



# Supplement of

## **Comparing national greenhouse gas budgets reported in UNFCCC inventories against atmospheric inversions**

Zhu Deng et al.

Correspondence to: Philippe Ciais (philippe.ciais@cea.fr) and Zhu Liu (zhuliu@tsinghua.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.



45

Fig S1. (top) Map of the fraction of managed land (a value of 1 means that 100% of the inversion grid cell, here of 1° resolution, is managed land) after excluding the fraction of intact forest and lightly grazed grasslands, as used to adjust N<sub>2</sub>O inversions. (bottom). Map of managed land excluding only intact forests, as used to adjust  $CO_2$  inversions.







Fig S2. (a) map of the atmospheric in-situ sites whose data have been assimilated in the latest CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O CAMS inversions (ship cruises have been removed from the maps). Coloured countries are those analyzed in this study (red when they are studied separately; blue, light pink or light violet when they are studied as part of a group). Note that site selection is inversion-specific: the CAMS selection may be different from any other inversion used in this study. (b) observation density of available GOSAT column CH4 soundings (XCH4) in DJF and JJA respectively for the year 2017. Each panel in (b) shows the number of daily XCH4 observations averaged at the resolution of 2° (in latitude) by 3° (in longitude). Three different GOSAT XCH4 retrievals are presented, i.e. University of Leicester proxy retrievals (v7.2),

SRON RemoTeC proxy retrievals (v2.3.8), and NIES full physics retrievals (v2.7.2). See Table 1b for more details about the product used by each inversion.



Fig S3. Correlations matrixes of the land CO2 fluxes from the six CO2 inversions for each country among the 12 selected countries shown in Fig 3.



Fig S4. National carbon stock changes from inventories and land CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from inversion estimates in Southeast Asia maritime continent countries including Malaysia (MYS), Indonesia (IDN), and Papua New Guinea (PNG), grouped into SEA-O, and in Southeast Asia mainland countries, Thailand (THA), Myanmar (MMR), Laos (LAO), Cambodgia (KHM), VNM (Vietnam), grouped into SEA-L.



Fig S5. Anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> flux calculated from total emissions by three methods (see section 1). a) anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emission is the sum of flux from the fossil sector, the agriculture and waste sector, and the biomass burning sector as reported by each inversion (Method 1). b), c), d) Anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emission is calculated from the total emission of CH4 of each inversion by removing bottom-up estimations of the emissions from termites, freshwaters (lake and reservoirs) and geological, and wetland emission given by the median of inversions (Method 2) (b), or by the median of bottom-up 'diagnostic' wetland emission models prescribed by the the same wetland area (method 3/1) (c) or by the median of 'prognostic' wetland emission models with their own calculated wetland area (Method 3/2) (d).



80 Fig S6. CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the fossil fuel sector from the top 12 emitters of this sector, with the same labels as Fig 5, except for adding the grey dots for values from the PRIMAP-HIST(Gütschow et al., 2016).

Table S1. (a) List of global inversions used in this study for each greenhouse gas; (b) Global CH4 inversions constrained by GOSAT XCH4.
Note that the GOSAT XCH4 retrievals used for assimilation may be different among inversions. Please refer to Table S6 of (Saunois et al., 2020) for more details.

(a)

Gas	Model	Inversion models
CO2	in-situ	CAMS CARBOSCOPE CTE MIROC NISMON UOE
CH4	GOSAT	CTE_GOSAT LMDzPYVAR_GOSAT1 (based on Zheng et al. (2018), prior fluxes based on CEDS mostly) LMDzPYVAR_GOSAT2 (based on Zheng et al. (2018), prior fluxes from GMB protocol) LMDzPYVAR_GOSAT3 (Yin et al. (2021), sim S2_GOSAT_INCA) LMDzPYVAR_GOSAT4 (Yin et al. (2021), sim S2_GOSAT_TR) LMDzPYVAR_GOSAT5 (Yin et al. (2021), sim S3_Multi_INCA)

