may be indicative of drainage from water pockets, based on the nature of drainage and the lack of evidence for lakes on the glacier surface or the periglacial terrain, but local evidence is too uncertain to confirm this. For the Ala Archa basin, we have removed many cases, as even previous authors did not insist on all being GLOFs (Medeu et al., 2016). These events all occurred at the terminus of very steep glacier tongues, and some observed characteristics of these events (e.g. melt water to the base resulting in ice and terrain collapse) resemble glacier detachments (Kääb et al., 2018). However, the eventual runout as a debris flow with high water content is markedly different. For 4% of the cases, the lake type was unknown, especially for GLOFs for which no satellite imagery exists for validation.

Our knowledge of the mechanisms of how lakes are formed (known for 20 % of the cases), as well as the triggers (12 %) and failure mechanisms of the outburst (26 %), remains limited (Fig. 4). Even less is known about the drained

Table 1. Variables for the GLOF database, as documented in the metadata file. The format is either a string (STR, with * marking cases where only inputs from a prescribed list are possible) or an integer (INT). If an exact number is not available but is non-zero, a "+" is provided (e.g. "multiple injured"). "NA" is used for unknown input. Note that the metadata file itself provides further details on the individual variables as well as a discussion on naming.

Variable	Format	Description and unit
GF ID	INT	Unique identifier for each individual event, starting at 1
Year_approx	STR	Year of occurrence, given approximately if GLOF has been identified from imagery with no definite account
Year_exact	INT	Exact year of occurrence
Month	INT	Month of occurrence
Day	INT	Day of occurrence
Lake_name	STR	Local name of the lake, if available
Glacier_name	STR	Local name of the glacier associated with the lake, if available
GL_ID	STR	Unique identifier for the lake
G_ID	STR	Unique identifier for the glacier (GLIMS format) associated with the lake
LakeDB_ID	INT	Identifier in which lake database the lake has been mapped
Lat_lake	INT	Decimal degrees latitude of lake [°, WGS 84]
Lon_lake	INT	Decimal degrees longitude of lake [°, WGS 84]
Elev_lake	IN I INT	Elevation of lake [m a.s.l.]
Lat_impact	INT	Decimal degrees failude of lowest known impact of the GLOF [9, WGS 84]
Elev impact	INT	Elevation of lowest known impact of the GLOE [, wGS 64]
Impact type	STD*	Elevation of invest known impact of the OLOF [in a.s.t.] Quality of impact report where "Observation" refers to the lowest observed high flow or demages and "Deposit" refers to
impact_type	SIK	Quality of inpact technique to observation retests to the lower observed ingli now of damages, and Deposit retests to the lower visible denosit of sediments (from setellite imagery) Imnacts are therefore conservative and are likely always
		further downstream than recorded
Lake type	STR*	Type of lake (e.g. moraine-dammed, ice-dammed, and bedrock)
Transboundary	STR*	Denotes if impact is potentially transboundary
Repeat	STR*	GLOF that occurred again in past or future
Country	STR	Current national borders (2022) the source lake lies in
Region_RGI	STR	Region ID as used in the RGI 6.0 (Pfeffer, 2017)
Region_HiMAP	INT	Region ID as used in the HiMAP report (Bolch et al., 2019)
Province	STR	First-level province name
River basin	STR	River basin the lake is located in
Driver_lake	STR*	Driver that caused the lake to form
Driver_GLOF	STR*	Driver that caused the GLOF to occur
Mechanism	STR*	Mechanism involved in lake breach or drainage
Area	INT	Lake area [m ²]
Volume	INT	Volume of the drained lake water [m ³]
Discharge_water	INT	Measured or estimated water discharge downstream [m ³ s ⁻¹]
Discharge_solid	INT	Measured or estimated debris flow discharge downstream [m ³ s ⁻¹]
Impact	STR	Narrative description of downstream impacts
Lives_total	INT	Iotal lives lost
Lives_male	INT	Total male lives lost
Lives_lemale	INT	Total lives of people with disabilities lost
Injured total	INT	Total investor people with disabilities lost
Injured male	INT	Total males injured
Injured female	INT	Total females injured
Injured disabilities	INT	Total people with disabilities injured
Displaced_total	INT	Total people displaced
Displaced_male	INT	Total males displaced
Displaced_female	INT	Total female displaced
Displaced_disabilities	INT	Total people with disabilities displaced
Livestock	INT	Livestock lost
Residential_destroyed	INT	Number of residential houses destroyed
Commercial_destroyed	INT	Number of commercial houses destroyed
Residential_damaged	INT	Number of residential houses damaged
Commercial_damaged	INT	Number of commercial houses damaged
Infra	STR	Other destroyed infrastructure
Agricultural	INT	Area of farmland destroyed [m ⁻]
Fcon damage	IN I INT	Total economic damage [IISD]
Sat evidence	IINI STP	Iotal contonne uantage [USD] Image IDe used as satellite imagery evidence
Ref scientific	STR	Citation of scientific source
Ref scientific full	STR	Full references to scientific sources
Ref other	STR	Description of other sources
Remarks	STR	Any other remarks
Removal_reason	STR	Reason for removal (only for separate database of removed events)
Certainty	INT*	Certainty that the removed case is either definitely not a GLOF (0) or may be but was removed due to lack of evidence (1,
		only for separate database of removed events)



Figure 1. Overview map showing all recorded GLOFs in HMA according to the lake type. The 2018 lake inventory shown here is from Wang et al. (2020). The HMA outline used is following Bolch et al. (2019). The external lake database (WangDB, ChenDB) falls within this outline. The HKH outline is based on ICIMOD (https://rds.icimod.org/Home/DataDetail?metadataId=_3924, last access: 17 July 2023) and Nie et al. (2017).

total volume (m³, 15%), discharge of water (m³ s⁻¹, 10%), or discharge of solids (m³ s⁻¹, 3%), important variables for modelling studies. Additionally, these reported values are often associated with large uncertainties.

Of all GLOFs, two were located in an area where no glacier could be found anywhere upstream. However, they are located in areas that were most likely glaciated. A total of 22 GLOFs occurred below glaciers that were not mapped in RGI 6.0, showing the still considerable number of glaciers that are missed from this global inventory. Similarly, 10 GLOFs (at moraine-dammed lakes) were recorded where no lake was apparent in any satellite imagery. This is possible for GLOFs recorded before the satellite age. In 97 cases, a lake or depression was visible but not mapped in any of the inventories. In 196 cases (28%) the lake is ephemeral and hence not in any inventory, especially the case for icedammed or supraglacial lakes. Some ice-dammed lakes were in inventories if they were present at the time of the inventorization. However, when comparing these data to a GLOF, their area should be taken with caution, considering their rapid change with a change in meltwater availability.

A total of 25 GLOFs (4%) have 6906 recorded fatalities (Table 2), although 6000 were attributable to a single event in India in 2013, where fatalities were caused by a multitude of factors in a complex compound event (Allen et al., 2016). This latter event, until recently, accounted for nearly 50% of recorded global GLOF deaths (Carrivick and Tweed, 2016). Nearly all casualties have been attributable to GLOFs from moraine-dammed or supraglacial lakes, while no fatalities have been linked to ice-dammed lake breaches (Table 2); there may of course have been unrecorded impacts too (Hewitt and Liu, 2010). Numbers on injured or displaced remain rare but are likely much higher, especially considering people moving away, many in the months after an event due to the long-term negative impacts of damaged infrastructure. Nearly 2000 livestock were reported killed. More than 2200 residential and commercial buildings were reported destroyed or significantly damaged, and numerous bridges were destroyed. At least 71 km² of agricultural land was destroyed, and hydropower structures with a combined capacity of 164 MW were destroyed or heavily damaged. In only a few cases (13) were estimates of economic damages attempted in monetary units (USD 5.3 billion). These were limited to damages associated with the flooding and did not include considerations of the longer-term economic toll of damaged infrastructure, disablement, destroyed farmlands,



Figure 2. (a) Dig Tsho GLOF (1985; database GF_ID 322) in the Central Himalaya (Nepal) from a moraine-dammed lake. Note the settlements and agricultural land impacted in the lower reach of the deposit. The photo was taken in 2009 (photo credit: Sharad Joshi). (b) Bam Tanab GLOF in the Hindu Kush (Afghanistan) in 2021 from a supraglacial lake (GF_ID 510). The ice tunnel, through which the lake drained, is visible in the centre. Note the two people sitting on top of the ice cliff (yellow square). The photo was taken several days after the event (photo credit: Milad Dildar). (c) Ice tunnel exit at the terminus of the tributary to Badswat Glacier that caused a GLOF in 2018, eroding substantial amounts of the moraine in the immediate downstream (Karakoram, Pakistan, GF_ID 493; photo credit: Sher Wali). (d) Ice dam at Khurdopin Glacier caused by a surge. The terminus moraine is visible in light grey on the left, partly covered by the spillover ice from the surge. The lake at this location refills multiple times after the surge, sometimes up to half of the moraine height (note the water line is slightly visible from a change of colour, yellow arrows). Photo taken from the location where the lake drains under the surged ice (multiple events starting from GF_ID 26; photo credit: Sher Wali).

