GISD30: global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset from 1985 to 2020 using time-series Landsat imagery on the Google Earth Engine platform
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Abstract. Accurately mapping impervious-surface dynamics has great scientific significance and application value for research on urban sustainable development, the assessment of anthropogenic carbon emissions and global ecological-environment modeling. In this study, a novel and automatic method of combining the advantages of spectral-generalization and automatic-sample-extraction strategies was proposed, and then an accurate global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset (GISD30) for 1985 to 2020 was produced using time-series Landsat imagery on the Google Earth Engine cloud computing platform. Firstly, the global training samples and corresponding reflectance spectra were automatically derived from prior global 30 m land-cover products after employing the multitemporal compositing method and relative radiometric normalization. Then, spatiotemporal adaptive classification models, trained with the migrated reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces from 2020 and transferred pervious-surface samples in each epoch for every $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$ geographical tile, were applied to map the impervious surface in each period. Furthermore, a spatiotemporal-consistency correction method was presented to minimize the effects of independent classification errors and improve the spatiotemporal consistency of impervious-surface dynamics. Our global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic model achieved an overall accuracy of 90.1% and a kappa coefficient of 0.865 using 23,322 global time-series validation samples. Cross-comparisons with five existing global 30 m impervious-surface products further indicated that our GISD30 dynamic product achieved the best performance in capturing the spatial distributions and spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces in various impervious landscapes. The statistical results indicated that the global impervious surface has doubled in the past 35 years, from $5.116 \times 10^7$ km$^2$ in 1985 to $10.871 \times 10^7$ km$^2$ in 2020, and Asia saw the largest increase in impervious surface area compared to other continents, with a total increase of $2.946 \times 10^5$ km$^2$. Therefore, it was concluded that our global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset is an accurate and promising product and could provide vital support in monitoring regional or global urbanization as well as in related applications. The global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset from 1985 to 2020 generated in this paper is free to access at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5220816 (Liu et al., 2021b).
1 Introduction

Impervious surfaces are usually defined as surfaces “preventing the surface water from penetrating into the ground” and are composed of anthropogenic materials, such as steel, cement, asphalt, bricks and stone (Chen et al., 2016; Weng, 2012; Zhang et al., 2020). Over the past few decades, with the rapid growth of the population and the economy, impervious surfaces have been undergoing dramatic expansion, especially in developing countries (Gong et al., 2019a; Kuang, 2020). Based on the statistics of the United Nations in 2018, 55% of the world’s total population lives in cities, and this proportion is expected to reach 68% in 2050 (United Nations, 2019). As an indicator of the intensity of human activities and economic development, the dynamic information of impervious surfaces plays a significant role in urban planning (Li et al., 2015), biogeochemical cycles (Zhang and Weng, 2016), greenhouse gas emissions and urban heat island effects (Gao et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2018), and urban-sustainable-development pathways (X. Liu et al., 2020). Therefore, understanding and quantifying global impervious-surface spatiotemporal dynamics is critical.

In recent years, with the continuous improvement in remote sensing techniques as well as computer storage and computing capabilities, global impervious-surface monitoring has been undergoing a transition from the coarse spatial resolution of 1 km to the fine resolution of 30/10 m (Corbane et al., 2020; Gong et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018; X. Liu et al., 2020; Schneider et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2018). Specifically, coarse impervious-surface products primarily use time-series nighttime light datasets (including DMSP – Defense Meteorological Satellite Program – and VIIRS NTL – Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite Nighttime Lights – imagery) (Xie and Weng, 2017; Zhao et al., 2020) and MODIS imagery (Huang et al., 2020; Schneider et al., 2010) to capture global impervious-surface dynamics; for example, Huang et al. (2021) used a fully automated mapping method to produce global 250 m urban-area products for 2001 to 2018 using time-series MODIS imagery. Zhou et al. (2018) used the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program Operational Linescan System’s nighttime light data to develop temporally and spatially consistent global 1 km urban maps for 1992 to 2013. Although these coarse global impervious-surface dynamic products could capture global urban-expansion trends, they are unsuitable for many regional applications, because a large quantity of broken and small-sized impervious surfaces are missed in coarse remote sensing imagery (Gong et al., 2020). Recently, benefiting from the improvements and maturity of cloud computing platforms (such as Google Earth Engine, Gorelick et al., 2017), many global 30 m multitemporal impervious-surface products have been produced using long-time-series Landsat imagery (Florczyk et al., 2019; Gong et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018; X. Liu et al., 2020). Liu et al. (2021a) comprehensively reviewed seven current global 30 m impervious-surface products and found only four products could capture the impervious expansion at a long time series. Specifically, Liu et al. (2018) proposed a new index to develop multitemporal global 30 m urban land maps for 1990 to 2010 with 5-year intervals, but the products suffered low producer’s accuracy and user’s accuracy of 0.50–0.60 and 0.49–0.61. Gong et al. (2020) used a combination of “exclusion–inclusion” and “temporal-check” methods to generate the first annual global 30 m artificial impervious-surface-area dataset for 1985 to 2018, but the cross-comparisons in Zhang et al. (2020) found that this annual dataset achieved great performance on megacities but suffered under-estimation problems in rural areas. The Global Human Settlement Layer (GHSL) monitored the impervious dynamic from 1975 to 2015 (Florczyk et al., 2019), but it suffered overestimation problems at an early stage and also missed fragmented impervious objects (Gong et al., 2020). Therefore, an accurate global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic product, which could accurately capture the spatiotemporal dynamic of various impervious objects including cities and rural areas, is still urgently needed.

Over the past few decades, many methods have been proposed for generating regional or global multitemporal impervious-surface products. Generally, these methods can be divided into two groups: time-series change detection (Jing et al., 2021; Li et al., 2018; Song et al., 2016) and multitemporal independent classification and extraction (Gong et al., 2020; X. Liu et al., 2020; Zhang and Weng, 2016). The time-series change detection strategy used change detection models to determine the break points in continuous Landsat observations. As this strategy makes full use of the correlations inherent within time-series imagery, it has a higher robustness and a greater ability to capture urbanization time and frequency (Liu et al., 2019). However, as impervious surfaces are usually nonlinear, with high temporal and spatial heterogeneity, impervious-surface monitoring is a highly difficult and challenging task, especially for arid or semi-arid areas (Reba and Seto, 2020; Sexton et al., 2013). Zhu et al. (2019) demonstrated that the newest continuous monitoring of land disturbance (COLD) method still suffers from an omission error of 27% and a commission error of 28%. Meanwhile, the monitoring efficiency of the time-series change detection strategy is very low because it uses pixel-by-pixel modeling by using continuous Landsat imagery.

The multitemporal independent classification and extraction strategy generates multiple temporally independent impervious-surface maps and then derives “from-to” information through per-pixel comparison, so the means of generating multiple temporally independent impervious-surface maps is the key issue of the strategy. Zhang et al. (2020) concluded that there are three ways to generate independent impervious-surface maps including spectral mixture analysis (Wu, 2004; Zhuo et al., 2018), the spectral-index-based method (Gao et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2018) and the image...
classification method (Zhang and Weng, 2016; Zhang et al., 2021a, 2020). However, the spectral mixture analysis had great difficulty in finding the optimal endmembers, especially for long-time-series monitoring. The spectral-index-based method was simpler and more efficient than the other two strategies, but it encountered great difficulty in identifying the optimal threshold for deriving the impervious pixels from pervious surfaces, especially in arid areas (Sun et al., 2019). The image classification strategy uses training samples to build the classifiers for identifying impervious surfaces and performed well in complex impervious-surface mapping (Okujeni et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2020). However, collecting training samples is a time-consuming and labor-intensive task, especially for large-area time-series impervious-surface monitoring.