		LMDzPYVAR_GOSAT6 (Yin et al. (2021), sim S3_Multi_TR) NTF-4DVAR_NIES_GOSAT TM5-JRC_GOSAT1 (using own prior fluxes) TM5-JRC_GOSAT2 (using prior fluxes from GMB protocol) TM5-CAMSGOSAT (fom CAMS SRON)
	in-situ	CTE_SURF GELCA_SURF LMDzPYVAR_SURF1 (Yin et al. (2021), sim S1_Surf_INCA) LMDzPYVAR_SURF2 (Yin et al. (2021), sim S1_Surf_TR) MIROCv4_SURF NICAM_SURF NTF-4DVAR_NIES_SURF TM5-4DVAR_SURF1 (using own prior fluxes) TM5-4DVAR_SURF2 (using prior fluxes from GMB protocol) TM5-CAMS_SURF
N2O	in-situ	PyVAR-CAMS INVICAT GEOS-Chem

(b)

CH4 inversion	CTE_CH4	LMDZ-PYVAR	NIES-TM	TM5-CAMS	TM5-JRC
References	Tsuruta et al. (2017)	Zheng et al. (2018a,b) and Yin et al. (2021)	Wang et al. (2019a) Maksyutov et al. (2020)	Segers & Houwelling (20172018, report)	Bergamaschi et al. (2013, 2018)
Resolution	6° x 4° x 25	3.75° x 1.9° x 39	2.5° x 2.5° x 32	3° x 2° x 34	6° x 4° x 25
XCH4 retrieval	Full physics retrievals GOSAT NIES FP v2.72 (Yoshida et al., 2013)	Proxy retrievals GOSAT Leicester PR v7.2 (Parker et al., 2011)	Full physics retrievals GOSAT NIES FP v2.72 (Yoshida et al., 2013)	Proxy retrievals GOSAT RemoTeC PR v2.3.8 (Detmers & Hasekamp 2016)	Proxy retrievals GOSAT Leicester PR v7.2 (Parker et al., 2011)

90

Table S2. List of non-Annex I countries for the 20 largest emitters of N<sub>2</sub>O for which indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from nitrogen leaching and / or atmospheric nitrogen deposition are reported in their UNFCCC communications. \* All numbers are rounded and data reported in  $CO_2$  equivalents by some countries were converted to N<sub>2</sub>O using a Global Warming Potential of 265. "NOo" means no data reported in thethat national inventoriesy.

Party	NI reported indirect N2O emissions Gg N- N2O *	FAOSTAT indirect N2O emissions
China	154 (1994, NC1) 202 (2005, NC2)	184 (1994) 238 (2005)

	NC1: 154 (1994) NC2: 202 (2005) NC3: No BUR1: No BUR2: No	
Brazil	151 (2005, NC2) 183 (2015, BUR3) 113.8 (2016, NC4) 196 (2016, BUR4) NC1: No NC2: 151 (2005) NC3: No NC4: 113.8 (2016) BUR1: No BUR2: No BUR3: 183 (2015) BUR4: 196 (2016)	85 (2005) 193 (2016)
India	31 (2007, NC2) 45 (2010, BUR1) 43 (2014, BUR2) 42 (2016, BUR3) NC1: No NC2: 31 (2007) BUR1: 45 (2010) BUR2: 43 (2014) BUR3: 42 (2016)	145.8 (2007) 156.5 (2010) 159.8 (2014) 160.8 (2016)
DR Congo	NO NC1: No NC2: No NC3: No	1.2 (2015)
Indonesia	18 (2000, NC2) 37 (2014, NC3) 36 (2012, BUR1) 38 (2016, BUR2) NC1: No NC2: 18 (2000) NC3: 37 (2014) BUR1: 36 (2012) BUR2: 38 (2016)	20.0 (2000) 29.5 (2012) 29.8 (2014) 30.8 (2016)
Mexico	22 (2015, BUR2) NC1: No NC2: No NC3: No NC4: No NC5: No BUR1: No BUR2: 22 (2015)	22.6 (2015)