F. Shrestha et al.: A comprehensive GLOF database for HMA



Figure 3. (a) Temporal evolution of recorded GLOF occurrence per region and decade. (b) Seasonal occurrence of GLOFs. Months November to March are not shown, with total events < 5.

or the long-term impacts on accessibility of health, education, or market facilities due to impacts on transport infrastructure.

A total of 338 lakes were associated with 697 GLOFs, with 61 (18%) of the lakes causing a GLOF more than once, and the 17 (5%) lakes releasing GLOFs at least five times made up 43 % of all GLOFs (Table 3). The return period of some of these GLOFs is rapid, occurring repeatedly over consecutive years such as at Merzbacher or Khurdopin, while for others a GLOF may occur more than decades apart. In many cases, for ice-dammed lakes, this is coupled with the return period of the respective glacier surge. Many of these lakes have resulted in GLOFs until very recently, and we hence consider these active. Others, like the GLOFs at Chong Kumden (icedammed), are considered inactive as the tongue has receded so far that even during a surge it cannot block the valley anymore. For Salyk or Topkaragay (moraine-dammed), the tongue recession has presumably also resulted in a lack of direct meltwater supply to the local depression. While the three lakes draining most frequently (and all still active) are all ice-dammed, repeat GLOFs are common from all major types of glacial lakes (Table 3). However, repeat drainage is decidedly uncommon in the Himalaya or the Tibetan Plateau.

A total of 190 (27%) were potentially transboundary GLOFs; i.e. their flood could have crossed a border further downstream, 55 of which originated in China. Fewer than 10 of these GLOFs have however actually recorded impacts across borders (China to Nepal and Uzbekistan to Kyrgyzstan).

3.1 Uncertainty of individual variables

GLOFs often occur in remote areas, where reports are scant and confirming process chains and quantifying associated volumes and impacts is challenging. Here we discuss the uncertainties related to variables documented in this database. For many GLOFs (181; 26%) even the year of occurrence is uncertain, which is reflected in the database by either providing NA or a time window during which it must have happened. Days of occurrence (available for 39 % of the records) are often also different between reports on the same event, either due to erroneous records or the magnitude of certain GLOFs resulting in floodwaters reaching areas over more than 1 d. Any recorded day hence likely has an uncertainty of ± 3 d. Coordinates of the source lake are correct if provided; however, they do not reflect the exact location of the breach but any coordinate within the perimeter of the lake. Coordinates of the impact area are a lot more uncertain. If provided they show either the lowest location where deposits are still visible on Maxar imagery from 2021 (*Deposit*) or where high flow or damage was reported (Observation). Naturally, this is a conservative estimate, and high flows have likely reached thousands of metres further downstream, and with deposition or erosion occurring along the riverbed that may not be visible on imagery. Elevation values are generally retrieved via the coordinates from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM). Considering the steepness of the terrain across HMA, we expect an unknown uncertainty of the actual elevation of the source, and values should be considered an indication of the elevation range, rather than for example an exact input for a hydrodynamical model.

For a few events, the primary source is local informants, while for some events that have been reported in news articles or by other studies, local sources serve as a way of confirming the event and the associated recorded variables. While local sources have their own uncertainties, including misidentification of another mass flow event as a GLOF or misrepresentation of impacts to either gain attention for compensation (and hence exaggerating impacts) or dispelling concerns of potential tourists (hence underestimating impacts), they also can provide insights that other sources cannot. When the source lake is regularly visited due to agricultural activities or for leisure, locals are often able to make statements on what likely outburst mechanisms were or what caused the event in

Lake type/region	Pamir	Tien Shan	Tibet	Hindu Kush	Karakoram	Himalaya West/ Central	Himalaya East/ Hengduan Shan
Moraine-dammed	6/25	96/65	4/0	10/20	7/0	78/6236	129/454
Ice-dammed	4/0	86/0	0/0	0/0	144/0	0/0	0/0
Supraglacial	1/100	17/0	/0	3/0	26/1	19/0	6/0
Others/unknown	1/0	15/0	0/0	6/3	19/2	1/0	19/0
Total	12/125	214/65	4/0	19/23	196/3	98/6236	154/454

Table 2. Number of GLOFs per region and type of lake with associated fatalities (*N*/fatalities).

Table 3. Lakes with more than or equal to five recurring GLOFs in HMA.

Lake/glacier name	Lat (°)	Long	Elev (masl.)	Region	Outburst recurrence	Period of GLOFs	Lake type
	()	()	(1111.5.1.)		recurrence	GEOIS	
Merzbacher/Southern Inylshek	42.20	79.85	3271	Central Tien Shan	86	1902-2015	Ice-dammed
Khurdopin/Khurdopin	36.34	75.47	3482	Karakoram	37	1882-2021	Ice-dammed
Kyagar/Kyagar	35.68	77.19	4880	Karakoram	34	1880-2019	Ice-dammed
Unnamed/Aksay	42.53	74.54	3637	Northern/Western Tien Shan	30	1877-2015	Moraine-dammed
Unnamed/Kuturgansuu	42.52	74.61	3470	Northern/Western Tien Shan	17	1846-2010	Moraine-dammed
Unnamed/Chong Kumden	35.17	77.70	4691	Karakoram	14	1533-1934	Ice-dammed
Hassanabad/Shisper (both names for	36.39	74.51	3370	Karakoram	13	1894-2022	Ice-dammed
lake and glacier)							
Karambar/Karambar	36.62	74.08	2935	Karakoram	11	1844–1994	Ice-dammed
Unknown/Teztor	42.54	74.43	3606	Northern/Western Tien Shan	11	1910-2012	Moraine-dammed
Ghulkin/Ghulkin	36.42	74.88	2692	Karakoram	8	1980-2009	Supraglacial
Lake no. 6/glacier no.	43.14	77.28	3380	Northern/Western Tien Shan	8	1973-2014	Supraglacial
182/Bezymyannyi/TEU-Severny							
Unnamed/Halji	30.27	81.48	5347	Central Himalaya	6	2004-2011	Supraglacial
Unnamed/Salyk	42.52	74.72	3390	Northern/Western Tien Shan	6	1938-1980	Moraine-dammed
Unnamed/Topkaragay	42.49	74.52	3680	Northern/Western Tien Shan	6	1928-1993	Moraine-dammed
Unnamed/Central Rimo	35.42	77.61	5100	Karakoram	5	1976-2014	Ice-dammed
Unnamed/Batura	36.51	74.85	2713	Karakoram	5	1873-1974	Supraglacial
Unnamed/North Terong	35.25	77.31	4400	Karakoram	5	1975–2002	Ice-dammed

the first place. Observations on the discharge hydrograph, especially whether the discharge peak arrived rapidly or gradually, provide indications on the type of drainage mechanism.

When reporting areas of lakes associated with GLOFs, we refer to the reported value provided in the respective studies. For the few events we report here for the first time and if satellite imagery is available a few days before the event, we make estimates based on that imagery. Lakes linked to GLOFs often exhibit rapid areal changes in the weeks and days prior to eventual failure of the dam or opening of a tunnel. This is especially true for ephemeral ice-dammed lakes (Muhammad et al., 2021; Round et al., 2017; Steiner et al., 2018). Therefore, the lake area mapped many weeks or even months before a drainage event is not necessarily a good proxy for the actual water volume that drained. We report estimated drained volumes, and sometimes even estimates of discharge exist. However, the accuracy of such estimates is impossible to verify, and measurements during GLOFs are rarely possible (Muhammad et al., 2021). Rating curves in fast-changing riverbeds are unlikely to be accurate. Such data should hence be considered as first-order indications of magnitude rather than accurate representations of actual discharge.

Estimates on impacts are conservative. Based on our observations from fieldwork and the concurrent reporting of hazards, media coverage often overlooks reports from remote villages, and numbers of mortalities or valuations of infrastructure impacted are prone to deflation or inflation as a result of inaccurate transmission of information or wilful tampering with data. This can happen for various reasons, as evidenced through fieldwork across the region. The government not reporting impacts or making them look smaller than they are can be favourable as this would otherwise provide arguments for becoming more active in mitigation response, which they may not be able or willing to do. Reports on high impacts are often also perceived as damaging to tourism and hence discouraged. In light of financial reparations, either from the national to the local governments or communities or by the global community to a country, over-reporting of impacts is naturally preferred. If impacts in doubtful cases could not be verified by additional sources, they were not reported. Reported data from scientific literature were dismissed in rare



Figure 4. Different drivers that caused the lake to form (139) (**a**) and caused GLOFs to occur (84) (**b**) and mechanisms involved in lake breach/drainage (178) (**c**). "Glacier melt" refers simply to melt water provision from any adjacent glacier, irrespective of their state of retreat, advance, or stability. *T*, *P*, and WT+ stand for reported temperature, precipitation, and water table and temperature increases, respectively. Mass movements include ice and rock avalanches as well as landslides, debris flows, and other flood events. Moraine collapse is most often characterized by ice core thawing. "Seepage/overtopping" also includes piping through the dam.

cases where for example a GLOF was reported twice within 2 d, and it was likely that this happened due to erroneous reporting dates.