To solve the time-consuming and manual participation problems for collecting massive training samples, many studies have proposed to derive training samples from existing land-cover products after using a series of refinement rules and successfully produced the large-area land-cover maps with fulfilling performances (Zhang and Roy, 2017; Zhang et al., 2021b, 2019). For example, Zhang and Roy (2017) derived the training samples from time-series MCD12Q1 land-cover products and then used the derived samples for generating the 30 m land-cover maps with an overall accuracy of 95.44 % over all of the United States. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2021b) combined the CCI LC (Climate Change Initiative Land Cover) land-cover products and time-series MCD43A4 product to extract the confidence training samples and then produced the global 30 m land-cover products with an overall accuracy of 82.5 %. However, it should be noted that the derived samples usually selected these spatiotemporal stable pixels as candidate samples for ensuring the confidence of training samples. Namely, the changed information cannot be captured using this derived strategy. In addition, the spectral-generalization strategy had also been demonstrated to have great performance for automatic land-cover mapping (Phalke and Özdoğan, 2018; Wessels et al., 2016; Woodcock et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2019). For example, Zhang et al. (2019) used the training spectra from MCD43A4 products to classify the multitemporal Landsat imagery in China with an overall accuracy of 80.7 %. However, the spectral-generalization strategy usually needed the prior reference training spectra to build the generalized classifier.

Monitoring impervious-surface dynamics is a challenging and time-consuming task due to the high spatiotemporal heterogeneity. In this study, we proposed a novel and automatic method by combining the advantages of spectral-generalization and automatic-sample-extraction strategies for monitoring time-series impervious-surface dynamics. Specifically, we derived the training samples from prior land-cover products to solve the time-consuming and manual participation problems for manually collecting massive training samples. Then, we combined the derived training samples and the temporal spectral generalization for independently mapping impervious surfaces at a long time series. Next, a spatiotemporal-consistency correction method was applied to the independent impervious-surface maps to minimize the effects of classification errors and ensure the spatiotemporal consistency of the final dynamic impervious-surface dataset. Finally, we produced an accurate and novel global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset (GISD30) from 1985 to 2020 by combining the proposed method and Google Earth Engine (GEE) cloud computing platform, which also provide vital support for monitoring regional or global urbanization and performing related tasks.

2 Datasets

2.1 Time-series Landsat imagery

As a single Landsat mission cannot cover the whole period of 1985 to 2020 (Roy et al., 2014), all available Landsat imagery, including Landsat 4, 5, 7 and 8, archived on the GEE computation platform, was collected to monitor the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces. To minimize the scattering and absorption effects of the atmosphere, all Landsat imagery was corrected for the surface reflectance using the Land Surface Reflectance Code (LaSRC) (Vermote et al., 2016) and Landsat Ecosystem Disturbance Adaptive Processing System (LEDAPS) (Vermote, 2007) algorithms. Meanwhile, these poor observations (including snow, shadow, cloud and saturated pixels) in the Landsat imagery were masked using the CMask (C Function of Mask) algorithm (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014), which is the official Landsat processing algorithm and is included in the Landsat Surface Reflectance (SR) product handbook (USGS, 2017). Figure 1 illustrates the spatial distributions of all available Landsat observations from 1985 to 2020, with intervals of 5 years; clearly, the availability of Landsat imagery had a significant positive relationship with the advancement of the monitoring period, mainly because later Landsat satellites had greater capacities for onboard recording and satellite-to-ground transmission compared with previous Landsat systems (Roy et al., 2014). In addition, as only Landsat 5 could provide observation imagery and satellite-to-ground transmission capabilities were fairly low before 2000, the available Landsat observations before 2000 cannot cover the whole world, and those for 1985 are especially limited; however, it should be noted that we assumed that the land cover in these areas with missing data would remain stable during the period.

2.2 Global 30 m land-cover product in 2020

To automatically monitor the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces, it was necessary to import a global 30 m land-cover product from 2020, which was used as the reference dataset for deriving training samples in Sect. 3.1 and provided the broadest impervious-surface extents for monitoring spatiotemporal dynamics. In this study, the
GLC_FCS30-2020 (global land-cover product with fine classification system at 30 m in 2020) dataset, generated by combining the time series of Landsat imagery with high-quality training data from the Global Spatial Temporal Spectra Library on the Google Earth Engine computing platform (Zhang et al., 2021b), was used, showing an overall accuracy of 82.5% and a kappa coefficient of 0.784 for the level-0 validation system (9 basic land-cover types) and an overall accuracy of 68.7% and kappa coefficient of 0.662 for the UN-LCCS (United Nations Land Cover Classification System) level-2 system (24 fine land-cover types), employing 44,043 global validation samples (Zhang et al., 2021b). It should be noted that the impervious-surface layer in the GLC_FCS30-2020 dataset, which was independently produced by combining multisource and multitemporal remote sensing imagery and achieved an overall accuracy of 95.1% and a kappa coefficient of 0.898 (Zhang et al., 2020), was not used as the result for the period of 2015–2020 in the final results; instead, it was only used as the prior dataset for deriving training samples and determining the broadest extents. The GLC_FCS30-2020 dataset is free to access at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4280923 (L. Liu et al., 2020).

2.3 Validation dataset

To quantitatively assess the accuracies of our impervious-surface dynamic time-series products, 23,322 validation samples (Fig. 2), including 13,336 impervious samples and 9,986 pervious samples, covering the long-term time series from 1985 to 2020, were randomly generated using the strategy of stratified random sampling and further interpreted on the Google Earth Engine computing platform. The GEE computing platform had obvious advantages over collecting validation samples, including (1) storing massive amounts of remote sensing imagery with various spatial resolutions and time spans and (2) allowing for easy access to different remote sensing images via simplified coding (Gorelick et al., 2017). Therefore, using multisource high-resolution imagery archived in the GEE platform, each validation sample could be marked as “pervious surface” or “specific change year of impervious surface”. However, as the high-resolution images from 1985 to 2000 were sparse and the Landsat imagery contained observations for that period with satisfactory spatial resolution, we used the time-series Landsat imagery as the auxiliary dataset for visual interpretation between 1985 and 2000. Further, as the spatial heterogeneity of the impervious surface was usually higher than that of natural land-cover types, the impervious area in a 30 m × 30 m window should comprise more than 50% when identifying impervious samples (Zhang et al., 2020). Meanwhile, to minimize the effect of geometry registration between validation samples and our products, the geolocations of these rural impervious-surface samples, located in the transition areas of the impervious objects (such as buildings and roads) and pervious surfaces, were repositioned in the center of the objects. Lastly, to minimize the influence of the interpreting experts’ subjective knowledge, each validation sample was to be independently interpreted by five experts.
2.4 Existing multitemporal global 30 m impervious-surface products

In this study, five existing multitemporal global 30 m impervious-surface products, including maps based on GAIA (Global Artificial Impervious Area), GHSL (Global Human Settlement Layer), GAUD (Global Annual Urban Dynamics), GlobeLand30 impervious-surface layer and NUACI (Normalized Urban Areas Composite Index), were used to comprehensively analyze the performance of our products. Specifically, GAIA was generated by combining the exclusion–inclusion and temporal-consistency methods and applying them to time-series Landsat imagery, which provided the global annual impervious surface from 1985 to 2018 at a 30 m spatial resolution, with a mean accuracy of 90 % using 3500 validation samples (Gong et al., 2020). The GHSL products, developed by fusing supervised and unsupervised classification processes to achieve a combination of data-driven and knowledge-driven processes, contained four epochs’ impervious-surface dynamics (1970, 1990, 2000 and 2015) (Florczyk et al., 2019; Pesaresi et al., 2016), with an high overall accuracy of 96.28 % and a low kappa coefficient of 0.323 using the open LUCAS (Land Use/Cover Area Frame Survey) validation dataset for Europe (Pesaresi et al., 2016). The GAUD dataset, produced by combining four prior global urban-extent maps and a time-series NUACI index, monitored annual changes in urban extent from 1985 to 2015 and achieved a mean kappa coefficient of 0.57 in 2015 (X. Liu et al., 2020). The GlobeLand30 impervious-surface layer, which was an independent land-cover type in the GlobeLand30 global land-cover product, was produced by combining pixel-based classification, multi-scale object-oriented segmentation and manual verification based on the visual interpretation of high-spatial resolution imagery (Chen et al., 2015). Meanwhile, to eliminate salt-and-pepper noise in the impervious-surface layer, a minimum unit of 4 pixels × 4 pixels was applied for each impervious-surface object. In this study, three epochs’ (2000, 2010 and 2020) impervious-surface layers were included in GlobeLand30, and independent validation indicated that the accuracy of the impervious surface was over 80 % (Chen and Chen, 2018; Chen et al., 2016). The NUACI-based products were generated by combining the multitemporal NUACI index and adaptive threshold optimization methods and applying them to the time-series Landsat and nighttime light imagery (Liu et al., 2018), which contained the impervious-surface dynamics of seven epochs from 1985 to 2015 with 5-year intervals. The independent validation indicated that the NUACI-based products achieved overall accuracy, producer’s accuracy and user’s accuracy of 0.81–0.84, 0.50–0.60 and 0.49–0.61, respectively (Liu et al., 2018).