Colombia	NO NC1: No NC2: No NC3: No BUR1: No BUR2: No	11.2 (2015)
Sudan	NO NC1: No NC2: No	18.7 (2015)
Venezuela	23 (2010, NC2) NC1: No NC2: 23 (2010)	6.7 (2010)
Nigeria	19 (2015, BUR1) 19 (2016, NC3) NC1: No NC2: No NC3: 19 (2016) BUR1: 19 (2015)	20.0 (2015) 21.2 (2016)
Central Africa	NO NC1: No NC2: No	31.2 (2015)
Myanmar	0.8 (2000, NC1) NC1: 0.8 (2000)	5.4 (2000)
Cameroon	NO NC1: No NC2: No	3.2 (2015)
Ethiopia	27 (2013, NC2) NC1: No NC2: 27 (2013)	24.4 (2013)
Peru	10 (1994, NC1) NC1: 10 (1994) NC2: No NC3: No BUR1: No BUR2: No	4.2 (1994)
Thailand	11 (1994, NC1) 12 (2016, BUR3) NC1: 11 (1994) NC2: No NC3: No BUR1: No BUR2: No BUR3: 12 (2016)	8.6 (1994) 11.6 (2016)
Pakistan	0.13 (1993, NC1)	22.0 (1993)

### Table S3. IPCC category systems defined by the two IPCC guidelines (IPCC, 1997, 2006)

	Non Annex I						Annex	I
	IPCC 1	996		IPCC 2	006	CRF (IPCC 2006)		
1. Energy	1.A Fuel Combustion - Sectoral Approach	<ul> <li>1.A.1 Energy</li> <li>Industries</li> <li>1.A.2 Manufacturing</li> <li>Industries and</li> <li>Construction</li> <li>1.A.3 Transport</li> <li>1.A.4 Other Sectors</li> <li>1.A.5 Other (Not elsewhere specified)</li> </ul>	1 ENERG Y	1A Fuel Combustion Activities	<ul> <li>1A1 Energy</li> <li>Industries</li> <li>1A2 Manufacturing</li> <li>Industries and</li> <li>Construction</li> <li>1A3 Transport</li> <li>1A4 Other Sectors</li> <li>1A5 Non-Specified</li> </ul>	1. Energy	A. Fuel combustion (Reference approach / Sectoral approach)	<ol> <li>Energy industries</li> <li>Manufacturing industries and construction</li> <li>Transport</li> <li>Other sectors</li> <li>Other</li> </ol>
	1.B Fugitive Emissions from Fuels	1.B.1 Solid Fuels 1.B.2 Oil and Natural Gas		1B Fugitive Emissions from Fuels	1B1 Solid Fuels 1B2 Oil and Natural Gas 1B3 Other Emissions from Energy Production		B. Fugitive emissions from fuels	<ol> <li>Solid fuels</li> <li>Oil and natural gas and other emissions from energy production</li> </ol>
				1C Carbon Dioxide Transport and Storage	1C1 Transport of CO2 1C2 Injection and Storage		C. CO2 Transport and storage	<ol> <li>Transport of CO2</li> <li>Injection and storage</li> <li>Other</li> </ol>
2. Industri al Processe s	2.A Mineral Products	<ul> <li>2.A.1 Cement</li> <li>Production</li> <li>2.A.2 Lime</li> <li>Production</li> <li>2.A.3 Limestone and</li> <li>Dolomite Use</li> <li>2.A.4 Soda Ash</li> <li>2.A.5 Asphalt</li> </ul>	2 INDUST RIAL PROCE SSES AND PRODU CT USE	2A Mineral Industry	<ul> <li>2A1 Cement</li> <li>Production</li> <li>2A2 Lime</li> <li>Production</li> <li>2A3 Glass</li> <li>Production</li> <li>2A4 Other Process</li> <li>Uses of Carbonates</li> </ul>	2. Industri al processe s and product use	A. Mineral industry	<ol> <li>Cement production</li> <li>Lime production</li> <li>Glass production</li> <li>Other process</li> <li>uses of carbonates</li> </ol>