4 Discussion

In the section below, we discuss the potential of the GLOF database for comparisons with existing and future data sources related to regional assessments and investigations of individual events. We then conclude by comparing the database to previous efforts and address its inherent limitations.

4.1 Temporal and spatial trends

The discussion on whether GLOFs are increasing with a changing climate trend has been dealt with elsewhere in detail (Harrison et al., 2018; Veh et al., 2022). Here, we only provide a brief discussion that stems from the compilation of the data, and that is crucial when using databases of hazard events in remote areas like mountains. As we rely on different source types, namely previous academic publications, news and technical reports, satellite imagery, and local knowledge from involvement in fieldwork in numerous locations across HMA, we are able to complement the deficiency any single one of these approaches may have and provide some perspectives on trends that may be due to observational bias. Figure 3a shows a clear and rapid increase of recorded events in the Tien Shan from the mid-20th century, with a subsequent decrease in the 1990s. There is little indication for this being climate-related, and we hypothesize this is a function of increased visibility thanks to the extensive efforts of scientists at the end of the Soviet era to monitor debris flows (and as a consequence related GLOFs), which died down as the central Asian states became independent. This aligns exactly with the development and subsequent demise of cryosphere monitoring in the area between 1950 and 1990 (Hoelzle et al., 2019). Medeu et al. (2019) on the other hand argue, that at least for Kazakhstan, a decrease in debris flows from glacial sources can be attributed to the successful monitoring and lowering of lakes in the region since the late 1990s. Conversely, for the Karakoram, there are many peaks in observed events starting at the turn of the 19th century. This may be explained either by surge cycles occurring around the same time, resulting in ephemeral ice-dammed lakes, or the piqued interest of the British Empire around the turn of the century in the region during the Great Game (most reports on GLOFs stem from British officers stationed in the region; see Hewitt and Liu, 2010). Interest decreased until independence and finally grew again towards the end of the century, as seen in other areas with increased infrastructure development, starting with the construction of the Karakoram Highway between the 1960s and 1970s (Kreutzmann, 1991) and the arrival of media in remote areas. There is a relatively consistent increase in reported events in the Hindu Kush and the Himalaya region, though the total number of events is much lower (i.e. < 1 event yr⁻¹ for a whole region). We believe that our records of events have also increased due to an increase in interest in the topic in the region (Emmer et al., 2022), which has led to more detailed documentation of individual events by various scientists.

The seasonal patterns (Fig. 3b) are instructive as they show a clear earlier peak in the year for the Himalaya region, a more stretched-out peak in the Karakoram, and a shift in the Tien Shan, influenced by westerlies rather than the South Asian monsoon, providing an indication for the potential of using such a dataset to investigate the importance of regional precipitation patterns for drivers of outburst floods.

The database records four GLOF events in Afghanistan, three of which were recorded for the first time in this database, with 15 fatalities and more than 300 houses destroyed, and one from a previous publication that was only based on geomorphic evidence with no recorded impacts. This puts the country on the map with respect to GLOF hazards and emphasizes the importance of local data for accurate assessments, considering that these events occurring between 2013 and 2021 remained previously unnoticed in literature. Due to the lakes being located on a debris-covered surface draining englacially, hence leaving no clear evidence of a dam breach, potentially visible from satellite imagery, they also constitute examples that remain difficult to identify, with automated approaches relying on satellite imagery only.

4.2 Comparisons to other regional data

Combining the lake and GLOF inventories allows for a comparison of topographic as well as meteorological conditions which may have contributed to the occurrence of GLOFs and those that did not. This is useful for the identification of potentially dangerous glacial lakes (Ahmed et al., 2022; Ashraf et al., 2021, 2012; Bajracharya et al., 2020; Bolch et al., 2008; Duan et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022b). The evaluation of meteorological conditions would require an in-depth discussion of the respective datasets and wider synoptic conditions, attempted previously for mudflows (Mamadjanova et al., 2018). The database suggests that mass movements in the lake's vicinity, as well as intense precipitation immediately preceding the GLOF, are important drivers (Fig. 4b), but only 12 % of all entries record any driver, and they are generally only based on local assessments that may not provide the definite reason. As quality-controlled reanalysis data become more readily available at the kilometre scale for HMA (Wang et al., 2021), more comprehensive analysis of climate drivers becomes possible and would elucidate which climate variables are crucial to understand with respect to a changing climate in order to anticipate changes in GLOF occurrence.

A comparison to already established inventories of glacial lakes is more straightforward. Figure 5 shows elevational and area statistics of lakes from two different inventories (Chen et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020). While both inventories rely on Landsat data for identification, one follows a manual approach in identifying the outlines in 1990 and 2018 (Wang et al., 2020, henceforth referred to as WANGDB), while the second delineates automatically at the 30 m pixel resolution (Chen et al., 2021; CHENDB), allowing for decadal data between 2008 and 2017 but a coarser resolution of outlines. Both inventories include lakes from different time steps, and we consider a lake that is present in more than one time step at once at whatever earliest year it appears. The GLOF database allows us to quickly visualize that, while lakes follow a unimodal distribution over altitude similar for both approaches of delineation, GLOFs are bimodally distributed with peaks above 2500 and 4000 m a.s.l. (Fig. 5a). This provides a clear indication of lakes at lower elevation being relatively more susceptible to an outburst but no clear decrease in the risk with elevation. Of the 339 lakes that resulted in GLOFs, 99 (29%) appear in inventories at one point, and we hence have an indication of their size (Fig. 5b). While CHENDB covers more time steps, the minimum lake area it can detect (8100 m^2) is nearly twice as large as for WANGDB (4600 m²). Hence only 9 out of the 99 lakes with area data that resulted in GLOFs appear in WANGDB. On top of the many lakes that do not appear in inventories at all (71%) due to their ephemeral nature or being present before the coverage of inventories, the possibility that potentially dangerous glacial lakes are completely missed by lake inventories relying on satellite imagery hence needs to be considered.

4.3 GLOF paths

Records of the extent of GLOF events (459) allow for an evaluation of GLOF paths (Fig. 6). Exploiting other spatial datasets, this can help in evaluating possible drivers as well as impacts. Repeat spatial products related to the cryosphere available in HMA include decadal glacier outlines (He and Zhou, 2022; Lee et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2023) and distributed data on ice mass loss (Brun et al., 2017; Hugonnet et al., 2021), permafrost probability (Obu, 2021), and snow cover (Muhammad and Thapa, 2021). Such datasets can be compared with recorded GLOF events and lakes that have not resulted in GLOFs to evaluate potential drivers. The hazard of a GLOF is closely associated with moraine stability, which is linked to the immediate history of glacier retreat in the area. Areas of recent permafrost change are likely also more susceptible to mass movements, which have already been identified as important drivers of GLOF events (Fig. 4). A rapid increase in local temperature is often recorded as a reason for GLOFs occurring (Fig. 4), but to date no studies exist that establish a definite link between increased melt from either ice or snow that eventually results in the drainage of a lake or failure of a dam.

Downstream, distributed datasets on infrastructure, population, or ecosystems allow for assessments of impacts and vulnerabilities (Fig. 6). Coupling of GLOF paths with distributed population data (Thornton et al., 2022) would allow for the computation of people potentially impacted, coupled with remotely sensed vegetation and agriculture data, which would allow for estimates of local economic and ecological impacts. GLOF paths could also be used to develop hazard zonation maps, as is already standard practice for avalanches in many regions.

For GLOFs where the location of the lake and the lowest recorded evidence of the outburst flood (either reported flood or observed debris deposits), we are able to calculate reach angles, i.e. the elevation difference over the distance of the flood path between start and end point (Fig. 7). Current studies estimating the future exposure of downstream infrastructure and livelihoods to potentially dangerous glacial lakes are based on estimated runouts (Schwanghart et al., 2016; Taylor et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2021a), without the ability to rely on actual expected reach. While a definite prediction of any future GLOF reach will never be possible, and even detailed numerical studies of individual events are sensitive to



Figure 5. (a) Elevational distribution of unique lakes recorded in databases, covering 2 years, 1990 and 2018 (WANGDB, Wang et al., 2020), and 10 years, between 2008 and 2017 (CHENDB, Chen et al., 2021), compared to only those lakes that resulted in GLOFs (GLOFs). (b) Lake areas of unique lakes in the same databases as well as specifically for the lakes that resulted in GLOFs, where available.