3 Methods

In this study, a novel and automatic method, combining temporal spectral-generalization and automatic-sample-extraction strategies, was proposed to automatically monitor the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces. Specifically, the training samples and maximum impervious-surface extents in 2020 were firstly derived from the prior GLC_FCS30-2020 land-cover products and other global 30 m impervious-surface products. Secondly, based on the assumption that the land-cover transition from impervious surface to pervious surface was irreversible, the pervious-surface samples in 2020 were directly transferred to other periods. As for the impervious-surface samples, as it was impossible to directly transform them, we proposed to migrate their reflectance spectra in 2020 to other periods by using...
the temporal spectral-generalization strategy. Thirdly, multitemporal local-adaptive random forest classification models, trained by the migrated reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces in 2020 and transferred pervious-surface samples, were applied to independently generate time-series impervious-surface maps from 1985 to 2020. Lastly, the temporal-consistency checking method was used to ensure the spatiotemporal consistency and logic of using this approach for monitoring the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces.

3.1 Deriving training reflectance spectra and the maximum impervious-surface boundary

To achieve the automatic monitoring of the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces, we proposed to transfer the pervious samples in 2020 to other periods by the irreversible assumption and simultaneously migrated the impervious reflectance spectra in 2020 to other periods using the spectral-generalization strategy. The key steps of the novel method were (1) training sample and maximum impervious-surface extent derivation from the prior GLC_FCS30-2020 land-cover products and other impervious-surface products and (2) multitemporal-imagery composting and relative radiometric normalization, which guarantees the feasibility of migrating the reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces in 2020 to other periods.

3.1.1 Training sample and maximum impervious-surface extent derivation from existing products

As opposed to the traditional method of collecting training samples based on visual interpretation, in this study, the global training samples, including those of the impervious surface and the pervious surfaces, were automatically derived from the prior GLC_FCS30-2020 land-cover products and other impervious-surface products by using a series of refinement rules. The reasons why we mainly chose the GLC_FCS30-2020 as the reference dataset were because (1) the impervious-surface layer in the GLC_FCS30-2020 was independently produced by combining multisource and multitemporal imagery with a high user’s accuracy of 93.2 % and a producer’s accuracy of 94.8 % (Zhang et al., 2020) and (2) the other pervious land-cover types had a high probability of being misclassified (Sun et al., 2019), because both are spectrally confused with impervious surfaces, especially in the cases of some rural buildings (Sun et al., 2019), because both are composed of low-reflectance vegetation and high-reflectance artificial materials or bare soil. Therefore, we proposed to further split PS_{candi} into three sub-categories (cropland, bare land and others) by using GLC_FCS30-2020. Meanwhile, the spatial-homogeneity checking (Eq. 2) was also applied to each PS_{candi} sample to minimize the confusions in these land-cover transition areas.

Although we used refinement rules to extract high-confidence training samples, the volume of candidate train-

\[
\text{IS}_{\text{max}} = \text{IS}_{\text{gaia}} \cup \text{IS}_{\text{ghsl}} \cup \text{IS}_{\text{globeland30}} \cup \text{IS}_{\text{glc_fcs30}}
\]  

(1)

Then, as for how to derive impervious training samples, the GAIA and GHSL datasets were demonstrated to suffer the problem of missing these fragmented impervious objects (such as rural villages and roads) (Sun et al., 2019), so the intersection operation was only applied to the impervious layer in the GlobeLand30-2020 (IS_{globeland30}) and GLC_FCS30-2020 (IS_{glc_fcs30}) to comprehensively capture impervious samples in both cities or small villages and minimize the effect of commission error in these two products. Afterwards, as the transition areas between two different land-cover types had a high probability of being misclassified (Radoux et al., 2014), the spatial homogeneity of each candidate impervious sample was calculated using a local window of 3 × 3:

\[
P_{x,y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x'=1}^{x+1} \sum_{y'=1}^{y+1} I(L_{x',y'} = \text{L_{imp}}),
\]  

(2)

where \(P_{x,y}\) denotes the spatial homogeneity of candidate pixel \(L_{x,y}\), \(L_{\text{imp}}\) represents the label value of the impervious surface, \(I(L_{x,y} = \text{L_{imp}})\) is the indicator function and \(N\) is the size of the local window. In this study, we only retained these spatial-homogeneity candidate impervious samples. Namely, if the \(P_{x,y}\) of the candidate pixel was less than 1, the candidate impervious sample would be discarded.

As we have combined four prior 30 m impervious-surface products to determine the maximum impervious-surface extents in 2020 (\(\text{IS}_{\text{max}}\)), the remaining areas outside \(\text{IS}_{\text{max}}\) were considered pervious surfaces (PS_{candi}). However, due to the complicated makeup and spectral heterogeneity of impervious surfaces, some pervious-surface types such as bare land, grassland and cropland would be spectrally confused with the impervious surfaces. For example, bare land was spectrally similar to the high-reflectance impervious surfaces because composition materials of the impervious surface, including the cement bricks and stone, were also present in the bare land. Meanwhile, cropland was also easily confused with impervious surfaces, especially in the cases of some rural buildings (Sun et al., 2019), because both are composed of low-reflectance vegetation and high-reflectance artificial materials or bare soil. Therefore, we proposed to further split PS_{candi} into three sub-categories (cropland, bare land and others) by using GLC_FCS30-2020. Meanwhile, the spatial-homogeneity checking (Eq. 2) was also applied to each PS_{candi} sample to minimize the confusions in these land-cover transition areas.
Figure 3. The flowchart of the spectral-generalization method for automatically monitoring the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surface from 1985 to 2020.

3.1.2 Multitemporal-imagery composting and relative radiometric normalization

As our previous work (Zhang et al., 2020) had quantitatively demonstrated that multitemporal information made a positive contribution to large-area impervious-surface mapping and the availability of Landsat imagery varied with the spatial distribution in Fig. 1, it was necessary to decompose the time-series Landsat imagery into multitemporal features. According to the reviews in the works of Gomez et al. (2016), there were two main options – “selection-based” and “transform-based” – for extracting multitemporal information from time-series imagery. The selection-based option was to use user-defined criteria to select the most suitable observation from the time-series imagery so that the composited imagery still contained the characteristics of surface reflectance. For example, the maximum NDVI (normalized difference vegetation index) compositing method was to select the observation with the largest NDVI value from time-series observations. While the transform-based method was to use the transform models (Fourier transform, mathematical statistics, etc.) to transform the time-series observations into new variables band by band, the widely used quantile compositing method was used to transform the time-series spectra into several quantiles based on the ranking of the values, for example. Therefore, the composited imagery derived by the transform-based strategy cannot represent the actual characteristics of surface reflectance.

In this study, as we needed to migrate the reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces in 2020 to other periods, the selection-based strategy was the optimal solution for spectral generalization. To select the user-defined criteria to composite the multitemporal features, given that the best-available-pixel (BAP) method could simultaneously take into account
four factors (sensor type, day of year, distance to cloud or cloud shadow, and aerosol optical thickness; White et al., 2014), it has been widely used for generating annual or seasonal cloud-free composited imagery (Chen et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2019). In this study, in order to capture the multitemporal information from the time-series Landsat imagery, the seasonal BAP composited method, which applied the BAP compositing approach for each season, was used on time-series Landsat imagery in each period. Therefore, we derived four sets of seasonally composited Landsat imagery for each period. It should be noted that we categorized the time-series Landsat imagery from 1982 to 2020 into eight periods with the interval of 5 years corresponding to the Fig. 1. Meanwhile, we also assumed that the land cover in those areas without Landsat observations would remain stable during the period. According to our statistics, the missing Landsat observations during 1986–1995 mainly were concentrated in northeastern Asia, which contained a small number of impervious surfaces.