	Roofing		2A5 Other (please			
	2.A.6 Road Paving		specify)			
	with Asphalt					
	2.A.7 Other					
			2B1 Ammonia			
			Production			1. Ammonia
			2B2 Nitric Acid			production
			Production			2. Nitric acid
			2B3 Adipic Acid			production
			Production			3. Adipic acid
			2B4 Caprolactam.			production
	2.B.1 Ammonia		Glyoxal and			4. Caprolactam,
	Production		Glyoxylic Acid			glyoxal and
	2.B.2 Nitric Acid		Production			glyoxylic acid
	Production		2B5 Carbide			production
2.B Chemical	2.B.3 Adipic Acid Production	2B Chemical Industry	Production		B. Chemical industry	5. Carbide
Industry			2B6 Titanium			production
	2.B.4 Carbide		Dioxide Production			6. Titanium dioxide
	Production		2B7 Soda Ash			production
	2.B.5 Other		Production			7. Soda ash
			2B8 Petrochemical			production
			and Carbon Black			8. Petrochemical
			Production			and carbon black
			2B9 Fluorochemical			production
			Production			9. Fluorochemical
			2B10 Other (please			production
			specify)			10. Other
	2.C.1 Iron and Steel		2C1 Iron and Steel			1. Iron and steel
	Production		Production			production
2.C Metal Production	2.C.2 Ferroalloys		2C2 Ferroalloys			2. Ferroalloys
	Production	2C Metal	Production		C. Metal	production
	2.C.3 Aluminium	Industry	2C3 Aluminium		industry	3. Aluminium
	Production		Production		uuser y	production
	2.C.4 SF6 Used in		2C4 Magnesium			4. Magnesium
	Aluminium and		Production			production
	Magnesium		2C5 Lead			5. Lead production

	Foundries		Production 2C6 Zinc Production 2C7 Other (please specify)		<ol> <li>6. Zinc production</li> <li>7. Other</li> </ol>
2.D Other Production	2.D.1 Pulp and Paper 2.D.2 Food and Drink	2D Non- Energy Products from Fuels and Solvent Use	2D1 Lubricant Use 2D2 Paraffin Wax Use 2D3 Solvent Use 2D4 Other (please specify)	D. Non- energy products from fuels and solvent use	<ol> <li>Lubricant use</li> <li>Paraffin wax use</li> <li>Other</li> </ol>
2.E Production of Halocarbons and SF6	2.E.1 By-product emissions	2E Electronics Industry	<ul> <li>2E1 Integrated</li> <li>Circuit or</li> <li>Semiconductor</li> <li>2E2 TFT Flat Panel</li> <li>Display</li> <li>2E3 Photovoltaics</li> <li>2E4 Heat Transfer</li> <li>Fluid</li> <li>2E5 Other (please</li> <li>specify)</li> </ul>	E. Electronic industry	<ol> <li>Integrated circuit or semiconductor</li> <li>TFT flat panel display</li> <li>Photovoltaics</li> <li>Heat transfer fluid</li> <li>Other</li> </ol>
2.F Consumption of Halocarbons and SF6		2F Product Uses as Substitutes for Ozone Depleting Substances	<ul> <li>2F1 Refrigeration</li> <li>and Air Conditioning</li> <li>2F2 Foam Blowing</li> <li>Agents</li> <li>2F3 Fire Protection</li> <li>2F4 Aerosols</li> <li>2F5 Solvents</li> <li>2F6 Other</li> <li>Applications</li> </ul>	F. Product uses as substitutes for ODS	<ol> <li>Refrigeration         <ul> <li>and air</li> <li>conditioning</li> <li>Foam blowing                  agents</li> <li>Fire protection</li> <li>Aerosols</li> <li>Solvents</li> <li>Other                  applications</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