Figure 6. Extracted GLOF path for one event (GF_ID 651), showing the RGI 6.0 outline, the possible glacier extent during the little ice age (Lee et al., 2021), and probability of permafrost occurrence, with high values suggesting a likely and low values a less likely occurrence of permafrost (permafrost zonation index (PZI), Obu, 2021). Shape files of roads are taken from OpenStreetMap (OSM), and residential and agricultural areas are mapped from Maxar imagery. The figure can be directly created in the available R code from the database for any event.

small changes in topography (Muhammad et al., 2021; Westoby et al., 2014), the data presented here allow future studies to apply ranges of a potentially likely reach, depending on glacier size and path topography. With a median runout



Figure 7. Reach angles (*Fahrböschung*) for all GLOF events with recorded downstream impact location (459). Black dots are events where no recorded lake areas exist. Shaded from yellow to red are GLOFs for which some record of lake area exists (either from the original source or a lake database), which may not necessarily be the lake area just before the event. In light blue, records from Kääb et al. (2021) are shown, which largely relate to glacier detachments or historic large-scale debris flows and GLOFs.

length of 6.1 km (mean $\mu = 32$ km, biased towards a few events of exceptional reach; Fig. 7 inset) and a median elevation drop of 1036 m ($\mu = 1126$ m), the mean reach angle is 0.14 ($\sigma = 0.09$; 8°). Nearly all of the events markedly cluster below a reach angle of 0.27 (15°, Fig. 7), considerably shallower than large-scale mass flow events like glacier detachments (Kääb et al., 2021). For comparable drop heights GLOFs hence travel considerably further than glacier detachments, but the data also suggest a limit in reach that can provide an upper bound when assessing the potential reach of lakes at risk of an outburst in future. While it would be possible to establish lake volumes from lake areas (Cook and Quincey, 2015), the inherent uncertainties in these approaches and the discrepancy between the date of lake mapping and of the GLOF event result in large overall uncertainties when trying to establish a link between lake volumes and potential reach of GLOFs. We therefore only use areas from the available inventories or mapped areas from just before the event as a proxy (Fig. 7). Lakes with an area larger than 10^5 m^2 (123) result in median reach angles of 0.16 (9.0°) and lakes smaller (107) in slightly steeper angles of 0.17 (9.8°), suggesting that the size of a GLOF holds some potential for projecting its reach, apart from the many other influencing factors.

4.4 Downstream impacts

Excluding the single Kedarnath event in 2013, wherein 6000 people were reportedly killed by a combination of other hazards including but not limited to the GLOF, 906 people were reported to be killed directly by 24 GLOFs. This number is 3 times higher than the previous record of 300 (Carrivick and Tweed, 2016). Only considering the time until 2005 to cover the same periods, our database still records 854 fatalities. Only 25 % (54) of all recorded events (216) in the region had previously recorded any impact (Carrivick and Tweed, 2016), while our database now has impacts on livelihoods or infrastructure noted for 149 events (21%). Comparing this number to other mountain hazards in the region is difficult, as data are rare. Snow and ice avalanches (excluding mountaineering accidents) in the same region have resulted in more than 3000 fatalities, with records only starting in the 1970s (Acharya et al., 2023). Landslide fatalities for countries entirely in HMA suggest 67 deaths in Afghanistan, 50 in Bhutan, 809 in Nepal, and 75 in Tajikistan between 2004 and 2010 (Petley, 2012), suggesting this hazard to be considerably more dangerous to human life.

Impacts beyond fatalities are often recorded, but socioeconomic valuations are lacking. In the few individual events with valuations of damage by the immediate event, this is typically focused on the value of expensive national infrastructure like hydropower projects. The value of agricultural land and residential or commercial buildings or long-term damage due to damage to road, health, or education infrastructure is rarely assessed. Infrastructure datasets that allow for a rapid assessment of damage are generally not available. Such an assessment was previously attempted for GLOFs globally (Carrivick and Tweed, 2016). However, a more thorough discussion of available impact datasets for HMA is required. Many of these data are available in reports prepared for disaster response (e.g. for the Upper Indus basin, both Afghanistan and Pakistan, prepared by AKAH or UNDP, Ashraf et al., 2015, and Gohar, 2014, or Kazakhstan prepared by scientists from local universities, Medeu et al., 2016) but have so far not been compiled in a standardized manner.

A few GLOF events have now been recorded already that have reached urban (e.g. GF_ID 131 south of Almaty, where

dam structures were erected as adaptation measures) and semi-urban (e.g. GF_ID 515 north of Melamchi) space. As more and more infrastructure is being built in upstream areas and close to river channels, vulnerabilities are expected to increase. Future studies should investigate potential flood impacts on built-up areas as well as hydropower infrastructure, which often require different model setups than for environments with infrastructure only including roads and single houses (Fischer et al., 2022).

While nearly one-third of all GLOFs could potentially be transboundary (i.e. the downstream path eventually crosses a current national border), fewer than 10 events have resulted in confirmed transboundary impacts. While a lot of attention has been given to transboundary climate risks in the recent past, our data suggest that it may be less the actual flood wave that is of transboundary concern and more the associated impacts in one country (e.g. road disruptions with impacts on trade) that could have knock-on effects across borders, including a disruption of trade and access to healthcare or education (Steiner et al., 2023).

Mass flow events like GLOFs have been previously identified as an important part of the sediment balance in mountain rivers (Cook et al., 2018), with potential implications for landscape formation as well as hazards (Li et al., 2022). The database does include recorded values of discharge of water (72; $\mu = 3248 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$; min: 3.3–max: 21 300 m³ s⁻¹) as well as sediment (19; $\mu = 620 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$; 25–2000 m³ s⁻¹), but those observations are already associated with large uncertainties. An appraisal of the existing data and estimates of drained volumes based on satellite imagery from before and after the GLOF events could provide an approach to estimate the role this large number of GLOFs plays in overall sediment fluxes and could be a focus of future research.

4.5 Comparison to previous inventories and limitations

The most comprehensive databases of GLOFs covering HMA were produced by Veh et al. (2019a), focusing on moraine-dammed lakes exclusively but identifying many previously unidentified events. Zheng et al. (2021b) also identified many events that had hitherto gone unidentified. Both studies have been consulted for this study. A total of 144 GLOFs in this inventory (21 % of the total) were previously only reported in Zheng et al. (2021b) and 15 (2%) in Veh et al. (2019a). We also followed the approach of Zheng et al. (2021b) to question any previous records and keep a separate record of events that were initially identified as GLOFs but turned out not to be or cannot be ascertained to be. Future studies should investigate events we have identified as outbursts from water pockets (22 cases as well as potentially more in what we have discarded as GLOFs in a separate database), to better understand the processes involved. None of these water pockets are visible before any event, and posterior evidence of their existence is limited to vast subglacial channels that appeared (e.g. Fig. 2c) as well as apparent rapid lowering of glacier surfaces but sometimes simply the observation of previously filled crevasses at the snout where a debris flow suddenly emerged. In Switzerland, these types of outburst floods have been earlier estimated to account for 30 to 40 % of all glacier floods (Haeberli, 1983), but detailed investigations are limited to one especially disastrous case dating back to the 19th century (Vincent et al., 2015). All events in HMA originated at the terminus of relatively steep glacier tongues and may have some similarity in genesis to glacier detachments (Kääb et al., 2021, 2018), but runout properties - fast debris flows with no visible fraction of ice – are distinctly different. More comprehensive investigations into these cases will be required in future. Veh et al. (2022), who conducted a global study that also covers the region, already provided an accessible database though a considerably smaller number of recorded events (459; 11 events in our database were sourced from this database).

A large motivation for GLOF studies has been the question of whether GLOFs have been increasing in the recent past with a change in climate. Recent global and regional studies on moraine-dammed lakes have shown that there is no apparent trend but suggest a possible lag that may eventually result in an increase in such events (Harrison et al., 2018; Veh et al., 2019a). A recent global study investigating all types of outbursts suggests a weak coupling of temperature rise and GLOF frequency (Veh et al., 2022). We can confirm findings from Veh et al. (2022) that currently available records likely do not cover all GLOFs that have actually occurred. The fact that we still overlook past events and the strong regional variability seem to make it challenging to find definite climate relations, and any such statements should be treated with caution. For some individual lakes that have a documented history of draining since the end of the 19th century (e.g. Hassanabad/Shisper, Kyagar, Merzbacher, Karambar), no apparent trend is visible, while damage has likely increased due to increased exposure of infrastructure in high mountain areas (Li et al., 2022). Hotspots where ice-dammed lake outbursts have repeatedly occurred have, in some cases, even completely ceased to exist as the glacier tongues have receded so far that they cannot dam the main valley anymore (e.g. the Shyok glaciers). This is in line with a recent global study finding a decrease in extreme outburst floods from ice dammed lakes (Veh et al., 2023).

The attention that GLOFs in general have received in the region in the past (see Emmer et al., 2022, for a comparison of events versus actual studies globally, with a notable discrepancy for the Himalaya) has possibly led to the misidentification of GLOF events. Flash floods and debris flows where the source remains unclear are often immediately recorded as GLOFs in media (especially in the Western Himalaya, the Karakoram, and Hindu Kush), as this generally gives it more attention than the term "debris flow" would. Conversely, due to a lack of records in unpopulated areas, many GLOFs may have gone unrecorded (Veh et al., 2022; Zheng et al., 2021b), as confirmed in this study. What remains poorly documented

so far is the impacts in numerous dimensions. Not only are direct impacts poorly recorded in accessible format, but also secondary medium- and long-term effects remain missing from the literature. People injured (qualitatively and quantitatively), people displaced temporarily and permanently, gender, and age group of people affected are rarely reported. Effects on mental health have been recently reported, but no studies exist in scientific literature (Ebrahim, 2022). With high rates of both erosion and deposition, GLOFs can cause damage to agricultural land as well as infrastructure for years after the actual event, eventually causing damage from the remaining devaluation of land or lack of access to health facilities, markets, or education. Information on the severity of deposits would be needed for actual economic impacts and is crucial to further contextualize GLOFs in loss and damage frameworks (Huggel et al., 2019). To this end, it is crucial that future efforts rely on datasets that are transparent and accessible to all stakeholders to make decisions on adaptation that are targeted and sustainable.