Meanwhile, for each piece of seasonally composited imagery, excluding those in six optical bands (blue; green; red; NIR, near infrared; SWIR1, shortwave infrared; and SWIR2), three spectral indexes, including the normalized difference built-up index (NDBI), normalized difference water index (NDWI) and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), were also imported. This was done because NDBI was a good indicator of the impervious surface and bare land, NDVI was sensitive to the vegetation and NDWI was one of the most popular indices for mapping waterbodies. Eventually, a total of 36 multitemporal spectral features were derived for four seasonal composites.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NDBI} & = \frac{\rho_{\text{swir1}} - \rho_{\text{air}}}{\rho_{\text{swir1}} + \rho_{\text{air}}} , \\
\text{NDWI} & = \frac{\rho_{\text{green}} - \rho_{\text{swir1}}}{\rho_{\text{green}} + \rho_{\text{swir1}}} , \\
\text{NDVI} & = \frac{\rho_{\text{nir}} - \rho_{\text{red}}}{\rho_{\text{nir}} + \rho_{\text{red}}} .
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

Afterwards, the prerequisite for temporally spectral generalization was the spectral consistency between reference imagery and unclassified imagery. In this study, some measures were taken to ensure the highest possible spectral consistency in the Landsat composited imagery for the reference period and other periods: (1) the selection-based strategy was applied to ensure that the composited imagery could characterize the reflective characteristics of the land surface, and (2) the seasonal BAP method was used to guarantee the phenological consistency of each set of seasonally composited imagery. However, there was still a small difference in the spectral response between Landsat sensors (TM, Thematic Mapper; ETM+, Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus; and OLI, Operational Land Imager) (Roy et al., 2016), and some factors (including the number of available Landsat observations, frequency of cloud and shadow, etc.) caused a small temporal difference in the seasonal composites between the reference imagery and unclassified imagery. Therefore, we used the method of relative radiometric normalization to further ensure the spectral consistency between reference and unclassified imagery. Specifically, as we migrated the reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces in 2020 to other periods, the seasonal composites in 2020 were the dependent variables (\(\rho_{R,S} (\lambda_i)\)):

\[
\rho_{R,S} (\lambda_i) = \alpha_i \times \rho_{t,S} (\lambda_i) + \beta_i ,
\]

(4)
where \( \rho_{x,y,t}(\lambda_i) \) was the surface reflectance in band \( \lambda_i \) in period \( t (t = 1985, 1990, \ldots, 2015) \). \( S_j \) represented the seasonal composites in different seasons, and \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_i \) denoted the slope and intercept of the linear regression model.

### 3.2 Spectral-generalization classification and temporal-consistency checking

Based on the assumption that the land-cover transition from impervious surface to pervious surface was irreversible, the derived pervious samples in 2020 (Sect. 3.1.1) would be directly transferred to other periods, but the impervious-surface samples in 2020 cannot be transferred. To solve the lack of impervious-surface samples before 2020, we normalized the reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces in other epochs to those in 2020 using the method of relative radiometric normalization (Sect. 3.1.2). Specifically, we independently trained the classification models at each period using the generalized impervious reflectance spectra (TrainFeatures_IS\textsubscript{2020}) and the pervious samples (TrainFeatures_PS\textsubscript{t}) as

\[
\text{TrainFeatures}_{PS_t} = \left[ \sum_{\lambda} \left( \rho_{\lambda_i}^{b_{t}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{g_{t}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{r_{t}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{swir1_{t}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{swir2_{t}}, ndbi_{\lambda_i}^{t}, ndvi_{\lambda_i}^{t}, ndw_{\lambda_i}^{t} \right) \right],
\]

\[
\text{TrainFeatures}_{IS_{2020}} = \left[ \sum_{\lambda} \left( \rho_{\lambda_i}^{b_{2020}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{g_{2020}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{r_{2020}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{swir1_{2020}}, \rho_{\lambda_i}^{swir2_{2020}}, ndbi_{\lambda_i}^{2020}, ndvi_{\lambda_i}^{2020}, ndw_{\lambda_i}^{2020} \right) \right].
\]

where \( s_j \) denotes various seasonal composites and \( t \) is the monitored period. It can be found that the TrainFeatures_{PS_t} varies with \( t \); namely, the training spectra of pervious surfaces directly came from the unclassified imagery. It should be noted that there may not be cloud-free imagery available especially for the rainy season before 2000 in the tropical-rainforest areas. In this case, we discarded these missed seasonal features when training the classification models; namely, the number of training features varied with the availability of Landsat observations.

Afterwards, as the spatial distributions of impervious surfaces varied in different regions, we used the local-adaptive resampling strategy to comprehensively capture the impervious-surface characteristics at various regions (Sect. 3.1.1). However, if we used all training samples to build a global classification model for mapping global impervious surfaces, the global model still sacrifices the performance in these sparse impervious-surface regions to achieve high overall accuracy. In this study, the local-adaptive modeling strategy of splitting the globe into multiple local regions and then independently training the classification models in each local region using corresponding regional samples was adopted to increase the sensitivity and fitting ability of the classification model at different regions. Zhang and Roy (2017) also quantitatively compared the performance of global classification modeling and local-adaptive modeling strategies and found the latter had greater performance than the former. Therefore, we adopted the tiled solution used in Zhang et al. (2021b), splitting the global land area into approximately 961 geographical tiles (Fig. 4) of 5° × 5° and then training the independent classification model in each of the geographical tiles.

Furthermore, the random forest (RF) classification model has significant advantages over other classification models (such as a decision tree, support vector machine and neural network), including (1) higher computation efficiency and classification accuracy, (2) a stronger ability to process high-dimensional data and resist training sample errors, and (3) simpler parameter settings (Belgiu and Drăguţ, 2016; Du et al., 2015; Gislason et al., 2006). Therefore, the RF classifier was selected to produce our impervious-surface dynamic time-series products. The RF classifier only contains two adjustable parameters (the number of decision trees (\( \text{Ntree} \)) and the number of selected prediction variables (\( \text{Mtry} \))), and Belgiu and Drăguţ (2016) quantitatively analyzed the relationship between the classification accuracy and these two parameters, finding that the \( \text{Ntree} \) had a greater impact on classification accuracy than \( \text{Mtry} \) and suggesting that these two parameters should take default values. As such, we defined the \( \text{Ntree} \) as 500 and \( \text{Mtry} \) as the square root of the total number of input features.

Lastly, as the time-series impervious-surface products were produced by independent classifications, it was necessary to use the post-processing method to optimize the time-series impervious products from 1985 to 2020 and minimize the influence of classification error. Over the past few years, many post-processing methods have been proposed, including maximum a posteriori Markov random fields (Cai et al., 2014) and temporal-consistency checks (Li et al., 2015), both of which use contextual spatiotemporal information and prior knowledge to reduce the illogical land-cover transitions caused by classification error. In this study, the temporal-consistency correction proposed by Li et al. (2015) was applied to optimize our impervious time-series products. It mainly comprised procedures of spatiotemporal filtering and illogical transition checking, the former of which iteratively calculates the probability of the same land-cover pixels occurring in the neighborhoods within a 3 × 3 × 3 spatiotemporal window as

\[
P_{x,y,t} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x' = x - 1}^{x + 1} \sum_{y' = y - 1}^{y + 1} \sum_{t' = t - 1}^{t + 1} I(L_{x',y',t'} = L_{x,y,t}),
\]

where \( L_{x',y',t'} \) denotes the adjacent pixels in the spatiotemporal window, \( L_{x,y,t} \) represents the label of the target pixel \((x, y)\) in period \( t \) and \( I(L_{x',y',t'} = L_{x,y,t}) \) is the indicator function. Usually, the value of \( P_{x,y,t} \) could reflect the accuracy of \( L_{x,y,t} \); namely, a higher value of \( P_{x,y,t} \) means the high confidence of \( L_{x,y,t} \). In this study, the threshold of 0.5
for $P_{x,y,t}$ (suggested by the Li et al., 2015) was applied to reduce the influence of classification error caused by individual classifications. If $P_{x,y,t}$ for each impervious-surface pixel was lower than 0.5, the corresponding label was adjusted as the opposite. Afterwards, the illogical transition checking mainly employed the irreversibility assumption to remove illogical transitions from impervious surface to pervious surface.

### 3.3 Accuracy assessment

To comprehensively assess the performance of our global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset, sample-based and comparison-based methods were applied. Specifically, the sample-based validation method used the multitemporal impervious-surface validation samples to calculate four accuracy metrics, including the overall accuracy and kappa coefficient, the producer’s accuracy (measuring the commission error), and the user’s accuracy (measuring the omission error) (Olofsson et al., 2014). Meanwhile, as opposed to traditional period-by-period accuracy assessments, we categorized the time-series impervious-surface dynamic into nine independent strata, including pervious surfaces, impervious surfaces before 1985, and expanded impervious surfaces during 1985–1990, 1990–1995, 1995–2000, 2000–2005, 2005–2010, 2010–2015 and 2015–2020. We then calculated a comprehensive confusion matrix for these nine strata.