	2.G Other			2G Other Product Manufacture and Use	2G1 Electrical Equipment 2G2 SF6 and PFCs from Other Product Uses 2G3 N2O from Product Uses 2G4 Other (please specify)		G. Other product manufacture and use	<ol> <li>Electrical equipment</li> <li>SF6 and PFCs from other product use</li> <li>N2O from product uses</li> <li>Other</li> </ol>
3. Solvent and Other Product Use				2H Other (please specify)	2H1 Pulp and Paper Industry 2H2 Food and Beverages Industry 2H3 Other (please specify)		H. Other	
	4.A Enteric Fermentation			3A Livestock	<ul><li>3A1 Enteric</li><li>Fermentation</li><li>3A2 Manure</li><li>Management</li></ul>		A. Enteric fermentation	1. Cattle         2. Sheep         3. Swine         4. Other         livestock
4. Agricult	4.B Manure Management		3 AGRIC ULTUR E, FORES	3B Land	<ul> <li>3B1 Forest Land</li> <li>3B2 Cropland</li> <li>3B3 Grassland</li> <li>3B4 Wetlands</li> <li>3B5 Settlements</li> <li>3B6 Other Land</li> </ul>	3. Agricult	B. Manure management	<ol> <li>Cattle</li> <li>Sheep</li> <li>Swine</li> <li>Other</li> <li>livestock</li> </ol>
ure	4.C Rice Cultivation	4.C.1 Irrigated 4.C.2 Rainfed 4.C.3 Deep Water	TRY AND OTHER LAND USE	3C Aggregate Sources and Non-CO2 Emissions Sources on Land	<ul> <li>3C1 Biomass</li> <li>Burning</li> <li>3C2 Liming</li> <li>3C3 Urea</li> <li>Application</li> <li>3C4 Direct N2O</li> <li>Emissions from</li> <li>Managed Soils</li> <li>3C5 Indirect N2O</li> <li>Emissions from</li> <li>Managed Soils</li> <li>3C6 Indirect N2O</li> </ul>	ure	C. Rice cultivation	

			Emissions from Manure Management 3C7 Rice Cultivations 3C8 Other (please specify)		
4.D Agricultural Soils	<ul> <li>4.D.1 Direct Soil</li> <li>Emissions</li> <li>4.D.2 Pasture, Range</li> <li>and Paddock Manure</li> <li>4.D.3 Indirect</li> <li>Emissions</li> </ul>	3D Other	3D1 Harvested Wood Products 3D2 Other (please specify)	D. Agricultural soils	
4.E Prescribed Burning of Savannas				E. Prescribed burning of savannas	
4.F Field Burning of Agricultural Residues	<ul><li>4.F.1 Cereals</li><li>4.F.2 Pulses</li><li>4.F.3 Tubers and</li><li>Roots</li><li>4.F.4 Sugar Cane</li></ul>			F. Field burning of agricultural residues	
4.G Other				G. Liming	
ł				H. Urea application	
				I. Other carbon- contining fertilizers	
				J. Other	

	5.A Changes in Forest and Other Woody Biomass Stocks	<ul><li>5.A.1 Tropical</li><li>Forests</li><li>5.A.2 Temperate</li><li>Forests</li><li>5.A.3 Boreal Forests</li></ul>			A. Forest land	<ol> <li>Forest land</li> <li>remaining forest</li> <li>land</li> <li>Land converted</li> <li>to forest land</li> </ol>
5. Land- Use Change and Forestry	5.B Forest and Grassland Conversion	<ul> <li>5.B.1 Tropical</li> <li>Forests</li> <li>5.B.2 Tropical</li> <li>Savanna / Grasslands</li> <li>5.B.3 Temperate</li> <li>Forests</li> <li>5.B.4 Grasslands</li> <li>5.B.5 Boreal Forests</li> <li>5.B.6 Grasslands /</li> <li>Tundra</li> <li>5.B.7 Other</li> </ul>			B. Cropland	<ol> <li>Cropland</li> <li>remaining cropland</li> <li>Land converted</li> <li>to cropland</li> </ol>
	5.C Abandonment of Managed Lands	<ul> <li>5.C.1 Tropical</li> <li>Forests</li> <li>5.C.2 Tropical</li> <li>Savanna / Grasslands</li> <li>5.C.3 Temperate</li> <li>Forests</li> <li>5.C.4 Grasslands</li> <li>5.C.5 Boreal Forests</li> <li>5.C.6 Grasslands /</li> <li>Tundra</li> <li>5.C.7 Other</li> </ul>		4. Land use, land-use change and forestry	C. Grassland	1. Grassland remaining grassland 2. Land converted to grassland
	5.D CO2 Emissions and Removals from Soil	<ul><li>5.D.1 Cultivation of Mineral Soils</li><li>5.D.2 Cultivation of Organic Soils</li><li>5.D.3 Liming of Agricultural Soils</li></ul>			D. Wetlands	<ol> <li>Wetlands</li> <li>remaining wetlands</li> <li>Land converted</li> <li>to wetlands</li> </ol>
	5.E Other				E. Settlements	1. Settlements remaining settlements 2. Land converted to settlements