5 Data availability

The GLOF database published under is https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7271187 (Steiner and Shrestha, 2023). The code to process data as well as the development version, where new events are added regularly, is available on GitHub (https://github.com/fidelsteiner/HMAGLOFDB, last access: 1 September 2023). Events added in the development version will be quality-checked before they are retained in further releases published on Zenodo. To provide an accessible version of the data to non-academic stakeholders, the database is also visualized on an interactive map accessible from the README file in the published database as well as the development version.

6 Conclusions

In this study, we present a comprehensive compilation of GLOF events in High Mountain Asia from the mid-19th century until 2022. The inventory is machine-readable and version-controlled and will be updated as information on new events become available in future. It includes basic information on time and location, processes involved, and impacts and is linked to other inventories of glacial lakes and glaciers, allowing for future investigations into drivers of outburst floods. Of 697 individual events, 47 % have a known month of occurrence, allowing investigations into seasonality, and 39 % have a recorded day of drainage, allowing future investigations of prevailing weather preceding the event. A total of 52 % of all GLOFs can be associated with a lake mapped in at least one glacial lake inventory and 95 % to a mapped glacier, allowing for straightforward analysis on how upstream glacier mass loss and lake area change influences the occurrence of outburst events. We have a good overview of what type of lakes were the source, revealing that large regional differences exist, with sparingly few ice-dammed lakes in the Himalaya and only very few moraine-dammed lakes in the Karakoram linked to GLOFs. The mechanisms of lake formation or drainage are documented for only very few events. Volumes of floods are not only seldom documented but also highly uncertain. However, the combination with lake inventories allows for approximate estimates, with lake area changes as a proxy. With a record of minimal potential reach of GLOF events in 66 % of all cases we can show that GLOF events generally do not exceed reach angles of 15° (and events with higher volumes even less), information that can be useful for future hazard mapping.

Our dataset suggests that 906 deaths were directly associated with a GLOF event, 3 times as many as previously reported for the region. Compared to other mountain hazards in the region, GLOFs however have caused a relatively small loss of human life. Other impacts however remain relatively poorly documented.

Of all events recorded here, 7 % had never been mentioned in literature before, coming from local and oral information rather than other academic publications. Our study emphasizes the importance of considering all types of sources and acknowledges the high likelihood that our current records of GLOFs probably remain an underestimation of actual events. Conversely, we also emphasize the importance of cross-checking sources carefully, as mass flow events in the region have been previously mistakenly recorded as GLOFs without sufficient examination. While this is the first GLOF inventory to comprehensively address downstream impacts, much of this information has never been recorded. Injuries are rarely recorded, nor is the monetary value of damaged property or the long-term economic effect of damaged infrastructure. Future studies should evaluate methods to estimate damages rapidly, which will require more interdisciplinary approaches including social scientists for field assessments and economists for ways to upscale risk and damage assessments. Further focus should also be given to documenting local and indigenous knowledge on GLOF hazards, which could reveal impacts that so far have been overlooked.

As our understanding of the changing cryosphere in HMA is ever increasing, and with the expansion of our understanding of topics such as snowmelt and permafrost, future studies should attempt to combine inventories of lakes and GLOFs with potential processes even further upstream that may result in cascading hazards in future. Such issues are expected to increase in future. Other hazards should ideally also be documented in formats that make it possible to pair this dataset with already existing inventories for regional studies and modelling attempts.

Author contributions. FS conceived of the study and compiled and analysed the database with JFS. FS and JFS wrote the manuscript. RS, YD, SPJ, and SI helped with the initial compilation of the database. AA and SW helped with compilation of data from the Indus basin, KMW helped with data from Afghanistan and central Asia, and TZ provided input from Chinese events. All authors contributed to the final version of the paper.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. The views and interpretations in this publication are those of the authors and are not necessarily attributable to ICIMOD.

Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Acknowledgements. This study is supported by ICIMOD's Action Area A on managing cryosphere and water risks under Strategic Group 1: Reducing climate and environmental risks. Action Area A works to address the challenges posed by climate change and cryosphere- and water-related hazards in the Hindu Kush Himalayan region. ICIMOD and its regional member countries – Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, China, India, Myanmar, Nepal, and Pakistan – gratefully acknowledge the support of Norway and Switzerland for funding the activity for this research. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of Prabhat Shrestha and Narayan Thapa in the development of an interactive dashboard for the database. We are grateful to Ethan Welty and two anonymous reviewers, who helped in considerably improving the manuscript.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (grant no. 1-AAA-00-315-P).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Katrin Lindbäck and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Acharya, A., Steiner, J. F., Walizada, K. M., Ali, S., Zakir, Z. H., Caiserman, A., and Watanabe, T.: Review article: Snow and ice avalanches in high mountain Asia – scientific, local and indigenous knowledge, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 23, 2569–2592, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-23-2569-2023, 2023.
- Ahmed, R., Ahmad, S. T., Wani, G. F., Mir, R. A., Almazroui, M., Bansal, J. K., and Ahmed, P.: Glacial lake changes and the identification of potentially dangerous glacial lakes (PDGLs) under warming climate in the Dibang River Basin, Eastern Himalaya, India, Geocarto Int., 1–27, https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2022.2134461, 2022.
- Allen, S. K., Rastner, P., Arora, M., Huggel, C., and Stoffel, M.: Lake outburst and debris flow disaster at Kedarnath, June 2013: hydrometeorological triggering and topographic predisposition,

3957

Landslides, 13, 1479–1491, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-015-0584-3, 2016.

- Allen, S. K., Zhang, G., Wang, W., Yao, T., and Bolch, T.: Potentially dangerous glacial lakes across the Tibetan Plateau revealed using a large-scale automated assessment approach, Sci. Bull., 64, 435–445, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2019.03.011, 2019.
- Ashraf, A., Naz, R., and Roohi, R.: Glacial lake outburst flood hazards in Hindukush, Karakoram and Himalayan Ranges of Pakistan: implications and risk analysis, Geomat. Nat. Haz. Risk, 3, 113–132, https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2011.615344, 2012.
- Ashraf, A., Naz, R., Mustafa, N., Iqbal, M. B., Ahmad, B., Jawad, A., and Ahmad, I.: Updating GLOF lake inventory of Northern Pakistan and establishment of community based early warning system in Bagrot and Bondo Gol valleys (Technical Report), Pakistan Agricultural Research Council (PARC), Islamabad, Pakistan, 2015.
- Ashraf, A., Iqbal, M. B., Mustafa, N., Naz, R., and Ahmad, B.: Prevalent risk of glacial lake outburst flood hazard in the Hindu Kush–Karakoram–Himalaya region of Pakistan, Environ Earth Sci., 80, 451, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-021-09740-1, 2021.
- Awal, R., Nakagawa, H., Fujita, M., Kawaike, K., Baba, Y., and Zhang, H.: Experimental Study on Glacial Lake Outburst Floods Due to Waves Overtopping and Erosion of Moraine Dam, Ann. Disaster Prev. Res. Inst., 53, 583–594, 2010.
- Bajracharya, S. R., Maharjan, S. B., Shrestha, F., Sherpa, T. C., Wagle, N., and Shrestha, A. B.: Inventory of glacial lakes and identification of potentially dangerous glacial lakes in the Koshi, Gandaki, and Karnali river basins of Nepal, the Tibet Autonomous Region of China, and India, ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), https://doi.org/10.53055/ICIMOD.773, 2020.
- Bhattacharya, A., Bolch, T., Mukherjee, K., King, O., Menounos, B., Kapitsa, V., Neckel, N., Yang, W., and Yao, T.: High Mountain Asian glacier response to climate revealed by multi-temporal satellite observations since the 1960s, Nat. Commun., 12, 4133, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24180-y, 2021.
- Blischak, J. D., Davenport, E. R., and Wilson, G.: A Quick Introduction to Version Control with Git and GitHub, PLOS Comput. Biol., 12, e1004668, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004668, 2016.
- Bolch, T., Buchroithner, M. F., Peters, J., Baessler, M., and Bajracharya, S.: Identification of glacier motion and potentially dangerous glacial lakes in the Mt. Everest region/Nepal using spaceborne imagery, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 8, 1329– 1340, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-8-1329-2008, 2008.
- Bolch, T., Shea, J. M., Liu, S., Azam, F. M., Gao, Y., Gruber, S., Immerzeel, W. W., Kulkarni, A., Li, H., Tahir, A. A., Zhang, G., and Zhang, Y.: Status and Change of the Cryosphere in the Extended Hindu Kush Himalaya Region, in: The Hindu Kush Himalaya Assessment: Mountains, Climate Change, Sustainability and People, edited by: Wester, P., Mishra, A., Mukherji, A., and Shrestha, A. B., Springer, Cham, 209–255, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92288-1_7, 2019.
- Brun, F., Berthier, E., Wagnon, P., Kääb, A., and Treichler, D.: A spatially resolved estimate of High Mountain Asia glacier mass balances from 2000 to 2016, Nat. Geosci., 10, 668–673, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2999, 2017.