In addition, the comparison-based method used five global 30 m impervious-surface products (GAIA, GHSL, NUACI, GAUD and GlobeLand30) with multiple epochs as the comparative dataset for analyzing the performance of our GISD30 products. Specifically, we compared the time-series impervious areas of five products in six continents and further analyzed the spatial consistency between GISD30 and five comparative datasets at the global scale. Further, we selected three types of cities (mega-cities, tropical cities and arid cities) and one rural area to illustrate the performance of five global 30 m impervious-surface products used for capturing the spatiotemporal dynamic. The reasons why we chose these types of cities and rural areas were that (1) the mega-cities usually experienced more intense urbanization, and we could more intuitively understand whether there were commission error and omission error in each product; (2) the tropical cities usually mean sparser observations caused by the cloud coverage, so we could analyze the stability and robustness of each product in the tropical cities; (3) the arid cities were selected to analyze the ability of each product to distinguish between impervious surfaces and similar land types (arid soils); and (4) the rural area contained sparse impervious surfaces and were prone to suffer the underestimation problem.

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 The spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces from 1985 to 2020

Figure 5 illustrated the spatial distributions of time-series global 30 m impervious-surface maps and two local enlargements in China and India during 1985–2020 with intervals of 5 years. Intuitively, as the world’s main impervious surfaces and economic activities are mainly concentrated in the Northern Hemisphere, the intensity of impervious-surface expansion in the Northern Hemisphere is more significant than that in the Southern Hemisphere. Specifically, the impervious surfaces have undergone rapid urbanization in past 35 years especially in developing countries such as China and India in Fig. 5a and b. It can be found that many low-density areas in 1985 were transformed into medium-/high-density areas in 2020, and the cities were obviously connected by the new impervious surfaces especially in the mega-cities such as Shanghai and Guangzhou in China. Meanwhile, the cities (such as Bangkok, New Delhi and Beijing in Fig. 5a and b) usually experienced faster impervious-surface expansion speeds than the surrounding villages and small cities, etc.

Figure 6 quantitatively summarizes the impervious surface areas and their changes on six continents from 1985 to 2020. Overall, the global impervious surface area has doubled in the past 35 years, from $5.116 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ in 1985 to $10.871 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ in 2020. Specifically, Asia experienced the largest increase in impervious surface area compared to other continents, with a total increase of $2.946 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ (from $1.908 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ in 1985 to $4.854 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ in 2020), followed by North America (from $1.202 \times 10^5$ to $2.188 \times 10^5$ km$^2$), Europe (from $1.330 \times 10^5$ to $2.168 \times 10^5$ km$^2$), Africa (from $0.264 \times 10^5$ to $0.725 \times 10^5$ km$^2$) and South America (from $0.298 \times 10^5$ to $0.735 \times 10^5$ km$^2$), and Oceania experienced the lowest urbanization, with an increase of $0.088 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ over the past 35 years. In addition, Fig. 6b indicated that the proportion of impervious area on the continents of Asia, Africa and South America obviously increased, while the proportions of the remaining three continents (Europe, North America and Oceania) slowly declined during 1985–2020. Specifically, the proportion of impervious area in Asia increased the most, from 37.3 % to 44.7 %, while the proportion in Europe clearly decreased, from 26.0 % to 20.1 %. Lastly, Fig. 6d illustrates the impervious-surface expansion ratio of six continents in 1985–2020. Africa displayed the fastest expansion ratio compared to other continents—the impervious area in Africa was 1.74 times greater than that in 1985, followed by Asia and South America, with expansion ratios of 154.4 % and 146.4 % over the period, respectively. Comparatively, as Europe and North America had large impervious surface areas in 1985, their impervious-area expansion ratios were relatively low. Meanwhile, it can be found that the expansion...
Figure 5. The spatial distributions of time-series global 30 m impervious-surface results and two local enlargements in China and India from 1985 to 2020 with intervals of 5 years. Each pixel represents the fraction of impervious surface within each $0.05^\circ \times 0.05^\circ$ spatial grid.
rate of impervious surface area on six continents after 2000 was significantly faster than before 2000. Figure 7 quantitatively measures the growth of impervious surfaces in various countries around the world over the period of 1985–2020. Intuitively, China underwent the largest increase in impervious area in the last 35 years, with an increase of $1.31 \times 10^5$ km$^2$, followed by the United States and India both exceeding $4.0 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ and Russia and Brazil exceeding $2.0 \times 10^3$ km$^2$. Meanwhile, from the perspective of spatial distribution, countries in Asia and North America displayed a higher increase in impervious area than those in other continents, especially East Asian and South Asian countries. In comparison, most countries in Africa underwent relatively little impervious-surface growth, with an increase of less than $4000$ km$^2$ over the past 35 years. Although Europe is a center of global economic activity, the increased impervious area in European countries was not significant compared with North America and Southeast Asia, and the average increase in area was less than $8000$ km$^2$. In addition, Fig. 7 shows the sum of the impervious surface area in the meridional and zonal directions in 1985 (blue) and 2020 (red), with a step of 0.05°, respectively. The meridional statistics indicated that the impervious surface in 1985 was more evenly distributed in the meridional direction than that in 2020. In 2020, there were four distinct peak intervals: 100–70°W (covering the eastern United States), 0–50°E (containing most European countries), 70–90°E (covering the whole of India) and 100–120°E (containing many Southeast Asia countries and China). Meanwhile, the increase in impervious area in the Eastern Hemisphere was significantly larger than that in the Western Hemisphere, and the maximum increase in impervious area was located near 120°E, containing China’s three major economic deltas (Yangtze River Delta, Pearl River Delta and Jing-Jin-Ji metropolitan region). Next, the zonal statistics indicated that the vast majority of impervious surfaces in the world were distributed between approximately 20 and 60°N, the area of which contains most of the world’s economically developed and high-density countries. Similarly, the increase in impervious area over the past 35 years was also concentrated in the Northern Hemisphere, and the increase between 20–60°N accounted for 70.75% of the total increase in the world.

4.2 Accuracy assessment using validation samples

Table 1 quantitatively assesses the performance of our time-series global impervious-surface dynamic products using 23,322 multitemporal validation samples. The global impervious dynamic products achieved the overall accuracy of 90.1% and a kappa coefficient of 0.865 in the nine-strata validation system. Specifically, from the perspective of user’s accuracy, the pervious surface had the highest accuracy of 98.5% because we used the maximum impervious boundary in 2020 to monitor the impervious-surface dynamics, and the prior impervious layer in GLC_FCS30-2020 also had the high user’s accuracy of 93.2% (Zhang et al., 2020). The impervious surface before 1985 achieved an accuracy of 92.3%, mainly because the stable impervious area in 1985 was obviously larger than the expanded area over each 5-year period and capturing the expansion impervious surface was also more difficult. Furthermore, the measurements of expansion in impervious surfaces in seven 5-year periods had similar performances, with an accuracy of approximately 70%. Confusions mainly occurred in temporally adjacent periods because the transition from pervious surface to impervious surface is a slow process and spans a long period of time, which directly increases the difficulty of monitoring it. In addition, the producer’s accuracy had a similar distribution law to the user’s accuracy for each strata in Table 1. In addition, it can be found that the user’s accuracy for the expansion of impervious surface after 2000 was higher than that before 2000, which was mainly affected by the more sparsely available Landsat observations before 2000 in Fig. 1. Similarly, Gong et al. (2020) also found that the monitoring uncertainty before 2000 was greater than after 2000.