							F. Other land	<ol> <li>Other land remaining other land</li> <li>Land converted to other land</li> </ol>
							G. Harvested wood products	
							H. Other	N2O Emissions from Aquaculture Use CH4 from artificial water bodies
6. Waste	6.A Solid Waste Disposal on Land	6.A.1 Managed Waste Disposal on Land 6.A.2 Unmanaged Waste Disposal Sites	4 WASTE	4A Solid Waste Disposal	4A1 Managed Waste Disposal Sites 4A2 Unmanaged Waste Disposal Sites 4A3 Uncategorised Waste Disposal Sites		A. Solid waste disposal	<ol> <li>Managed waste disposal sites</li> <li>Unmanaged waste disposal sites</li> <li>Uncategorized waste disposal sites</li> </ol>
	6.B Wastewater Handling	6.B.1 Industrial Wastewater 6.B.2 Domestic and Commercial Wastewater		4B Biological Treatment of Solid Waste		-5. Waste	B. Biological treatment of solid waste	<ol> <li>Composting</li> <li>Anaerobic</li> <li>digestion at biogas</li> <li>facilities</li> </ol>
	6.C Waste Incineration			4C Incineration and Open Burning of Waste	4C1 Waste Incineration 4C2 Open Burning of Waste		C. Incineration and open burning of waste	<ol> <li>Waste incineration</li> <li>Open burning of waste</li> </ol>
	6.D Other			4D Wastewater Treatment and Discharge	4D1 Domestic Wastewater Treatment and Discharge 4D2 Industrial Wastewater Treatment and Discharge		D. Wastewater treatment and discharge	<ol> <li>Domestic wastewater</li> <li>Industrial wastewater</li> <li>Other (as specified in table</li> <li>D)</li> </ol>

				4E Other (please specify)			E. Other	
7. Other		5 OTHER	5A Indirect N2O Emissions from the Atmospheric Deposition of Nitrogen in NOx and NH3		6. Other (please specify)			
				5B Other (please specify)				ľ
Memo Items	International Bunkers	Aviation Marine	Memo Items	International Bunkers	International Aviation International Water- borne Transport		International Bunkers	Aviation Marine
	CO2 Emissions from Biomass			Multilateral Operations			Multilateral operations	
		CC Bi Cc for Pr	CO2 from Biomass Combustion for Energy Production		Memo Items	CO2 emissions from biomass		
							CO2 captured	For domestic storage For storage in other countries
							Long-term storage of C in waste disposal sites	
							Indirect N2O	

	Indirect CO2	

CH4 emissions estimates from ultra- emitters (large point sources) and fossil fuel extraction basins based on S5P TROPOMI satellite

105 data and high resolution inversions

100

Priors

.



Fig S7. Main oil and gas production basins for which a basin scale inversion was obtained using S5P-TROPOMI data and regional high resolution dispersion models. Some basin inversion priors vary over time (O&G well completions and gas flares); this figure only contains a sample of points for these priors. [Map: @Mapbox]



Fig S8. Mean XCH<sub>4</sub> enhancement over the year 2020 for the Permian and Appalachian basins (TROPOMI XCH<sub>4</sub> bias corrected data). [Map: ©Mapbox]



#### **TROPOMI-based methane ultra-emitters detection**

Methane ultra-emitters are detected from total atmospheric column XCH<sub>4</sub> images sampled by the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) over 2019 and 2020. TROPOMI orbits the earth 13 to 14 times per day in a sun-synchronous, near-polar trajectory, and