- Carrivick, J. L. and Tweed, F. S.: Proglacial lakes: character, behaviour and geological importance, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 78, 34– 52, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.07.028, 2013.
- Carrivick, J. L. and Tweed, F. S.: A global assessment of the societal impacts of glacier outburst floods. Global Planet. Change, 144, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.07.001, 2016.
- Chen, F., Zhang, M., Guo, H., Allen, S., Kargel, J. S., Haritashya, U. K., and Watson, C. S.: Annual 30 m dataset for glacial lakes in High Mountain Asia from 2008 to 2017, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 741–766, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-741-2021, 2021.
- Cook, K. L., Andermann, C., Gimbert, F., Adhikari, B. R., and Hovius, N.: Glacial lake outburst floods as drivers of fluvial erosion in the Himalaya, Science, 362, 53–57, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat4981, 2018.
- Cook, S. J. and Quincey, D. J.: Estimating the volume of Alpine glacial lakes, Earth Surf. Dynam., 3, 559–575, https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-3-559-2015, 2015.
- Duan, H., Yao, X., Zhang, D., Qi, M., and Liu, J.: Glacial Lake Changes and Identification of Potentially Dangerous Glacial Lakes in the Yi'ong Zangbo River Basin, Water, 12, 538, https://doi.org/10.3390/w12020538, 2020.
- Dwiwedi, S. K., Acharya, M. D., and Simard, R.: The Tam Pokhari Glacier Lake outburst flood of 3 September 1998, J. Nepal Geol. Soc., 22, 539–546, 2000.
- Ebrahim, Z.: Climate disasters trigger mental health crisis in Pakistan's mountains, The Third Pole, http://globeistan.com/?p= 101216 (last access: 10 January 2022), 2022.
- Emmer, A. and Cochachin, A.: The causes and mechanisms of moraine-dammed lake failures in the Cordillera Blanca, North American Cordillera, and Himalayas, Auc. Geogr., 48, 5–15, https://doi.org/10.14712/23361980.2014.23, 2013.
- Emmer, A., Allen, S. K., Carey, M., Frey, H., Huggel, C., Korup, O., Mergili, M., Sattar, A., Veh, G., Chen, T. Y., Cook, S. J., Correas-Gonzalez, M., Das, S., Diaz Moreno, A., Drenkhan, F., Fischer, M., Immerzeel, W. W., Izagirre, E., Joshi, R. C., Kougkoulos, I., Kuyakanon Knapp, R., Li, D., Majeed, U., Matti, S., Moulton, H., Nick, F., Piroton, V., Rashid, I., Reza, M., Ribeiro de Figueiredo, A., Riveros, C., Shrestha, F., Shrestha, M., Steiner, J., Walker-Crawford, N., Wood, J. L., and Yde, J. C.: Progress and challenges in glacial lake outburst flood research (2017–2021): a research community perspective, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 3041–3061, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-22-3041-2022, 2022.
- Fischer, M., Brettin, J., Roessner, S., Walz, A., Fort, M., and Korup, O.: Rare flood scenarios for a rapidly growing high-mountain city: Pokhara, Nepal, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 3105– 3123, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-22-3105-2022, 2022.
- Furian, W., Maussion, F., and Schneider, C.: Projected 21st-Century Glacial Lake Evolution in High Mountain Asia, Front. Earth Sci., 10, 821798, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.821798, 2022.
- Gardelle, J., Arnaud, Y., and Berthier, E.: Contrasted evolution of glacial lakes along the Hindu Kush Himalaya mountain range between 1990 and 2009, Global Planet. Change, 75, 47–55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.10.003, 2011.
- Gohar, A.: Pre-intervention Survey on Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) in the GLOF prone Valleys of Boni and Gollain in Chitral and the Sosot and Darkut Valleys Ghizar, Gilgit-Baltistan, Climate Change Division, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad, Pakistan, 2014.

- Guillet, G., King, O., Lv, M., Ghuffar, S., Benn, D., Quincey, D., and Bolch, T.: A regionally resolved inventory of High Mountain Asia surge-type glaciers, derived from a multifactor remote sensing approach, The Cryosphere, 16, 603–623, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-603-2022, 2022.
- Haeberli, W.: Frequency and Characteristics of Glacier Floods in the Swiss Alps, Ann. Glaciol., 4, 85–90, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0260305500005280, 1983.
- Haeberli, W., Schaub, Y., and Huggel, C.: Increasing risks related to landslides from degrading permafrost into new lakes in de-glaciating mountain ranges, Geomorphology, 293, 405–417, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.02.009, 2016.
- Halvorson, S. J.: Environmental Health Risks and Gender in the Karakoram-Himalaya, Northern Pakistan, Geogr. Rev., 92, 257– 281, https://doi.org/10.2307/4140973, 2002.
- Harrison, S., Kargel, J. S., Huggel, C., Reynolds, J., Shugar, D. H., Betts, R. A., Emmer, A., Glasser, N., Haritashya, U. K., Klimeš, J., Reinhardt, L., Schaub, Y., Wiltshire, A., Regmi, D., and Vilímek, V.: Climate change and the global pattern of morainedammed glacial lake outburst floods, The Cryosphere, 12, 1195– 1209, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1195-2018, 2018.
- He, X. and Zhou, S.: An Assessment of Glacier Inventories for the Third Pole Region, Front. Earth Sci., 10, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.848007, 2022.
- Hewitt, K. and Liu, J.: Ice-Dammed Lakes and Outburst Floods, Karakoram Himalaya: Historical Perspectives on Emerging Threats, Phys. Geogr., 31, 528–551, https://doi.org/10.2747/0272-3646.31.6.528, 2010.
- Hoelzle, M., Barandun, M., Bolch, T., Fiddes, J., Gafurov, A., Muccione, V., Saks, T., and Shahgedanova, M.: The status and role of the alpine cryosphere in Central Asia, in: The Aral Sea basin, Water for sustainable development in Central Asia, edited by: Xenarios, S., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Qadir, M., Janusz-Pawletta, B., and Abdullaev, I., Routledge, London, 100–121, https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429436475-8, 2019.
- Huggel, C., Muccione, V., Carey, M., James, R., Jurt, C., and Mechler, R.: Loss and Damage in the mountain cryosphere, Reg. Environ. Change, 19, 1387–1399, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1385-8, 2019.
- Hugonnet, R., McNabb, R., Berthier, E., Menounos, B., Nuth, C., Girod, L., Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Dussaillant, I., Brun, F., and Kääb, A.: Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century, Nature, 592, 726–731, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03436-z, 2021.
- Huss, M., Bauder, A., Werder, M., Funk, M., and Hock, R.: Glacier-dammed lake outburst events of Gornersee, Switzerland, J. Glaciol., 53, 189–200, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756507782202784, 2007.
- Iribarren Anacona, P., Norton, K. P., and Mackintosh, A.: Morainedammed lake failures in Patagonia and assessment of outburst susceptibility in the Baker Basin, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 3243–3259, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-14-3243-2014, 2014.
- Ives, J. D.: Glacial Lake Outburst Floods and Risk Engineering in the Himalaya: A Review of the Langmoche Disaster, Khumbu Himal, 4 August 1985 (Occasional Paper No. 5), ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal, 52 pp., ISBN 9971 84 756. 6, 1986.
- Ives, J. D., Shrestha, R. B., and Mool, P. K.: Formation of Glacial Lakes in the Hindu Kush-Himalayas and GLOF Risk Assess-

ment, ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal, 66 pp., ISBN 978 92 9115 1370, 2010.