Figure 8 illustrates the confusion proportions of the pervious surface, the stable impervious surface and the expanded impervious surface over each 5-year period, according to the confusion matrix in Table 1. Obviously, the pervious surface and stable impervious surface before 1985 had the lowest confusion proportions because we already knew the maximum impervious-surface boundary in 2020 using multisource prior datasets. Next, the confusion proportion between the expansion of impervious surface before 2000 and the stable impervious surface in 1985 was approximately 10%–20%, mainly because the Landsat imagery before 2000 was sparse, and we assumed that the land-cover information would remain stable in missing Landsat observation areas. Furthermore, there was also a certain degree of confusion between the expanded impervious surface and the pervious surface (approximately 5%) because urbanization generally occurred on the peripheries of cities and thus was more likely to be confused with pervious surfaces. Lastly, there was also much confusion between seven periods of impervious-surface expansion, especially for the three temporally adjacent periods, because the transition from pervious surface to impervious surface is a long and slow process. Similarly, Liu et al. (2019) used the continuous-change detection method to capture impervious-surface dynamics and found a temporal bias between the detected change time and the actual change time.

4.3 Cross-comparisons with other global 30 m impervious-surface products

4.3.1 Cross-comparison at a global scale

To comprehensively analyze the performances of our impervious-surface dynamic time-series products, five global 30 m multitemporal impervious-surface products (GAIA,
Figure 6. The expansion of impervious surfaces on each continent over the period of 1985–2020. (a) The impervious areas of six continents in each period. (b) The proportion of impervious areas on six continents from 1985 to 2020. (c, d) The increased impervious area and corresponding expansion ratio on each continent.

Figure 7. The expansion of impervious area in each country over the period 1985–2020 and meridional and zonal impervious-area statistics for 1985 (blue) and 2020 (red), with a step of 0.05°.

NUACI, GHSL, GAUD and GlobeLand30) were selected as the comparative datasets. Figure 9 illustrates the total impervious area of five global impervious-surface products on six continents over the period of 1985–2020. Overall, all six global impervious-surface products accurately captured the rational spatiotemporal trend over the past 35 years – the impervious surface area of all continents had steadily increased over time, and the increased impervious area in the Northern Hemisphere was obviously greater than that in the Southern Hemisphere.

Specifically, GISD30, GAIA, NUACI, GAUD and GHSL showed great area consistency in North America, while Glo-
Table 1. The confusion matrix of our global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic products using 23,322 validation samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P.S.</th>
<th>1985</th>
<th>85–90</th>
<th>90–95</th>
<th>95–00</th>
<th>00–05</th>
<th>05–10</th>
<th>10–15</th>
<th>15–20</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P.A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>9840</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9986</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>5408</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5856</td>
<td>0.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85–90</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–95</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95–00</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00–05</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05–10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1412</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10268</td>
<td>5786</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>23322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.A.</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 8. The confusion proportions of pervious surfaces, impervious surfaces in 1985 and increased impervious surfaces from 1985 to 2020.

beLand30 displayed a degree of overestimation, and its estimated area was almost $0.5 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ higher than that for other products. Then, on the remaining five continents, GAIA showed the lowest total impervious area compared with the other global 30 m impervious products. Similarly, the comparison in Gong et al. (2020) also indicated that GAIA showed the lowest impervious area among several global 30 m impervious-surface products (NUACI, GHSL and GlobeLand30). As NUACI only monitored the global urban dynamics and excluded the rural areas (Liu et al., 2018), it was expected that the total impervious areas given by NUACI would be lower than those given by GISD30, GHSL and GlobeLand30. As for GHSL, its impervious area varied greatly on different continents; for example, the total impervious area was close to that of GISD30 in North America and Europe; of NUACI in Asia, South America and Oceania; and of GlobeLand30 in Africa. However, compared with GISD30 and GlobeLand30, GHSL still underestimated the impervious surfaces in most continents. Next, GlobeLand30 gave the largest total impervious area for each continent, mainly because some vegetation surfaces around buildings were regarded as artificial surfaces in GlobeLand30 (Chen et al., 2015). Lastly, the GAUD dataset showed the second lowest total impervious areas among the six products on the continents of Asia, South America, Africa and Oceania and had the slowest impervious-surface growth rates among six impervious-surface products.

As the six global 30 m impervious-surface products displayed large differences in estimated global total impervious area in Fig. 9, it was necessary to further assess the performances of these products. Figure 10 illustrates the spatial patterns of these products globally and for two local enlargements in China and Europe (Fig. 10a and b) after aggregating to the resolution of 0.05$^\circ$. Overall, there was great spatial consistency between the GISD30, GHSL, GAUD and GlobeLand30 products – all of them captured the actual pat-
patterns of global impervious surfaces, mainly those concentrated between approximately 20° and 60° N. In detail, the local enlargement in Fig. 10a illustrated that GHSL showed smaller impervious areas and a lower intensity than GISD30, GAUD and GlobeLand30 in China, which meant a lot of the small impervious-surface pixels were underestimated by the GHSL-2015 dataset. Next, the impervious area given by GlobeLand30 in the United States was greater than that given by GISD30, GAUD and GHSL because many cities in the United States display a serious mix of houses and vegetation, while some vegetation surfaces around buildings were regarded as artificial surfaces in GlobeLand30. It should be noted that there was the highest consistency between GISD30 and GlobeLand30 in these two local enlargements. Further, GAUD, optimized from the NUACI dataset (X. Liu et al., 2020), simultaneously captured the urban and rural areas at a global level and achieved a higher performance than the NUACI dataset in the two local enlargements. Comparatively, the NUACI dataset showed the smallest impervious surface areas and the lowest intensity compared to the other products especially in Europe (Fig. 10b), India and China (Fig. 10a) because it only identified urban pixels and excluded rural areas (Liu et al., 2018). As for the GAIA dataset, although it simultaneously identified urban and rural pixels, their impervious surface areas were still significantly smaller than in the GISD30, GHSL, GAUD and GlobeLand30 products especially in Europe (Fig. 10b), which indicated that GAIA suffered the underestimation problem in these rural areas.

To quantitatively assess the consistency of the GISD30 dataset with five previous impervious-surface products, the scatterplots and the corresponding regression functions were illustrated in Fig. 11. It should be noted that the scatter points in Fig. 11 represented the proportions of impervious area in each 0.05° × 0.05° grid. Overall, the consistency between GISD30 and other products increased with time, and the regression slope also increasingly approached 1.0 (the solid regression lines were getting closer and closer to the dotted 1 : 1 reference line). Specifically, as for the scatterplots between the GAIA and GISD30 dataset, most scatter points were obviously concentrated below the 1 : 1 line at early stage and then slowly distributed on both sides of the 1 : 1 line, and the regression slope and correlation coefficient also increased from 0.498 to 0.871 and 0.789 to 0.907, respectively. Next, as the NUACI dataset only identified the urban pixels and excluded rural areas (Liu et al., 2018), we could find that most scatter points were located below the 1 : 1 line especially in the “low-fraction” interval, and the regression slopes were less than 1.0. Then, the scatterplots between the GISD30 and GAUD datasets indicated that the impervious surfaces captured by GISD30 was larger than that of GAUD, and the correlation coefficients and slopes between these two datasets increased with time especially in 2015 with the highest correlation coefficient of 0.931. Further, as the GlobeLand30 defined the vegetation in cities as artificial surfaces (Chen et al., 2015), we could find a lot of scatter points located above the 1 : 1 line. Meanwhile, as GlobeLand30 used the minimum mapping unit of 4 × 4 for impervious surface (Chen et al., 2015), which meant that a large number of fragmented and small impervious surfaces were missed, the regression slopes between GlobeLand30 and GISD30 were still less than 1.0. Lastly, there was greater agreement between the GISD30 and GHSL dataset than between other products in terms of the spatial distributions of scatter points and the regression slope.

Except for the consistency analysis, the quantitative accuracy assessments for four global impervious-surface products were calculated using the same validation dataset, as listed in Table 2. The GHSL and GlobeLand30 datasets were excluded because both of them cannot cover the whole period with a 5-year interval. Overall, GISD30 achieved the
Figure 10. The spatial patterns of six global 30 m impervious-surface products and two local enlargements in China (a) and Europe (b) after aggregating to the spatial resolution of 0.05° × 0.05°.
Figure 11. The scatterplots of the GISD30 dataset (x axis) against five previous global 30 m impervious-surface products (y axis, GAIA, NUACI, GAUD, GlobeLand30 and GHSL datasets) at the spatial resolution of 0.05° × 0.05°. It should be noted that the label of the x and y axes was the proportion of impervious surfaces in each 0.05° × 0.05° spatial grid.

highest performance with an overall accuracy of 0.901 and kappa coefficient of 0.865, compared with 0.797 and 0.702 for GAIA, 0.843 and 0.748 for GAUD, and 0.745 and 0.702 for NUACI. Specifically, in terms of the pervious surfaces, it can be found that all four products achieved similar and great producer’s accuracy exceeding 0.94. As the previous comparisons have illustrated that GAIA, NUACI and GAUD datasets underestimated the impervious surfaces, the user’s accuracy of them was lower than the GISD30 dataset. Afterwards, as for the performance of impervious surfaces, NUACI suffered the lowest user’s accuracy and producer’s accuracy in 1985 because it only identified the urban areas (Liu et al., 2018) and overestimated some increased impervious surfaces as the early impervious surfaces before 2000 (see Fig. 13). Similarly, GAIA and GAUD also missed some fragmented and small impervious surfaces, so the producer’s accuracy of them in 1985 was also greatly lower than that of GISD30. Then, the accuracy metrics of these increased impervious surfaces were similar to the overall accuracies; namely, GISD30 could accurately capture the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces, followed by the GAUD, GAIA and NUACI datasets.