- 120 tentatively retrieves XCH4 measurements for most of the atmosphere on a daily basis at a 7x7 km spatial resolution. We collected and analyzed hundreds of very large point sources located over large O&G production basins and major gas transportation infrastructure. The emission rates of these ultra-emitters is estimated using the Lagrangian particle model HYSPLIT (Stein et al., 2015). Flow rates typically range from a few dozen tons per hour to several hundred tons per hour, and follow a power-law relationship with noticeable variations in emission levels across countries but similar slopes. Compensating for incomplete TROPOMI XCH4 observations, total methane emissions
- 125 from O&G ultra-emitters are derived for a sample of countries representing more than 50% of the global onshore natural gas production. The duration of release is estimated by considering that emissions are continuous if visible on two consecutive processable TROPOMI images, and that they lasted for the duration for which the HYSPLIT simulation best fits the image otherwise. A lower bound scenario (in which release durations are taken to be HYSPLIT release durations) and an upper bound scenario (in which all hotspots are supposed to release during 24 hours) are also considered; all scenarii lead to estimates in the same order of magnitude (Lauvaux et al., 2021).
- 130

#### **TROPOMI-based methane basin inversions**

Inversions of methane emission from O&G and coal basins rely on TROPOMI atmospheric XCH4 measurements. For a set of basins producing fossil fuels (see figure Fig S7), likely sources of methane due to coal or O&G activities are first identified. For shale oil and gas

- 135 basins, recent well completions from Kayrros proprietary database (derived from the Sentinel 1 and 2 missions) are taken as a prior, whereas gas flares identified using VIIRS are privileged in conventional oil and gas basins. Pipeline compressor stations are added to the prior in the US O&G basin, as well as coal mines in the Appalachian and Bowen basins. In Queensland, coal seam gas wells are also taken into account. In the Appalachian, emissions due to coal are disentangled from those due to O&G by using the relative proportions of the EDGAR v5.0 gridded database. Methane plumes are simulated from the gridded prior using HYSPLIT and fitted to the background-subtracted TROPOMI
- 140 XCH4 images (Fig S8). The method is similar to (Zhang et al., 2020), although the quadratic optimization program is constrained (methane emissions are non-negative), regularized (oil and gas emissions are supposed to be sparse whereas coal emissions are nearly constant), and thus solved numerically rather than in closed form, without a prior penalty term.

Code	Country	CH <sub>4</sub> Tg yr <sup>-1</sup> avg (2019-2020)				
ULTRA-EMITTER EVENTS						
GULF	Iraq	0.05				
	Kuwait	0.01				
KAZ & TKM	Kazakhstan	0.15				
	Turkmenistan	1.49				
IRN	Iran	0.42				
RUS	Russia	1.71				
INTENSE-EMIT	ITING OIL AND GAS BASINS	5				
IRN	Iran	2.34				
GULF	Iraq	1.27				
	Kuwait	1.05				
USA	United States					
	Anardako basin	1.01				
	Appalachian basin	1.66				
	Permian basin	2.34				
INTENSE-EMI	ITING COAL BASINS					

USA	United States	
	Appalachian basin	1.07
AUS	Australia	
	Bowen Surat basin	1.55

145 Table S3. Emissions from ultra- emitters and intense-emitting basins of coal and of oil and gas. The uncertainty of the emission estimates have been conducted by Lauvaux et al. (2021).

#### Reference

Gütschow, J., Jeffery, M. L., Gieseke, R., Gebel, R., Stevens, D., Krapp, M., and Rocha, M.: The PRIMAP-hist national historical emissions time series, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 8, 571–603, 2016.

Lauvaux, T., Giron, C., Mazzolini, M., d'Aspremont, A., Duren, R., Cusworth, D., Shindell, D., and Ciais, P.: Global Assessment of Oil and Gas Methane Ultra-Emitters, arXiv [preprint], arXiv:1812.04951, 28 April 2021.

Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler,

- 155 L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley,
- 160 W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A., Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, L. P., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and Zhuang, Q.: The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017, Earth System Science Data, 12, 1561–1623, 2020.

Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 2059–2077, 2015.

Zhang, Y., Gautam, R., Pandey, S., Omara, M., Maasakkers, J. D., Sadavarte, P., Lyon, D., Nesser, H., Sulprizio, M. P., Varon, D. J., Zhang, R., Houweling, S., Zavala-Araiza, D., Alvarez, R. A., Lorente, A., Hamburg, S. P., Aben, I., and Jacob, D. J.: Quantifying methane emissions from the largest oil-producing basin in the United States from space, Science Advances, 6, eaaz5120, 2020.