- Jiang, Z., Cui, P., and Jiang, L.: Critical hydrologic conditions for overflow burst of moraine lake, Chin. Geograph. Sc., 14, 39–47, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11769-004-0007-1, 2004.
- Kääb, A., Leinss, S., Gilbert, A., Bühler, Y., Gascoin, S., Evans, S. G., Bartelt, P., Berthier, E., Brun, F., Chao, W.-A., Farinotti, D., Gimbert, F., Guo, W., Huggel, C., Kargel, J. S., Leonard, G. J., Tian, L., Treichler, D., and Yao, T.: Massive collapse of two glaciers in western Tibet in 2016 after surge-like instability, Nat. Geosci., 11, 114–120, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-017-0039-7, 2018.
- Kääb, A., Jacquemart, M., Gilbert, A., Leinss, S., Girod, L., Huggel, C., Falaschi, D., Ugalde, F., Petrakov, D., Chernomorets, S., Dokukin, M., Paul, F., Gascoin, S., Berthier, E., and Kargel, J. S.: Sudden large-volume detachments of low-angle mountain glaciers more frequent than thought?, The Cryosphere, 15, 1751–1785, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1751-2021, 2021.
- Khanal, N. R., Hu, J.-M., and Mool, P.: Glacial Lake Outburst Flood Risk in the Poiqu/Bhote Koshi/Sun Koshi River Basin in the Central Himalayas, Mt. Res. Dev., 35, 351–364, https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-15-00009, 2015.
- Kingslake, J. and Ng, F.: Quantifying the predictability of the timing of jökulhlaups from Merzbacher Lake, Kyrgyzstan, J. Glaciol., 59, 805–818, https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JoG12J156, 2013.
- Kreutzmann, H.: The Karakoram Highway: The Impact of Road Construction on Mountain Societies, Mod. Asian Stud., 25, 711– 736, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X00010817, 1991.
- Lee, E., Carrivick, J. L., Quincey, D. J., Cook, S. J., James, W. H. M., and Brown, L. E.: Accelerated mass loss of Himalayan glaciers since the Little Ice Age, Sci. Rep., 11, 24284, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03805-8, 2021.
- Li, D., Lu, X., Walling, D. E., Zhang, T., Steiner, J. F., Wasson, R. J., Harrison, S., Nepal, S., Nie, Y., Immerzeel, W. W., Shugar, D. H., Koppes, M., Lane, S., Zeng, Z., Sun, X., Yegorov, A., and Bolch, T.: High Mountain Asia hydropower systems threatened by climate-driven landscape instability, Nat. Geosci., 15, 520– 530, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-022-00953-y, 2022.
- Linsbauer, A., Frey, H., Haeberli, W., Machguth, H., Azam, M. F., and Allen, S.: Modelling glacier-bed overdeepenings and possible future lakes for the glaciers in the Himalaya – Karakoram region, Ann. Glaciol., 57, 119–130, https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A627, 2015.
- Mamadjanova, G., Wild, S., Walz, M. A., and Leckebusch, G. C.: The role of synoptic processes in mudflow formation in the piedmont areas of Uzbekistan, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 2893–2919, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-2893-2018, 2018.
- Mankoff, K. D., Fettweis, X., Langen, P. L., Stendel, M., Kjeldsen, K. K., Karlsson, N. B., Noël, B., van den Broeke, M. R., Solgaard, A., Colgan, W., Box, J. E., Simonsen, S. B., King, M. D., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Andersen, S. B., and Fausto, R. S.: Greenland ice sheet mass balance from 1840 through next week, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 5001–5025, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-5001-2021, 2021.
- Medeu, A. R., Baimoldayev, T. A., and Kirenskay, T. L.: Anthology debris flows and their processes, Debris flows in the Southeast Kazakhstan, Ministry of Education and Science Republic of Kazakhstan, Almaty, 4, 576 pp., ISBN 978 601 7150 78 5, 2016.

- Medeu, A. R., Blagoveshchenskiy, V. P., Gulyayeva, T. S., and Ranova, S. U.: Debris Flow Activity in Trans-Ili Alatau in the 20th – Early 21st Centuries, Geogr. Nat. Resour., 40, 292–298, https://doi.org/10.1134/S1875372819030120, 2019.
- Mool, P. K., Bajracharya, S. R., and Joshi, S. P.: Inventory of Glaciers, Glacial Lakes and Glacial Lake Outburst Floods, ICI MOD, Kathmandu, Nepal, 375 pp., ISBN 92 9115 359 1, 2001.
- Muhammad, S. and Thapa, A.: Daily Terra–Aqua MODIS cloud-free snow and Randolph Glacier Inventory 6.0 combined product (M*D10A1GL06) for high-mountain Asia between 2002 and 2019, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 767–776, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-767-2021, 2021.
- Muhammad, S., Li, J., Steiner, J. F., Shrestha, F., Shah, G. M., Berthier, E., Guo, L., Wu, L., and Tian, L.: A holistic view of Shisper Glacier surge and outburst floods: from physical processes to downstream impacts, Geomat. Nat. Haz. Risk, 12, 2755–2775, https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2021.1975833, 2021.
- Nie, Y., Liu, Q., and Liu, S.: Glacial lake expansion in the Central Himalayas by Landsat images, 1990–2010, PLOS ONE, 8, e83973, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083973, 2013.
- Nie, Y., Sheng, Y., Liu, Q., Liu, L., Liu, S., Zhang, Y., and Song, C.: A regional-scale assessment of Himalayan glacial lake changes using satellite observations from 1990 to 2015, Remote Sens. Environ., 189, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.11.008, 2017.
- Nie, Y., Liu, Q., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Sheng, Y., and Liu, S.: An inventory of historical glacial lake outburst floods in the Himalayas based on remote sensing observations and geomorphological analysis, Geomorphology, 308, 91–106, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.02.002, 2018.
- Nie, Y., Liu, W., Liu, Q., Hu, X., and Westoby, M. J.: Reconstructing the Chongbaxia Tsho glacial lake outburst flood in the Eastern Himalaya: Evolution, process and impacts, Geomorphology, 370, 107393, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2020.107393, 2020.
- Obu, J.: How Much of the Earth's Surface is Underlain by Permafrost?, J. Geophys. Res-Earth, 126, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006123, 2021.
- Osti, R., Bhattarai, T. N., and Miyake, K.: Causes of catastrophic failure of Tam Pokhari moraine dam in the Mt. Everest region, Nat. Hazards, 58, 1209–1223, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-011-9723-x, 2011.
- Petley, D.: Global patterns of loss of life from landslides, Geology, 40, 927–930, https://doi.org/10.1130/G33217.1, 2012.
- Pfeffer, W. T.: Randolph Glacier Inventory A Dataset of Global Glacier Outlines: Version 6.0: Technical Report (No. 6.0), Colorado, USA, Digital Media, https://doi.org/10.7265/N5-RGI-60, 2017.
- Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J.-O., Hock, R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., Miles, E. S., Moholdt, G., Mölg, N., Paul, F., Radiæ, V., Rastner, P., Raup, B. H., Rich, J., and Sharp, M. J., The Randolph Consortium.: The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers, J. Glaciol., 60, 537–552, https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13J176, 2014.
- Resurrección, B. P., Goodrich, C. G., Song, Y., Bastola, A., Prakash, A., Joshi, D., Liebrand, J., and Shah, S. A.: In the Shadows of the Himalayan Mountains: Persistent Gender and So-

cial Exclusion in Development, in: The Hindu Kush Himalaya Assessment: Mountains, Climate Change, Sustainability and People, edited by: Wester, P., Mishra, A., Mukherji, A., and Shrestha, A.B., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 491–516, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92288-1_14, 2019.

- Rounce, D. R., Byers, A. C., Byers, E. A., and McKinney, D. C.: Brief communication: Observations of a glacier outburst flood from Lhotse Glacier, Everest area, Nepal, The Cryosphere, 11, 443–449, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-443-2017, 2017.
- Round, V., Leinss, S., Huss, M., Haemmig, C., and Hajnsek, I.: Surge dynamics and lake outbursts of Kyagar Glacier, Karakoram, The Cryosphere, 11, 723–739, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-723-2017, 2017.
- Schwanghart, W., Worni, R., Huggel, C., Stoffel, M., and Korup, O.: Uncertainty in the Himalayan energy–water nexus: estimating regional exposure to glacial lake outburst floods, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 074005, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/7/074005, 2016.
- Shugar, D. H., Burr, A., Haritashya, U. K., Kargel, J. S., Watson, C. S., Kennedy, M. C., Bevington, A. R., Betts, R. A., Harrison, S., and Strattman, K.: Rapid worldwide growth of glacial lakes since 1990, Nat. Clim. Change, 10, 939–945, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0855-4, 2020.
- Shugar, D. H., Jacquemart, M., Shean, D., Bhushan, S., Upadhyay, K., Sattar, A., Schwanghart, W., McBride, S., Vries, M. V. W. de, Mergili, M., Emmer, A., Deschamps-Berger, C., McDonnell, M., Bhambri, R., Allen, S., Berthier, E., Carrivick, J. L., Clague, J. J., Dokukin, M., Dunning, S. A., Frey, H., Gascoin, S., Haritashya, U. K., Huggel, C., Kääb, A., Kargel, J. S., Kavanaugh, J. L., Lacroix, P., Petley, D., Rupper, S., Azam, M. F., Cook, S. J., Dimri, A. P., Eriksson, M., Farinotti, D., Fiddes, J., Gnyawali, K. R., Harrison, S., Jha, M., Koppes, M., Kumar, A., Leinss, S., Majeed, U., Mal, S., Muhuri, A., Noetzli, J., Paul, F., Rashid, I., Sain, K., Steiner, J., Ugalde, F., Watson, C. S., and Westoby, M. J.: A massive rock and ice avalanche caused the 2021 disaster at Chamoli, Indian Himalaya, Science, 373, 300–306, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abh4455, 2021.
- Soheb, M., Ramanathan, A., Bhardwaj, A., Coleman, M., Rea, B. R., Spagnolo, M., Singh, S., and Sam, L.: Multitemporal glacier inventory revealing four decades of glacier changes in the Ladakh region, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14, 4171–4185, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-4171-2022, 2022.
- Somos-Valenzuela, M. A., McKinney, D. C., Rounce, D. R., and Byers, A. C.: Changes in Imja Tsho in the Mount Everest region of Nepal, The Cryosphere, 8, 1661–1671, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1661-2014, 2014.
- Song, C., Sheng, Y., Ke, L., Nie, Y., and Wang, J.: Glacial lake evolution in the southeastern Tibetan Plateau and the cause of rapid expansion of proglacial lakes linked to glacial-hydrogeomorphic processes, J. Hydrol., 540, 504–514, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.06.054, 2016.
- Steiner, J. and Shrestha, F.: fidelsteiner/HMAGLOFDB: HMAGLOFDB v1.0.1 (v1.0.1), Zenodo [code], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7271187, 2023.
- Steiner, J., Wester, P., Muccione, V., and Shrestha, A. B.: Transboundary climate risks for terrestrial shared natural resources, in: The Global Transboundary Climate Risk Report, edited by: Anisimov, A. and Magnan, A. M., The Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations & Adaptation

Without Borders, 33–39, https://adaptationwithoutborders. org/knowledge-base/adaptation-without-borders/

the-global-transboundary-climate-risk-report (last access: 1 September 2023), 2023.