4.3.2 Cross-comparison at a regional scale

To understand the performance of five global 30 m impervious-surface products used for monitoring spatiotemporal dynamics, we randomly selected six cities after considering city size, spatial distribution and urban landscapes. Moscow and Shanghai were the representative mega cities; Bangkok and Jakarta were the cities in tropical regions (heavily affected by cloud and shadows); and Phoenix and Jo-
hannoversburg were the representative cities for arid regions. It should be noted that we excluded GlobeLand30 in regional comparisons because it only covered the period of 2000–2020, while the remaining products can monitor the impervious surfaces before 2000. Specifically, Fig. 12 illustrates the comparison between our GISD30 dynamic products and four comparative datasets for Moscow and Shanghai. Intuitively, NUACI suffered from overestimation for two cities, misclassifying much vegetation as impervious surfaces. It also failed to capture the expansion of impervious surfaces in Shanghai – many cropland pixels before 2000 were identified as impervious surfaces. The GAIA products misidentified some old urban pixels (green color) as newly expanded impervious surfaces (red color) in Moscow, and it overestimated the expansion of impervious surfaces during 2010–2020 in Shanghai. According to the Landsat imagery, Shanghai’s fastest urban expansion occurred in 2000–2010, but GAIA obviously lagged in this measurement. Furthermore, GHSL also could not accurately capture the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces in detail. For example, it gave a low proportion of expanded impervious surfaces after 2000 in Shanghai, whereas in actuality, Shanghai experienced rapid urbanization after 2000. Lastly, there was the greatest spatial consistency between the GAUD and GISD30 datasets in these two cities; both of them accurately captured the expansion pattern of “the center to the periphery”. However, it still can be found that a lot of rural impervious surfaces in GAUD were wrongly labeled (red rectangle) in Shanghai.

Figure 13 illustrates the performance of five impervious-surface products in two cloud-contaminated cities (Bangkok and Jakarta). Clearly, GISD30 performed the best in monitoring the spatiotemporal dynamics of the impervious surfaces in these two cities. Comparatively, GAIA clearly underestimated the impervious surfaces in Bangkok, and many small impervious-surface objects in the peripheral cities (rural buildings) were missed. As regards impervious dynamics, GAIA underestimated the expansion after 2010 in Bangkok and also failed to capture the expansion pattern from the city center to the outskirts in Jakarta. On the contrary, NUACI suffered from serious overestimation in the two cities and misidentified some croplands on the peripheries as impervious surfaces, especially in Jakarta. Meanwhile, it also failed to monitor the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces in the two cities, while the expansion area from 1985 to 2020 was severely underestimated, and the impervious area before 2000 was overestimated. GHSL captured the distribution of impervious surfaces before 1985; however, the expansion of impervious surfaces over the past 35 years was seriously underestimated in the two cities. Lastly, the GAUD dataset performed well in the early stage in Bangkok, but it failed to capture the increased impervious surfaces after 2000 and missed a lot of rural impervious surfaces (red rectangle) in Bangkok. As for the second region, it still cannot accurately capture the increased impervious surfaces after 2000.

Figure 14 compared the performances of GISD30 and the four reference products in two arid cities (Phoenix and Johannesburg). Overall, the highest consistency was found between GISD30 and GHSL because both accurately captured the spatial patterns of impervious surfaces and the expansion of impervious surfaces on the peripheries of the cities. NUACI showed larger impervious areas than the other four products, but the corresponding Landsat imagery indicates that NUACI misidentified many pervious surfaces (bare land) as impervious surfaces, especially in Johannesburg. Meanwhile, NUACI suffered an obvious stamping effect mainly caused by temporal differences among adjacent Landsat image sets and also failed to capture the time of the expansion of impervious surfaces, especially in Johannesburg. GAIA performed well in identifying the impervious surface area and capturing the time of expansion in Phoenix, but it suffered from overestimation in Johannesburg, where much arid bare land was wrongly identified as an impervious surface in the early stages. Furthermore, as GHSL only covered the period of 1975–2014, it made sense that it registered less expanded impervious surface than GISD30. Lastly, GAUD shared similar impervious-surface distributions with GISD30.

### Table 2. The accuracy metrics of four global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic products using the same validation datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P.S.</th>
<th>1985</th>
<th>85–90</th>
<th>90–95</th>
<th>95–00</th>
<th>00–05</th>
<th>05–10</th>
<th>10–15</th>
<th>15–20</th>
<th>O.A.</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GISD30</td>
<td>P.A.</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.A.</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIA</td>
<td>P.A.</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.A.</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUACI</td>
<td>P.A.</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.A.</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAUD</td>
<td>P.A.</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.A.</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12. Comparisons between the GISD30 dynamic products and four other datasets (the GAIA products developed by Gong et al., 2020; NUACI developed by Liu et al., 2018; GHSL developed by Florczyk et al., 2019; and GAUD developed by X. Liu et al., 2020) in the two representative megacities of Moscow and Shanghai. In each case, the multi-epoch Landsat imagery, comprised of red, green and blue bands, came from the United States Geological Survey (https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/, last access: 13 April 2022).

Figure 13. The comparisons between GISD30 and four reference datasets (the GAIA products developed by Gong et al., 2020; NUACI developed by Liu et al., 2018; GHSL developed by Florczyk et al., 2019; and GAUD developed by X. Liu et al., 2020) in the two cloud-contaminated cities of Bangkok and Jakarta. In each case, the multi-epoch Landsat imagery, comprised of red, green and blue bands, came from the United States Geological Survey (https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/).

at the early stage in Phoenix, but its increased impervious surfaces after 2000 were significantly less than GISD30, GAIA and GHSL. As for the Johannesburg city, it suffered the overestimation problem, identifying some pervious surfaces in the cities as the impervious surfaces, and also underestimated the increased impervious surfaces after 2000.

Lastly, the cross-comparison between GISD30 and the four previous datasets in the rural villages (containing sparse impervious surfaces) was illustrated in the Fig. 15. Overall, except for GISD30, the remaining impervious-surface datasets failed to identify these small rural buildings around the central villages. In terms of the spatial pattern of villages, the NUACI dataset obviously misclassified a lot of
croplands as increased impervious surfaces and also missed those stable impervious surfaces in the central villages. The GAUD dataset performed well in the early stage and accurately captured these old impervious surfaces, but these increased impervious surfaces after 2000 were missed. In fact, the village experienced significant impervious expansions after 2000 by visually interpreting the multitemporal Landsat imagery. GAIA partly captured the spatiotemporal expansion in the village, but the impervious areas in GAIA were obviously smaller than the actual situation, which indicated that the GAIA dataset suffered the underestimation problem in this rural village. Further, it can be found that there was the highest consistency between GISD30 and GHSL, both of which captured the expansion pattern of the center to the periphery; however, the increased impervious surfaces in GHSL were still less than the actual increases.