- Steiner, J. F., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Jiduc, S. G., and Immerzeel, W. W.: Brief communication: The Khurdopin glacier surge revisited – extreme flow velocities and formation of a dammed lake in 2017, The Cryosphere, 12, 95–101, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-95-2018, 2018.
- Taylor, C., Robinson, T. R., Dunning, S. A., Carr, J. R., and Westoby, M. J.: Glacial lake outburst floods threaten millions globally, Nat. Commun., 14, 487, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36033-x, 2023.
- Thapa, V. and Pathranarakul, P.: Gender inclusiveness in disaster risk governance for sustainable recovery of 2015 Gorkha Earthquake, Nepal, Int. J. Disast. Risk Re., 34, 209–219, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.11.019, 2019.
- Thornton, J. M., Snethlage, M. A., Sayre, R., Urbach, D. R., Viviroli, D., Ehrlich, D., Muccione, V., Wester, P., Insarov, G., and Adler, C.: Human populations in the world's mountains: Spatio-temporal patterns and potential controls, PLOS ONE, 17, e0271466, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271466, 2022.
- UNISDR: Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030, Geneva, Switzerland, United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 37 pp., 2015.
- Veh, G., Korup, O., von Specht, S., Roessner, S., and Walz, A.: Unchanged frequency of moraine-dammed glacial lake outburst floods in the Himalaya, Nat. Clim. Change, 9, 379–383, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0437-5, 2019a.
- Veh, G., Korup, O., and Walz, A.: Hazard from Himalayan glacier lake outburst floods, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 117, 907–912, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1914898117, 2019b.
- Veh, G., Lützow, N., Kharlamova, V., Petrakov, D., Hugonnet, R., and Korup, O.: Trends, Breaks, and Biases in the Frequency of Reported Glacier Lake Outburst Floods, Earth's Future, 10, e2021EF002426, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002426, 2022.
- Veh, G., Lützow, N., Tamm, J., Luna, L. V., Hugonnet, R., Vogel, K., Geertsema, M., Clague, J. J., and Korup, O.: Less extreme and earlier outbursts of ice-dammed lakes since 1900, Nature, 614, 701–707, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05642-9, 2023.
- Vincent, C., Thibert, E., Gagliardini, O., Legchenko, A., Gilbert, A., Garambois, S., Condom, T., Baltassat, J. M., and Girard, J. F.: Mechanisms of subglacial cavity filling in Glacier de Tête Rousse, French Alps, J. Glaciol., 61, 609–623, https://doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG14J238, 2015.
- Wang, X., Guo, X., Yang, C., Liu, Q., Wei, J., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Zhang, Y., Jiang, Z., and Tang, Z.: Glacial lake inventory of high-mountain Asia in 1990 and 2018 derived from Landsat images, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 2169–2182, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2169-2020, 2020.
- Wang, X., Tolksdorf, V., Otto, M., and Scherer, D.: WRFbased dynamical downscaling of ERA5 reanalysis data for High Mountain Asia: Towards a new version of the High Asia Refined analysis. Int. J. Climatol., 41, 743–762, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6686, 2021.
- Welty, E., Zemp, M., Navarro, F., Huss, M., Fürst, J. J., Gärtner-Roer, I., Landmann, J., Machguth, H., Naegeli, K., Andreassen, L. M., Farinotti, D., Li, H., and GlaThiDa Contributors: Worldwide version-controlled database of glacier

thickness observations, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 3039–3055, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3039-2020, 2020.

- Westoby, M. J., Glasser, N. F., Brasington, J., Hambrey, M. J., Quincey, D. J., and Reynolds, J. M.: Modelling outburst floods from moraine-dammed glacial lakes, Earth Sci. Rev., 134, 137– 159, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.03.009, 2014.
- Wilcox, A. C., Wade, A. A., and Evans, E. G.: Drainage events from a glacier-dammed lake, Bear Glacier, Alaska: Remote sensing and field observations, Geomorphology, 220, 41–49, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.05.025, 2014.
- Worni, R., Stoffel, M., Huggel, C., Volz, C., Casteller, A., and Luckman, B.: Analysis and dynamic modeling of a moraine failure and glacier lake outburst flood at Ventisquero Negro, Patagonian Andes (Argentina), J. Hydrol., 444–445, 134–145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.013, 2012.
- Worni, R., Huggel, C., Clague, J. J., Schaub, Y., and Stoffel, M.: Coupling glacial lake impact, dam breach, and flood processes: A modeling perspective, Geomorphology, 224, 161–176, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.06.031, 2014.
- Xie, F., Liu, S., Gao, Y., Zhu, Y., Bolch, T., Kääb, A., Duan, S., Miao, W., Kang, J., Zhang, Y., Pan, X., Qin, C., Wu, K., Qi, M., Zhang, X., Yi, Y., Han, F., Yao, X., Liu, Q., Wang, X., Jiang, Z., Shangguan, D., Zhang, Y., Grünwald, R., Adnan, M., Karki, J., and Saifullah, M.: Interdecadal glacier inventories in the Karakoram since the 1990s, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 847– 867, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-847-2023, 2023.
- Yamada, T. and Sharma, C.: Glacier lakes and outburst floods in the Nepal Himalaya, in: Proceedings of the Kathmandu Symposium on Snow and Glacier Hydrology, Nepal, 16-21 November 1992, IAHS Publ. no. 218, 319–330, 1993.
- Zaidi, R. Z. and Fordham, M.: The missing half of the Sendai framework: Gender and women in the implementation of global disaster risk reduction policy, Prog. Disaster Sci., 10, 100170, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2021.100170, 2021.
- Zhang, G., Yao, T., Xie, H., Wang, W., and Yang, W.: An inventory of glacial lakes in the Third Pole region and their changes in response to global warming, Global Planet. Change, 131, 148– 157, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.05.013, 2015.
- Zhang, M., Chen, F., Zhao, H., Wang, J., and Wang, N.: Recent Changes of Glacial Lakes in the High Mountain Asia and Its Potential Controlling Factors Analysis, Remote Sens., 13, 3757, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13183757, 2021.
- Zhang, T., Wang, W., Gao, T., An, B., and Shang, X.: Glacial lake outburst floods on the High Mountain Asia: a review, J. Glaciol. Geocryol., 43, 1673–1692, https://doi.org/10.7522/j.issn.1000-0240.2021.0066, 2021.
- Zhang, T., Wang, W., An, B., Gao, T., and Yao, T.: Ice thickness and morphological analysis reveal the future glacial lake distribution and formation probability in the Tibetan Plateau and its surroundings, Global Planet. Change, 216, 103923, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2022.103923, 2022a.
- Zhang, T., Wang, W., Gao, T., An, B., and Yao, T.: An integrative method for identifying potentially dangerous glacial lakes in the Himalayas, Sci. Total Environ., 806, 150442, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150442, 2022b.
- Zheng, G., Allen, S. K., Bao, A., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J. A., Huss, M., Zhang, G., Li, J., Yuan, Y., Jiang, L., Yu, T., Chen, W., and Stoffel, M.: Increasing risk of glacial lake outburst floods from

future Third Pole deglaciation, Nat. Clim. Change, 11, 411–417, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01028-3, 2021a.

- Zheng, G., Bao, A., Allen, S., Ballesteros-Canovas, J., Yuan, Y., and Jiapaer, G.: Numerous unreported glacial lake outburst floods in the Third Pole revealed by high-resolution satellite data and geomorphological evidence, Sci. Bull., 66, 1270–1273, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2021.01.014, 2021b.
- Zheng, G., Mergili, M., Emmer, A., Allen, S., Bao, A., Guo, H., and Stoffel, M.: The 2020 glacial lake outburst flood at Jinwuco, Tibet: causes, impacts, and implications for hazard and risk assessment, The Cryosphere, 15, 3159–3180, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-3159-2021, 2021c.