5 Discussion

5.1 The feasibility and advantages of the proposed method for monitoring impervious-surface dynamics

In contrast to supervised classification methods using independent samples for different periods, which require expensive resources to collect multitemporal training samples (Gao et al., 2012; Zhang and Weng, 2016), we used prior global land-cover products and the spectral-generalization strategy to automatically monitor the impervious-surface dynamics. Firstly, as the reliability of the training samples was demonstrated to directly affect the final classification accuracy, we combined the impervious layers in the GLC_FCS30-2020 and GlobeLand30-2020 land-cover products to derive candidate impervious training samples and then adopted the spatial-homogeneity filtering to further ensure the reliability of each sample in 2020. In order to assess the accuracy of training samples, we randomly selected 10,000 impervious-surface samples from the global sample pool, and the 10,000 random samples were interpreted by visual interpretation. The validation result showed that these impervious training samples achieved an overall accuracy of 95.52 % in 2020. To demonstrate whether the erroneous training samples can affect the performance of the classifiers, we gradually increased the percentage of erroneous training samples with a step of 1 % and then repeated 100 times, as illustrated in the Fig. 16. It can be found that the local-adaptive random forest models had great performance in being resistant to noise and erroneous training samples, and the overall accuracy and impervious-surface producer’s accuracy kept stable when the percentage of erroneous training samples were controlled within 40 % and then decreased after exceeding the threshold. Similarly, Gong et al. (2019b) also found that the overall accuracy kept stable when the percentage of erroneous training samples was within 20 %. Therefore, the training samples derived in Sect. 3.1 were accurate enough for monitoring impervious-surface dynamics.

In addition, contrary to other spectral-generalization classification methods, which migrated the reflectance spectra of all land-cover types (Dannenberg et al., 2016; Phalke and Özdoğan, 2018; Zhang et al., 2019), we only migrated the reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces measured in 2020 to other periods and simultaneously transferred the pervious surfaces.
samples to other periods based on the assumption of irreversibility. Therefore, we needed to independently train the classification models in each period using the migrated reflectance spectra of impervious- and pervious-surface samples. Correspondingly, our temporal adaptive models achieve better performances than traditional generalized models used for monitoring impervious-surface dynamics. Furthermore, many studies have demonstrated that the spectral inconsistency between migrated spectra and classified imagery directly affects classification accuracy (Woodcock et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2018). In this study, we used continuous Landsat imagery to preclude the effects of different sensors and adopted a seasonally composited method with relative radiometric normalization to minimize the influence of temporal difference. We took the Yangtze River Delta as an example to draw scatterplots for NIR reflectance of impervious surfaces in 2020 against other periods at the growing season after relative radiometric normalization, as illustrated in Fig. 17. There was significant consistency in NIR band between reference period and other periods, and most scatters were distributed on both sides of the regression line. In terms of the regression slope, the slope got closer and closer to 1.0 as time increased, which was mainly caused by the shorter temporal difference and denser Landsat imagery at later periods. According to the distribution of scatter points and the regression lines, there was no systematic bias between reference data and other data, which also demonstrated that it was feasible to generalize the reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces in 2020 to other periods.

Lastly, to optimize the time-series impervious maps and minimize the influence of classification error, the temporal-consistency checking post-processing method proposed by the Li et al. (2015) was adopted. It mainly used the spatiotemporal correlation information to eliminate the salt-and-pepper noise in the multi-epoch impervious-surface maps and used the irreversible assumption to remove the illogical transitions. Li et al. (2015) quantitatively demonstrated that the post-processing method improved the overall accuracy by about 6% for monitoring impervious dynamics in Beijing, China. Recently, this post-processing method was involved for producing the GAIA dataset (Gong et al., 2020) and optimizing time-series land-cover maps in China (Yang and Huang, 2021); both of them demonstrated that temporal-consistency checking improved the reliability and consistency of the classification results by integrating the spatiotemporal context information.

5.2 Limitations and prospects of the global impervious-surface dynamic dataset

In this study, we have proposed a novel automatic method to successfully produce a global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset over the period of 1985–2020 and quantitatively and qualitatively demonstrated that our dataset performed well in capturing the spatial distributions and spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces; however, there were still some weaknesses in our impervious-surface dy-
dynamic products. Firstly, we assumed that the transition from pervious surface to impervious surface was irreversible over the monitoring period, which caused our method or product to fail to capture the transition from impervious to pervious surface (such as demolition caused by urban greening), as well as many changes that took place in impervious surfaces (such as urban demolition and reconstruction). Recently, Liu et al. (2019) used continuous-change detection to successfully capture these reversible and multiple changes in Nan-chang, China; however, the implementation efficiency of the method was low, and whether it can support the monitoring of global impervious-surface dynamics remains to be verified. Therefore, our future work must exploit the advantages of a continuous-change detection model to improve the effectiveness of monitoring the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces.

Our previous study (Zhang et al., 2020) quantitatively demonstrated that a combination of multisource remote sensing datasets could significantly improve the ability to recognize impervious surfaces, especially in semi-arid or arid regions, where bare land generally shares spectral characteristics with impervious surfaces. In addition, the Landsat imagery available before 2000 was relatively sparse (illustrated in Fig. 1), which directly affects the monitoring accuracy of impervious surfaces, and this explains why the user’s accuracy of the expansion of impervious surfaces before 2000 was significantly lower than after 2000 (Table 1). Similarly, Gong et al. (2020) also found that the availability of Landsat imagery had a positive relationship with impervious-surface monitoring accuracy when creating GAIA global impervious-surface products. Therefore, our future work should combine multisource remote sensing imagery (such as synthetic-aperture radar (SAR), nighttime light (NTL) and Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) data) as auxiliary data to further improve impervious-surface monitoring accuracy.

6 Data availability

The global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset from 1985 to 2020 is free to access at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5220816 (Liu et al., 2021b). The global dynamic dataset was used to label the expansion information in a single band; specifically, the pervious surface and the impervious surface before 1985 were, respectively, labeled 0 and 1, and the expanded impervious surfaces in the periods 1985–1990, 1990–1995, 1995–2000, 2000–2005, 2005–2010, 2010–2015 and 2015–2020 were labeled 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Furthermore, in order to facilitate the use of these data, the global dynamic products were split into 961 tiles of $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$ in the GeoTIFF format, named “GISD30_1985-2020_E/W**N/S**.tif”, where “E/W**N/S**” is the latitude and longitude coordinates found in the upper left corner of the tile data.

7 Conclusions

In this study, a novel and automatic method of combining the advantages of spectral-generalization and automatic-sample-extraction strategies was proposed, and then an accurate global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset for 1985 to 2020 was produced by using time-series Landsat imagery. Specifically, we first migrated the reflectance spectra of impervious surfaces and simultaneously transferred the training
samples of pervious surfaces to other periods to automatically monitor the spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces from 1985 to 2020. Then, we combined the local-adaptive modeling and time-series Landsat imagery to independently produce impervious-surface time-series products. Lastly, the spatiotemporal-consistency checking method was applied to independent impervious-surface products in order to minimize the effects of classification errors and ensure the reliability and spatiotemporal consistency of the final impervious-surface dynamic dataset.

Overall, the global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset we produced accurately captured the expansion pattern of impervious surfaces over the past 35 years. The quantitative results indicate that the global impervious surface area doubled in the past 35 years, from $5.116 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ in 1985 to $10.871 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ in 2020, and Asia underwent the greatest increase in impervious surface area compared to other continents, with a total increase of $2.946 \times 10^5$ km$^2$. Meanwhile, we also found that the expansion rate of impervious surface on six continents after 2000 was significantly faster than before 2000. In addition, the global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset was validated by 23,322 multitemporal validation samples, and our dataset achieved the overall accuracy of 90.1% and a kappa coefficient of 0.865. Lastly, quantitative and qualitative comparisons between GISD30 and the five comparative impervious-surface products (GAIA, GHSL, NUACI, GAUD and GlobeLand30) indicate that our GISD30 products performed the best in capturing the spatial distributions and spatiotemporal dynamics of impervious surfaces. Therefore, it was concluded that our global 30 m impervious-surface dynamic dataset was an accurate product and could provide vital support for monitoring regional or global urbanization or carrying out related tasks.

Author contributions. LL conceptualized the project. XZ performed the investigation. LL and XZ designed the methodology. XZ and XC developed the software. XZ, TZ, XC and YG performed the validation. XZ prepared the original draft of the paper. LL reviewed and edited the paper.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer. Publisher’s note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Acknowledgement. We greatly appreciate the free access of the GAIA product provided by Tsinghua University, the NUACI and GAUD impervious-surface products provided by Sun Yat-sen University, the GHSL impervious-surface products produced by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the GlobeLand30 land-cover products provided by the National Geomatics Center of China.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant no. XDA19090125), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant no. ZDRW-ZS-2019-1) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 41825002).

References


